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Recently proposed Cluj matrices: the Cluj-distance matrix and the Cluj-detour matrix are reviewed. New Harary-type indices on the detour and Cluj-detour matrices are defined. Additionally, the formulae for calculating these indices of cycles are derived. Modeling of boiling points for a set of 32 acyclic and cyclic octanes using Cluj indices and their Harary counterparts is presented. The best struc-ture-boiling point relationships are obtained by means of the multiple linear regression using either combinations of two reciprocal paths numbers ( $1 / p_{2}, 1 / p_{3}$ ) and detour and hyper-detour indices or a combination of the same two reciprocal path numbers and Harary indices derived from the edge-defined and path-defined Cluj matrices.

## INTRODUCTION

In an undirected connected acyclic graph, a given pair of vertices $(i, j)$ is joined by a unique path $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$, that is, a continuous sequence of edges, with the property that all are distinct and any two subsequent edges are adja-

[^0]cent. ${ }^{1,2}$ The length of the path $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$ is equal to the number of edges in the path between vertices $i$ and $j$.

In an undirected connected cycle-containing graph between any two vertices, there is at least one path connecting them. If more than one path connects a given pair of vertices $(i, j)$, we denote the $k$-th path by the symbol $p_{k}(i, j)$. The shortest path joining vertices $i$ and $j$ is called geodesic and its length is the topological distance, $(D)_{i, j}$. The longest path is the elongation and its length is equal to the detour distance, $(\Delta)_{i j}$. The square arrays which collect the lengths of the two path types are called the distance matrix, ${ }^{1-3}$ denoted as $\boldsymbol{D}$, and the detour matrix, ${ }^{3-6}$ denoted as $\Delta$, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}: \mathrm{p}(i, j) \text { is a geodesic if } i \neq j \\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}: \mathrm{p}(i, j) \text { is an elongation if } i \neq j \\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array}\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ is the number of edges on the shortest/longest path $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$. The subscript $e$ in the symbols of the above matrices means that they are edge-defined, that is, their entries count edges on the path $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$. Matrices $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$ for graph $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, corresponding for example to pinane (see Figure 1), are given in Table I.


## $\mathbf{G}_{1}$

Figure 1. A graph $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ corresponding to pinane

## TABLE I

Distance, detour and Cluj matrices for graph $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and the related indices
$\boldsymbol{D}_{\text {e }}$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 9 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 16 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 16 | 24 | 24 | 26 |
|  |  | $W=102$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\boldsymbol{D}_{\text {p }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 3 |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 8 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 9 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| 10 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 24 | 35 | 31 | 35 |  | 25 | 48 | 48 |  |

$\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{u}}$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 9 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 7 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 6 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 25 | 21 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 21 | 39 | 39 | 43 |
|  |  |  | $C D_{\mathrm{p}}=432$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $C D_{\mathrm{e}}=214$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 8 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 9 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 10 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
|  | 44 | 44 | 54 | 42 | 48 | 46 | 42 | 50 | 50 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | $\omega=236$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 1 |
| 2 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 3 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 4 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 10 |
| 5 | 10 | 6 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 15 |
| 6 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 7 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| 8 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 36 |
| 9 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 36 |
| 10 | 1 | 21 | 28 | 10 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 36 | 36 |  |
|  | 145134192123159143138187187196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\omega \omega=802
$$



When paths of length $1 \leq|\mathrm{p}| \leq|\mathrm{p}(i, j)|$ are counted on path $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$, another pair of matrices can be constructed

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}: \mathrm{p}(i, j) \text { is a geodesic if } i \neq j \\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3}\\
& \left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}: \mathrm{p}(i, j) \text { is an elongation if } i \neq j \\
0 \text { if } i=j
\end{array}\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

They are path-defined matrices and the number of paths $N_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ is obtained from entries $\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}=\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $\Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$, by: ${ }^{7,8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}=\left\{\left[\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j}\right]^{2}+\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j}\right\} / 2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Matrices $\boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$ for the pinane graph $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ are also given in Table I.
Several graph descriptors (topological indices) $T I$ can be calculated as the half-sum of entries in the above matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T I_{\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{p}}=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{p}}\right)_{i j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (the edge-defined index) $T I_{\mathrm{e}}$ represents the Wiener index ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~W}$ and the detour index ${ }^{4-6,10-13} \omega$, while (the path-defined index) $T I_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the hyperWiener index ${ }^{14-16} W W$ and the hyper-detour index ${ }^{8,10} \omega \omega$, respectively. Values of these indices for the pinane graph $G_{1}$ are given in Table I.

