

Short communication

## Novel polymorphic microsatellite markers from turmeric, *Curcuma longa* L. (Zingiberaceae)

SIJU SENAN<sup>1</sup>, DHANYA KIZHAKAYIL<sup>1</sup>, THOTTEN E. SHEEJA<sup>1\*</sup>,  
BHASKARAN SASIKUMAR<sup>1</sup>, ALANGAR I. BHAT<sup>2</sup>, VILLUPANOOR A. PARTHASARATHY<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Crop Improvement and Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut, Kerala 673 012, India

<sup>2</sup> Division of Crop Protection, Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut, Kerala 673 012, India

**Abstract** – Twenty one polymorphic microsatellite loci were isolated and characterized from turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). These markers were screened across thirty accessions. The number of alleles observed for each locus ranged from two to eight with an average of 4.7 alleles per locus. The discrimination power of these markers ranged from 0.25 to 0.67 (average 0.6). The simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers can complement the currently available SSR markers and would be useful for the genetic analysis of turmeric accessions.

**Keywords:** *Curcuma longa*, Microsatellites, triploid, turmeric.

**Abbreviations:** SSR – simple sequence repeat, PCR – polymerase chain reaction, EST – expressed sequence tags.

### Introduction

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) is an important spice and medicinal plant cultivated widely in South-East Asian countries, with India as its prime producer. Curcumin, the main bioactive component of turmeric has been shown to exhibit a wide range of biological actions (CHATTOPADHYAY et al. 2004) and its medicinal properties are well documented (AGGARWAL et al. 2007). Turmeric is mainly propagated through rhizomes and is reported to be a triploid [ $2n=3x=63$ ;  $x=21$ ] (RAMACHANDRAN 1961, ISLAM 2004) and a nonaploid ( $2n=9x=63$ ;  $x=7$ ) (SKORNICKOVA et al. 2007). Conservation and utilization strategies require fundamental knowledge of the extent of genetic diversity of the crop. Conventionally, turmeric accessions are characterized using morphological and agronomical traits. Microsatellite or Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) constitutes a robust set of molecular markers

---

\* Corresponding author, e-mail: teshee@rediffmail.com

Copyright © 2013 by Acta Botanica Croatica, the Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb. All rights reserved.

widely used for population genetic analyses, germplasm characterization, parentage analysis and marker-assisted selection in plants. So far only 17 EST-SSR (SIJU et al. 2010a) and 35 genomic SSR markers (SIGRIST et al. 2010, SIJU et al. 2010b) have been reported in turmeric. This limited availability warrants the need to expand the existing repertoire of microsatellite markers for future studies aiming at better estimation of genetic variability for the effective conservation of the genetic resources of turmeric. The present study was conducted to develop novel microsatellite markers from genomic DNA libraries of turmeric.

## Materials and methods

Total genomic DNA was extracted from a wild turmeric accession maintained at the germplasm repository of the Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut, India using the modified CTAB protocol (SYAMKUMAR et al. 2003). Genomic libraries enriched for microsatellite repeats were constructed following the protocol of GLENN and SCHABLE (2005) with four sets of 3' biotinylated probes- (TG)<sub>12</sub>, (AAC)<sub>6</sub>, (AAG)<sub>8</sub> and (ACAG)<sub>6</sub>. Plasmids were isolated from 268 recombinant clones and sequenced at Bioserve Biotechnologies, Hyderabad, India. The sequences were assembled into contigs using EGassembler (MASOUDI-NEJAD et al. 2006) and the identification of SSRs within the sequenced clones was performed using WebSat (MARTINS et al. 2009). Primers targeting the amplification of unique microsatellite repeats were designed using the web-based computer program- Primer3 (ROZEN and SKALETSKY 2000).

