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#### Abstract

We present the first order error bound for the Lyapunov equation $A X+X A^{*}=-G G^{*}$, where $A$ is perturbed to $A+\delta A$. We use the structure of the solution of the Lyapunov equation $X=\sum_{k=1}^{m} W_{k} W_{k}^{*}$, where $W_{k}$ is the $k$-th matrix obtained by the Low Rank Cholesky Factor ADI (LRCF-ADI) algorithm using the set of ADI parameters equal to exact eigenvalues of $A$, that is with ADI parameters $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}=$ $\sigma(A)$. Our bound depends on the structure of the right-hand side $G$ of the Lyapunov equation, and sometimes it can be sharper than the classical error bounds.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we will consider the following continuous-time Lyapunov equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X+X A^{*}=-G G^{*} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

perturbed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A+\Delta A) \widetilde{X}+\widetilde{X}(A+\Delta A)^{*}=-G G^{*} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $A+\Delta A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ are assumed to be stable and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times s}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(G)=s \ll n$.

The main aim of this paper is to give answers to the following questions:

[^0]How good one can bound $\|\widetilde{X}-X\|$, where $X$ and $\widetilde{X}$ are the solutions of the Lyapunov equations (1.1) and (1.2), respectively? Can we derive a bound which depends on the structure of the right-hand side $G$ of the Lyapunov equation?

As the illustration and motivation one can consider the following simple example:
Example 1. How sensitive is the solution of the following Lyapunov equation

$$
A X+X A^{*}=-G G^{*}, \text { where } A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{1.3}\\
0 & A_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

under the perturbation of the matrix $A$, such that

$$
\widetilde{A}=A+\Delta A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{A}_{11} & \widetilde{A}_{12} \\
0 & \widetilde{A}_{22}
\end{array}\right],
$$

with $\sigma\left(A_{11}\right) \cap \sigma\left(A_{22}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\sigma\left(\widetilde{A}_{11}\right) \cap \sigma\left(\widetilde{A}_{22}\right)=\emptyset$ ?
One of the possible answers to the posed question asserts (see for example [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|\widetilde{X}-X\|}{\|X\|} \leq 2\|\widetilde{A}-A\| \cdot\|H\| \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the solution of the following Lyapunov equation $A H+H A^{*}=-I$.
One can easily see that sometimes the bound (1.4) is too pessimistic. This is the case, for instance, if the right-hand side in (1.3) has the following form

$$
G G^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the blocks of the matrix $G G^{*}$ correspond with the blocks of $A$. Now it is obvious that the solution $X$ as well as its perturbation $\widetilde{X}$ depends only on the $(1,1)$ block, that is

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X_{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \widetilde{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{X}_{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $X_{11}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{11}$ are the solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{11} X_{11}+X_{11} A_{11}^{*}=-I, \text { and } \widetilde{A}_{11} \widetilde{X}_{11}+\widetilde{X}_{11} \widetilde{A}_{11}^{*}=-I \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
The problem of the influence of the right-hand side on the solution of linear equations has been considered in [2]. Recently in [10] it has been shown that sometimes the structure of the right-hand side $B$ of the Lyapunov equation $A X+$ $X A^{*}=B$ can greatly influence the eigenvalue decay rate of the solution.

Under the influence of this result we will show that the first order perturbation bound for the solution of Lyapunov equation (1.1) perturbed as in (1.2) can depend on the structure of the right-hand side.

Throughout the paper we assume that the unperturbed and the perturbed quantities are of the same order, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the spectral matrix norm.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the first part of Section 2. we describe some properties of the Low Rank Cholesky Factor ADI (LRCF-ADI) for
solving the Lyapunov equation. The first subsection of Section 2. contains the first order perturbation bound which considers the influence of the perturbation of the eigenvalues while in the second subsection we present the first order perturbation bound which considers the influence of the perturbation of eigenvectors. The last theorem in Section 2. contains our main result and it is a combination of the above two perturbation bounds.

Finally, Section 3. contains an example which illustrates the usage of our main result, that is which shows that sometimes our bound can be better than existing ones which depends on the structure of the right-hand side of the Lyapunov equation.

## 2. The main result

As we have mentioned in the introduction, in this paper we will consider Lyapunov equations (1.1) and (1.2), with additional assumption that $A$ and the corresponding perturbed matrix $A+\Delta A$ are diagonalizable, that is, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=S \Lambda S^{-1} ; \quad S \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \quad \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right\} \\
\widetilde{A}=\widetilde{S} \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{S}^{-1} ; \quad \widetilde{S} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\lambda}_{m}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix $A$ and $A+\Delta A$, respectively. Here the eigenvalues are ordered in such a way that $i<j$ implies $\left|\lambda_{i}\right|<\left|\lambda_{j}\right|,\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{i}\right|<\left|\lambda_{j}\right|$.

