FINITE GROUPS HAVING AT MOST 27 NON-NORMAL PROPER SUBGROUPS OF NON-PRIME-POWER ORDER

JIANGTAO SHI AND CUI ZHANG

Yantai University, China and Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

ABSTRACT. We prove that any finite group having at most 27 nonnormal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order is solvable except for $G \cong A_5$, the alternating group of degree 5.

1. INTRODUCTION

All groups are considered to be finite. Note that a group of non-primepower order in which every non-trivial subgroup has prime-power order is a minimal group of non-prime-power order. In [3], Gallian and Moulton obtained a complete classification of non-abelian minimal groups of nonprime-power order. Obviously any non-abelian minimal group of non-primepower-order is solvable. In [6] and [7], we showed that if a group G has either less than three conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of non-prime-power order or less than three classes of proper subgroups of the same non-primepower order then G is solvable, and G is a non-solvable group having exactly either three conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of non-prime-power order or three classes of proper subgroups of the same non-prime-power order if and only if $G \cong A_5$. Moreover, we proved that a non-solvable group G has exactly four conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of non-prime-power order if and only if $G \cong PSL(2,8)$, and a non-solvable group G has exactly four classes of proper subgroups of the same non-prime-power order if and only if $G \cong PSL(2,7)$ or PSL(2,8), see [8].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D10.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Finite group, solvable group, subgroup of non-prime-power order.

The first author was supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11201401). The second author was supported by H. C. Ørsted Postdoctoral Fellowship at DTU (Technical University of Denmark).

¹⁰⁵

Note that there always exists at least one solvable group G such that G has exactly n proper subgroups of non-prime-power order for any positive integer $n \ge 1$. For example, let $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+1}}$, where $p \ge 3$ is a prime. Then G is a solvable group having exactly n proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

From the above fact, a natural question arises:

QUESTION 1.1. Does there always exist a non-solvable group G such that G has exactly n proper subgroups of non-prime-power order for any positive integer $n \ge 1$?

As an answer to the above question, we have the following result, the proof of which is given in Section 3.

THEOREM 1.1. Any group G having at most 27 proper subgroups of nonprime-power order is solvable except for $G \cong A_5$, the alternating group of degree 5.

As an extension of Theorem 1.1, we further obtain the following result, the proof of which is given in Section 4.

THEOREM 1.2. Any group G having at most 27 non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order is solvable except for $G \cong A_5$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we prove two essential lemmas needed in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that G is a minimal non-abelian simple group.

- (1) If $G \cong A_5$, then G has exactly 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.
- (2) If $G \not\cong A_5$, then G has at least 50 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

PROOF. It is obvious that $G \cong A_5$ has exactly 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order by [1]. Next, suppose that G is a minimal non-abelian simple group that is not isomorphic to A_5 . By [10], G might be isomorphic to one of the following groups: PSL(2, p), p > 5 is a prime such that $5 \nmid p^2 - 1$; $PSL(2, 2^q)$, q is an odd prime; $PSL(2, 3^q)$, q is an odd prime; PSL(3, 3); $Sz(2^q)$, q is an odd prime.

(1) Suppose that $G \cong PSL(2, p)$, p > 5 is a prime such that $5 \nmid p^2 - 1$. Let p = 7. By [1], PSL(2,7) has exactly 22 maximal subgroups that have non-prime-power order. Note that S_3 is also a proper subgroup of PSL(2,7) of non-prime-power order. The number of conjugates of S_3 in PSL(2,7) is equal to $|PSL(2,7) : N_{PSL(2,7)}(S_3)| = |PSL(2,7) : S_3| = 28$. Therefore, PSL(2,7) has at least 50 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. If p > 7, then by the hypothesis, $p \geq 13$. By [2], PSL(2,p) has a maximal subgroup A that

is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order p + 1 and a maximal subgroup B that is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order p - 1. Obviously p + 1 and p - 1 cannot be a 2-power at the same time. If p + 1 is not a 2-power, then PSL(2,p) has at least $|PSL(2,p) : N_{PSL(2,p)}(A)| = |PSL(2,p) : A| = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \ge \frac{13(13-1)}{2} = 78$ proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. If p - 1 is not a 2-power, then PSL(2,p) has at least $|PSL(2,p) : N_{PSL(2,p)}(A)| = |PSL(2,p) : A| = |PSL(2,p) : B| = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} \ge \frac{13(13+1)}{2} = 91$ proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Therefore, whenever p > 5 is a prime such that $5 \nmid p^2 - 1$, PSL(2,p) has at least 50 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

(2) Suppose that $G \cong PSL(2, 2^q)$, q is an odd prime. By [2], G has a maximal subgroup C that is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot (2^q + 1)$ and a maximal subgroup D that is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot (2^q - 1)$. Since $|G: N_G(C)| = |G: C| = 2^{q-1} \cdot (2^q - 1) \ge 2^2 \cdot (2^3 - 1) = 28$ and $|G: N_G(D)| = |G: D| = 2^{q-1} \cdot (2^q + 1) \ge 2^2 \cdot (2^3 + 1) = 36$, G has at least 64 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

