

## THE COARSE SHAPE

NIKOLA KOCEIĆ BILAN AND NIKICA UGLEŠIĆ  
University of Split, Croatia

*Dedicated to Academician Sibe Mardešić for his 80th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , a certain category  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  on inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  is constructed, such that the usual pro-category  $pro\mathcal{C}$  may be considered as a subcategory of  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$ . By simulating the (abstract) shape category construction,  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , an (abstract) *coarse shape category*  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  is obtained. An appropriate functor of the shape category to the coarse shape category exists. In the case of topological spaces,  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$  and  $\mathcal{D} = HPol$  or  $\mathcal{D} = HANR$ , the corresponding realizing category for  $Sh^*$  is  $pro^*HPol$  or  $pro^*HANR$  respectively. Concerning an operative characterization of a coarse shape isomorphism, a full analogue of the well known Morita lemma is proved, while in the case of inverse sequences, a useful sufficient condition is established. It is proved by examples that for  $\mathcal{C} = Grp$  (groups) and  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$ , the classification of inverse systems in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  is strictly coarser than in  $pro\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, the underlying *coarse shape theory* for topological spaces makes sense.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The standard homotopy theory has successfully solved many classifying problems for some classes of locally nice spaces (polyhedra, CW-complexes ANR's, ...). Unfortunately, when one is to study a class of locally bad spaces it cannot help significantly. To overcome this defect, K. Borsuk [1, 2] was founded in 1968 the shape theory of (metrizable) compacta. The corresponding classification of compacta is generally coarser than the homotopy type classification, while on the subclass of locally nice spaces (compact polyhedra, finite CW-complexes, compact ANR's, ...) it coincides with the homotopy

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55P55, 18A32.

*Key words and phrases.* Topological space, compactum, polyhedron, ANR, category, homotopy, shape,  $S^*$ -equivalence.

type classification. The most significant step forward in this course was made by S. Mardešić and J. Segal [14]. They had successfully used the inverse system approach and the language of a pro-category to describe the shape theory. They also had extended the shape theory to the class of compact Hausdorff spaces. Finally, Mardešić [10] and K. Morita [18] had extended the shape theory to all topological spaces.

Since 1976 a few new classifications of compacta have been considered. For instance, Borsuk [3] introduced the relations of quasi-affinity and quasi-equivalence, while Mardešić [11] introduced the  $S$ -equivalence relation between compacta. All of them are shape type invariant relations. These classifications are strictly coarser than the shape type classification [3, 6, 9]. Moreover, the quasi-equivalence and  $S$ -equivalence on compact ANR's and compact polyhedra coincide with the homotopy type classification. However, the mentioned relations, being defined only on the class of objects, were not supported by the appropriate with them associated theories. In other words, it was not clear whether these relations are categorical. Furthermore, if such an equivalence relation admits a category characterization, there should exist a functor relating the shape category and the new category.

The reason why these new classifications was, for example, the problem of the shape types of fibers of a shape fibration. In 1977 D. Coram and P. F. Duvall [4] introduced and studied the approximate fibrations between compact ANR's. These are a shape analogue of the standard (Hurewicz) fibrations. In 1978 S. Mardešić and T. B. Rushing [13] generalized approximate fibrations to shape fibrations between metric compacta. The following important question was asking for the answer (analogously to the same homotopy type of the fibers of a fibration): Whether all the fibers of a shape fibration (over a continuum) have the same shape? In 1979 J. Keesling and S. Mardešić [9] gave a negative answer. However, Mardešić [11] had proved before that all those fibers are mutually  $S$ -equivalent. He also proved that some shape invariant classes of compacta (FANR's, movable compacta, compacta having shape dimension  $\leq n, \dots$ ) are actually  $S$ -invariant.

In recent years the interest for the mentioned relations has arisen. So N. Uglešić [20] studied the Borsuk's quasi-equivalence and quasi-affinity and introduced some new ones, Mardešić and Uglešić [16] described the  $S^*$ -equivalence (a uniformization of the  $S$ -equivalence) in a category framework, Uglešić and B. Červar [21, 22] derived the  $S_n$ -equivalences,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from the  $S$ -equivalence and constructed a categorical subshape spectrum for compacta, while A. Kadlof, N. Koceić Bilan and Uglešić [8] proved (solved the problem stated in [3]) that the Borsuk quasi-equivalence is not transitive.

In this paper, the results of Mardešić and Uglešić [16] for metric compacta are fully generalized to all topological spaces. More precisely, in the first step, the Mardešić-Uglešić category  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  is described as a kind of the pro-category on inverse sequences of compacta (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), such that the usual

morphism sets are significantly enriched. Then, in the second step, it is noticed that this description enables us to apply the construction to any category  $\mathcal{C}$  and obtain a category, denoted by  $tow^*\mathcal{C}$ , on the inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Even more, as a third step, the construction admits a generalization from inverse sequences to arbitrary inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  to obtain a category, denoted by  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$ , so that one may consider  $pro\mathcal{C}$  to be its subcategory having the same object class. Further, given a category pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$  (in the shape-theoretical sense), the construction of the abstract “shape” category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  can be fully simulated by means of the “pro-category”  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$ , i.e.,

$$Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Y) \approx pro^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}),$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are any  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the  $\mathcal{C}$ -objects  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. There also exists an abstract “shape” functor

$$S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*,$$

which keeps the objects fixed, while, for every  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $f$ ,  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(f)$  is represented by a unique  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . The functor  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  factorizes through the abstract shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , i.e.,  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^* = J_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , where  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  is the abstract shape functor, and

$$J_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} : Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*.$$

is the “inclusion” (faithful) functor. The classification of objects of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  is the same as in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  as well as in  $\mathcal{D}$ , while the classification of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  is coarser than in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ . Therefore, one might speak of an underlying *abstract coarse shape theory* (for  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

In the case  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$  (the homotopy category of topological spaces) and  $\mathcal{D} = HPol$  (the homotopy category of polyhedra) (or  $\mathcal{D} = HANR$  - the homotopy category of ANR’s for metric spaces), one obtains the *coarse shape category* of topological spaces

$$Sh^* \equiv Sh_{(HTop, HPol)}^* \cong Sh_{(HTop, HANR)}^*,$$

realized via the category  $pro^*HPol$  (or  $pro^*HANR$ ), and the *coarse shape functor*

$$S^* : HTop \rightarrow Sh^*,$$

which factorizes through the shape category  $Sh$  of topological spaces, i.e.,  $S^* = JS$ , where  $S : HTop \rightarrow Sh$  is the shape functor and

$$J : Sh \rightarrow Sh^*$$

is the “inclusion” (faithful) functor. The underlying theory is called the *coarse shape theory* for topological spaces. In the subspecial case  $\mathcal{C} = HcM \subseteq HTop$  (the homotopy category of compact metric spaces) and  $\mathcal{D} = HcPol \subseteq HPol$  (the homotopy category of compact polyhedra) (or  $\mathcal{D} = HcANR \subseteq HANR$

- the homotopy category of compact ANR's), one obtains the coarse shape category of compacta

$$Sh^*(cM) \equiv Sh_{(HcM, HcPol)}^* \cong Sh_{(HcM, HcANR)}^*,$$

realized “sequentially” via the category  $tow^*$ - $HcPol$  (or  $tow^*$ - $HcANR$ ), and the (restriction of the) coarse shape functor

$$S^* : HcM \rightarrow Sh^*(cM),$$

such that  $S^* = JS$ , where  $S : HcM \rightarrow Sh(cM)$  is the shape functor on compacta, while

$$J : Sh(cM) \rightarrow Sh^*(cM)$$

is the corresponding “inclusion” functor. We have proved (Corollary 5.3) that, in general, the coarse shape classification of compacta is indeed (strictly) coarser than the shape classification.

Since the problem of an easy recognition of an isomorphism in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$  is the most important, we have established an analogue (Theorem 6.1) of the well known Morita lemma in  $pro$ - $Top$  [17], such that its reduction to the pro-category is exactly the Morita lemma. Hereby an analogue in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$  of the “reindexing theorem” in  $pro$ - $\mathcal{C}$  has been needed, since a morphism of  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$ , in general, does not admit a level representative. Concerning inverse sequences (a level representative is not indispensable), we have got a very operative sufficient condition (Theorem 6.4) for an isomorphism in  $tow^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$ .

At the end we have constructed a pair of pro-groups  $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}$  such that  $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{H}$  in  $pro^*$ - $Grp$ , while  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  are not isomorphic in  $pro$ - $Grp$  (Example 7.1), as well as a pair of pro-spaces  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  such that  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*$ - $HPol \subseteq pro^*$ - $HTop$ , while  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are not isomorphic in  $pro$ - $HTop$  (Example 7.2). They confirm that the coarse shape theory is indeed a new nontrivial tool for studying and classifying locally bad spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For the sake of completeness, let us briefly recall the well known notions and main facts concerning a pro-category and a shape category (see [15]) as well as the recently constructed category  $\mathcal{S}^*$  (see [16]). The category language follows [7].

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. An *inverse system* in  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ , consists of a directed preordered set  $(\Lambda, \leq)$ , called the *index set*, of  $\mathcal{C}$ -objects  $X_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , called the *terms* of  $\mathbf{X}$ , and of  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $p_{\lambda\lambda'} : X_{\lambda'} \rightarrow X_\lambda$  ( $p_{\lambda\lambda} = 1_{X_\lambda}$ ), for each related pair  $\lambda \leq \lambda'$  in  $\Lambda$ , called the *bonding morphisms* of  $\mathbf{X}$ , such that

$$p_{\lambda\lambda'} p_{\lambda'\lambda''} = p_{\lambda\lambda''},$$

whenever  $\lambda \leq \lambda' \leq \lambda''$ . A *morphism of inverse systems*  $(f, f_\mu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$  consists of a function  $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ , called the *index function*, and

of  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $f_\mu : X_{f(\mu)} \rightarrow Y_\mu$  for each  $\mu \in M$ , such that, for every related pair  $\mu \leq \mu'$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f(\mu')$ , for which

$$f_\mu p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda}.$$

The *composition* of morphisms of inverse systems is defined as follows: Given any  $(f, f_\mu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and any  $(g, g_\nu) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ , then  $(g, g_\nu)(f, f_\mu) = (h, h_\nu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $h = fg : N \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $h_\nu = g_\nu f_{g(\nu)} : X_{h(\nu)} \rightarrow Z_\nu$ . Finally, the *identity* morphism on  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . In this way is obtained a category, denoted by  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ , whose objects are all inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  and whose morphisms are all morphisms of inverse systems described above.

Notice that, for every index set  $\Lambda$ , there exists a full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}^\Lambda$  of  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  determined by all inverse systems indexed by  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{N} \subseteq inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  is the full subcategory of all inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A morphism  $(f, f_\mu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be *equivalent* to a morphism  $(f', f'_\mu) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , denoted by  $(f, f_\mu) \sim (f', f'_\mu)$ , provided each  $\mu \in M$  admits  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$ , such that

$$f_\mu p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = f'_\mu p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

This defines an equivalence relation on each set  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . The equivalence class  $[(f, f_\mu)]$  of  $(f, f_\mu)$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{f}$ . Furthermore, the equivalence relation respects the composition in  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ , i.e., if  $(f, f_\mu) \sim (f', f'_\mu)$  and  $(g, g_\nu) \sim (g', g'_\nu)$ , then  $(g, g_\nu)(f, f_\mu) \sim (g', g'_\nu)(f', f'_\mu)$ , whenever these compositions are defined. Therefore, there exists the corresponding quotient category  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})/\sim$ , denoted by  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  and called the *pro-category* for the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Its objects are all inverse systems  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and its morphisms are all equivalence classes  $\mathbf{f}$  of morphisms of  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . The full subcategory of  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  determined by all inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  (corresponding to  $\mathcal{C}^\mathbb{N}/\sim$ ) is usually called the *tow-category* of  $\mathcal{C}$  and is denoted by  $tow\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ .

Recall that, if the index set  $M$  of an inverse system  $\mathbf{Y}$  is *cofinite* (every  $\mu \in M$  has at most finitely many predecessors), then every  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  admits a representative  $(f, f_\mu)$ , such that the index function  $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  is increasing and, for every related pair  $\mu \leq \mu'$ ,

$$f_\mu p_{f(\mu)f(\mu')} = q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}.$$

Such a representative is called a *simple morphism* of inverse systems. A simple morphism  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda)$ , belonging to a subcategory  $\mathcal{C}^\Lambda$ , is called a *level morphism*. Finally, recall that every inverse system  $\mathbf{X}$  admits an isomorphic (in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ )  $\mathbf{X}'$  having a cofinite index set.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Given  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$ , a  $\mathcal{D}$ -*expansion* of  $X$  is a morphism  $\mathbf{p} = [(c, p_\lambda)] : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  of  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  ( $X$  is a rudimentary system and  $c$  is the constant function), where  $\mathbf{X}$  belongs to  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ , such that, for every  $\mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  and every  $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a unique morphism

$f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  (in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ ) satisfying  $\mathbf{f}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}'$ .  $\mathcal{D}$  is said to be *dense* in  $\mathcal{C}$  provided every  $\mathcal{C}$ -object  $X$  admits a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ .

Every two  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the same object are naturally isomorphic (as the objects of  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ , by a unique isomorphism), and every system which is isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion of  $X$  is also a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion of  $X$ . A  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is characterized by the following two properties:

- (E1) for every  $P \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$  and every  $g : X \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and an  $f : X_\lambda \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , such that  $\mathbf{f}p_\lambda = g$ ;
- (E2) if  $f, f' : X_\lambda \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfy  $\mathbf{f}p_\lambda = \mathbf{f}'p_\lambda$ , then there exists  $\lambda' \geq \lambda$  such that  $\mathbf{f}p_{\lambda\lambda'} = \mathbf{f}'p_{\lambda\lambda'}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  be  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the same object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  be  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the same object  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist two natural isomorphisms  $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ . A morphism  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be *pro- $\mathcal{D}$  equivalent* to a morphism  $\mathbf{f}' : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{f}'$ , provided the following diagram in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} & \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{f}' \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} & \mathbf{Y}' \end{array}$$

It defines an equivalence relation on the appropriate subclass of  $Mor(pro\text{-}\mathcal{D})$ . The equivalence class of  $\mathbf{f}$  is denoted by  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$ . If  $\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{f}'$  and  $\mathbf{g} \sim \mathbf{g}'$ , then  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'$  whenever it is defined. Further, given  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'$  and  $\mathbf{f}$ , there exists a unique  $\mathbf{f}'$  such that  $\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{f}'$ .