Before we continue, let us briefly review some auxiliary results which are the basis for our calculations.

First, we will use the following decomposition of the solution $X$ of the Lyapunov equation (1.1) (for more details see [1] or [8]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum_{k=1}^{m} W_{k} W_{k}^{*} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{k}$ is the $k$-th matrix obtained by the Low Rank Cholesky Factor ADI (LRCF-ADI) algorithm using the set of ADI parameters equal to exact eigenvalues of $A$, that is with ADI parameters $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}=\sigma(A)$.

The LRCF-ADI was proposed in [5] (see also [6]) and implemented in [7]. For the purpose of completeness, we will present the basic code for the LRCF-ADI taken from [7]:

Algorithm 1 (Low rank Cholesky factor ADI (LRCF-ADI))
INPUT: $A, G,\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{i_{\text {max }}}\right\}$
OUTPUT: $V=V_{i_{\max }} \in C^{m \times s i_{\max }}$, such that $V V^{*} \approx X$.
. $W_{1}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{1}\right)}\left(A+p_{1} I_{m}\right)^{-1} G$
2. $\quad V_{1}=W_{1}$

FOR: $i=2,3, \ldots, i_{\max }$
3. $W_{i}=\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}\left(p_{i}\right) / \operatorname{Re}\left(p_{i-1}\right)}\left(W_{i-1}-\left(p_{i}+p_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)\left(A+p_{i} I_{m}\right)^{-1} W_{i-1}\right)$
4. $V_{i}=\left[V_{i-1} W_{i}\right]$

END

Here $p^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugation of $p$.
The second result which we are going to use is taken from [10]. It can be shown that $W_{k}$ from the (2.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{k}=S D_{k} S^{-1} G \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\sigma(k, 1), \sigma(k, 2), \ldots, \sigma(k, m)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sigma(k, i)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(k, 1)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}}, \quad \sigma(k, j)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}} \prod_{t=1}^{j-1} \frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}} \quad \text { for } \quad j>1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from Algorithm 1 (for more details see the proof of [10, Theorem 2.1]), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{j}= & \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)} S \cdot\left(I-\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{j-1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\Lambda+\lambda_{j} I_{m}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \times\left(I-\left(\lambda_{j-1}+\lambda_{j-2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\Lambda+\lambda_{j-1} I_{m}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \times \cdots \times\left(I-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\Lambda+\lambda_{2} I_{m}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\Lambda+\lambda_{1} I_{m}\right)^{-1} S^{-1} G
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with fact that in the above equality we have a $(j-1)$-diagonal matrix of the form

$$
\left(I-\left(\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\Lambda+\lambda_{k} I_{m}\right)^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k-1}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{k}}\right)_{i}
$$

$i=1, \ldots, m, k=2, \ldots, j$, gives (2.3).
Now, we can continue with our considerations. Let

$$
\widehat{X}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \widehat{W}_{k} \widehat{W}_{k}^{*}
$$

be the solution of the Lyapunov equation,

$$
\widehat{A} \widehat{X}+\widehat{X} \widehat{A}^{*}=-G G^{*}, \text { where } \quad \widehat{A}=S \widetilde{\Lambda} S^{-1}
$$

obtained by LRCF-ADI algorithm with ADI parameters $p=\sigma(\widehat{A})=\left\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\lambda}_{m}\right\}$.
Similarly, let

$$
\widetilde{X}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \widetilde{W}_{k} \widetilde{W}_{k}^{*}
$$

be the solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$
\widetilde{A} \widetilde{X}+\widetilde{X} \widetilde{A}^{*}=-G G^{*}, \text { where } \quad \widetilde{A}=\widetilde{S} \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{S}^{-1}
$$

