

The butterfly theorem for conics

VLADIMIR VOLENEC*

Abstract. *The butterfly theorem holds for any diameter of any conic.*

Key words: *Butterfly theorem*

AMS subject classifications: 51M04

Received March 13, 2002

Accepted May 3, 2002

In a series of papers (cf. [1]-[11]) the well-known butterfly theorem for circles was proved and generalized. In [12] a generalization for conics was developed. Here we shall show the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let A, B, C, D be four points on a conic \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{M} any straight line in the same plane. Let \mathcal{N} be the diameter of \mathcal{K} which is conjugate to the line \mathcal{M} and let $M = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$. If M is the midpoint of two points $E = \mathcal{M} \cap AB$ and $F = \mathcal{M} \cap CD$, then M is the midpoint of the points $G = \mathcal{M} \cap AC$ and $H = \mathcal{M} \cap BD$ and the midpoint of the points $K = \mathcal{M} \cap AD$ and $L = \mathcal{M} \cap BC$.*

If \mathcal{N} is an axis of \mathcal{K} , then we have the theorem in [12].

Before proving the theorem we recall some facts from the analytic geometry of conics. It is well-known that any conic can be represented by an equation of the form

$$y^2 = px - qx^2 \tag{1}$$

where $p > 0$ and $q > 0$ for an ellipse, $q = 0$ for a parabola and $q < 0$ for a hyperbola. In the cases of an ellipse or a hyperbola we substitute x and y by $\frac{p}{|q|x}$ and $\frac{p}{\sqrt{|q|}y}$ respectively and multiply the obtained equation by $\frac{|q|}{p^2}$ and with $\omega = \frac{|q|}{q}$ we get the equation

$$y^2 = x - \omega x^2, \tag{2}$$

where $\omega = 1$ for an ellipse and $\omega = -1$ for a hyperbola. In the case of a parabola it suffices in (1) to substitute x by $\frac{x}{p}$ and we obtain equation (2) again, but now with $\omega = 0$. Applying an affine transformation we conclude that any conic section has an equation of the form (2), where $\omega \in \{1, 0, -1\}$.

If we put $x = 0$ in (2), we obtain the equation $y^2 = 0$ with two solutions $y = 0$, i.e. our conic has the y-axis \mathcal{Y} for a tangent in the origin. Let us prove that the

*Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička c. 30, HR-10 000 Zagreb, Croatia, e-mail: volenec@math.hr

x-axis \mathcal{X} is a diameter of the conic. In the cases of an ellipse or a hyperbola the centre of the conic (2) is the point $S = (\frac{\omega}{2}, 0)$. Indeed, this point is the midpoint of the points $T = (x, y)$ and $T' = (\omega - x, -y)$. If the point T is on the conic (2), then we have (because of $\omega^2 = 1$)

$$(\omega - x) - \omega(\omega - x)^2 = x - \omega x^2 = y^2 = (-y)^2$$

and the point T' is on this conic too. Any straight line through the origin has an equation of the form $y = tx$ and intersects the conic (2) at two points, whose abscissas are the solutions of the equation $t^2 x^2 = x - \omega x^2$. The first solution $x = 0$ gives the origin and the second one is

$$x = \frac{1}{t^2 + \omega}. \quad (3)$$

For the intersection with the abscissa (3) the ordinate is

$$y = \frac{t}{t^2 + \omega}. \quad (4)$$

In the case of a parabola we cannot have the value $t = 0$. But, then we put $y = 0$ directly in (2) and obtain the unique solution $x = 0$, i.e. the axis \mathcal{X} does not have a second intersection with the parabola. Therefore, \mathcal{X} is a diameter of this parabola. Our conic (2) has \mathcal{X} as a diameter and the conjugate diameter (in the case of an ellipse or a hyperbola) is parallel with the axis \mathcal{Y} and has the equation $x = \frac{\omega}{2}$. If we put $x = \frac{\omega}{2}$ in (2), we obtain the equation $y^2 = \frac{\omega}{4}$ with a real solution only for an ellipse, i.e. in the case of a hyperbola this diameter does not have the intersections with the hyperbola. By the way, we have the parametric representation (3) and (4) of the conic under consideration. The point $T = (x, y)$ given by (3) and (4), where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, will be denoted by $T = (t)$.