For given pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}$ , one defines the (*abstract*) *shape category*  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  for  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  as follows. The objects of  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  are all the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . A morphism  $F \in Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(X, Y)$  is the *pro- $\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class*  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$  of a morphism  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , with respect to any choice of a pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . In other words, a *shape morphism*  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is given by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \mathbf{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y \end{array}$$

The *composition* of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $F = \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$  and  $G : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $G = \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle$ , is well defined by the representatives, i.e.,  $GF : X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $GF = \langle \mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} \rangle$ . The *identity shape morphism* on an object  $X$ ,  $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ , is the *pro- $\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class*  $\langle \mathbf{1}_X \rangle$  of the identity morphism  $\mathbf{1}_X$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ . Since

$$Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(X, Y) \approx pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$$

is a set, the shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  is well defined. One often says that *pro- $\mathcal{D}$*  is the *realizing* category for the shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ .

For every  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and every pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , there exists a unique  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ , such that the following diagram in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \mathbf{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y \end{array} .$$

The same  $f$  and another pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ ,  $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  yield a unique  $\mathbf{f}' : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ . Then, however,  $\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{f}'$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  must hold. Thus, every morphism  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  yields a unique  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$ , i.e., a unique shape morphism  $F \in Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(X, Y)$ . If one defines  $S(X) = X$ ,  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$ , and  $S(f) = F = \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$ ,  $f \in Mor\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$$

becomes a functor, called the (*abstract*) *shape functor*. The restriction of  $S$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  into the full subcategory of  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , determined by  $Ob\mathcal{D}$ , is a category isomorphism. Therefore,  $P$  and  $Q$  are isomorphic objects of  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if they are isomorphic in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , i.e., they are of the same shape. Finally, if  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$  and  $P \in Ob\mathcal{D}$ , then every shape morphism  $F : X \rightarrow P$  admits a unique morphism  $f : X \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $S(f) = F$ . Thus, the restriction function (of  $S$ )

$$S|_{\cdot} : \mathcal{C}(X, P) \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}(X, P)$$

is a bijection. The most interesting example of the above construction is  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$  - the homotopy category of topological spaces and  $\mathcal{D} = HPol$  - the homotopy category of polyhedra (or  $\mathcal{D} = HANR$  - the homotopy category of ANR's for metric spaces, which yields the same theory, since  $Ob(Pol)$  and  $Ob(ANR)$  are homotopy equivalent classes). Namely, the (full) subcategory  $HPol \subseteq HTop$  is dense in  $HTop$ , since every space  $X$  admits a  $HPol$ -expansion  $\mathbf{p} = ([p_\lambda]) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, [p_{\lambda\lambda'}], \Lambda)$ , which is obtained by applying the homotopy functor to a polyhedral resolution  $(p_\lambda) : X \rightarrow \underline{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  of  $X$ , [12]. In this case, one speaks about the (ordinary or standard) *shape category*  $Sh_{(HTop, HPol)} \equiv Sh$  of topological spaces and (ordinary or standard) *shape functor*  $S : Htop \rightarrow Sh$ . Clearly, the realizing category for  $Sh$  is the pro-category  $pro\text{-}HPol$  (or  $pro\text{-}HANR$ ). The underlying theory is called the (ordinary or standard) *shape theory* for topological spaces.

Let  $HcM \subseteq HTop$  denote the homotopy subcategory of compact metric spaces, and let  $HcPol \subseteq HPol$  denote the homotopy subcategory of compact polyhedra. Since  $HcPol \subseteq HcM$  is a “*sequentially*” dense subcategory (every compactum  $X$  admits a  $HcPol$ -expansion  $\mathbf{p} = ([p_i]) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_i, [p_{ii'}], \mathbb{N})$ , which is obtained by applying the homotopy functor to the limit  $(p_i) : X \rightarrow \underline{X} = (X_i, p_{ii'})$  of an inverse *sequence* of compact polyhedra,  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ , [5]), there exists the shape category of compacta,

$Sh_{(HcM, HcPol)} \equiv Sh(cM)$ , which is a full subcategory of  $Sh$ . Notice that the realizing category for  $Sh(cM)$  is the tow-category  $tow-HcPol$ . Clearly, since the classes  $Ob(cPol)$  and  $Ob(cANR)$  (all compact ANR's for metric spaces) are homotopy equivalent, the tow-category  $tow-HcANR$  may also serve as the realizing category for the shape category  $Sh(cM)$ .

Let us now recall the Mardešić-Uglašić category  $\mathcal{S}^*$ , [16]. Its object class (indeed a set) consists of all compact metric spaces, while the morphisms are more sophisticated than the corresponding shape morphisms. First, an  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  of an inverse sequence of metric compacta  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, [p_{ii'}]) \in Ob(HcM^{\mathbb{N}})$  to another one  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, [q_{jj'}])$  consists of an increasing and unbounded function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and of a set of homotopy classes  $[f_j^n]$  of mappings  $f_j^n : X_{f(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that there exists an increasing unbounded function  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , called the commutativity radius for  $(f, [f_j^n])$ , which has the property that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following (finite) diagram is commutative:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{f(1)} & \leftarrow & X_{f(2)} & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & X_{f(\gamma(n))} \\ [f_1^n] \downarrow & & \downarrow [f_2^n] & & \cdots & & \downarrow [f_{\gamma(n)}^n] \\ Y_1 & \leftarrow & Y_2 & \leftarrow & \cdots & \leftarrow & Y_{\gamma(n)} \end{array} .$$

(The case  $\gamma(n) = 1$  is trivial, i.e., the diagram consisting of a single homotopy class  $[f_1^n]$  is also considered to be commutative.) If  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, [g_k^n]) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  are the  $S^*$ -mappings, then their composition  $(h, [h_k^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is defined by  $h = fg$  and  $h_k^n = g_k^n f_{g(k)}^n$ . For every  $\mathbf{X}$ , the  $S^*$ -mapping  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, [1_{X_j}^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ , where  $1_{X_j}^n : X_j \rightarrow X_j$  is the identity mapping for every pair  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the  $S^*$ -identity mapping on  $\mathbf{X}$ .

An  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be *homotopic* to an  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f', [f_j'^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $(f, [f_j^n]) \simeq (f', [f_j'^n])$ , provided there exists an increasing function  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma \geq f, f'$ , called the *shift function* for  $(f, [f_j^n)$ ,  $(f', [f_j'^n])$ , and there exists an increasing and unbounded function  $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , called the *homotopy radius* for  $(f, [f_j^n)$ ,  $(f', [f_j'^n])$ , such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \chi(n)$ ,

$$[f_j^n][p_{f(j)\sigma(j)}] = [f_j'^n][p_{f'(j)\sigma(j)}].$$

The homotopy relation  $\simeq$  is a natural equivalence relation on the class of all  $S^*$ -mappings. The homotopy class  $[(f, [f_j^n])]$  of an  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is briefly denoted by  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . The composition of such homotopy classes is well defined by putting  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* \equiv [(h, [h_k^n])]$ , where  $(h, [h_k^n]) = (g, [g_k^n])(f, [f_j^n])$ . Let  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  be the collection consisting of the class  $Ob((HcM)^{\mathbb{N}}) = Ob(tow-HcM)$  of objects and of the class  $Mor \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  of all the sets  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of all  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . Then  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ , endowed with the above composition and all the identities  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ , makes a category. There, also, exists a functor  $\underline{J} : tow-HcM \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ , which keeps the objects fixed and, for every



$\mathbf{f} = [(f, f_j)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in *tow-HcM*,  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is the homotopy class of the  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n])$ ,  $f_j^n = f_j$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (In [16], the functor  $\underline{J}$  is denoted by  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ !)

Now, let the objects of  $\mathcal{S}^*$  be all compact metric spaces. The morphisms of  $\mathcal{S}^*$  are defined quite analogously to the shape morphisms in *Sh(cM)*. Let  $\mathbf{p} = ([p_i]) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}' = ([p'_i]) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{q} = ([q_j]) : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}' = ([q'_j]) : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  be two sequential *HcANR*-expansions of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Let  $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  be the (unique) natural isomorphisms in *tow-HcANR*.  $\mathbf{f}^* \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  is said to be equivalent to  $\mathbf{f}^{*'} \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{Y}')$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}^{*'}$ , provided  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{j})\mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{f}^{*'}\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{i})$ . This relation is a natural equivalence relation on *Mor* $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ . The equivalence class of  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is denoted by  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . Finally, a morphism  $F^* \in \mathcal{S}^*(X, Y)$  is the  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$  of a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , with respect to any choice of a pair of sequential *HcANR*-expansions  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . In other words, a morphism  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is given by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \mathbf{f}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow F^* \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y \end{array} .$$

The composition of  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$  and  $G^* : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $G^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* \rangle$ , is defined by the representatives, i.e.,  $G^*F^* : X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $G^*F^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . The identity on an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{S}^*$ ,  $1_X^* : X \rightarrow X$ , is the  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}^* \rangle$  of the identity morphism  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}^*$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ . Since

$$\mathcal{S}^*(X, Y) \approx \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$$

is a set, the category  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is well defined. One may say that  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  is the *realizing* category for the category  $\mathcal{S}^*$ . There also exists a functor  $J : \mathcal{S}^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  which keeps the objects fixed and, for every  $F = \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \in \mathcal{S}^*(X, Y)$ ,  $\mathbf{f} = [(f, f_j)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $J(F) = F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ ,  $\mathbf{f}^* = \underline{J}(\mathbf{f})$ . (In [16], the functor  $J$  is denoted by  $S^*$ !)

The category  $\mathcal{S}^*$  classifies compacta (by its isomorphisms) strictly coarser than the shape category, [16]. This classification coincides with the classification of compacta by the Mardešić-Uglašić  $S^*$ -equivalence, which is a uniformization of the Mardešić  $S$ -equivalence, [11]. On compact polyhedra and compact ANR's, it coincides with the shape type classification, i.e., with the homotopy type classification.

### 3. THE PRO\*-CATEGORIES

3.1. *The category tow\*-C.* First of all, we shall characterize the basic conditions for the category  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  by means of conditions which are quite similar to those for the usual tow-category. This description will indicate how to generalize, for any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the whole “ $S^*$ -structure” to obtain a category

$tow^*\mathcal{C}$  on the inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  as well as a category  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  on the inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, [p_{ii}])$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, [q_{jj}])$  be inverse sequences of metric compacta. Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be an increasing unbounded function and let, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_j^n : X_{f(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$  be a mapping. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an  $S^*$ -mapping.

(ii) For every related pair  $j \leq j'$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$(2) \quad [f_j^{n'}][p_{f(j)f(j')}] = [q_{jj'}][f_{j'}^{n'}].$$

(iii) For every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$(2') \quad [f_j^{n'}][p_{f(j)f(j+1)}] = [q_{j+1}][f_{j+1}^{n'}].$$

**PROOF.** Let  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be an  $S^*$ -mapping. Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a commutative radius for  $(f, [f_j^n])$ . Let any pair  $j \leq j'$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  be given. Since  $\gamma$  is unbounded, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma(n) \geq j'$ . Let  $n' \geq n$ . Since  $\gamma$  increases, diagram (1) implies that

$$[f_j^{n'}][p_{f(j)f(j')}] = [q_{jj'}][f_{j'}^{n'}].$$

Therefore, (i) implies (ii). The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial ( $j' = j + 1$ ). Let us now prove that (iii) implies (i). Let an increasing unbounded function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a set of mappings  $f_j^n : X_{f(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , be given, such that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \equiv n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  so that, for every  $n' \geq n_j$ , condition (2') holds. Let us define a function  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  to be a commutative radius for  $(f, [f_j^n])$ . Consider a strictly increasing sequence  $(m_k)$  in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , defined by induction as follows:

$$m_0 = 0, \quad m_1 = \max\{n_1, m_0 + 1\}, \dots, \quad m_{k+1} = \max\{n_{k+1}, m_k + 1\}, \dots$$

Then put  $\gamma(n) = k + 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $k = k(n)$  is the unique element of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying  $m_k \leq n < m_{k+1}$ . Thus, for every  $n$ ,  $m_{\gamma(n)-1} \leq n < m_{\gamma(n)}$ . Clearly, the function  $\gamma$  is increasing and unbounded. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\gamma(n) = 1$ , then there is nothing to prove. Let  $\gamma(n) > 1$ . Then, by construction,  $n \geq n_0 = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_{\gamma(n)-1}\}$ , and thus, for every  $j = 1, \dots, \gamma(n) - 1$ ,

$$[f_j^n][p_{f(j)f(j+1)}] = [q_{j+1}][f_{j+1}^n].$$

Therefore, the appropriate diagram (1) commutes, i.e.,  $(f, [f_j^n])$  is an  $S^*$ -mapping of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *An  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is homotopic to an  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f', [f_j^{n'}]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  if and only if, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq f(j)$ ,  $f'(j)$ , and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$*

$$(3) \quad [f_j^{n'}][p_{f(j)i}] = [f_j^{n'}][p_{f'(j)i}].$$

PROOF. Let  $(f, [f_j^n]) \simeq (f', [f_j'^m])$  be realized via  $\sigma$  and  $\chi$ . Given any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $i = \sigma(j) \in \mathbb{N}$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\chi(n) \geq j$  ( $\chi$  is unbounded). Since  $\chi$  increases,  $\chi(n') \geq j$  whenever  $n' \geq n$ . Hence, relation (3) holds. Conversely, assume that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $i \equiv i_j, n \equiv n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i_j \geq f(j), f'(j)$ , such that, for every  $n' \geq n_j$ , relation (3) holds. Let us define a shift function  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by induction as follows:

$$\sigma(1) = i_1, \sigma(2) = \max\{i_2, \sigma(1)\}, \dots, \sigma(j+1) = \max\{i_{j+1}, \sigma(j)\}, \dots$$