obtained by LRCF-ADI algorithm with ADI parameters $p=\sigma(\widetilde{A})=\left\{\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\lambda}_{m}\right\}$. Similarly as in (2.4) we can write:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{k}=S D_{k} S^{-1} G, \quad D_{k}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\sigma(k, 1), \ldots, \sigma(k, m)), \\
& \widehat{W}_{k}=S \widetilde{D}_{k} S^{-1} G, \quad \widetilde{D}_{k}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\widetilde{\sigma}(k, 1), \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma}(k, m)),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \widetilde{W}_{k}=\widetilde{S}_{k} \widetilde{S}^{-1} G .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \widehat{W}_{k}=\widehat{W}_{k}-W_{k}=S\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}-D_{k}\right) S^{-1} G \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $\left\|E_{S}\right\|<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W_{k}=\widetilde{W}_{k}-\widehat{W}_{k}=S\left(E_{S} \widetilde{D}_{k}-\widetilde{D}_{k} E_{S}\right) S^{-1} G+\mathcal{O}\left(\left\|E_{S}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-1} \widetilde{S}=I+E_{S} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|X-\widetilde{X}\| \leq\|X-\widehat{X}\|+\|\widehat{X}-\widetilde{X}\| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\|X-\widetilde{X}\| \leq & 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|W_{k}\right\|\left\|\delta \widehat{W}_{k}\right\|+2 \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\|\widehat{W}_{k}\right\|\left\|\delta W_{k}\right\|  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(\left\|\delta \widehat{W}_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\left\|\delta W_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that from (2.11) it follows that we have to bound $\left\|\delta \widehat{W}_{k}\right\|$ which depends on eigenvalue perturbation and $\left\|\delta W_{k}\right\|$ which depends on perturbation of eigenvectors of the matrix $A$.

### 2.1. Influence of the eigenvalue perturbation

In this section we will derive the bound for the $\left\|\delta \widehat{W}_{k}\right\|$.
The matrix $\widetilde{D}_{k}$ from (2.6) has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{k}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\widetilde{\sigma}(k, 1), \widetilde{\sigma}(k, 2), \ldots, \widetilde{\sigma}(k, m)) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}(k, i)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{\sigma}(k, 1)=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}}, \quad \widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)=\frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{1}} \prod_{t=1}^{j-1} \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}-\widetilde{\lambda}_{t}^{\prime}}{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{t+1}}  \tag{2.13}\\
\text { for } j>1
\end{array}
$$

Since $\delta \widehat{W}_{k}=S\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}-D_{k}\right) S^{-1} G$, it follows that we have to bound terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i}-\left(D_{k}\right)_{i i}=\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{i}\right)} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}(k, i)-\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right.}\right) \cdot \sigma(k, i) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let eigenvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}=\lambda_{i}+\delta \lambda_{i} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

be $i$-th eigenvalue of the matrix $A+\Delta A$. If we assume that all eigenvalues of $A$ and $A+\Delta A$ are simple, then for $\delta \lambda_{i}$ from (2.15) we have the following bound (see [3] or [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta \lambda_{k}\right| \leq \frac{t_{k}^{*} \Delta A s_{k}}{t_{k}^{*} s_{k}}=\varepsilon_{k} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{k}$ and $t_{k}$ are right and left eigenvectors belonging to $\lambda_{k}$ normalized so that $\left\|s_{k}\right\|=\left\|t_{k}\right\|=1$ and $\left|t_{k}^{*} s_{k}\right|=t_{k}^{*} s_{k}$.

Note that from the fact that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\delta \lambda_{k}\right)\right| \leq\left|\delta \lambda_{k}\right|
$$

it follows that for $\varepsilon_{k}$ small enough we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}\right)} \leq \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)} \cdot\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{k}}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\right|}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, from (2.13) and (2.5) it follows

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(k, 1)-\sigma(k, 1) \cdot \frac{\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}+\delta \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}}}{1+\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}+\delta \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}}} .
$$

Similarly one can write

$$
\frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{j}}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}} \cdot \frac{\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}+\delta \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}}}{1+\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}+\delta \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}}} .
$$

Note that in the both of the above equalities the term which determines a magnitude of perturbation can be bound with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(k, j) \equiv\left|\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}+\delta \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{k}+\varepsilon_{j}}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}\right|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{k j}}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{j}\right|} \quad \text { for } \quad j<k \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{k j}=2 \max \left\{\varepsilon_{k}, \varepsilon_{j}\right\}$.
Now, for $\eta(k, t)$ small enough we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, 1)| & \leq \sigma(k, 1) \cdot\left(1+\frac{\eta(k, 1)}{1+\eta(k, 1)}\right) \approx \sigma(k, 1) \cdot(1+\eta(k, 1)), \\
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)| & \leq \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|}\left(1+\frac{\eta(k, 1)}{1+\eta(k, 1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}-\widetilde{\lambda}_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \cdot\left(1+\frac{\eta(k, t+1)}{1+\eta(k, t+1)}\right) \\
& \approx \frac{1+\eta(k, 1)}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}-\widetilde{\lambda}_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \cdot(1+\eta(k, t+1)) \quad \text { for } j>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the first order approximation of the right-hand sides of the above inequalities gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)| & \approx \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}-\widetilde{\lambda}_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \cdot\left(1+\sum_{t=1}^{j} \eta(k, t)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{k}-\widetilde{\lambda}_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \cdot\left(1+\eta_{j}(k)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{j}(k)=\sum_{t=1}^{j} \eta(k, t)$.
Finally, using (2.15) we obtain