The detour and hyper-detour indices have been recently introduced and tested in structure-property modeling. ${ }^{6,10,11}$ The obtained results encouraged us to continue the investigation along the same line using the recently proposed Cluj matrices. ${ }^{17,18}$ In the present paper, Harary-type indices ${ }^{19-22}$ will be derived from the detour and Cluj-detour matrices. Modeling of the boiling points for a set of 32 acyclic and cyclic octanes using these indices will be reported.

## DEFINITION OF CLUJ MATRICES

Cluj matrices $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \Delta_{\mathrm{u}}$ have been recently proposed by Diudea. ${ }^{17,18,23-25}$ These matrices are $n \times n$ square matrices, which are unsymmetrical. Note that subscript u denotes the unsymmetricity of matrices. The non-diagonal entries, $\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)_{i j}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}=\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{D}_{\mathrm{u}}$ or $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathrm{u}}$, in the two Cluj matrices are defined as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)_{i j}=N_{i, \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)}=\max \left|V_{i, \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)}\right|  \tag{7}\\
V_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}=\left\{v \mid v \in V(\mathrm{G}) ; \boldsymbol{D}_{i v}<\boldsymbol{D}_{j v} ; \mathrm{p}_{h}(i, v) \cap \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)=\right. \\
\left.\{i\}: \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j) \text { is a geodesic }\right\} ; k=1,2, \ldots ; h=1,2 \ldots \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}= & \left\{v \mid v \in V(\mathrm{G}) ; \Delta_{i v}<\Delta_{j v} ; \mathrm{p}_{h}(i, v) \cap \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)=\right. \\
& \left.\{i\}: \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j) \text { is an elongation }\right\} ; k=1,2, \ldots ; h=1,2 \ldots \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Quantity $V_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}$ denotes the set of vertices lying closer to vertex $i$ than to vertex $j$, (condition $D_{i v}<D_{j v}$ - previously proposed by Gutman ${ }^{26}$ in defining the Szeged index) and are external with respect to path $\mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)$ (condition $\left.\mathrm{p}_{h}(i, v) \cap \mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)=\{i\}\right)$. Since in cycle-containing structures, various shortest paths $\mathrm{p}_{k}(i, j)$, in general, lead to various sets $V_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}$, by definition, the (ij)-entries in the Cluj matrices are taken as max $\left|V_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}\right|$. The diagonal entries are zero. For paths $\mathrm{p}_{h}(i, v)$, no restrictions related to their length are imposed. The above definitions (Eqs. (7)-(9)) are valid in any connected graph.

Cluj matrices are also given for the pinane graph in Table I. One can see that all entries in $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \Delta_{\mathrm{u}}$ related to vertex 3 in $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ are equal to 1 , as are those related to the external vertices 8,9 and 10 (which are at the same time endpoints of the paths that contain them). This property has been called ${ }^{25}$ the internal ending of all longest paths joining vertex i and the remaining vertices in $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and vertex i, like 3, an internal endpoint. More details about the Cluj matrices can be found elsewhere. ${ }^{17,18,23-25}$

The two Cluj matrices $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}$ allow the construction of the corresponding symmetric matrices $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (defined on paths) and $\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (defined on edges) by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}} \bullet\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)^{\boldsymbol{T}}  \tag{10}\\
\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{e}}=\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{p}} \bullet \boldsymbol{A} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is the adjacency matrix (having the non-diagonal entries equal to 1 if vertices $i$ and $j$ are adjacent and zero otherwise). ${ }^{27}$ Symbol $\bullet$ means the Hadamard matrix product, ${ }^{28}$ i.e., $\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{a}} \bullet \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{i j}=\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)_{i j}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{i j}$.