Proof of theorem. 1° If the diameter \mathcal{N} intersects the conic \mathcal{K} let \mathcal{N} be the axis \mathcal{X} of an affine coordinate system, where the conic \mathcal{K} has the equation (2), i.e. the parametric representation (3) and (4). The straight line \mathcal{M} has the equation $x = m$, where $M = (m, 0)$. Let $A = (a), B = (b), C = (c), D = (d)$ and let the points E, F, G, H, K, L have the ordinates e, f, g, h, k, l , respectively. The condition for the collinearity of the points $A = (a), B = (b)$ and $E = (m, e)$ has (after the multiplication of two rows by $a^2 + \omega$ and $b^2 + \omega$, respectively) the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & m & e \\ a^2 + \omega & 1 & a \\ b^2 + \omega & 1 & b \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$e(a^2 - b^2) - m[a^2b - ab^2 - \omega(a - b)] - (a - b) = 0$$

or

$$(a + b)e = (ab - \omega)m + 1. \quad (5)$$

The analogous condition for the points B, C and F is

$$(c + d)f = (cd - \omega)m + 1. \quad (6)$$

The point M is the midpoint of two points E and F iff $e + f = 0$. Because of (5) and (6) this equality is equivalent to the equality

$$[(ab - \omega)m + 1](c + d) + [(cd - \omega)m + 1](a + b) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$(abc + abd + acd + bcd)m + (1 - m\omega)(a + b + c + d) = 0.$$

This equality is symmetrical with respect to the parameters a, b, c, d . Therefore, we obtain the same condition for $g + h = 0$ and for $k + l = 0$.

2° If the diameter \mathcal{N} does not intersect the conic \mathcal{K} , then \mathcal{K} is a hyperbola and let the conjugate diameter of \mathcal{N} be the axis \mathcal{X} , let \mathcal{K} have the equations (3) and (4) again and now $\omega = -1$. The diameter \mathcal{N} has the equation $x = -\frac{1}{2}$ and if $M = (-\frac{1}{2}, m)$ then the straight line \mathcal{M} has the equation $y = m$. Let $A = (a), B = (b), C = (c), D = (d)$ and let the points E, F, G, H, K, L have the abscissas e, f, g, h, k, l , respectively. The collinearity conditions for the points A, B, E and C, D, F can be obtained from (5) and (6) by the substitutions $m \leftrightarrow e$ resp. $m \leftrightarrow f$ and because of $\omega = -1$ have the forms

$$\begin{aligned} (ab + 1)e &= (a + b)m - 1, \\ (cd + 1)f &= (c + d)m - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The point $M = (-\frac{1}{2}, m)$ is the midpoint of two points E and F iff $e + f = -1$. Because of (7) this condition is equivalent to the equality

$$-(ab + 1)(cd + 1) = [(a + b)(cd + 1) + (c + d)(ab + 1)]m - (cd + 1 + ab + 1),$$

i.e.

$$(abc + abd + acd + bcd + a + b + c + d)m + abcd - 1 = 0.$$

Again, we have the symmetry of this equality with respect to a, b, c, d .

References

- [1] H. EVES, *A Survey of Geometry*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1963.
- [2] H. S. M. COXETER, *Projective Geometry*, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.
- [3] M. S. KLAMKIN, *An extension of the butterfly theorem*, Math. Mag. **38**(1965), 206–208.
- [4] J. SLEDGE, *A generalization of the butterfly theorem*, J. of Undergraduate Math. **5**(1973), 3–4.

- [5] L. BANKOFF, *The methamorphosis of the butterfly theorem*, Math. Mag. **60**(1987), 195–210.
- [6] G. PICKERT, *Zum projektiven Beweis des Schmetterlingssatz*, Praxis Math. **30**(1988), 174–175.
- [7] H. SCHAAL, *Bemerkungen zum Schmetterlingssatz*, Praxis Math. **30**(1988), 297–303.
- [8] E. SIEMON, *Noch eine Bemerkung zum Schmetterlingssatz*, Praxis Math. **31**(1989), 42–43.
- [9] G. GEISE, *Eine weitere Bemerkung zum Schmetterlingssatz*, Praxis Math. **31**(1989), 367–368.
- [10] L. HOEHN, *A new proof of the double butterfly theorem*, Math. Mag. **63**(1990), 256–257.
- [11] V. VOLENEC, *A generalization of the butterfly theorem*, Math. Communications **5**(2000), 157–160.
- [12] Z. ČERIN, *A generalization of the butterfly theorem from circles to conics*, Math. Communications **6**(2001), 161–164.