Then, obviously,  $\sigma$  is increasing and  $\sigma \geq f, f'$ . Further, consider a strictly increasing sequence  $(m_k)$  in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , defined by induction in the following way:

$$m_0 = 0, m_1 = \max\{n_1, m_0 + 1\}, \dots, m_{k+1} = \max\{n_{k+1}, m_k + 1\}, \dots$$

Let us define a homotopy radius  $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  by putting  $\chi(n) = k$ , where  $k = k(n)$  is the unique element of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  satisfying  $m_k \leq n < m_{k+1}$ . Thus, for every  $n$ ,  $m_{\chi(n)} \leq n < m_{\chi(n)+1}$ . Clearly,  $\chi$  is increasing and unbounded. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\chi(n) = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Let  $\chi(n) > 0$ . Then, by construction,  $n \geq n_0 = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_{\chi(n)}\}$ . Thus, for every  $j = 1, \dots, \chi(n)$ ,

$$f_j^n p_{f(j)\sigma(j)} \simeq f_j'^m p_{f'(j)\sigma(j)},$$

which shows that the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\chi$  realize the homotopy relation  $(f, [f_j^n]) \simeq (f', [f_j'^m])$ .  $\square$

We shall now use condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1 to define an analogue of  $S^*$ -mapping of inverse sequences in any category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The conditions that the index function has to be increasing and that the corresponding rectangles commute will be relaxed in the usual way.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category, and let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, p_{i' i'})$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, q_{j' j'})$  be inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . An  $S^*$ -**morphism of inverse sequences** in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , consists of a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , called the **index function**, and of a set of morphisms  $f_j^n : X_{f(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq f(j), f(j+1)$ , and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)i} = q_{j+1} f_{j+1}^{n'} p_{f(j+1)i}.$$

If the index function  $f$  is increasing and, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i = f(j+1)$ , then  $(f, f_j^n)$  is said to be a **simple**  $S^*$ -morphism. If, in addition,  $f = 1_{\mathbb{N}}$ , then  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, f_j^n)$  is said to be a **level**  $S^*$ -morphism. Further, an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be **commutative**, provided, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , one may put  $n = 1$ .

REMARK 3.4. (a) One can easily verify that the analogous definition of an “ $S^*$ -morphism” of inverse sequences by means of condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 (i.e., ... for every related pair  $j \leq j'$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq f(j), f(j')$ )

and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n, \dots$ ) yields the same notion.

(b) The additional condition for a simple  $S^*$ -morphism is a (non-essential) property of an  $S^*$ -mapping by its definition.

(c) Notice that a commutative  $S^*$ -morphism of inverse sequences  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  yields a sequence of morphisms  $(f^n = f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . On the other side, every sequence of simple morphisms  $(f^n, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $f^n = f$  for all  $n$ , determines the unique commutative  $S^*$ -morphism of the inverse sequences  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . This fact indicates the huge difference between the standard morphisms of inverse sequences and the new  $S^*$ -morphisms.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_k^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = (Z_k, r_{kk'})$  be  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $(h, h_k^n)$ , where  $h = fg$  and  $h_k^n = g_k^n f_{g(k)}^n$ , is an  $S^*$ -morphism of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(g, g_k^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j \geq g(k), g(k+1)$ , and there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n_0$ ,

$$g_k^{n'} q_{g(k)j} = r_{kk+1} g_{k+1}^{n'} q_{g(k+1)j}.$$

Since  $(f, f_j^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, for the indices  $g(k), g(k+1), j$ , there exist  $i_1 \geq fg(k), f(g(k)+1), i_2 \geq fg(k+1), f(g(k+1)+1), i_3 \geq f(j), f(j+1)$ , and there exist  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n_1$ , every  $n' \geq n_2$  and every  $n' \geq n_3$ , the appropriate relations for  $(f, f_j^n)$  hold respectively. Notice that  $j+1 \geq g(k)+1, g(k+1)+1$ . Put  $i = \max\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \geq fg(k), fg(k+1)$  and  $n = \max\{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3\}$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq n$ , one straightforwardly establishes

$$g_k^{n'} f_{g(k)}^{n'} p_{fg(k)i} = r_{kk+1} g_{k+1}^{n'} f_{g(k+1)}^{n'} p_{fg(k+1)i},$$

which proves that  $(h = fg, h_k^n = g_k^n f_{g(k)}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism.  $\square$

Lemma 3.5 enables us to define the *composition* of  $S^*$ -morphisms  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_k^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  to be  $(fg, g_k^n f_{g(k)}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ . Since the composition of functions and composition of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  are associative, the composition of  $S^*$ -morphisms is associative.

LEMMA 3.6. *The composition of commutative  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a commutative  $S^*$ -morphism.*

PROOF. It suffices to observe that in the proof of Lemma 3.5, in this case, one may put  $n_0 = n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Given an inverse sequence  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, p_{ii'})$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}^n)$  be defined by the identity function  $1_{\mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and by the identity morphisms  $1_{X_i} : X_i \rightarrow X_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.,  $1_{X_i}^n = 1_{X_i}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism (commutative and leveled). One readily sees that, for every

$(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and every  $(g, g_i^n) : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $(f, f_j^n)(1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{\mathbf{X}_i}^n) = (f, f_j^n)$  and  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{\mathbf{X}_i}^n)(g, g_i^n) = (g, g_i^n)$  hold. Thus,  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{\mathbf{X}_i}^n)$  may be called the *identity*  $S^*$ -morphism on  $\mathbf{X}$ .

As a summary, for every category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a category, denoted by  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ , consisting of the object class  $Ob(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^* = Ob\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and of the morphism class  $Mor(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  of all the sets  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of all  $S^*$ -morphisms  $(f, f_j^n)$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$ , endowed with the composition and identities described above. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a subcategory  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\omega}^*$  of  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  with the same object class and with the morphism class  $Mor(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\omega}^*$  consisting of all commutative  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

REMARK 3.7. Let  $(f, f_j)$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f^n = f$  and  $f_j^n = f_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider all such sequences  $(f^n, f_j^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to be new morphisms, and define the new composition coordinatewise. Then, clearly, the new category with the same object class  $Ob(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . On the other hand, it is obvious that the new category is a subcategory of  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\omega}^*$ . Consequently, the category  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  may be considered as a subcategory of  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  in a way that the morphism sets are significantly enriched.

We shall now use Theorem 3.2 to define an equivalence relation on a set  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ .

DEFINITION 3.8. An  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  of inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be **equivalent** to an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f', f_j^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , denoted by  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$ , provided every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  admits  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq f(j), f'(j)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)i} = f_j^{n'} p_{f'(j)i}.$$

LEMMA 3.9. The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on each set  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . The equivalence class  $[(f, f_j^n)]$  of an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is briefly denoted by  $\mathbf{f}^*$ .

PROOF. The relation  $\sim$  is obviously reflexive and symmetric. To prove transitivity, one should take, for given  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the maximums of pairs of indices  $\{i_1, i_2\}$  and  $\{n_1, n_2\}$ , which exist by  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$  ( $i_1$  and  $n_1$ ) and  $(f', f_j^{n'}) \sim (f'', f_j^{n''})$  ( $i_2$  and  $n_2$ ).  $\square$

LEMMA 3.10. Let  $(f, f_j^n), (f', f_j^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_k^n), (g', g_k^{n'}) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be  $S^*$ -morphisms in  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ . If  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$  and  $(g, g_k^n) \sim (g', g_k^{n'})$ , then  $(g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (g', g_k^{n'})(f', f_j^{n'})$ .

PROOF. According to Lemma 3.9 (transitivity), it suffices to prove that  $(g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (g, g_k^n)(f', f_j^{n'})$  and  $(g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (g', g_k^{n'})(f, f_j^n)$ . Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq fg(k), f'g(k)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$  for  $j = g(k)$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$g_k^{n'} f_{g(k)}^{n'} p_{fg(k)i} = g_k^{n'} f_{g(k)}^{n'} p_{f'g(k)i}.$$

Thus,  $(g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (g, g_k^n)(f', f_j^m)$ . Further, if  $(g, g_k^n) \sim (g', g_k^m)$ , then, for given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $j \geq g(k), g'(k)$  and  $n_1$  such that

$$g_k^{n'} q_{g(k)j} = g_k^{m'} q_{g'(k)j},$$

whenever  $n' \geq n_1$ . Since  $(f, f_j^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, there exist

$$i \geq \max\{fg(k), f(g(k) + 1), fg'(k), f(g'(k) + 1), f(j), f(j + 1)\}$$

and  $n_2$  large enough, such that, for every  $n' \geq n_2$ , the  $n'$ -coordinate of  $(f, f_j^n)$  commutes at the indices  $g(k), g'(k)$  and  $j$  with “the tail” at  $i$ . Thus,

$$f_{j_1}^{n'} p_{f(j_1)i} = q_{j_1 j_2} f_{j_2}^{n'} p_{f(j_2)i},$$

where  $j_1 = \min\{g(k), g'(k)\}$  and  $j_2 = \max\{g(k), g'(k)\}$ . Consequently, for every  $n' \geq n = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ ,

$$g_k^{n'} f_{g(k)}^{n'} p_{fg(k)i} = g_k^{m'} f_{g'(k)}^{m'} p_{fg'(k)i}.$$

Therefore,  $(g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (g', g_k^m)(f, f_j^n)$ .  $\square$

By Lemmata 3.9 and 3.10, one may compose the equivalence classes of  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse sequences by putting  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* \equiv [(h, h_k^n)]$ , where  $(h, h_k^n) = (g, g_k^n)(f, f_j^n) = (fg, g_k^n f_{g(k)}^n)$ . The corresponding quotient category  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^* / \sim$  is denoted by  $\text{tow}^* \mathcal{C}$ . There exists a subcategory  $\text{tow}_\omega^* \mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{tow}^* \mathcal{C}$  determined by all equivalence classes having commutative representatives. Clearly,  $\text{tow}_\omega^* \mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to the quotient category  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_\omega^* / \sim$ . According to Remark 3.7, one may consider  $\text{tow} \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}) / \sim$  as a subcategory of  $\text{tow}_\omega^* \mathcal{C}$  and, consequently, as a subcategory of  $\text{tow}^* \mathcal{C}$ . Namely, by Theorem 3.2, the equivalence relations  $\sim$  in  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and in  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_\omega^*$  are of the same kind. (See also Proposition 3.13 below.)

**PROPOSITION 3.11.** *Every morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_j^n)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\text{tow}^* \mathcal{C}$  admits a simple representative  $(f', f_j^m)$ . Moreover, one can achieve the index function  $f'$  to be strictly increasing.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(f, f_j^n)$  be any representative of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $i \equiv i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i \geq f(j), f(j + 1)$ , and there exists  $n \equiv n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  so that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)i} = q_{jj+1} f_{j+1}^{n'} p_{f(j+1)i}.$$

Let us define a function  $f' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by induction as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(1) &= f(1), \\ f'(2) &= \max\{i_1, f'(1) + 1\}, \dots, \\ f'(j+1) &= \max\{i_j, f'(j) + 1\}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $f'$  is strictly increasing and  $f' \geq f$ . Further, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_j^{n'} = f_j^n p_{f(j)f'(j)} : X_{f'(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ . Now, given any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $i = f'(j+1)$  and  $n = n_j$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq n$ , the above relation implies

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f'(j)f'(j+1)} = q_{jj+1} f_{j+1}^{n'}.$$

Thus,  $(f', f_j^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is a simple  $S^*$ -morphism. Furthermore, given any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $i = f'(j)$  and  $n = 1$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq 1$ ,

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)i} = f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)f'(j)} = f_j^{n'} = f_j^{n'} p_{f'(j)f'(j)} = f_j^{n'} p_{f'(j)i},$$

which proves that  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$ . □

Let us observe that in the case  $\mathcal{C} = HcM$ , Proposition 3.11 yields the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *The category  $\text{tow}^*HcM$  is isomorphic to the category  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$ . An isomorphism  $\text{tow}^*HcM \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  is given by the identity on the object class and by  $[(f, [f_j^n])] \mapsto [(f', [f_j^{n'}])]$ , where  $(f', [f_j^{n'}])$  is a simple representative of  $[(f, [f_j^n])]$ .*

Let us define a functor  $\underline{J} \equiv \underline{J}_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{tow}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$ , which keeps the objects fixed, by putting  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{f} = [(f, f_j)]$ ,  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_j^n)]$  and  $(f, f_j^n)$  is induced by  $(f, f_j)$  in the following way: The index function  $f$  is the same, while, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_j^n = f_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is readily seen that  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f})$  is well defined and that  $\underline{J}$  is indeed a functor. Notice that every induced  $(f, f_j^n = f_j)$  is a commutative  $S^*$ -morphism of inverse sequences. Hence,  $\underline{J}$  is actually a functor of  $\text{tow}\mathcal{C}$  to  $\text{tow}_\omega^*\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.13.** *Functor  $\underline{J}$  is faithful. Therefore, one may consider  $\text{tow}\mathcal{C}$  to be a subcategory of  $\text{tow}_\omega^*\mathcal{C}$  and, consequently, a subcategory of  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}' \in \text{tow}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ ,  $\mathbf{f} = [(f, f_j)]$ ,  $\mathbf{f}' = [(f', f'_j)]$ , be given such that  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) = \underline{J}(\mathbf{f}')$ . Then,  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f'_j)^n$ , where  $(f, f_j^n)$ ,  $(f', f'_j)^n$  are induced by  $(f, f_j)$ ,  $(f', f'_j)$  respectively. Thus, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$f_j^{n'} p_{f(j)i} = f_j^{n'} p_{f'(j)i}.$$

This means

$$f_j p_{f(j)i} = f_j' p_{f'(j)i},$$

i.e.,  $(f, f_j) \sim (f', f'_j)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$ . □

**REMARK 3.14.** Given any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the category  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$  is constructed as a quotient category  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*/\sim$  on the inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is a full analogue of the known category  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  on compact metric inverse sequences ( $\mathcal{C} = HcM$ ). According to Remark 3.4(a), one can construct in the same manner, for any directed preordered set  $(\Lambda, \leq)$ , the appropriate category  $(\mathcal{C}^\Lambda)^*$  as well

as the corresponding quotient category  $(\mathcal{C}^\Lambda)^*/\sim$ . Moreover, there also exists a faithful functor of  $(\mathcal{C}^\Lambda)/\sim$  to  $(\mathcal{C}^\Lambda)_\omega^*/\sim \subseteq (\mathcal{C}^\Lambda)^*/\sim$ . We shall not do this explicitly because we may even abandon the fixed index set and work in the most general setting of arbitrary inverse systems, i.e., in any *inv*-category.