In the case of acyclic structures, the two variants of Cluj matrices coincide, as a consequence of the uniqueness of the paths. The symmetric matrices, edge-defined and path-defined ones, in both variants, are identical to the Wiener matrices ${ }^{29,30} \boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (edge-defined) and $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (path-defined), respectively.

Recall that for trees, the Wiener index can be calculated ${ }^{8}$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sum_{i j} N_{i, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} N_{j, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ and $N_{j, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ have the same meaning in trees as the quantity $N_{i, p_{k}(i, j)}$ in Eq. (7). The summation runs over all edges. Product $N_{i, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} N_{j, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ is the $(i, j)$-entry in the Wiener matrix $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}$ from which $W$ can be calculated as the half-sum of its entries:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=(1 / 2) \sum_{i j}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can consider $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}$ as the weighted adjacency matrix since $\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)_{i j} \neq 0$ if and only if vertices $i$ and $j$ are adjacent. When $\mathrm{p}(i, j)$ represents a path, then a relation similar to (12) defines the hyper-Wiener index WW: ${ }^{14-16}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W W=\sum_{i j} N_{i, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} N_{j, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product $N_{i, \mathrm{p}(i, j)} N_{j, \mathrm{p}(i, j)}$ is the (i,j)-entry in the Wiener matrix $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$, from which $W W$ can be calculated as the half-sum of its entries. The summation in Eq. (14) is over all paths.

In cycle-containing graphs, the Wiener matrices are not defined. Wiener indices are calculated by means of the distance-type matrices as shown above. In such graphs, the two versions of Cluj matrices are different.

Several indices can be derived from the Cluj matrices, ${ }^{18}$ either as the half-sum of entries in the corresponding symmetric matrices or directly from the unsymmetric matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T I_{\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{p}}=\sum_{\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{p}}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)_{i j}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)_{j i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

When defined on edges, $T I_{\mathrm{e}}$ is a Cluj index: denoted by $C D_{\mathrm{e}}$ or $C \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$, depending on whether it is derived from the Cluj-distance or Cluj-detour matrix. Similarly, when defined on paths, $T I_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a hyper-Cluj index denoted by $C D_{\mathrm{p}}$ or $C \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$. Values of these indices for a set of 32 acyclic and cyclic octanes are given in Table II.

For cycles, the Cluj-detour indices can be calculated by the formulae: ${ }^{25}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
C \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}=N  \tag{16}\\
C \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}=(k+1) N\left(4 k^{2}+3 y k+2 k+3 y\right) / 6  \tag{17}\\
k=[(N-1) / 4] ; y=(N-1) \bmod 4 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The edge-defined Cluj-detour index $C \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$ is equal to $N$, the number of vertices, or to the number of edges in a cycle. The path-defined Cluj-detour