Polymorphism was assessed by genotyping thirty turmeric accessions maintained in the repository. Each 25 µL of PCR mixture contained 1 X *Taq* buffer (Sigma, Missouri, USA), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 5.0 pmol each of primers, 50 ng genomic DNA and 1 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (Sigma, Missouri, USA). PCR amplification was performed on a Master Cycler EP Gradient S thermocycler (Eppendorf, Germany) with the following profile: 1 cycle of 94 °C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at optimal temperature (Tab. 1) for 45 s, 72 °C for 1 min; and 1 cycle of 72 °C for 20 min. PCR products were sized on 8.0% denaturing PAGE along with a 10-bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and silver stained (BENBOUZA et al. 2006). The efficiency of microsatellite loci in genotype identification was evaluated with a discriminating power parameter (D), which represents the probability that two randomly chosen individuals have different patterns, and thus are distinguishable (TESSIER et al. 1999).

## Results and discussion

Sequencing of these 268 clones revealed a total of 123 sequences containing microsatellites. After redundancy elimination, only 92 sequences contained unique SSRs having repeat units ranging from 4 to 21. Thus the efficiency of microsatellite isolation using the enrichment protocol accounted for 34% (92 unique sequences out of 268 sequenced clones). High proportions of DNA fragments lacking microsatellite repeats is a significant issue with the improved protocols of microsatellite isolation protocols, due to the high level of non-specific binding of streptavidin-coated magnetic beads to the target DNA (ST. JOHN and QUINN 2008). However the redundancy (25 %) observed in the present study might be due to the application of PCR steps during enrichment, which might have amplified the same genomic DNA fragments prior to cloning. Though efficient enrichment protocols

**Tab.1.** Characteristics of 21 microsatellite markers for *Curcuma longa* L.

Marker	Forward primer (5'-3') Reverse primer (5'-3')	Repeat motif	T <sub>a</sub> (°C)	Allele size range (bp)	N <sub>a</sub>	D	GenBank accession number
CuMiSat -19	CATGCAAATGGAAATTGACAC TGATAAATTGACACATGGCAGTC	(AC) <sub>16</sub> (AT) <sub>6</sub>	65	204–154	8	0.67	HQ154119
CuMiSat -20	CGATACGAGTCCATCTCTTCG CCTTGCTTTGGTGGCTAGAG	(AC) <sub>6</sub>	65	158–148	8	0.64	HQ154120
CuMiSat -21	TCATTCAAAGTCCGATGGAA TTCGAGTGCAGAAGGAGAATTA	(AAG) <sub>9</sub>	62	164–146	6	0.67	HM438970
CuMiSat -22	AATTATTAGCCCGGACCAC AAGAAAGTGAGTAGAAACCAAAGC	(CTT) <sub>10</sub>	64	158–122	7	0.67	HM438971
CuMiSat -23	CGTGGAAGGTGAGTTTGAC CAGAAGGGAACTGAGATGG	(AAG) <sub>4</sub>	65	165–132	3	0.66	HM438972
CuMiSat -24	AGGTATTCTACTCGACCAAG AAATTCATATAGCCCCATC	(AAC) <sub>10</sub>	58	141–123	4	0.60	HM438973
CuMiSat -25	TACATGAGAAACAACAAAGCCC AGTTAGCCAAGTCCCAATTTAGC	(AAC) <sub>7</sub>	65	146–140	3	0.60	HM438974
CuMiSat -26	CATTCCGATGAATTGTATG GCAGTTGTTTTGCTTCAG	(AAC) <sub>9</sub>	58	208–188	5	0.61	HM438975
CuMiSat -27	TATAGATAGCCATGCTGAAG CCATTTTAGTTTCATTACGTG	(AC) <sub>8</sub>	63	121–111	4	0.25	HM438976
CuMiSat -28	TTCAACTTCTCCTCGCTCAG GCAAGGTCTGCATCTATTTCTC	(AAG) <sub>7</sub> (GAT) <sub>5</sub>	65	160–139	7	0.67	HM438977
CuMiSat -29	GTGGTATCCCATGAAGAGC ATGACCAAGCCCTTTCACC	(AAG) <sub>10</sub>	65	177–150	8	0.67	HM438978