(3) Suppose that $G \cong PSL(2, 3^q)$, q is an odd prime. By [2], G has a maximal subgroup E that is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order $3^q - 1$. Since $|G: N_G(E)| = |G: E| = \frac{3^q(3^q+1)}{2} \ge \frac{3^3(3^3+1)}{2} = 378$, G has at least 378 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

(4) Suppose that $G \cong PSL(3,3)$. By [1], G has a maximal subgroup F of order 39 that is isomorphic to the normalizer of a Sylow 13-subgroup of G. Since $|G: N_G(F)| = |G: F| = 144$, G has at least 144 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

(5) Suppose that $G \cong Sz(2^q)$, q is an odd prime. By [9], G has a maximal subgroup S of order $2^{2q}(2^q-1)$ that is isomorphic to a Frobenius group. Since $|G: N_G(S)| = |G: S| = 2^{2q} + 1 \ge 2^6 + 1 = 65$, G has at least 65 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

Therefore, G has at least 50 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order whenever G is a minimal non-abelian simple group that is not isomorphic to A_5 .

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that G is a group such that $G/\Phi(G) \cong A_5$. If $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, then G has at least 37 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

PROOF. (1) Suppose that $|\Phi(G)|$ is not a prime-power. Since A_5 has exactly 58 proper subgroups, G has exactly 58 proper subgroups H such that $\Phi(G) \leq H$. Obviously H has non-prime-power order. It follows that G has at least 58 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

(2) Suppose that $|\Phi(G)|$ is a prime-power. Since $G/\Phi(G) \cong A_5$, $|\Phi(G)|$ might only be a 2-power or a 3-power or a 5-power. Let $|\Phi(G)|$ be a 2-power. Since A_5 has exactly 37 non-trivial subgroups of non-2-power order, G has at least 37 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Let $|\Phi(G)|$ be a 3-power. Since A_5 has exactly 47 non-trivial subgroups of non-3-power order, G has at least 47 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. If $|\Phi(G)|$ is a 5-power. Since A_5 has exactly 51 non-trivial subgroups of non-5-power order, G has at least 51 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

From above arguments, G has at least 37 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from the following three lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that G is a group having at most 20 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Then G is solvable.

PROOF. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. It follows that G is a minimal non-solvable group. Therefore, $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group. However, $G/\Phi(G)$ has at least 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order by Lemma 2.1, which implies that G has at least 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction. Therefore, G is solvable.

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that G is a non-solvable group having exactly 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Then $G \cong A_5$.

PROOF. By the hypothesis, every maximal subgroup of G has at most 20 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Then every maximal subgroup of G is solvable by Lemma 3.1. It follows that G is a minimal non-solvable group and then $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group. Since G has exactly 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, by Lemma 2.1, $G/\Phi(G)$ might only be isomorphic to A_5 . Then by Lemma 2.2, one has $\Phi(G) = 1$. Therefore, $G \cong A_5$.

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that G has exactly n proper subgroups of non-primepower order, where $22 \le n \le 27$. Then G is solvable.

PROOF. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. That is, for any proper subgroup M of G if M has exactly $k (22 \le k \le 27)$ proper subgroups of non-prime-power order then M is solvable.

(1) Suppose that G has at least one non-solvable maximal subgroup. Let N be a non-solvable maximal subgroup of G, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $N \cong A_5$. We claim that

$N \trianglelefteq G.$

Otherwise, assume that $N \not \leq G$. By the hypothesis, the number of conjugates of N in G is not greater than 6. That is, $|G : N_G(N)| = |G : N| = k \leq 6$. Then $G/N_G \leq S_k$, where $k \leq 6$ and N_G is the largest normal subgroup of G that is contained in N. Since N is simple and $N \not \leq G$, one has $N_G = 1$. It follows that $G \leq S_k$, where $k \leq 6$. Since G is non-solvable

and $A_5 \cong N$ is maximal in G but $N \not \cong G$, G might only be isomorphic to A_6 . Obviously A_6 has more than 27 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction. Therefore, $N \trianglelefteq G$.

If G has a normal maximal subgroup $T \neq N$, then $T \cap N = 1$, as N is simple. It follows that $G = T \times N$ and then $N \cong G/T$ is a cyclic group of prime order, a contradiction. Therefore, N is the unique normal maximal subgroup of G.