3.2. *The category  $\text{pro}^*\mathcal{C}$ .* The next definition is based on condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 (compare Definition 3.3 and Remark 3.4(a)).

DEFINITION 3.15. *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$  be inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$ . An  $S^*$ -**morphism of inverse systems**,  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , consists of a function  $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ , called the **index function**, and of a set of morphisms  $f_\mu^n : X_{f(\mu)} \rightarrow Y_\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \in M$ , in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that, for every related pair  $\mu \leq \mu'$  in  $M$ , there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f(\mu')$ , and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,*

$$f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{n'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda}.$$

*If the index function  $f$  is increasing and, for every pair  $\mu \leq \mu'$ , one may put  $\lambda = f(\mu')$ , then  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  is said to be a **simple**  $S^*$ -morphism. If, in addition,  $M = \Lambda$  and  $f = 1_\Lambda$ , then  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$  is said to be a **level**  $S^*$ -morphism. Further, an  $S^*$ -morphism of inverse systems  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be **commutative**, provided, for every pair  $\mu \leq \mu'$ , one may put  $n = 1$ .*

REMARK 3.16. Similarly to Remark 3.4(c), a commutative  $S^*$ -morphism of inverse systems  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  yields a sequence of morphisms  $(f^n = f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in *inv*- $\mathcal{C}$ . On the other side, every sequence of simple morphisms  $(f^n, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in *inv*- $\mathcal{C}$ , such that  $f^n = f$  for all  $n$ , determines the unique commutative  $S^*$ -morphism of the inverse systems  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . This indicates the significant difference between the standard morphisms of inverse systems and the new  $S^*$ -morphisms.

LEMMA 3.17. *Let  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$  be  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems. Then  $(h, h_\nu^n)$ , where  $h = fg$  and  $h_\nu^n = g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu \in N$ , is an  $S^*$ -morphism of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\nu, \nu' \in N$ ,  $\nu \leq \nu'$ , be given. Since  $(g, g_\nu^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, there exists  $\mu \in M$ ,  $\mu \geq g(\nu), g(\nu')$ , and there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n_0$ ,

$$g_\nu^{n'} q_{g(\nu)\mu} = r_{\nu\nu'} g_{\nu'}^{n'} q_{g(\nu')\mu}.$$

Since  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, for the pair  $g(\nu) \leq \mu$ , there exist  $\lambda_1 \geq f(g(\nu), f(\mu))$  and  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n_1$ ,

$$f_{g(\nu)}^{n'} p_{fg(\nu)\lambda_1} = q_{g(\nu)\mu} f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda_1}.$$

Further, for the pair  $g(\nu') \leq \mu$ , there exist  $\lambda_2 \geq f(g(\nu'), f(\mu))$  and  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n_2$ ,

$$f_{g(\nu')}^{n'} p_{fg(\nu')\lambda_2} = q_{g(\nu')\mu} f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda_2}.$$



Since  $\Lambda$  is directed, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ . Put  $n = \max\{n_0, n_1, n_2\}$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq n$ , one straightforwardly establishes

$$g_\nu^{n'} f_{g(\nu)}^{n'} p_{fg(\nu)\lambda} = r_{\nu\nu'} g_{\nu'}^{n'} f_{g(\nu')\lambda}^{n'},$$

which proves that  $(h = fg, h_\nu^n = g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism.  $\square$

Lemma 3.17 enables us to define the *composition* of  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems: If  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) = (h, h_\nu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $h = fg$  i  $h_\nu^n = g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n$ . Clearly, this composition is associative.

LEMMA 3.18. *The composition of commutative  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a commutative  $S^*$ -morphism.*

PROOF. It suffices to observe that in the proof of Lemma 3.17, in this case, one may put  $n_0 = n_1 = n_2 = 1$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Given an inverse system  $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n)$ , consists of the identity function  $1_\Lambda$  and of the identity morphisms  $1_{X_\lambda}^n = 1_{X_\lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism (commutative and leveled). One readily sees that, for every  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and every  $(g, g_\lambda^n) : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $(f, f_\mu^n)(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n) = (f, f_\mu^n)$  and  $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n)(g, g_\lambda^n) = (g, g_\lambda^n)$  hold. Thus,  $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n)$  may be called the *identity  $S^*$ -morphism on  $\mathbf{X}$* .

By summarizing, for every category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a category, denoted by  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*$ , consisting of the object class  $Ob(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^* = Ob(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})$  and of the morphism class  $Mor(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*$  of all the sets  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of all  $S^*$ -morphisms  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$ , endowed with the composition and identities described above. By Lemma 3.18, there exists a subcategory  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})_\omega^*$  of  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*$  with the same object class and with the morphism class  $Mor(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})_\omega^*$  consisting of all commutative  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Similarly to Definition 3.8, we shall use Theorem 3.2 to define an equivalence relation on each set  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ .

DEFINITION 3.19. *An  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  of inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be **equivalent to** an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f', f'_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , denoted by  $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f'_\mu^n)$ , provided every  $\mu \in M$  admits  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,*

$$f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = f'_\mu^{n'} p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

LEMMA 3.20. *The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on each set  $(inv\text{-}\mathcal{C})^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . The equivalence class  $[(f, f_\mu^n)]$  of an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is briefly denoted by  $\mathbf{f}^*$ .*

PROOF. The relation  $\sim$  is obviously reflexive and symmetric. To prove transitivity, one should take, for given  $\mu \in M$ , the maximums of pairs of

indices  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  and  $\{n_1, n_2\}$ , which exist by  $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f_\mu^{n'})$  ( $\lambda_1$  and  $n_1$ ) and  $(f', f_\mu^{n'}) \sim (f'', f_\mu^{n''})$  ( $\lambda_2$  and  $n_2$ ).  $\square$

LEMMA 3.21. *Let  $(f, f_\mu^n), (f', f_\mu^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g, g_\nu^n), (g', g_\nu^{n'}) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f_\mu^{n'})$  and  $(g, g_\nu^n) \sim (g', g_\nu^{n'})$ , then  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (g', g_\nu^{n'})(f', f_\mu^{n'})$ .*

PROOF. According to Lemma 3.20 (transitivity), it suffices to prove that  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (g, g_\nu^n)(f', f_\mu^{n'})$  and  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (g', g_\nu^{n'})(f, f_\mu^n)$ . Given  $\nu \in N$ , choose  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq fg(\nu), f'g(\nu)$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by  $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f_\mu^{n'})$  for  $\mu = g(\nu)$ . Then, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$g_\nu^{n'} f_{g(\nu)}^{n'} p_{fg(\nu)\lambda} = g_\nu^{n'} f_{g(\nu)}^{n'} p_{f'g(\nu)\lambda}.$$

Thus,  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (g, g_\nu^n)(f', f_\mu^{n'})$ . Further, if  $(g, g_\nu^n) \sim (g', g_\nu^{n'})$ , then, for given  $\nu \in N$ , there exist  $\mu \geq g(\nu), g'(\nu)$  and  $n_1$  such that

$$g_\nu^{n'} q_{g(\nu)\mu} = g_\nu^{n'} q_{g'(\nu)\mu},$$

whenever  $n' \geq n_1$ . Since  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, there exist  $\lambda \geq \max\{fg(\nu), f'g(\nu), f(\mu)\}$  and  $n_2$  large enough, such that, for every  $n' \geq n_2$ , the  $n'$ -coordinate of  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  commutes at the pairs  $g(\nu) \leq \mu$  and  $g'(\nu) \leq \mu$  with “the tail” at  $\lambda$ . Thus,

$$f_{\mu_1}^{n'} p_{f(\mu_1)\lambda} = q_{\mu_1\mu_2} f_{\mu_2}^{n'} p_{f(\mu_2)\lambda},$$

where  $\mu_1 = \min\{g(\nu), g'(\nu)\}$  and  $\mu_2 = \max\{g(\nu), g'(\nu)\}$ . Consequently, for every  $n' \geq n = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ ,

$$g_\nu^{n'} f_{g(\nu)}^{n'} p_{fg(\nu)\lambda} = g_\nu^{n'} f_{g'(\nu)}^{n'} p_{fg'(\nu)\lambda}.$$

Therefore,  $(g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (g', g_\nu^{n'})(f, f_\mu^n)$ .  $\square$

By Lemmata 3.20 and 3.21, one may compose the equivalence classes of  $S^*$ -morphisms of inverse systems by putting  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* \equiv [(h, h_\nu^n)]$ , where  $(h, h_\nu^n) = (g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) = (fg, g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n)$ . The corresponding quotient category  $(\text{inv-}\mathcal{C})^*/\sim$  is denoted by  $\text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . There exists a subcategory  $\text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  determined by all equivalence classes having commutative representatives. Clearly,  $\text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to the quotient category  $(\text{inv-}\mathcal{C})_\omega^*/\sim$ . Similarly to a tow-category, one may consider  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C} = (\text{inv-}\mathcal{C})/\sim$  as a subcategory of  $\text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  and, consequently, as a subcategory of  $\text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  (see also Proposition 3.24 below). First, recall the well known lemma (see [15, Lemma I.1.1]):

LEMMA 3.22. *Let  $(\Lambda, \leq)$  be a directed set and let  $(M, \leq)$  be a cofinite directed set. Then every function  $f : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  admits an increasing function  $f' : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $f \leq f'$ .*

LEMMA 3.23. *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$  be inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $M$  cofinite. Then every morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  admits a simple representative  $(f', f_\mu^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\mu \in M$ . If  $\mu$  has no predecessors, choose any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq f(\mu)$ , and put  $\varphi(\mu) = \lambda$ . If  $\mu$  is not an initial element of  $M$ , let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in M$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , be all the predecessors of  $\mu$  ( $M$  is cofinite). Since  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and every pair  $\mu_i \leq \mu$ , there exists  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq f(\mu_i), f(\mu)$ , and there exists  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for every  $n' \geq n_i$ , the appropriate condition holds. Choose any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$  ( $\Lambda$  is directed), and put  $\varphi(\mu) = \lambda$ . This defines a function  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \Lambda$ . Notice that  $f \leq \varphi$ . By Lemma 3.22, there exists an increasing function  $f' : M \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi \leq f'$ . Hence,  $f \leq f'$ . Now, for every  $\mu \in M$ , put  $f_\mu^{n'} = f_\mu^n p_{f(\mu)f'(\mu)}$ . One readily verifies that  $(f', f_\mu^{n'}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is a simple  $S^*$ -morphism and that  $(f', f_\mu^{n'}) \sim (f, f_\mu^n)$ .  $\square$

Let us define a functor  $\underline{J} \equiv \underline{J}_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{pro-}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  (an extension of the already defined functor  $\underline{J} : \text{tow-}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ ). Put  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ , for every inverse system  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\mathbf{f} \in \text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  and if  $(f, f_\mu)$  is any representative of  $\mathbf{f}$ , put

$$\underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n)] \in \text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}),$$

where  $(f, f_\mu^n)$  is induced by  $(f, f_\mu)$ , i.e., for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_\mu^n = f_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in M$ . One straightforwardly verifies that  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f})$  is well defined and that  $\underline{J}$  is indeed a functor. Notice that every induced  $S^*$ -morphism is commutative. Therefore,  $\underline{J}$  is a functor of  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}$  to the subcategory  $\text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.24. *The functor  $\underline{J} : \text{pro-}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  is faithful.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{f}^* = \underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) = \underline{J}(\mathbf{f}') = \mathbf{f}'^*$ . Let  $(f, f_\mu)$  and  $(f', f'_\mu)$  be any representatives of  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{f}'$  respectively. By definition of the functor  $\underline{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n = f_\mu)]$  and  $\mathbf{f}'^* = [(f', f'_\mu^n = f'_\mu)]$ . Since  $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f'_\mu^n)$ , for every  $\mu \in M$ , there exist  $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$  and  $n$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = f'_\mu^{n'} p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

This means

$$f_\mu p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = f'_\mu p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

Therefore,  $(f, f_\mu) \sim (f', f'_\mu)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}'$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.25. The functor  $\underline{J}$  is not full. For instance, let us consider the restriction  $\text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T}) \rightarrow \text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T})$ , where  $\mathbf{T} = (T_0 \equiv T)$  is a rudimentary inverse system. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \text{pro-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T})$ . Then every representative  $(f, f_0)$  of  $\mathbf{f}$  is uniquely determined by  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  ( $f(0) = \lambda_0$ ) and by a morphism  $f_0 \equiv f_{\lambda_0} \in \mathcal{C}(X_{\lambda_0}, T)$ . However, it is not the case for  $\mathbf{f}^* \in \text{pro}_\omega^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T})$ . Indeed, if  $(f, f_0^n)$  is a representative of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ , then  $f(0) = \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , while  $(f_0^n \equiv f_{\lambda_0}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of morphisms  $f_{\lambda_0}^n \in \mathcal{C}(X_{\lambda_0}, T)$ . Notice that  $(f, f_0^n) \sim (f', f_0'^n)$  if and only if

$$(\exists \lambda \geq \lambda_0, \lambda'_0) (\exists n) (\forall n' \geq n) f_0^{n'} p_{\lambda_0 \lambda} = f_0'^{n'} p_{\lambda'_0 \lambda}.$$

By the well known “Mardešić trick”, every inverse system  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic (in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ ) to a cofinite inverse system  $\mathbf{X}'$ . If  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  is an isomorphism in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\underline{J}(\mathbf{f}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  is an isomorphism in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, the next corollary holds.