$$
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)| \approx \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}+\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}-\delta \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \cdot\left(1+\eta_{j}(k)\right) .
$$

Now, all above imply

$$
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)| \approx \frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{1}\right|} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}+\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}-\delta \lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}+\frac{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}} \cdot \eta_{j}(k)\right|
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widetilde{\sigma}(k, j)| \approx|\sigma(k, j)| \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|1+\frac{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}} \frac{\delta \lambda_{k}-\delta \lambda_{t}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}+\eta_{j}(k)\right| \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $\tilde{\sigma}(k, j)=\sigma(k, j)+\delta \sigma(k, j)$ then as the first order approximation bound for $|\delta \sigma(k, j)|$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\delta \sigma(k, j)| & \lesssim|\sigma(k, j)| \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{j-1}\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}}\right|\left|\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}-\delta \lambda_{t}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right|+\eta_{j}(k)\right) \\
& \leq|\sigma(k, j)| \cdot\left(\max _{t} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right|} \cdot \vartheta_{j}(k)+(j-1) \eta_{j}(k)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{j-1}\left|\frac{\delta \lambda_{k}-\delta \lambda_{t}}{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}}\right| \leq \sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{k}+\varepsilon_{t}}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}\right|} \doteq \vartheta_{j}(k)
$$

Here symbol $\lesssim$ stands for upper bound on approximate value, that is if $a \approx b$ and $b \leq c$ we write $a \lesssim c$.

The above gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|\delta \sigma(k, j)| \lesssim|\sigma(k, j)| \cdot\left(\frac{\vartheta_{j}(k)}{\operatorname{rg}\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{t}\right)}+(j-1) \eta_{j}(k)\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
\text { where } \quad \operatorname{rg}\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{t}\right)=\min _{t} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}\right|}
\end{array}
$$

From (2.14), (2.17) it follows that we have the following first order bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i}-\left(D_{k}\right)_{i i}\right| \leq \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right.}\right)\left(|\delta \sigma(k, i)|+\frac{\varepsilon_{i}|\sigma(k, i)|}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right|}\right) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2.7), (2.14) and (2.21) one can write

$$
\delta \widehat{W}_{k} \approx \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)} S\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(|\delta \sigma(k, 1)|+\varepsilon_{1} \frac{|\sigma(k, 1)|}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right|}\right) \cdot \widehat{g}_{1}  \tag{2.22}\\
\left(|\delta \sigma(k, 2)|+\varepsilon_{2} \frac{|\sigma(k, 2)|}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right|}\right) \cdot \widehat{g}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\left(|\delta \sigma(k, m)|+\varepsilon_{m} \frac{|\sigma(k, m)|}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{m}\right)\right|}\right) \cdot \widehat{g}_{m}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

where

$$
\widehat{G}=S^{-1} G\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
g_{11} & g_{12} & \ldots & g_{1 s}  \tag{2.23}\\
g_{21} & g_{22} & \ldots & g_{2 s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_{m 1} & g_{m 2} & \ldots & g_{m s}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{g}_{1} \\
\widehat{g}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\widehat{g}_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now we can state our first result.
Theorem 1. Let $S$ be the eigenvector matrix and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be the eigenvalue matrix of matrices $A$ and $\widetilde{A}=A+\Delta A$, respectively defined in (2.1). Let $\widehat{X}$ be the solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A} \widehat{X}+\widehat{X} \widehat{A}^{*}=-G G^{*}, \quad \widehat{A}=S \widetilde{\Lambda} S^{-1} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by Algorithm 1 with the set of ADI parameters which correspond to the spectrum of the matrix $\widetilde{A}$. Then the following first order bound holds:
$\|X-\widehat{X}\| \lesssim \quad 2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|W_{j}\right\| \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\delta \sigma(j, k)+\varepsilon_{k} \frac{\sigma(j, k)}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\right|}\right|^{2} \cdot\left\|\widehat{g}_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\delta \sigma(k, j)| \lesssim & |\sigma(k, j)| \cdot\left(\frac{\vartheta_{j}(k)}{\operatorname{rg}\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{t}\right)}+(j-1) \eta_{j}(k)\right), \\
& \operatorname{rg}\left(\lambda_{k}, \lambda_{t}\right)=\min _{k, t} \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}\right|}, \quad \text { and } \quad \vartheta_{j}(k)=\sum_{t=1}^{j-1} \frac{\varepsilon_{k}+\varepsilon_{t}}{\left|\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{t+1}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $\eta_{j}(k)=\sum_{t=1}^{j} \eta(k, t)$ and $\eta(k, t)$ is defined in (2.18), $\varepsilon_{k}$ is defined in (2.16) and $\widehat{g}_{k}$ is defined in (2.23).