**Tab.1.** – continued

Marker	Forward primer (5'–3') Reverse primer (5'–3')	Repeat motif	T <sub>a</sub> (°C)	Allele size range (bp)	N <sub>a</sub>	D	GenBank accession number
CuMiSat -30	CTCTAATGTCGCCTCTCACG GCATCTCCCGTTCTTCTCC	(AAG) <sub>5</sub>	65	157–142	5	0.64	HM438979
CuMiSat -31	GGAGGAGGAGAAGCAGAAG GACAGGCGAAGGAAGAAAC	(AGC) <sub>6</sub> ...(AAG) <sub>4</sub>	65	169–148	2	0.51	HM438980
CuMiSat -32	TGTTGTAGGTAGAAGCAAATGAC TTGGGTGCCTAATTCTTTCAAC	(AAG) <sub>9</sub>	64	135–120	4	0.54	HM438981
CuMiSat -33	ATGGATGGATAACAACAAC TATAAACACACTCCCTCTTGG	(AAC) <sub>8</sub>	65	170–140	3	0.61	HM438982
CuMiSat -34	AAGTTGGTGAAGGATTAGAGCTAC CACCTAGTGGGATAAATCTTGG	(AAC) <sub>6</sub>	62	144–117	2	0.58	HM438983
CuMiSat -35	GGTTCGTCGCTGGAAAGTAAT GCATCTCAACAGGGGCTG	(CTT) <sub>10</sub>	60	214–187	7	0.67	HM438984
CuMiSat -36	TGGGCTCAATGGTTGATACG CTCCTCATCGCTATCCGAGG	(AAG) <sub>6</sub>	65	220–208	4	0.58	HQ154121
CuMiSat -37	CCATTGGCGAGGATGAAGC CCTGCCAAGCAAAGCCAAG	(AAACAC) <sub>4</sub>	65	218–192	4	0.60	HQ154122
CuMiSat -38	TCATCATAAACTCCTG GAAGAAGAGGCTAAGTTC	(ACTG) <sub>4</sub>	58	126–118	3	0.58	HQ154123
CuMiSat -39	TATCCCCTGAAAATAATCC AAAATGTCACGAACTATTGC	(TG) <sub>6</sub>	64	180–176	2	0.55	HQ154124

T<sub>a</sub> – annealing temperature of primer pair; N<sub>a</sub> – total number of alleles; D – discrimination power

might give higher number of positive clones this could also lead to higher levels of redundancy (SQUIRRELL et al. 2003). Out of the eighty primers designed and targeted for the amplification, twenty one polymorphic markers were identified (Tab 1). These markers generated a maximum of three alleles per genotype, tallying with the triploid status of turmeric (RAMACHANDRAN 1961, ISLAM 2004) and characteristics of SSRs reported earlier (SIGRIST et al. 2010; SIJU et al. 2010a, b). A total of 99 alleles were detected across 30 turmeric accessions with an average of 4.7 alleles/ locus. The number of alleles per locus varied from 2 (CuMiSat 31, 34, 39) to 8 (CuMiSat 19, 20, 29). To evaluate the efficiency of SSR markers for discriminating turmeric accessions/varieties, the discrimination power (D) of markers was calculated according to TESSIER et al. (1999). The D value for these markers ranged from 0.25 (CuMiSat 27) to 0.67 (CuMiSat 19, 21, 22, 28, 29, 35) with an average value of 0.6. This is almost on a par with the previous report of turmeric microsatellites (SIJU et al. 2010b), suggesting their potential utility in future studies aimed at genetic diversity analysis and marker-assisted selection in the species.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a research grant from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), New Delhi, India.