**COROLLARY 3.26.** *Every inverse system  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  to a cofinite inverse system  $\mathbf{X}'$ .*

A morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  does not admit, in general, a level representative. However, the following “reindexing theorem” will help to overcome some technical difficulties concerning this fact.

**THEOREM 3.27.** *Let  $\mathbf{f}^* \in pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . Then there exist inverse systems  $\mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{Y}'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  having the same cofinite index set  $(N, \leq)$ , there exists a morphism  $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  having a level representative  $(1_N, f'_\nu)$  and there exist isomorphisms  $\mathbf{i}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j}^* : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ , such that the following diagram in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}^*} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{i}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{j}^* \\ \mathbf{X}' & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}'^*} & \mathbf{Y}' \end{array}$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbf{f}^* \in pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . By Corollary 3.26, there exist cofinite inverse systems  $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\widetilde{X}_\alpha, \widetilde{p}_{\alpha\alpha'}, A)$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} = (\widetilde{Y}_\beta, \widetilde{q}_{\beta\beta'}, B)$ , and there exist isomorphisms  $\mathbf{u}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^* : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}^* = \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{f}^* (\mathbf{u}^*)^{-1} : \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ . By Lemma 3.23, there exists a simple representative  $(w, w_\beta^n)$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}^*$ . Let

$$N = \{\nu \equiv (\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \in A, \beta \in B, w(\beta) \leq \alpha\} \subseteq A \times B,$$

and define  $(N, \leq)$  coordinatewise, i.e.,  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta) \leq (\alpha', \beta') = \nu'$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq \alpha'$  in  $A$  and  $\beta \leq \beta'$  in  $B$ . Clearly,  $N$  is preordered. Let any  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta), \nu' = (\alpha', \beta') \in N$  be given. Since  $B$  is directed, there exists  $\beta_0 \geq \beta, \beta'$ . Since  $A$  is directed, there exists  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha, \alpha', w(\beta_0)$ . Then  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \equiv \nu_0 \in N$  and  $\nu_0 \geq \nu, \nu'$ . Thus,  $N$  is directed. Further, since  $A$  and  $B$  are cofinite and since  $N \subseteq A \times B$  is (pre)ordered coordinatewise, the set  $N$  is cofinite too. Let us now construct desired inverse systems  $\mathbf{X}' = (X'_\nu, p'_{\nu\nu'}, N)$  and  $\mathbf{Y}' = (Y'_\nu, q'_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ . Given  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta) \in N$ , put  $X'_\nu = X_\alpha$  and  $Y'_\nu = Y_\beta$ ; for every related pair  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta) \leq (\alpha', \beta') = \nu'$  in  $N$ , put  $p'_{\nu\nu'} = \widetilde{p}_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and  $q'_{\nu\nu'} = \widetilde{q}_{\beta\beta'}$ . Now, for every  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta) \in N$ , put  $f'_\nu = w_\beta^n \widetilde{p}_{w(\beta)\alpha} : X'_\nu \rightarrow Y'_\nu$ . Then  $(1_N, f'_\nu) : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  is a simple  $S^*$ -morphism. Indeed, if  $\nu \leq \nu'$ , then  $\beta \leq \beta'$ . Since  $(w, w_\beta^n)$  is simple, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $\nu' \geq \nu$ ,

$$w_\beta^{n'} \widetilde{p}_{w(\beta)w(\beta')} = \widetilde{q}_{\beta\beta'} w_{\beta'}^{n'}.$$

Since  $\alpha \geq w(\beta)$ ,  $\alpha' \geq w(\beta')$ ,  $w(\beta') \geq w(\beta)$  and  $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ , it implies

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu^{m'} p'_{\nu\nu'} &= w_\beta^{n'} \tilde{p}_{w(\beta)\alpha} \tilde{p}_{\alpha\alpha'} = w_\beta^{n'} \tilde{p}_{w(\beta)w(\beta')} \tilde{p}_{w(\beta')\alpha'} \\ &= \tilde{q}_{\beta\beta'} w_{\beta'}^{n'} \tilde{p}_{w(\beta')\alpha'} = q'_{\nu\nu'} f_{\nu'}^{m'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s : N \rightarrow \Lambda$  be defined by putting  $s(\nu) = \alpha$ , where  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta)$ , and let, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_\nu^n : \tilde{X}_\alpha \rightarrow X'_\nu = \tilde{X}_\alpha$  be the identity  $1_{\tilde{X}_\alpha}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  for each  $\nu \in N$ . In the same way, let  $t : N \rightarrow M$  be defined by putting  $t(\nu) = \beta$ , and let, for every  $n$ ,  $t_\nu^n : \tilde{Y}_\beta \rightarrow Y'_\nu = \tilde{Y}_\beta$  be the identity  $1_{\tilde{Y}_\beta}$  for each  $\nu$ . It is readily seen that  $\mathbf{s}^* = [(s, s_\nu^n)] : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{t}^* = [(t, t_\nu^n)] : \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  are simple commutative morphisms. Even more, they are induced by morphisms  $(s, s_\nu = 1_{\tilde{X}_\alpha})$  and  $(t, t_\nu = 1_{\tilde{Y}_\beta})$  of  $inv\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  respectively. Notice that, in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{s} = [(s, s_\nu^n)] : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{t} = [(t, t_\nu^n)] : \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  are isomorphisms. Since  $\mathbf{s}^* = \underline{J}(\mathbf{s})$  and  $\mathbf{t}^* = \underline{J}(\mathbf{t})$ , we infer that  $\mathbf{s}^*$  and  $\mathbf{t}^*$  are isomorphisms in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover, for every  $\nu = (\alpha, \beta) \in N$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$t_\nu^n w_{t(\nu)}^n \tilde{p}_{wt(\nu)\alpha} = w_\beta^{n'} \tilde{p}_{w(\beta)\alpha} = f_\nu^{m'} = f_\nu^{m'} s_\nu^n,$$

which implies  $(t, t_\nu^n)(w, w_\beta^n) \sim (1_N, f_\nu^{m'})(s, s_\nu^n)$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{t}^* \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^* = \mathbf{f}'^* \mathbf{s}^*$ . Finally, put  $\mathbf{i}^* \equiv \mathbf{s}^* \mathbf{u}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j}^* \equiv \mathbf{t}^* \mathbf{v}^* : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ , which are isomorphisms in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{j}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{t}^* \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{t}^* \tilde{\mathbf{f}}^* \mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{f}'^* \mathbf{s}^* \mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{f}'^* \mathbf{i}^*.$$

□

#### 4. THE COARSE SHAPE CATEGORY

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a full (not essential, but a convenient condition) and dense subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  be  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the same object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  be  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions of the same object  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist two natural (unique) isomorphisms  $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ . Consequently,  $\mathbf{i}^* \equiv \underline{J}(\mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$  and  $\mathbf{j}^* \equiv \underline{J}(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  are isomorphisms in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ . A morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is said to be  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  equivalent to a morphism  $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$ , provided the following diagram in  $pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}^*} & \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{f}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{f}'^* \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}^*} & \mathbf{Y}' \end{array}.$$

According to the analogous facts in  $pro\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ , and since  $\underline{J}$  is a functor, it defines an equivalence relation on the appropriate subclass of  $Mor(pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D})$ , such that  $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$  and  $\mathbf{g}^* \sim \mathbf{g}'^*$  imply  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{g}'^* \mathbf{f}'^*$  whenever it is defined. The equivalence class of  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is denoted by  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . Further, given  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'$  and  $\mathbf{f}^*$ , there exists a unique  $\mathbf{f}'^*$  ( $= \mathbf{j}^* \mathbf{f}^* (\mathbf{i}^*)^{-1}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$ .

We are now to define the (*abstract*) *coarse shape category*  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  for  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  as follows. The objects of  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  are all the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . A morphism  $F^* \in Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Y)$  is the  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$  of a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , with respect to any choice of a pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ . In other words, a *coarse shape morphism*  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  is given by a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \mathbf{f}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow F^* \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y \end{array}$$

The *composition* of  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$  and a  $G^* : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $G^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* \rangle$ , is defined by the representatives, i.e.,  $G^*F^* : X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $G^*F^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . The *identity coarse shape morphism* on an object  $X$ ,  $1_X^* : X \rightarrow X$ , is the  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}^* \rangle$  of the identity morphism  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$  in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$ . Since

$$Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Y) \approx pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$$

is a set, the coarse shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  is well defined. One may say that  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$  is the *realizing* category for the coarse shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ .

For every  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and every pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{q} : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , there exists  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$ , such that the following diagram in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}} & X \\ \mathbf{f}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{q}} & Y \end{array}$$

(Hereby, we consider  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq pro\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  to be subcategories of  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{C}$ !) The same  $f$  and another pair of  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p}' : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ ,  $\mathbf{q}' : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  yield  $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$  in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, however,  $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$  in  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$  must hold. Thus, every morphism  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  yields a  $pro^*$ - $\mathcal{D}$  equivalence class  $\langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ , i.e., a coarse shape morphism  $F^* \in Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Y)$ . If one defines  $S^*(X) = X$ ,  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$ , and  $S^*(f) = F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ ,  $f \in Mor\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$$

becomes a functor, called the *abstract coarse shape functor*. Comparing to the abstract shape functor, we shall show that the restriction of  $S^*$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  into the full subcategory of  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ , determined by  $Ob\mathcal{D}$ , is *not* a category isomorphism (Example 7.4). Nevertheless, we shall prove that  $P$  and  $Q$  are isomorphic objects of  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if they are isomorphic in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ , i.e., they are of the same abstract coarse shape (Claim 3 below). Thus, clearly, the abstract coarse shape type classification on  $\mathcal{D}$  coincides with the abstract shape type classification. Further, recall that for every  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$  and every  $Q \in Ob\mathcal{D}$ , the abstract shape functor induces a bijection

$$S|_{\cdot} : \mathcal{C}(X, Q) \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Q).$$

However, we shall see that, in the same circumstances, the abstract coarse shape functor induces an injection

$$S^*|\cdot : \mathcal{C}(X, Q) \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Q),$$

which, in general, is *not* a surjection (Example 7.4). Finally, the functor  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$  factorizes as  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^* = J_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ , where  $S_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$  is the abstract shape functor, while  $J_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} : Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \rightarrow Sh_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}}^*$  is induced by the “inclusion” functor  $\underline{J} \equiv \underline{J}_{\mathcal{D}} : pro\text{-}\mathcal{D} \rightarrow pro^*\text{-}\mathcal{D}$ . (This implies that the induced function  $\mathcal{C}(X, Q) \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Q)$  is an injection.)

As in the case of the abstract shape, the most interesting example of the above construction is  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$  - the homotopy category of topological spaces and  $\mathcal{D} = HPol$  - the homotopy category of polyhedra, or  $\mathcal{D} = HANR$  - the homotopy category of ANR’s for metric spaces. In this case, one speaks about the (ordinary or standard) *coarse shape category*

$$Sh_{(HTop, HPol)}^* \equiv Sh^*(Top) \equiv Sh^*$$

of topological spaces and of (ordinary or standard) *coarse shape functor*

$$S^* : HTop \rightarrow Sh^*,$$

which factorizes as  $S^* = JS$ , where  $S : HTop \rightarrow Sh$  is the shape functor, and  $J : Sh \rightarrow Sh^*$  is induced by the “inclusion” functor  $\underline{J} \equiv pro\text{-}HPol \rightarrow pro^*\text{-}HPol$ . The realizing category for  $Sh^*$  is the category  $pro^*\text{-}HPol$  (or  $pro^*\text{-}HANR$ ). The underlying theory might be called the (ordinary or standard) *coarse shape theory* (for topological spaces). Clearly, on locally nice spaces (polyhedra, CW-complexes, ANR’s, ...) the coarse shape type classification coincides with the shape type classification and, consequently, with the homotopy type classification.

Similarly to the case of the shape of compacta, let us consider the homotopy (sub)category of compact metric spaces,  $HcM \subseteq HTop$ . Since  $HcPol \subseteq HcM$  and  $HcANR \subseteq HcM$  are “*sequentially*” dense (and homotopically equivalent) subcategories, there exist the coarse shape category of compacta,

$$Sh^*(cM) \equiv Sh_{(HcM, HcPol)}^* \cong Sh_{(HcM, HcANR)}^*,$$

and the corresponding (restriction of the) coarse shape functor

$$S^* : HcM \rightarrow Sh^*(cM),$$

such that  $S^* = JS$ , where  $S : HcM \rightarrow Sh(cM)$  is the shape functor on compacta, and  $J : Sh(cM) \rightarrow Sh^*(cM)$  is induced by the “inclusion” functor  $\underline{J} : tow\text{-}HcPol \rightarrow tow^*\text{-}HcPol$  (or  $\underline{J} : tow\text{-}HcANR \rightarrow tow^*\text{-}HcANR$ ). The category  $Sh^*(cM)$  is a full subcategory of  $Sh^*$ . Notice that the realizing category for  $Sh^*(cM)$  is the category  $tow^*\text{-}HcPol$  as well as the category  $tow^*\text{-}HcANR$ .

The category  $tow^*HcANR$ , being isomorphic to the category  $\underline{\mathcal{S}}^*$  restricted to inverse sequences of compact ANR's (Corollary 3.12), classifies (by its isomorphisms) compact ANR inverse sequences strictly coarser than the category  $towHcANR$  (see [22] and Corollary 5.3 below as well as [16] and our Example 7.2).