Since G is non-solvable, by [4], G has at least three conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups. If G has a maximal subgroup of prime-power order H, by [5, Theorem 10.4.2], H must have 2-power order. That is, H is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Since all Sylow 2-subgroups of G are conjugate, G has at least one maximal subgroup K of non-prime-power order such that $K \neq N$. By the hypothesis, $|G:N_G(K)| \leq 5$. Since N is the unique normal maximal subgroup of G, we have $K \nleq G$. Then $|G:N_G(K)| = |G:K| = t$, where $3 \leq t \leq 5$. If $3 \leq t \leq 4$, one has that $G/K_G \lesssim S_t$ is solvable. It follows that G/K_G has at least one normal maximal subgroup, say A/K_G . Obviously, $K_G \nleq N$. Then $A \neq N$, a contradiction. If |G:K| = 5, one has $G/K_G \lesssim S_5$. Note that G/K_G must be non-solvable. Then $G/K_G \cong S_5$ or A_5 . If $K_G \leq N$, one has $K_G = 1$. Obviously $G \ncong A_5$, and $G \cong S_5$ has more than 27 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction. If $K_G \nleq N$, one has $K_G \cap N = 1$ and then $G = K_G \times N$ also has more than 27 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that every maximal subgroup of G is solvable. It follows that G is a minimal non-solvable group and then $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group. By Lemma 2.1, $G/\Phi(G)$ might only be isomorphic to A_5 . If $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, by Lemma 2.2, G has at least 37 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction. If $\Phi(G) = 1$, then $G \cong A_5$ has exactly 21 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, also a contradiction.

From arguments (1) and (2), the counterexample does not exist and so G is solvable.

Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 combined together give Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

LEMMA 4.1. Suppose that G is group having at most 20 non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Then G is solvable.

PROOF. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. If G has no normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, by Lemma 3.1, G is solvable, a contradiction. Suppose G has a normal proper subgroup of non-prime-power order, say R. For the group G/R, by the minimality of G, both R and G/R are solvable. It follows that G is solvable, also a contradiction.

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose that G is a non-solvable group having exactly 21 non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order. Then $G \cong A_5$.

PROOF. By the hypothesis and Lemma 4.1, every maximal subgroup of G is solvable. Then G is a minimal non-solvable group and so $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group. By Lemma 2.1, $G/\Phi(G)$ might only be isomorphic to A_5 . If $\Phi(G) \neq 1$, by Lemma 2.2, G has at least 37 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, which are non-normal in G, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Phi(G) = 1$, and then $G \cong A_5$.

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose that G is a group having exactly n non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, where $22 \leq n \leq 27$. Then G is solvable.

PROOF. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. That is, for any proper subgroup T of G, if T has exactly $m (22 \le m \le 27)$ non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, then T is solvable.

(1) Suppose that every maximal subgroup of G is solvable. It follows that G is a minimal non-solvable group and then $G/\Phi(G)$ is a minimal non-abelian simple group. Arguing as in Lemma 4.2, we can get a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that G has a non-solvable maximal subgroup, say M. By the hypothesis, and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, one has $M \cong A_5$. Moreover, arguing as in Lemma 3.3, we can conclude that $M \trianglelefteq G$. If G has no other normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order except M, by the hypothesis, G has at most 6 proper subgroups of non-prime-power order not contained in M. Arguing as in Lemma 3.3, we can get a contradiction. So suppose now that G has a normal proper subgroup N of non-prime-power order such that $N \neq M$. Since M is simple, $N \cap M = 1$. It follows that $G = N \times M \cong N \times A_5$. Obviously, $N \times A_5$ has more than 27 non-normal proper subgroups of non-prime-power order, a contradiction.

Therefore, the counterexample does not exist and so G is solvable.

Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 combined together give Theorem 1.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors are grateful to the referee who gives valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, et al., Atlas of finite groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [2] L. E. Dickson, Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory, Leipzig, Teubner, 1901.
- [3] J. A. Gallian and D. Moulton, The classification of minimal non-prime-power order groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 59 (1992), 521–524.
- [4] G. Pazderski, Über maximale Untergruppen endlicher Gruppen, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963/1964), 307–319.

- [5] D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [6] J. Shi and C. Zhang, Finite groups with given quantitative non-nilpotent subgroups, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 3346–3355.
- [7] J. Shi and C. Zhang, Finite groups in which the number of classes of non-nilpotent proper subgroups of the same order is given, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 32 (2011), 687–692 (in Chinese).
- [8] J. Shi, C. Zhang and D. Liang, Finite groups with some subgroups of given order, Algebra Colloq. 20 (2013), 457–462.
- [9] M. Suzuki, On a class of doubly transitive groups, Ann. of Math. (2) 75 (1962), 105–145.
- [10] J. G. Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 383–437.

J. Shi School of Mathematics and Information Science Yantai University Yantai 264005 China *E-mail*: jiangtaoshi@126.com

C. Zhang Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science Technical University of Denmark DK-2800 Lyngby Denmark *E-mail*: cuizhang2008@gmail.com

Received: 8.5.2013. Revised: 1.8.2013.