TABLE II
Cluj indices of acyclic and cyclic octanes

| No | Oct ane | $C D_{\text {e }}$ | $C D_{\text {p }}$ | $H_{C D e}$ | $H_{C D \mathrm{p}}$ | $C \Delta_{\text {e }}$ | $C \Delta_{\text {p }}$ | $H_{C \Delta \mathrm{e}}$ | $H_{C \Delta \mathrm{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | N8 | 84 | 210 | 0.648 | 5.86 | 84 | 210 | 0.64821 | 5.8593 |
| 2 | 2MN7 | 79 | 185 | 0.708 | 7.89 | 79 | 185 | 0.70774 | 7.8939 |
| 3 | 3 MN 7 | 76 | 170 | 0.724 | 8.58 | 76 | 170 | 0.72440 | 8.5244 |
| 4 | 4MN7 | 75 | 165 | 0.729 | 8.69 | 75 | 165 | 0.72857 | 8.6897 |
| 5 | 3EN6 | 72 | 150 | 0.745 | 9.30 | 72 | 150 | 0.74524 | 9.2952 |
| 6 | 25 MN 6 | 74 | 161 | 0.767 | 10.178 | 74 | 161 | 0.76726 | 10.1783 |
| 7 | 24MN6 | 71 | 147 | 0.784 | 10.892 | 71 | 147 | 0.78393 | 10.8922 |
| 8 | 23MN6 | 70 | 143 | 0.788 | 11.099 | 70 | 143 | 0.78810 | 11.0992 |
| 9 | 34MN6 | 68 | 134 | 0.801 | 11.634 | 68 | 134 | 0.80060 | 11.6339 |
| 10 | 3E2MN5 | 67 | 129 | 0.805 | 11.788 | 67 | 129 | 0.80476 | 11.7881 |
| 11 | 22MN6 | 71 | 149 | 0.784 | 10.959 | 71 | 149 | 0.78393 | 10.9589 |
| 12 | 33MN6 | 67 | 131 | 0.805 | 11.855 | 67 | 131 | 0.80476 | 11.8547 |
| 13 | 234MN5 | 65 | 122 | 0.848 | 13.759 | 65 | 122 | 0.84762 | 13.7587 |
| 14 | 3E3MN5 | 64 | 118 | 0.821 | 12.571 | 64 | 118 | 0.82143 | 12.5714 |
| 15 | 224MN5 | 66 | 127 | 0.843 | 13.577 | 66 | 127 | 0.84345 | 13.5767 |
| 16 | 223MN5 | 63 | 115 | 0.860 | 14.402 | 63 | 115 | 0.86012 | 14.4017 |
| 17 | 233MN5 | 62 | 111 | 0.864 | 14.598 | 62 | 111 | 0.86429 | 14.5976 |
| 18 | 2233MN4 | 58 | 97 | 0.919 | 17.420 | 58 | 97 | 0.91964 | 17.4196 |
| 19 | 112MC5 | 67 | 150 | 1.004 | 9.28 | 34 | 74 | 3.42857 | 15.8452 |
| 20 | 113MC5 | 71 | 170 | 0.970 | 7.75 | 32 | 70 | 3.09524 | 17.5119 |
| 21 | IPC5 | 73 | 186 | 1.002 | 5.94 | 40 | 92 | 3.85238 | 15.7523 |
| 22 | PC5 | 78 | 215 | 0.943 | 4.37 | 45 | 113 | 3.79286 | 13.2595 |
| 23 | 11MC6 | 104 | 197 | 0.686 | 6.73 | 24 | 75 | 4.95238 | 17.9940 |
| 24 | 12 MC 6 | 106 | 202 | 0.677 | 6.46 | 25 | 81 | 4.53571 | 15.5634 |
| 25 | 13MC6 | 108 | 211 | 0.669 | 5.78 | 24 | 80 | 4.28571 | 16.2579 |
| 26 | 14MC6 | 110 | 220 | 0.661 | 5.61 | 24 | 80 | 4.28571 | 16.2857 |
| 27 | EC6 | 109 | 226 | 0.626 | 4.49 | 29 | 94 | 4.89286 | 15.1845 |
| 28 | C8 | 128 | 288 | 0.500 | 3.28 | 8 | 64 | 8.00000 | 19.0000 |
| 29 | 123 MC 5 | 70 | 164 | 0.956 | 8.22 | 34 | 77 | 2.92857 | 14.3452 |
| 30 | 1M2EC5 | 72 | 178 | 0.944 | 6.49 | 39 | 93 | 3.36905 | 13.2857 |
| 31 | 1M3EC5 | 76 | 199 | 0.911 | 5.50 | 37 | 88 | 3.03571 | 14.7023 |
| 32 | MC7 | 88 | 225 | 0.754 | 4.62 | 16 | 71 | 6.14286 | 17.5595 |

Note that the symbols have the following meaning: $\mathrm{N}=$ chain length; $\mathrm{M}=$ Methyl; $\mathrm{E}=$ Ethyl; P = Propyl; IP = Isopropyl; CN = N-membered cycle. Hence, for example, the sets of symbols 2233MN4 and 1M2EC5 should be read as 2,2,3,3-dimethylbutane and 1-methyl-2-ethyl-cyclopentane, respectively.
index $C \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$ depends on $\bmod 4$ in a manner similar to that found for the pathdefined Cluj-distance index $C D_{\mathrm{p}} .{ }^{18}$