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a full and dense subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$  and let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_\lambda) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion of  $X$ . Further, let  $Q \in Ob\mathcal{D}$  and let a sequence  $(\Phi^n)$  of morphisms  $\Phi^n : X \rightarrow Q$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\mathcal{C}$  be given. We say that  $(\Phi^n)$  *uniformly factorizes through*  $\mathbf{p}$  provided there exists a fixed  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that, for every  $n$ ,  $\Phi^n$  factorizes through  $X_\lambda$ . Such a sequence  $(\Phi^n)$  determines a coarse shape morphism  $F^* : X \rightarrow Q$ . Namely, there is  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a morphism  $f^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  ( $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is full) satisfying  $\Phi^n = f^n p_\lambda$ . Hence, the sequence  $(f^n)$  determines a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} = (Q)$  of  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathbf{1} : Q \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion of  $Q$ , the morphism  $\mathbf{f}^*$  determines a coarse shape morphism  $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle : X \rightarrow Q$ . We say that such  $F^*$  is *induced by*  $(\Phi^n)$ . Notice that the above construction depends on the index  $\lambda$ .

CLAIM 1. *Let  $X \in Ob\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathbf{p} = (p_\lambda) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansion of  $X$  and let  $Q \in Ob\mathcal{D}$ . Then every coarse shape morphism  $F^* : X \rightarrow Q$  is induced by a sequence of morphisms  $\Phi^n : X \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(\Phi^n)$  uniformly factorizes through  $\mathbf{p}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Q$  be a coarse shape morphism. For  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions  $\mathbf{p} = (p_\lambda) : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{1} : Q \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} = (Q)$ , there exists a representative  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$  of  $F^*$ . Consequently, there exists a sequence  $(f^n)$  of morphisms  $f^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in  $\mathcal{D}$  which determines  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Thus, by putting  $\Phi^n = f^n p_\lambda$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one obtains the desired sequence  $(\Phi^n)$ .  $\square$

Let  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  uniformly factorize through the same  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  (via  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  respectively). Then  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  is said to be *almost equal* provided there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda, \lambda'$  such that, for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $f^n p_{\lambda\lambda_0} = f'^n p_{\lambda'\lambda_0}$ . Obviously,  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  are almost equal if and only if  $\Phi^n = \Phi'^n$  for almost all  $n$ .

CLAIM 2. *Let  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  uniformly factorize through the same  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Q$  and  $F'^* : X \rightarrow Q$  be induced by  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  respectively. Then  $F^* = F'^*$  if and only if  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  are almost equal.*

PROOF. Let  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  uniformly factorize through the same  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ , i.e., let there exist  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$  such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Phi^n = f^n p_\lambda$  and  $\Phi'^n = f'^n p_{\lambda'}$ , where  $f^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q$  and  $f'^n : X_{\lambda'} \rightarrow Q$  are morphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Q$  and  $F'^* : X \rightarrow Q$  be coarse shape morphisms induced by  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  respectively. Let  $\mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} = (P)$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$  be representatives of  $F^*, F'^*$  respectively. Now, if  $F^* = F'^*$  then  $\mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{f}'^*$ , and  $\mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{f}'^*$  are determined by the sequences  $(f^n), (f'^n)$  respectively.



Therefore, there exist  $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda, \lambda'$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $f^n p_{\lambda\lambda_0} = f'^n p_{\lambda'\lambda_0}$ . This means that  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  are almost equal.

Conversely, if  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  are almost equal, then the corresponding sequences  $(f^n)$  and  $(f'^n)$  induce the same morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{D}$ . Thus, the sequences  $(\Phi^n)$  and  $(\Phi'^n)$  induce the same coarse shape morphism  $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle = F'^*$ .  $\square$

Consider now the special case where  $X \equiv P \in Ob\mathcal{D}$  too. Then  $\mathbf{1} : P \rightarrow P = (P)$  and  $\mathbf{1} : Q \rightarrow Q = (Q)$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -expansions. Thus, every coarse shape morphism  $F^* : P \rightarrow Q$  is induced by a sequence of morphisms  $f^n : P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, any two such sequences  $(f^n), (f'^n)$  induce the same coarse shape morphism if and only if  $f^n = f'^n$  for almost all  $n$ . This implies that there is a surjection

$$(\mathcal{C}(P, Q))^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(P, Q)$$

of the set of all sequences of  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $P \rightarrow Q$  onto the set of all coarse shape morphisms  $P \rightarrow Q$ . Finally, one can readily see that if  $F^* : P \rightarrow Q$  is induced by  $(f^n)$  and  $G^* : Q \rightarrow R$  is induced by  $(g^n)$ , then the composition  $G^*F^* : P \rightarrow R$  is induced by  $(g^n f^n)$ .

CLAIM 3. *For every pair  $P, Q \in Ob\mathcal{D}$ , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $P$  and  $Q$  are isomorphic objects in  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- (ii)  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same abstract shape;
- (iii)  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same abstract coarse shape.

PROOF. The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is the well known fact. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows by the functor  $J_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} : Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})} \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ . Let  $P, Q \in Ob\mathcal{D}$  have the same coarse shape. Then there exists a pair of coarse shape isomorphisms  $F^* : P \rightarrow Q, G^* : Q \rightarrow P$  such that  $G^*F^* = 1_P^*$  and  $F^*G^* = 1_Q^*$  in  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ . By the above consideration, there exist sequences  $(f^n)$  and  $(g^n)$  of morphisms  $f^n : P \rightarrow Q$  and  $g^n : Q \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which induce  $F^*$  and  $G^*$  respectively. Furthermore, the sequences  $(g^n f^n)$  and  $(f^n g^n)$  induce  $1_P^*$  and  $1_Q^*$ . Since the constant sequences  $(1_P)$  and  $(1_Q)$  also induce  $1_P^*$  and  $1_Q^*$  respectively, Claim 2 implies that  $g^n f^n = 1_P$  and  $f^n g^n = 1_Q$  for almost all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $P$  and  $Q$  are isomorphic objects of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and thus, (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).  $\square$

## 5. AN APPLICATION

In [3], K. Borsuk had defined the relation of quasi-equivalence  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  of compacta in terms of sequences of fundamental sequences between compacta lying in AR-spaces. In order to characterize this relation in a category framework, the second named author adapted in [20] the original definitions in terms of

the Mardešić-Segal shape theory [15]. Let us briefly sketch the indispensable definitions and facts from [20].

Let  $\mathbf{f} = (f, [f_j])$ ,  $\mathbf{f}' = (f', [f'_j]) \in \text{tow-HcM}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  and let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}$  is said to be *s-homotopic to  $\mathbf{f}'$* , denoted by  $\mathbf{f} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}'$ , provided

$$(\forall j \in [1, s]_{\mathbb{N}})(\exists i = i(j) \geq f(j), f'(j)) [f_j][p_{f(j)i}] = [f'_j][p_{f'(j)i}].$$

Observe that  $\mathbf{f} \simeq \mathbf{f}'$  if and only if  $\mathbf{f} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}'$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\simeq$  is the usual homotopy (equivalence) relation of morphisms of inverse sequences. Then,

- (i) for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , the relation  $\simeq_s$  is an equivalence relation on each set  $\text{tow-HcM}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ ;
- (ii)  $(\forall s' \leq s) (\mathbf{f} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}' \Rightarrow \mathbf{f} \simeq_{s'} \mathbf{f}')$ .

Moreover, for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , the relation  $\simeq_s$  is compatible with respect to the composition to the right, i.e.,

$$(\forall \mathbf{h} : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{f} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}' \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}\mathbf{h} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{h}).$$

On the other side, if  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $\mathbf{f} \simeq_s \mathbf{f}'$  implies  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} \simeq_{s'} \mathbf{g}\mathbf{f}'$  whenever  $g[[1, s']_{\mathbb{N}}] \subseteq [1, s]_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be compact ANR inverse sequences. Then  $\mathbf{X}$  is said to be *quasi-equivalent to  $\mathbf{Y}$* , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$ , provided for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist morphisms  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  such that  $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f} \simeq_n 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g} \simeq_n 1_{\mathbf{Y}}$ .

This relation  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  is an isomorphism invariant relation. By [20, Theorem 3.1] *if  $X, Y$  are compact metrizable spaces and if  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  are arbitrary with them associated compact ANR inverse sequences respectively (via the inverse limits), then*

$$X \stackrel{q}{\simeq} Y \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}.$$

Consequently, a compactum  $X$  is quasi-equivalent to a compactum  $Y$  (in the sense of Borsuk),  $X \stackrel{q}{\simeq} Y$ , if and only if, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist morphisms  $\mathbf{f}^n : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{g}^n : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  such that  $\mathbf{g}^n \mathbf{f}^n \simeq_n 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}^n \mathbf{g}^n \simeq_n 1_{\mathbf{Y}}$ . One may assume, without loss of generality, that all the morphisms realizing the relations  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  are simple. We may also assume that  $n' \geq n$  implies  $\mathbf{f}^{n'} \geq \mathbf{f}^n$  and  $\mathbf{g}^{n'} \geq \mathbf{g}^n$ . Further, it is obvious that the defining conditions for  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  can be relaxed to the conditions  $\mathbf{g}^n \mathbf{f}^n \simeq_{s_n} 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}^n \mathbf{g}^n \simeq_{t_n} 1_{\mathbf{Y}}$  respectively, where  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  are increasing unbounded sequences in  $\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ .

In [20] is constructed a certain category  $\underline{\mathcal{K}}$  which describes the relation  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  by means of an appropriate relation on the morphisms of  $\underline{\mathcal{K}}$ . The objects of  $\underline{\mathcal{K}}$  are all compact ANR inverse sequences, while

$$\underline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \{F = (\mathbf{f}^n) \mid \mathbf{f}^n \in \text{tow-HcM}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{ simple}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The composition in  $Mor\mathcal{K}$  is the coordinatewise composition, i.e.,

$$GF = (\mathbf{g}^n \mathbf{f}^n) = ((f^n g^n, [g_k^n f_{g^n(k)}^n])),$$

while the identity morphism on an object  $\mathbf{X} \in Ob\mathcal{K}$  is  $1_{\mathbf{X}} = (1_{\mathbf{X}}^n)$ , where  $1_{\mathbf{X}}^n = 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A morphism  $F = (\mathbf{f}^n) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  is said to be *quasi-homotopic* to a morphism  $F' = (\mathbf{f}'^n) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ , denoted by  $F \stackrel{q}{\simeq} F'$ , provided there exists an increasing and unbounded sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{f}^n \simeq_{s_n} \mathbf{f}'^n$ , whenever  $s_n > 0$ . The quasi-homotopy relation  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  is an equivalence relation on each set  $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . It is also natural from the right, i.e.,

$$(\forall H \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}))(\forall F, F' \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}))(F \stackrel{q}{\simeq} F' \Rightarrow FH \stackrel{q}{\simeq} F'H).$$

Unfortunately, the quasi-homotopy relation  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  is not natural from the left, so there is no corresponding quotient category. Nevertheless, by [20, Theorem 3.27],

$\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  if and only if there exist morphisms  $F \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$   
and  $G \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})$  such that  $GF \stackrel{q}{\simeq} 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $FG \stackrel{q}{\simeq} 1_{\mathbf{Y}}$ .

It was also shown in [20] that for a slight strengthening of the Borsuk quasi-equivalence, reinterpreted as above, there exists a complete category characterization. Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be inverse sequences in  $HcANR$ . Then  $\mathbf{X}$  is said to be  $\bar{q}$ -equivalent to  $\mathbf{Y}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{\bar{q}}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$ , provided  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  and there exists a pair  $F = (\mathbf{f}^n), G = (\mathbf{g}^n)$  of morphisms realizing this relation in the category  $\mathcal{K}$  such that, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequences  $(f^n(j))$  and  $(g^n(i))$  are bounded.

For a pair  $X, Y$  of compacta, we define  $X \stackrel{\bar{q}}{\simeq} Y$  provided  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{\bar{q}}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  for some (equivalently, any) pair  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  of the associated compact ANR inverse sequences.

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{K}$  consisting of  $Ob\bar{\mathcal{K}} = Ob\mathcal{K}$  and of  $Mor\bar{\mathcal{K}} \subseteq Mor\mathcal{K}$  such that each  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  consists of all the morphisms  $F = (\mathbf{f}^n)$ , where all  $\mathbf{f}^n = (f^n, [f_j^n])$  have a unique index function  $f = f^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such a morphism is denoted by  $F = (f, \mathbf{f}^n)$ . The key fact is that the quasi-homotopy relation  $\stackrel{q}{\simeq}$  is a natural equivalence relation on  $Mor\bar{\mathcal{K}}$ . Therefore, there exists the corresponding quotient category  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}/\stackrel{q}{\simeq} \equiv \bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Moreover, the quotient category  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$  yields the associated category  $\mathcal{Q}$  on compacta such that

$$Ob\mathcal{Q} = Ob(cM) \text{ and } \mathcal{Q}(X, Y) \approx \bar{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}),$$

where  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  are any compact ANR inverse sequences associated with  $X, Y$  respectively. (For given pair  $X, Y$ , any set  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  may represent  $\mathcal{Q}(X, Y)$ .) An important result is the following one ([20, Theorem 6]):

$\mathbf{X} \stackrel{\bar{q}}{\simeq} \mathbf{Y}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

Consequently, for every pair  $X, Y$  of compacta,  $X \stackrel{q}{\cong} Y$  if and only if  $X \cong Y$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Moreover ([20, Theorem 7]), there exist functors

$$Q : HcM \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \Gamma : Sh(cM) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q},$$

which keep the objects fixed and  $\Gamma S = Q$ , where  $S : HcM \rightarrow Sh(cM)$  is the ordinary shape functor.