### References

- AGGARWAL, B. B., BHATT, I. D., ICHIKAWA, H., AHN, K. S., SETHI, G., SANDUR, S. K., SUNDARAM, C., SEERAM, N., SHISHODIA, S., 2007: Curcumin – biological and medicinal properties. In: RAVINDRAN, P. N., BABU, K. N., SIVARAMAN, K. (eds.), *Turmeric: the genus Curcuma*, 297–368. CRC Press, New York.
- BENBOUZA, H., JACQUEMIN, J. M., BAUDOIN, J. P., MERGEAI, G., 2006: Optimization of a reliable, fast, cheap and sensitive silver staining method to detect SSR markers in polyacrylamide gels. *Biotechnology Agronomy Society and Environment* 10, 77–81.
- CHATTOPADHYAY, I., BISWAS, K., BANDYOPADHYAY, U., BANERJEE, R. K., 2004: Turmeric and curcumin: biological actions and medicinal applications. *Current Science* 87, 44–53.
- GLENN, T. C., SCHABLE, N. A., 2005: Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. *Methods in Enzymology* 395, 202–222.
- ISLAM, M. A., 2004: Genetic diversity of the genus *Curcuma* in Bangladesh and further biotechnological approaches for *in vitro* regeneration and long-term conservation of *C. longa* germplasm. PhD Thesis, University of Hannover.
- MARTINS, W. S., LUCAS, D. C. S., NEVES, K. F. S., BERTIOLI, D. J., 2009: WebSat – Web software for microsatellite marker development. *Bioinformatics* 3, 282–283.
- MASOUDI-NEJAD, A., TONOMURA, K., KAWASHIMA, S., MORIYA, Y., SUZUKI, M., ITOH, M., KANEHISA, M., ENDO, T., GOTO, S., 2006: EGAssembler: online bioinformatics service for large-scale processing, clustering and assembling ESTs and genomic DNA fragments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34, W459–462.
- RAMACHANDRAN, K., 1961: Chromosome numbers in the genus *Curcuma* Linn. *Current Science* 30, 194–196.

- ROZEN, S., SKALETSKY, H. J., 2000: Primer 3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: KRAWETZ, S., MISENER, S. (eds.), *Bioinformatics methods and protocols: methods in molecular biology*, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- SIGRIST, M. S., PINHEIRO, J. B., AZEVEDO-FILHO, J. A., COLOMBO, C. A., BAJAY, M. M., LIMA, P. F., CAMILO, F. R., SANDHU, S., SOUZA, A. P., ZUCCHI, M. I., 2010: Development and characterization of microsatellite markers for turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). *Plant Breeding* 129, 570–573.
- SIJU, S., DHANYA, K., SYAMKUMAR, S., SASIKUMAR, B., SHEEJA, T. E., BHAT, A. I., PARTHASARATHY, V. A., 2010a: Development, characterization and cross species amplification of polymorphic microsatellite markers from expressed sequence tags of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Molecular Biotechnology* 44, 140–147.
- SIJU, S., DHANYA, K., SYAMKUMAR, S., SHEEJA, T. E., SASIKUMAR, B., BHAT, A. I., PARTHASARATHY, V. A., 2010b: Development, characterization and utilization of genomic microsatellite markers in turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.). *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 38, 641–646.
- SKORNICKOVA, J. L., SIDA, O., JAROLIMOVA, V., SABU, M., FER, T., TRAVNICEK, P., SUDA, J., 2007: Chromosome numbers and genome size variation in Indian species of *Curcuma* (Zingiberaceae). *Annals of Botany* 100, 505–526.
- SQUIRELL, J., HOLLINGSWORTH, P. M., WOODHEAD, M., RUSSELL, J., LOWE, A. J., GIBBY, M., POWELL, W., 2003: How much effort is required to isolate nuclear microsatellites from plants? *Molecular Ecology* 12, 1339–1348.
- ST. JOHN, J., QUINN, T. W., 2008: Rapid capture of DNA targets. *Biotechniques* 44, 259–264.
- SYAMKUMAR, S., LOWARENCE, B., SASIKUMAR, B., 2003: Isolation and amplification of DNA from rhizomes of turmeric and ginger. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 21, 171a–171e.
- TESSIER, C., DAVID, J., THIS, P., BOURSICQUOT, J. M., CHARRIER, A., 1999: Optimization of the choice of molecular markers for varietal identification in *Vitis vinifera* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 98, 171–177.