## RECIPROCAL DETOUR AND CLUJ-DETOUR INDICES

Harary indices $H$ are constructed on reciprocal matrices $\boldsymbol{M}^{\mathrm{r}}$, i.e. matrices having $\left(\boldsymbol{M}^{\mathrm{r}}\right)_{i j}=1 /(\boldsymbol{M})_{i j} \cdot 2^{2-22,31}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=(1 / 2) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} 1 /(\boldsymbol{M})_{i j} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the symbol $\boldsymbol{M}$ stands for detour and Cluj-detour matrices $\Delta_{\mathrm{e}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}, \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{J} \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$.

In the case of simple cycles $\mathrm{C}_{N}$, the Harary-type indices defined on detour and Cluj-detour matrices can be expressed in closed form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{\Delta_{e}}=z\left[N \sum_{i=1}^{(N-1) / 2}(N-i)^{-1}\right]+(1-z)\left[N \sum_{i=1}^{(N-2) / 2}(N-i)^{-1}+1\right]  \tag{19}\\
H_{\Delta_{p}}=z \mathrm{~N} \sum_{i=1}^{(N-1) / 2}[(N-i+1)(N-\mathrm{i}) / 2]^{-1}+(1-z)\left\{N \sum_{i=1}^{(N-2) / 2}[(N-i+1)(N-i) / 2]^{-1}+\right. \\
\left.+(N / 2)[(N / 2)+1(N / 2) / 2]^{-1}\right\}  \tag{20}\\
H_{C J \Delta_{p}}=2 N \sum_{i=1}^{k} i^{-2}+N y(k+1)^{-2} / 2 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
z=N \bmod 2  \tag{22}\\
k=[(N-1) / 4]  \tag{23}\\
y=(N-1) \bmod 4 \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Expansion of sums in the above equations leads to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{\Delta_{e}}=-z N \psi[(1-N) / 2]-N \psi(-N / 2)+N \psi(1-N)+1+z N \psi(-N / 2)-z  \tag{25}\\
H_{\Delta_{p}}=2\left(N^{2}+N-2 z\right) /(N+1)(N+2)  \tag{26}\\
H_{C J \Delta_{p}}=2 N\left[-\psi(1, k+1)+\pi^{2} / 6\right]+N y / 2(k+1)^{2} \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi(x)=\Delta[\ln (\gamma(x), x], \psi(N, x)=\Delta(\psi(x), x \in N), \psi(0, x)=\psi(x)$ and $\gamma(x)=$ interpol $\left(\exp (-t) * t^{\wedge(x-1)}\right) ; t=0, \ldots, \infty$. The reader should note that $\Delta$ is a mathematical function, which should not be confused with the symbol $\Delta$ which stands for the detour matrix.

For cycles, $C \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}=H_{C J \Delta_{e}}=N$. Values of reciprocal detour and Cluj-detour indices for the octanes and cyclooctanes are also given in Table II.

## MODELING THE BOILING POINTS OF ACYCLIC AND CYCLIC OCTANES

Lukovits ${ }^{10}$ used detour-type indices in explaining the variation of boiling points BP, of alkanes. He considered 77 alkanes and cycloalkanes up to $N=$ 10 (all acyclic alkanes from methane up to octanes and some cycloalkanes). In that study, the number of carbon atoms $N$ and their square roots $N^{1 / 2}$ were used as the simplest descriptors and the correlation coefficients obtained ( $r=0.977,0.986$ ) were fair. Fractional exponents were used for the Wiener and the detour indices, in single variable regression (equations of the type $B P=a+b I^{1 / m}$ ); none of these indices surpassed the correlation coefficients obtained with $N^{1 / 2}$. Composite indices, for example, of the type $(\mathrm{W} \omega)^{1 / m}$ or two variable regressions combining the Wiener and the detour indices yielded much higher correlation coefficients, e.g. $(\mathrm{W} \omega)^{1 / 8} ; r=0.994$; but the standard deviation s was still high; $s=6.4$.