According to [20, Remark 8(b)], the quasi-homotopy relation admits a slight strengthening in the following way. A morphism  $F = (f, \mathbf{f}^n) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  is said to be *uniformly* quasi-homotopic to a morphism  $F' = (f', \mathbf{f}'^n) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ , denoted by  $F \stackrel{q^*}{\cong} F'$ , provided  $F \stackrel{q}{\cong} F'$  and there exists a sequence  $(i_j)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $i_j \geq f(j), f'(j)$ , such that

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\forall j \in [1, s_n]_{\mathbb{N}}) [f_j^n][p_{f(j)i_j}] = [f_j'^n][p_{f'(j)i_j}],$$

where  $(s_n)$  is a realizing sequence for  $F \stackrel{q}{\cong} F'$ . It is readily seen that  $\stackrel{q^*}{\cong}$  is a natural equivalence relation on  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ . Thus, there exist the corresponding quotient category

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}} /_{\stackrel{q^*}{\cong}} \equiv \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$$

and the associated category  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  on compacta. Further, there exist functors

$$Q^* : HcM \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^* \text{ and } \Gamma^* : Sh(cM) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^*,$$

which keep the objects fixed and  $\Gamma^* S = Q^*$ . Moreover, there exists a functor

$$\Pi : \mathcal{Q}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q},$$

such that  $Q = \Pi Q^*$  and  $\Gamma = \Pi \Gamma^*$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  be a pair of compact ANR inverse sequences. Then,  $\mathbf{X}$  is said to be  $q^*$ -equivalent to  $\mathbf{Y}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \stackrel{q^*}{\cong} \mathbf{Y}$ , provided there exists a pair of morphisms  $F : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, G : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  such that  $GF \stackrel{q^*}{\cong} 1_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $FG \stackrel{q^*}{\cong} 1_{\mathbf{Y}}$ . Clearly, this means  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$ . Let the  $q^*$ -equivalence of compacta be the induced equivalence relation in the category  $\mathcal{Q}^*$ .

We want to relate the categories  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$  and  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  to our categories  $tow^*$ - $HcANR$  and  $Sh^*(cM)$  respectively.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *The category  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$  is isomorphic to the subcategory  $tow_{\omega}^*$ - $HcANR \subseteq tow^*$ - $HcANR$ . Consequently, the category  $\mathcal{Q}^*$  is isomorphic to the corresponding subcategory  $Sh_{\omega}^*(cM)$  of the coarse shape category  $Sh^*(cM)$  of compacta.*

**PROOF.** The object classes of  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$  and of  $tow_{\omega}^*$ - $HcANR$  coincide: It is the class of all compact ANR inverse sequences  $\mathbf{X}$  having the homotopy classes of mappings to be the bonding morphisms. A morphism  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  is the equivalence class  $[F]_{\stackrel{q^*}{\cong}}$ , where  $F = (f, \mathbf{f}^n) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . Observe that  $(f, \mathbf{f}^n) = (f, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  is a commutative  $S^*$ -mapping, i.e.,  $F = (f, [f_j^n])$

is also a morphism in the category  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ . Further, the homotopy relation  $\overset{q^*}{\simeq}$  guarantees that  $F \overset{q^*}{\simeq} F' = (f', \mathbf{f}'^n)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  implies  $(f, [f_j^n]) \simeq (f', [f_j'^n])$  in  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ . (Put  $\sigma(j) = i_j$  and  $\chi(n) = s_n$ .) However, the converse holds too. Namely, a commutative  $S^*$ -mapping  $(f, [f_j^n])$  in  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  is also the morphism  $(f, \mathbf{f}^n) = F$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^*$ , and  $(f, [f_j^n]) \simeq (f', [f_j'^n])$  in  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  implies  $(f, \mathbf{f}^n) = F \overset{q^*}{\simeq} F' = (f', \mathbf{f}'^n)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ . (Put  $i_j = \sigma(j)$  and  $s_n = \chi(n)$ .) Finally, it is obvious that  $(fg, \mathbf{g}^n \mathbf{f}^n)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  becomes  $(fg, [g_k^n][f_{g(k)}^n])$  in  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ , and that  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbf{1}_X^n)$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  becomes  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, [1_{X_j}^n])$  in  $(HcANR^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ . Therefore, there exists a functor  $\overline{\Phi} : \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^* \rightarrow \text{tow}_\omega^* \text{-} HcANR$ , defined by  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$  and  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{f}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, [f_j^n])]$ ,  $(f, [f_j^n]) = (f, \mathbf{f}^n) = F$ ,  $[F]_{\overset{q^*}{\simeq}} = \mathbf{F}$ , which is an isomorphism of the categories. The statement for the categories on compacta follows immediately.  $\square$

The next corollary relates the coarse shape classification of compacta to the classification in the subcategory  $Sh_\omega^*(cM)$ .

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *The isomorphism classification in the subcategory  $Sh_\omega^*(cM)$  is strictly finer than the isomorphism (coarse shape type) classification in  $Sh^*(cM)$ , i.e., for every pair  $X, Y$  of metric compacta,  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$  implies  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$ , while there exists such a pair so that  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  and  $Sh_\omega^*(X) \neq Sh_\omega^*(Y)$ .*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$  implies  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$ . By Corollary 3.12,  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  is equivalent to  $S^*(X) = S^*(Y)$ , and by Theorem 5.1,  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$  is equivalent to  $X \overset{q^*}{\simeq} Y$ . Now, if  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  would imply  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$ , then  $S^*(X) = S^*(Y)$  would imply  $X \overset{q^*}{\simeq} Y$ , which contradicts [22, Corollary 7].  $\square$

One can now see that the coarse shape is indeed coarser than the shape:

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *For every pair  $X, Y$  of metric compacta,  $Sh(X) = Sh(Y)$  implies  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$ , while there exists such a pair so that  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  and  $Sh(X) \neq Sh(Y)$ .*

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $Sh(X) = Sh(Y)$  implies  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  as well as  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$ . If  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  would imply  $Sh(X) = Sh(Y)$ , then  $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$  would imply  $Sh_\omega^*(X) = Sh_\omega^*(Y)$ , which contradicts Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

At the end of this section, let us describe a coarse shape morphism  $F^* \in Sh^*(X, Y)$ , where  $Y$  has the homotopy type of a polyhedron (equivalently, an ANR) as well as  $Y$  has the coarse shape type of a polyhedron (compare Claim 1).

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse shape morphism of a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ , where  $Y$  has the homotopy type of a polyhedron. Then there exists a representative  $\mathbf{f}^* \in \text{pro}^*\text{-HPol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of  $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ , such that every representative  $(f, [f_\mu^n]) \in \text{inv}^*\text{-HPol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, [f_\mu^n])]$  consists of a sequence of homotopy class  $[f_\lambda^n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of a single term  $X_\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  to the polyhedron. Therefore,  $F^*$  is represented by a sequence  $([h^n])$  of the homotopy classes  $[h^n] : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , uniformly factorizing through  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $q : Q \rightarrow Y$  be a homotopy equivalence, where  $Q$  is a polyhedron. Then  $\mathbf{q} = ([q_\mu] = [q]^{-1}) : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Q_\mu = Q, q_{\mu\mu'} = 1_Q, M = \{\mu\})$  is a *HPol*-expansion of  $Y$ . Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse shape morphism. Then there exists a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  of *pro*<sup>\*</sup>-*HPol* such that  $F = \langle \mathbf{f}^* \rangle$ . Let  $(f, [f_\mu^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in *inv*<sup>\*</sup>-*HPol* be any representative of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y} = (Q)$  is a rudimentary system, the index function  $f$  is a constant function of the singleton  $M = \{\mu\}$  to  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the homotopy classes  $[f_\mu^n]$  are a single homotopy class  $[f_\lambda^n] : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q$ . Finally, put  $[h^n] = [qf_\lambda^n p_\lambda]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse shape morphism of a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ , where  $Y$  has the coarse shape type of a polyhedron. Then there exists a representative  $\mathbf{f}^* \in \text{pro}^*\text{-HPol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of  $F^*$  such that, for every representative  $(f, [f_\mu^n]) \in \text{inv}^*\text{-HPol}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ , the index function  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -constant and all  $[f_\mu^n] : X_\lambda \rightarrow Y_\mu$  factorize through the polyhedron. Therefore,  $F^*$  is represented by a family  $([h_\mu^n])$  of the homotopy classes  $[h_\mu^n] : X \rightarrow Y_\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \in M$ , uniformly factorizing through  $\mathbf{p} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  and through the polyhedron.*

PROOF. Let  $G^* : Q \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse shape isomorphism, where  $Q$  is a polyhedron. Then there exists a representative  $\mathbf{g}^* : (Q_\nu = Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  of  $G^*$  such that, for every representative  $(g, [g_\mu^n])$  of  $\mathbf{g}^*$ ,  $g$  is the constant function and  $[g_\mu^n] : Q \rightarrow Y_\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \in M$ . Let  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$  be a coarse shape morphism. Then  $F^* = G^*H^*$ , where  $H^* = (G^*)^{-1}F^* : X \rightarrow Q$ . By Proposition 5.4, there exists a representative  $\mathbf{h}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow (Q)$  of  $H^*$  such that every its representative  $(h, [h_\nu^n])$  consists of a sequence of homotopy classes  $[h^n] : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with a fixed  $\lambda$ . Thus, every representative of  $\mathbf{f}^* \equiv \mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{h}^* \in F^*$  is a family  $([f_\mu^n])$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \in M$ , where  $f_\mu^n = g_\mu^n h^n : X_\lambda \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Y_\mu$  for a unique  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Finally, put  $h_\mu^n = f_\mu^n p_\lambda : X \rightarrow Y_\mu$ .  $\square$

REMARK 5.6. Concerning Proposition 5.4, recall that a shape morphism  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $Y \simeq Q$ , is represented by a unique homotopy class  $[h_\lambda] = [qf_\lambda p_\lambda] : X \rightarrow Y$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 5.5, a coarse shape morphism  $F^* : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $Sh(Y) = Sh(Q)$ , is represented by a family  $([f_\mu])_{\mu \in M} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ , such that every  $[f_\mu] : X \rightarrow Y_\mu$  factorizes through a unique  $X_\lambda$  and through  $Q$ . Further, according to [21, Lemma 3 and Remark 3(a)], since the same shape type implies the same coarse shape type, Proposition 5.5 applies to FANR's.

6. A COARSE SHAPE ISOMORPHISM

In this section, we are going to establish an analogue of the well known Morita lemma [17], which should characterize a coarse shape isomorphism in an elegant and rather operative manner. According to the “reindexing theorem” (Theorem 3.27) and definition of the abstract coarse shape category  $Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*$ , it suffices to characterize an isomorphism  $\mathbf{f}^* \in pro^*\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  which admits a level representative  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $inv^*\mathcal{D}$ . In the case of inverse sequences, a strictly increasing simple representative will do. Since the characterization does not depend on the objects of  $\mathcal{D}$ , we shall consider such  $\mathbf{f}^*$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  as well as in  $tow^*\mathcal{C}$ .

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\lambda, q_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$  be inverse systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  over the same index set. Let a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  admit a level representative  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism if and only if, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exist  $\lambda' \geq \lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ , there exists a morphism  $h_\lambda^{n'} : Y_{\lambda'} \rightarrow X_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so that the following diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_\lambda & \longleftarrow & X_{\lambda'} \\ f_\lambda^{n'} \downarrow & h_\lambda^{n'} \swarrow & \downarrow f_{\lambda'}^{n'} \\ Y_\lambda & \longleftarrow & Y_{\lambda'} \end{array} .$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be an isomorphism in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  which admits a level representative  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$ . Let  $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g, g_\lambda^n)] : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be the inverse of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ , i.e.,

$$(g, g_\lambda^n)(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n) \sim (1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}) \wedge (1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)(g, g_\lambda^n) \sim (1_\Lambda, 1_{Y_\lambda}).$$

Given any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , choose  $\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2 \in \Lambda$  according to the above equivalence relations. Then there exists  $\lambda' \geq \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2$ . Thus  $\lambda' \geq \lambda, g(\lambda)$ . Further, choose  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  according to the above equivalence relations and the given  $\lambda$ . Since  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, for the pair  $g(\lambda) \leq \lambda'$ , there exists  $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the appropriate commutativity condition holds. Put  $n = \max\{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$ . Let us define, for every  $n' \geq n$ , a morphism  $h_\lambda^{n'} : Y_{\lambda'} \rightarrow X_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  by putting

$$h_\lambda^{n'} = g_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda'}.$$

We are proving that diagram (4) commutes. First, according to the second equivalence relation,

$$f_\lambda^{n'} h_\lambda^{n'} = f_\lambda^{n'} g_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda'} = q_{\lambda\lambda'}.$$

Thus, the left (lower) triangle in (4) commutes. Further, since  $n' \geq n_3$ ,

$$h_\lambda^{n'} f_{\lambda'}^{n'} = g_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda'} f_{\lambda'}^{n'} = g_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda)\lambda'},$$

while, according to the first equivalence relation,

$$g_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda)\lambda'} = p_{\lambda\lambda'}.$$

Therefore,

$$h_\lambda^{n'} f_{\lambda'}^{n'} = p_{\lambda\lambda'},$$

which proves commutativity of the right (upper) triangle in (4).