Proof. The proof follows from the considerations given above.

### 2.2. Influence of the eigenvectors' perturbation

We continue with deriving the bound for $\left\|\delta W_{k}\right\|$.
From (2.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta W_{k}\right\| \lesssim\|S\|\left(\left\|E_{S} \widetilde{D}_{k} \widehat{G}\right\|+\left\|\widetilde{D}_{k} E_{S} \widehat{G}\right\|\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right-hand side of the above expression obviously depends on the structure of the matrix $\widehat{G}=S^{-1} G$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\widehat{G}$ has the following structure,

$$
\widehat{G}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{G}_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
E_{S}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E_{11} & E_{12}  \tag{2.27}\\
E_{21} & E_{22}
\end{array}\right], \quad \widetilde{D}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{22}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $E_{i j}$ and $\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i}, i, j=1,2$, correspond with the structure of $\widehat{G}$.
From the equality

$$
S \Lambda S^{-1}-\widetilde{S} \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{S}^{-1}=-\Delta A
$$

follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda E_{S}-E_{S} \Lambda \approx \delta \Lambda-S^{-1} \Delta A \widetilde{S} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If write $T^{*}=S^{-1}$, then $E_{S}=T^{*} \widetilde{S}-I$.
Note that from (2.7) it follows that we only need to bound $E_{11}$ and $E_{21}$, which can be done according to (2.28). It is easy to see that for off diagonal entries of the matrix $E_{11}$ the following bounds holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{11}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{i}^{*} \Delta A \widetilde{s}_{j}\right|}{\min _{i \neq j}\left|\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right|} \equiv\left(\Psi_{1}\right)_{i j} \text { where } \lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j} \in \Lambda_{11} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \neq j$ where $\Lambda_{11}$ is a diagonal matrix with the same dimension as the block $\widehat{G}_{1}$.
For $i=j$ we can assume that $\left(E_{S}\right)_{i i} \approx 0$, since assumption that $\left\|E_{S}\right\|$ has the modest magnitude is equivalent to the assumption that $\sin \angle\left(t_{i}, \widetilde{s}_{i}\right)$ is small. This further implies $t_{i}^{*} \widetilde{s}_{i} \approx 1$, that is $t_{i}^{*} \widetilde{s}_{i}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\sin \angle\left(t_{i}, \widetilde{s}_{i}\right)^{2}\right)$.

The bound for $\left|\left(E_{21}\right)_{i j}\right|$ is simpler to obtain, indeed we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(E_{21}\right)_{i j}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{i}^{*} \Delta A \widetilde{s}_{j}\right|}{\min _{i, j}\left|\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right|} \equiv\left(\Psi_{2}\right)_{i j} \text { where } \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda_{11}, \lambda_{j} \in \Lambda_{22} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound (2.30) is a point-wise bound for the entries of the matrix $E_{21}$, which means that we will be able to bound only $\left\|E_{21}\right\|_{F}$ (where $\|\cdot\|_{F}$ stands for the Frobenius norm).