When the correlation analysis was repeated on the subset of isomeric (acyclic and cyclic) octanes ( $n=29$ ), parameter $N$ obviously could not be used any more. Wiener and hyper-Wiener indices did not correlate with boiling points. However, detour and hyper-detour indices showed significantly higher $r$ values, although these were also far from acceptable values ( $\omega: r=$ $0.747 ; \omega \omega: r=0.759$ ). Fractional exponents of these indices or composite indices slowly increased the correlation coefficient, which, however, did not surpass 0.800 .

A part of Lukovits' analysis ${ }^{10}$ was repeated by Trinajstić and coworkers ${ }^{6}$ in their work on the uses of detour matrix in chemistry. They considered 76 lowest alkanes and cycloalkanes. The best structure-boiling point correlation was obtained by $B P=a+b(\mathrm{~W} \omega)^{c} ; r$ being 0.995 and $s=6.2$.

In the present work, a set of 32 octanes, also investigated by Lukovits, ${ }^{10}$ have been considered. Cluj indices and their Harary counterparts have been calculated and listed in Tables II and III. The number of paths of length 2 and $3\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{p}_{3}\right)$ are also given in Table III.

As in the previous study, ${ }^{18}$ none of the indices reported in Tables II and III produced an acceptable structure-boiling point correlation. Only the hyper-detour index $\omega \omega$, distance-Cluj index $C D_{\mathrm{p}}$ and the related Harary index $H_{\boldsymbol{C D}_{p}}$, surpassed the 0.800 limit ( $r=0.816,0.844$ and 0.808 , respectively).

TABLE III
Boiling points ${ }^{32}$ and Wiener, detour and path indices of acyclic and cyclic octanes.

| No. | Octane | $B P$ | $W$ | $W W$ | $\omega$ | $\omega \omega$ | $H_{\boldsymbol{D}}$ | $H_{\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{p}}$ | $H_{\Delta \mathrm{e}}$ | $H_{\Delta \mathrm{p}}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{p}_{3}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | N8 | 125.8 | 84 | 210 | 84 | 210 | 13.74 | 10.56 | 13.74 | 10.56 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 2MN7 | 117.6 | 79 | 185 | 79 | 185 | 14.10 | 10.86 | 14.10 | 10.86 | 7 | 5 |
| 3 | 3MN7 | 118.8 | 76 | 170 | 76 | 170 | 14.26 | 10.98 | 14.26 | 10.98 | 7 | 6 |
| 4 | 4MN7 | 117.7 | 75 | 165 | 75 | 165 | 14.31 | 11.01 | 14.31 | 11.01 | 7 | 6 |
| 5 | 3EN6 | 118.9 | 72 | 150 | 72 | 150 | 14.48 | 11.13 | 14.48 | 11.13 | 7 | 7 |
| 6 | 25MN6 | 108.4 | 74 | 161 | 74 | 161 | 14.46 | 11.16 | 14.46 | 11.16 | 8 | 5 |
| 7 | 24MN6 | 109.4 | 71 | 147 | 71 | 147 | 14.65 | 11.30 | 14.65 | 11.30 | 8 | 6 |
| 8 | 23MN6 | 115.3 | 70 | 143 | 70 | 143 | 14.73 | 11.36 | 14.73 | 11.36 | 8 | 7 |
| 9 | 34MN6 | 118.7 | 68 | 134 | 68 | 134 | 14.86 | 11.46 | 14.86 | 11.46 | 8 | 8 |
| 10 | 3E2MN5 | 115.6 | 67 | 129 | 67 | 129 | 14.91 | 11.50 | 14.91 | 11.50 | 8 | 8 |
| 11 | 22MN6 | 107.0 | 71 | 149 | 71 | 149 | 14.76 | 11.43 | 14.76 | 11.43 | 9 | 5 |
| 12 | 33MN6 | 112.0 | 67 | 131 | 67 | 131 | 15.03 | 11.63 | 15.03 | 11.63 | 9 | 7 |
| 13 | 234MN5 | 113.4 | 65 | 122 | 65 | 122 | 15.16 | 11.73 | 15.16 | 11.73 | 9 | 8 |
| 14 | 3E3MN5 | 118.2 | 64 | 118 | 64 | 118 | 15.25 | 11.80 | 15.25 | 11.80 | 9 | 9 |
| 15 | $224 M N 5$ | 99.3 | 66 | 127 | 66 | 127 | 15.16 | 11.76 | 15.16 | 11.76 | 10 | 5 |
| 16 | $223 M N 5$ | 110.5 | 63 | 115 | 63 | 115 | 15.41 | 11.96 | 15.41 | 11.96 | 10 | 8 |
| 17 | $233 M N 5$ | 114.6 | 62 | 111 | 62 | 111 | 15.50 | 12.03 | 15.50 | 12.03 | 10 | 9 |
| 18 | $2233 M N 4$ | 106.0 | 58 | 97 | 58 | 97 | 16.00 | 12.50 | 16.00 | 12.50 | 12 | 9 |
| 19 | 112MC5 | 113.5 | 56 | 92 | 106 | 278 | 16.66 | 13.33 | 9.45 | 5.76 | 12 | 13 |
| 20 | 113MC5 | 115.5 | 58 | 100 | 104 | 266 | 16.50 | 13.20 | 9.52 | 5.80 | 12 | 11 |
| 21 | IPC5 | 126.4 | 62 | 114 | 106 | 286 | 16.00 | 12.73 | 9.78 | 6.12 | 10 | 11 |
| 22 | PC5 | 131.0 | 67 | 135 | 111 | 315 | 15.56 | 12.36 | 9.50 | 5.90 | 9 | 10 |
| 23 | 11MC6 | 119.5 | 59 | 103 | 119 | 337 | 16.33 | 13.03 | 8.17 | 4.49 | 11 | 10 |
| 24 | 12MC6 | 126.6 | 60 | 106 | 124 | 362 | 16.16 | 12.86 | 7.81 | 4.19 | 10 | 11 |
| 25 | 13MC6 | 122.3 | 61 | 110 | 123 | 355 | 16.08 | 12.80 | 7.83 | 4.21 | 10 | 10 |
| 26 | 14MC6 | 121.8 | 62 | 115 | 122 | 349 | 16.03 | 12.76 | 7.87 | 4.22 | 10 | 10 |
| 31 | 1M3EC5 | 121.0 | 63 | 119 | 109 | 294 | 15.95 | 12.70 | 9.37 | 5.68 | 10 | 11 |
| 27 | EC6 | 131.8 | 64 | 122 | 124 | 368 | 15.78 | 12.53 | 8.00 | 4.40 | 9 | 10 |
| 28 | C8 | 146.0 | 64 | 120 | 160 | 552 | 15.66 | 12.40 | 5.08 | 11.60 | 8 | 8 |
| 29 | 123MC5 | 115.0 | 58 | 99 | 109 | 290 | 16.41 | 13.10 | 9.15 | 5.50 | 11 | 13 |
| 30 | 1M2EC5 | 124.0 | 61 | 110 | 111 | 307 | 16.08 | 12.80 | 9.26 | 5.65 | 10 | 12 |
| 3 | 61 | 109 | 142 | 451 | 16.00 | 12.70 | 6.34 | 2.80 | 9 | 9 |  |  |

Note that the symbols have the following meaning: $\mathrm{N}=$ chain length; $\mathrm{M}=$ Methyl; $\mathrm{E}=$ Ethyl; P = Propyl; IP = Isopropyl; CN = N-membered cycle. Hence, for example, the sets of symbols 2233MN4 and 1M2EC5 should be read as 2,2,3,3-dimethylbutane and 1-methyl-2-ethyl-cyclopentane, respectively.

Multiple linear regressions, with two and three variables, were not successful, either. However, when $1 / p_{2}$ and $1 / p_{3}$ were associated with the detour indices (see Table IV; entries 1 and 2), a correlation coefficient higher than 0.9 was obtained. Combination of four variables, the first two being $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{3}$ or their reciprocals, and the last two detour indices, yielded a higher correlation coefficient ( $r>0.950$; entries 4 and 5 ); on the contrary, Wiener indices did not surpass $r=0.94$ limit.