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{D}_{k} E_{S} \widehat{G}\right\| \leq\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{11} E_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|+\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{22} E_{21} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\| \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can state our second result.
Theorem 2. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the solution of the Lyapunov equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{A} \widetilde{X}+\widetilde{X} \widetilde{A}^{*}=-G G^{*}, \quad \widetilde{A}=\widetilde{S} \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{S}^{-1} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by Algorithm 1 with the set of ADI parameters which correspond to the spectrum of the matrix $A+\Delta A$. Then the following first order bound holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\widehat{X}-\widetilde{X}\| & \lesssim 2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\widehat{W}_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|\Psi_{1}\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}+\left\|\Psi_{2}\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}\right) \\
& +2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\widehat{W}_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{1} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}+\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{22}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{2} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The bound (2.33) follows from (2.26), (2.29), (2.30), (2.31) and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|E_{i 1}\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|\Psi_{i}\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F} \text { for } i=1,2 \\
&\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i} E_{i 1} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\| \leq\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i}\right\|\left\|E_{i 1} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F} \leq\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{k}\right)_{i i}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{i} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F} \text { for } i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we will state our main result.
Theorem 3. Let $X$ and $\widetilde{X}$ be the solutions of the Lyapunov equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X+X A^{*}=-G G^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad(A+\Delta A) \widetilde{X}+\widetilde{X}(A+\Delta A)^{*}=-G G^{*} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $A$ and $A+\Delta A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ are assumed to be stable and diagonalizable, and $G \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times s}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(G)=s$. Then the following first order bound holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|X-\widetilde{X}\| & \lesssim 2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|W_{j}\right\| \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|\delta \sigma(j, k)+\frac{\varepsilon_{k}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)}\right|^{2} \cdot\left\|\widehat{g}_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\widehat{W}_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|\Psi_{1}\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}+\left\|\Psi_{2}\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}\right)  \tag{2.35}\\
& +2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|\widehat{W}_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{1} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}+\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{22}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{2} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta \sigma(j, k)$ are defined by (2.19), $\widehat{g}_{k}$ by (2.23) and $\widehat{G}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\widehat{G}_{1} & 0\end{array}\right]^{*}$.
The quality of the above bound depends on the numbers $\varepsilon_{k}$ defined in (2.16) and $\Psi_{i}, i=1,2$ defined in (2.29) and (2.30) whose magnitude strongly depends on the structure of the matrix $A$ and its perturbation $\Delta A$. The bound (2.35) also depends on the structure of the right-hand side of the Lyapunov equation (due to the existence of $\widehat{G}_{1}$ in the bound). The following section contains one possible case when the above bound can be better than the existing ones.

## 3. Application of a new bound

As it has been already pointed out, the application of our bound strongly depends on the structure of matrices $A, \Delta A$ and $G$ involved in Lyapunov equations (1.1) and (1.2). As an illustration of the possible case when the bound (2.35) can be better than existing ones, we will consider again Example 1 from Introduction.

Thus, let $A=S \Lambda S^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{A}=\widetilde{S} \widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{S}^{-1}$ be eigenvalue decompositions of

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{3.36}\\
0 & A_{22}
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widetilde{A}_{11} & \widetilde{A}_{12} \\
0 & \widetilde{A}_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

respectively. Note that $S$ and $\widetilde{S}$ are upper block diagonal.
We consider the following Lyapunov equation:

$$
A X+X A^{*}=-G G^{*}, \text { where } G=\left[\begin{array}{l}
I  \tag{3.37}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is decomposed according to $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$. Now, using the structure of $A$ and $\widetilde{A}$ it is obvious that $E_{S}=S^{-1} \widetilde{S}-I$ is upper block diagonal, that is $E_{21}=0$ (where $E_{21}$ is defined in (2.27)).

Also note that

$$
\widehat{G}=S^{-1} G=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{G}_{1}  \tag{3.38}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $s$ be the rank of the matrix $\widehat{G}_{1}$. Note that for $A$ of the form given above we have $W_{k}=0$, for $k=s+1, \ldots, m$ where $W_{k}$ are generated by Algorithm 1. This follows from the fact that $\sigma(i, j)=0$, for $j>i$, and similar holds for perturbed quantities, that is $\widetilde{W}_{k}=0$, for $k=s+1, \ldots, m$. Note also that all entries of matrices $W_{k}, \widetilde{W}_{k}$ and then of $\delta \widehat{W}_{k}$ (where $\delta \widehat{W}_{k}$ is defined in (2.22)), from the $s+1$-th row up to the $m$-th row have zero entries.

All this together implies that we have the following first order bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|X-\widetilde{X}\| & \lesssim 2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\|W_{j}\right\| \sqrt{-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left|\delta \sigma(j, k)+\frac{\varepsilon_{k}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)}\right|^{2} \cdot\left\|\widehat{g}_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +2\|S\| \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\|\widehat{W}_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|\Psi_{1}\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}+\left\|\left(\widetilde{D}_{j}\right)_{11}\right\|\left\|\Psi_{1} \widehat{G}_{1}\right\|_{F}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, we see that the above bound depends only on the structure of the matrices $A_{11}$ and the corresponding perturbed one $\widetilde{A}_{11}$.
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