Similar results have been obtained by using the Cluj indices and their inverses (see Table IV; entries 6 to 9 ). Predicting ability of the best regression equations was tested by a cross validation procedure (leave $1 / 3$ out see below).

TABLE IV
Statistics of multivariable regression.

| No. | Variables | $r$ | $s$ | $F$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1 / \mathrm{p}_{2} ; 1 / \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \omega$ | 0.939 | 3.326 | 69.892 |
| 2 | $1 / \mathrm{p}_{2} ; 1 / \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \omega \omega$ | 0.952 | 2.980 | 89.387 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; W ; W W$ | 0.932 | 3.588 | 44.303 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \omega ; \omega \omega$ | 0.966 | 2.563 | 93.291 |
| 5 | $1 / \mathrm{p}_{2} ; 1 / \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \omega ; \omega \omega$ | 0.956 | 2.892 | 71.842 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; H_{\boldsymbol{C D}}, H_{\boldsymbol{C} \mathrm{e}}$ | 0.958 | 2.826 | 75.538 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; C D_{\mathrm{p}} ; H_{\boldsymbol{C} \Delta \mathrm{p}}$ | 0.961 | 2.714 | 82.522 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; H_{\boldsymbol{C e s} ;} ; H_{\boldsymbol{C} \Delta \mathrm{p}}$ | 0.959 | 2.802 | 76.965 |
| 9 | $1 / \mathrm{p}_{2} ; 1 / \mathrm{p}_{3} ; H_{\boldsymbol{C} \Delta \mathrm{e} ;} H_{\boldsymbol{C} \Delta \mathrm{p}}$ | 0.966 | 2.542 | 94.995 |

The symbols have the following meaning: $r=$ correlation coefficient; $s=$ standard error of estimate; $F=$ Fischer test.

Note that the best structure-boiling point correlation ( $r=0.966, s=2.5$, $F=95$ ) was achieved using the following set of indices: $1 / \mathrm{p}_{2}, 1 / \mathrm{p}_{3}, H_{C D_{e}}$ and $H_{\boldsymbol{C D}_{p}}$ obtained from the Cluj matrices $\boldsymbol{C J} \Delta_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\boldsymbol{C J} \Delta_{\mathrm{p}}$. A cross-validation procedure (leave $1 / 3$ out) led to $r(c v)=0.934$ and $s(c v)=3.3$

## CONCLUSIONS

Two variants of Cluj matrices have been illustrated. New Harary-type indices, based on the detour and Cluj-detour matrices, have been introduced. The formulae for calculating these indices for simple cycles have been derived. Modeling the boiling points of a set of 32 acyclic and cyclecontaining octanes illustrated the superior ability of the Cluj indices and their Harary derivatives in comparison to that of the Wiener indices, to account for the variation in boiling points. This study indicates the possible usefulness of both detour and distance-Cluj indices in modeling physicochemical properties of chemical structures.
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## SAŽETAK

Indeks zaobilaženja i Cluj-ski indeks zaobilaženja

Mircea V. Diudea, Gabriel Katona, István Lukovits i Nenad Trinajstić

Prikazane su nedavno uvedene Cluj-ske matrice: Cluj-ska matrica udaljenosti i Cluj-ska matrica zaobilaženja. Definirani su novi indeksi Hararyjeve vrste na matrici zaobilaženja i Cluj-skoj matrici zaobilaženja. Također su izvedene formule za računanje tih indeksa za prstenove. S pomoću Cluj-skih indeksa i njihovih Hararyjevih inačica predviđena su vrelišta za 32 aciklička i ciklička oktana. Najbolje je predviđanje postignuto s pomoću višestruke linearne regresije, uporabom kombinacije dvaju recipročnih brojeva staza ( $1 / p_{2}, 1 / p_{3}$ ) s indeksima zaobilaženja i hiperzaobilaženja ili kombiniranjem istih recipročnih brojeva staza s Hararyjevim indeksima izvedenim iz dviju Cluj-skih matrica, jedne definirane s pomoću bridova i druge, s pomoću staza.
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