Conversely, suppose that a morphism  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  fulfils the condition of the theorem. Let  $g : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  be defined by that condition, i.e.,  $g(\lambda) = \lambda' \geq \lambda$ . Further, given any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , choose  $n = n_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  by the condition. Let us define, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , a morphism  $g_\lambda^n : Y_{g(\lambda)} \rightarrow X_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  by putting

$$g_\lambda^n = \begin{cases} h_\lambda^{n_\lambda}; & n < n_\lambda \\ h_\lambda^n; & n \geq n_\lambda \end{cases},$$

where  $h_\lambda^n$  comes from the condition. We have to prove that  $(g, g_\lambda^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism. Let a pair  $\lambda \leq \lambda'$  be given. Choose  $\lambda_0 \geq g(\lambda), g(\lambda')$  and put  $\lambda_1 = g(\lambda_0)$ . Since  $(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism, for the pairs  $g(\lambda) \leq \lambda_0$  and  $g(\lambda') \leq \lambda_0$ , there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the appropriate commutativity conditions hold respectively. Put

$$n = \max \{n_\lambda, n_{\lambda'}, n_{\lambda_0}, n_1, n_2\}.$$

For every  $n' \geq n$ , consider the following diagram:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X_\lambda & \longleftarrow & X_{\lambda'} & \longleftarrow & X_{g(\lambda')} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & X_{g(\lambda)} & & Y_{g(\lambda')} & & X_{\lambda_0} \\ & & \downarrow & & \longleftarrow & & \downarrow \\ & \swarrow & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & Y_{g(\lambda)} & & \longleftarrow & & Y_{\lambda_0} & \longleftarrow & Y_{\lambda_1} \end{array}$$

We are going to prove, by chasing diagram (5), that

$$(6) \quad g_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda_1} = p_{\lambda\lambda'} g_{\lambda'}^{n'} q_{g(\lambda')\lambda_1}.$$

Since  $n' \geq n_{\lambda_0}$ , the condition of the theorem implies

$$(7) \quad g_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda_1} = h_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda_0} f_{\lambda_0}^{n'} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'}.$$

Since  $n' \geq n_1$ ,

$$(8) \quad h_\lambda^{n'} q_{g(\lambda)\lambda_0} f_{\lambda_0}^{n'} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'} = h_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda)\lambda_0} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'}.$$

Since  $n' \geq n_\lambda, n_{\lambda'}$ , the condition of the theorem implies

$$(9) \quad h_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda)\lambda_0} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'} = p_{\lambda\lambda_0} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'} = p_{\lambda\lambda'} h_{\lambda'}^{n'} f_{g(\lambda')}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda')\lambda_0} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'}.$$

Since  $n' \geq n_2$ ,

$$(10) \quad p_{\lambda\lambda'} h_{\lambda'}^{n'} f_{g(\lambda')}^{n'} p_{g(\lambda')\lambda_0} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'} = p_{\lambda\lambda'} h_{\lambda'}^{n'} q_{g(\lambda')\lambda_0} f_{\lambda_0}^{n'} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'}.$$



Finally, since  $n' \geq n_{\lambda_0}$ , the condition of the theorem implies

$$(11) \quad p_{\lambda\lambda'} h_{\lambda'}^{n'} q_{g(\lambda')\lambda_0} f_{\lambda_0}^{n'} h_{\lambda_0}^{n'} = p_{\lambda\lambda'} h_{\lambda'}^{n'} q_{g(\lambda')g(\lambda_0)} = p_{\lambda\lambda'} g_{\lambda'}^{n'} q_{g(\lambda')\lambda_1}.$$

Now, by combining (7), (8), (9), (10) and (11), one establishes (6), which proves that  $(g, g_\lambda^n)$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism. Moreover, by the condition of the theorem, it is readily seen that, for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and every  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n' \geq n_\lambda$ ,

$$g_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} = h_\lambda^{n'} f_{g(\lambda)}^{n'} = p_{\lambda g(\lambda)} \wedge f_\lambda^{n'} g_\lambda^{n'} = f_\lambda^{n'} h_\lambda^{n'} = q_{\lambda g(\lambda)}.$$

This shows that

$$(g, g_\lambda^n)(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n) \sim (1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}) \wedge (1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)(g, g_\lambda^n) \sim (1_\Lambda, 1_{Y_\lambda}),$$

which means that  $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g, g_\lambda^n)] : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is the inverse of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

REMARK 6.2. (a) Let us consider  $pro\mathcal{C}$  to be a subcategory of  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  (see Proposition 3.24). Then, in  $pro\mathcal{C}$ , Theorem 5.1 allows to put  $n_\lambda = 1$  for every  $\lambda$ . Consequently, for each  $\lambda$ , the sequence  $(h_\lambda^n)$  reduces to a single morphism  $h_\lambda$ . Thus, Theorem 5.1 in the subcategory  $pro\mathcal{C}$  becomes the original Morita lemma.

(b) One can easily verify that the condition (of Theorem 5.1) characterizing an isomorphism may be reduced to a cofinal subset  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ . Thus, the following corollary holds.

COROLLARY 6.3. *If  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(1_\Lambda, f_\lambda^n)] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*\mathcal{C}$  admits a cofinal subset  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$  such that, for every  $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that, for every  $n' \geq n$ ,  $f_{\lambda'}^{n'}$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism.*

According to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Definition 3.3, one can characterize an  $S^*$ -morphism  $(f, f_j^n)$  in any category  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  in the “original” terms of a commutativity radius  $\gamma$ . Further, by the proof of Theorem 3.2 and Definition 3.8, the equivalence relation  $(f, f_j^n) \sim (f', f_j^{n'})$  in any category  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$  can be characterized in the “original” terms of a shift function  $\sigma$  and a homotopy radius  $\chi$ . Concerning isomorphisms of inverse sequences, this provides a very useful sufficient condition by means of a simple representative (see Proposition 3.11):

THEOREM 6.4. *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, p_{ii'})$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, q_{jj''})$  be inverse sequences in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a morphism in  $tow^*\mathcal{C}$  and let  $(f, f_j^n)$  be any simple representative of  $\mathbf{f}^*$  with a commutativity radius  $\gamma$  and  $f$  strictly increasing. If for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, \gamma(n) - 1$ , there exists a morphism  $h_j^n : Y_{j+1} \rightarrow X_{f(j)}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{f(j)} & \longleftarrow & X_{f(j+1)} \\ f_j^n \downarrow & h_j^n \swarrow & \downarrow f_{j+1}^n \\ Y_j & \longleftarrow & Y_{j+1} \end{array}$$

*commutes, then  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism in  $tow^*\mathcal{C}$ .*

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$ , then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $j' \geq j$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n' \geq n$ , there exists a morphism  $h_j^{n'} : Y_{j'} \rightarrow X_{f(j)}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  so that the following diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{f(j)} & \longleftarrow & X_{f(j')} \\ f_j^{n'} \downarrow & h_j^{n'} \searrow & \downarrow f_{j'}^{n'} \\ Y_j & \longleftarrow & Y_{j'} \end{array}$$

PROOF. Since  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is strictly increasing, one can define  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by putting

$$g(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in [1, f(1)]_{\mathbb{N}} \\ j + 1, & i \in [f(j) + 1, f(j + 1)]_{\mathbb{N}}, j \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\gamma(n) = 1$ , put  $g_i^n : Y_{g(i)} \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , to be arbitrary morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\gamma(n) > 1$ , then the compositions of the existing morphisms  $h_j^n : Y_{j+1} \rightarrow X_{f(j)}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, \gamma(n) - 1$ , and the appropriate bonding morphisms  $p_{ii'}$  determine morphisms  $g_i^n : Y_{g(i)} \rightarrow X_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, f(\gamma(n) - 1)$ . Observe that  $g_1^n, \dots, g_{f(\gamma(n)-1)}^n$  commute with the corresponding bonding morphisms  $p_{ii'}$  and  $q_{jj'}$ . If  $i > f(\gamma(n) - 1)$ , put  $g_i^n : Y_{g(i)} \rightarrow X_i$  to be arbitrary morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $(g, g_i^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is an  $S^*$ -morphism in  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$  having  $\gamma' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma'(n) = f(\gamma(n) - 1)$ , as a commutativity radius. (Since  $\gamma$  is increasing and unbounded and since  $f$  is strictly increasing, the function  $\gamma'$  is increasing and unbounded.) It is obvious now, by construction, that

$$(*) \quad (g, g_i^n)(f, f_j^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}^n) \wedge (f, f_j^n)(g, g_i^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{Y_j}^n).$$

Indeed, for the first relation, the shift function is  $\sigma = fg$  and the homotopy radius is  $\chi = f(\gamma - 1_{\mathbb{N}})$ , while for the second relation, the shift function is  $\sigma' = gf$  and the homotopy radius is  $\chi' = \gamma - 1_{\mathbb{N}}$ . This proves that  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{g}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ , where  $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g, g_i^n)]$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{f}^*$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g, g_i^n)] : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  be the inverse of  $\mathbf{f}^*$ . Let the equivalence relations  $(*)$  be realized via  $\sigma$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\sigma'$ ,  $\chi'$  respectively. Then, given  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $j' = \max\{\sigma(j), \sigma'(j)\}$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\chi'(n) \geq j'$  ( $\chi'$  is unbounded!). Finally, for every  $n' \geq n$ , put  $h_j^{n'} = g_{f(j)}^{n'} q_{gf(j)j'} : Y_{j'} \rightarrow X_{f(j)}$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Let us finally prove that the “ $S^*$ -condition” characterizes an isomorphic pair of inverse sequences in  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$  [16, Definition 6]).

**THEOREM 6.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, p_{ii'})$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, q_{jj'})$  be inverse sequences in a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\mathcal{C}$  if and only if the following “ $S^*$ -condition” is fulfilled:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall j_1 \in \mathbb{N})(\exists i_1 \in \mathbb{N})(\forall i'_1 \geq i_1)(\exists j'_1 \geq j_1)(\forall j_2 \geq j'_1) \cdots \\ & (\forall j_{k+1} \geq j'_k)(\exists i_{k+1} \geq i'_k)(\forall i'_{k+1} \geq i_{k+1})(\exists j'_{k+1} \geq j_{k+1}) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $f_k^n : X_{i_k} \rightarrow Y_{j_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $g_l^n : Y_{j_l} \rightarrow X_{i_l}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $n > 1$ , such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} X_{i_1} & \longleftarrow & X_{i'_1} & \longleftarrow & X_{i_2} & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & X_{i'_{n-1}} & \longleftarrow & X_{i_n} \\ f_1^n \downarrow & & \uparrow g_1^n & & \downarrow f_2^n & & & & \uparrow g_{n-1}^n & & \downarrow f_n^n \\ Y_{j_1} & \longleftarrow & Y_{j'_1} & \longleftarrow & Y_{j_2} & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & Y_{j'_{n-1}} & \longleftarrow & Y_{j_n} \end{array} .$$

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist isomorphisms  $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{g}^* : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  such that  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{g}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Y}}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 3.11, there exist simple representatives  $(f', f_j'^n)$  and  $(g', g_i'^n)$  of  $\mathbf{f}^*$  and  $\mathbf{g}^*$  respectively, such that the index functions  $f'$  and  $g'$  are strictly increasing. Let the equivalence relations

$$(g', g_i'^n)(f', f_j'^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}) \text{ and } (f', f_j'^n)(g', g_i'^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{Y_j})$$

be realized via  $(\sigma, \eta)$  and  $(\sigma', \eta')$  respectively. We are to prove that the “ $S^*$ -condition” for  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  holds. Given  $j_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $i_1 = f(j_1)$ . For every  $i'_1 \geq i_1$ , put  $j'_1 = \max\{\sigma'(j_1), g'(i'_1)\}$ . Suppose that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the indices  $i_1, j'_1, \dots, i'_k, j'_k$  are defined. Given  $j_{k+1} \geq j'_k$ , put  $i_{k+1} = \max\{\sigma(i'_k), f'(j_{k+1})\}$ , and for every  $i'_{k+1} \geq i'_k$ , put  $j'_{k+1} = \max\{\sigma'(j_{k+1}), g'(i'_{k+1})\}$ . Observe that, for  $(f', f_j'^n)$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(n_j)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_j'^n p_{f'(j')f'(j)} = q_{j'j} f_j'^n$$

whenever  $j' \leq j$  and  $n \geq n_j$ . (Indeed, if  $\gamma$  is a commutativity radius for  $(f', f_j'^n)$ , then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n \equiv n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma(n) \geq j$ .) In the same way, for  $(g', g_i'^n)$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(n'_i)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$g_i'^n q_{g'(i')g'(i)} = p_{i'i} g_i'^n$$

whenever  $i' \leq i$  and  $n \geq n'_i$ . Given any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} m'_n &= \max\{n_{j_n}, n'_{i'_{n-1}}\}, \\ m''_n &= \max\{\eta(i'_l), \eta'(j_k) \mid l = 1, \dots, n-1, k = 1, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

and choose  $m \geq m_n = \max\{m'_n, m''_n\}$ . Now, for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , put

$$f_k^n = f_{j_k}^m p_{f'(j_k)i_k} : X_{i_k} \rightarrow Y_{j_k},$$

and, for every  $l = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $n > 1$ , put

$$g_l^n = g_{i'_l}^m q_{g'(i'_l)j'_l} : Y_{j'_l} \rightarrow X_{i'_l}.$$

A straightforward verification shows that, for every  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $n > 1$ ,

$$f_k^n p_{i_k i'_k} g_k^n = q_{j_k j'_k},$$

and, for every  $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$g_l^n q_{j'_l j_{l+1}} f_l^n = p_{i'_l i_{l+1}}.$$

Thus, diagram (12) in  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes. Conversely, let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be inverse sequences in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the “ $S^*$ -condition”. Then, for  $j_1 = 1$  choose  $i_1$ , and for  $i'_1 = i_1 + 1$  choose  $j'_1 \geq j_1$ ;  $\dots$ ; for  $j_{k+1} = j'_k + 1$  choose  $i_{k+1} \geq i'_k$ , and for  $i'_{k+1} = i_{k+1} + 1$  choose  $j'_{k+1} \geq j_{k+1}$ ;  $\dots$ . Further, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist morphisms  $f_k^n : X_{i_k} \rightarrow Y_{j_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $g_k^n : Y_{j'_k} \rightarrow X_{i'_k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the corresponding diagram (12) commutes. Let us define functions  $f', g' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , by putting  $f'(j) = i_k$  whenever  $j_{k-1} < j \leq j_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $j_0 = 0$ ), and  $g'(i) = j'_l$  whenever  $i'_{l-1} < i \leq i'_l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $i'_0 = 0$ ). Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $j_{k-1} < j \leq j_k$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$f_j^n = q_{jj_k} f_k^n : X_{f'(j)} \rightarrow Y_j,$$

and for every  $j > j_n$ , let  $f_j^n$  be any  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $X_{f'(j)} \rightarrow Y_j$ . (The “ $S^*$ -condition” assures that the set  $\mathcal{C}(X_{f'(j)}, Y_j)$  is not empty!) Further, let the  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphisms  $g_i^{l1} : Y_{g'(i)} \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be chosen arbitrarily (the set  $\mathcal{C}(Y_{g'(i)}, X_i)$  is not empty), while for  $n > 1$ ,  $i'_{l-1} < i \leq i'_l$  and  $l = 1, \dots, n-1$ , let

$$g_i^n = p_{ii'_k} g_k^n : Y_{g'(i)} \rightarrow X_i,$$

and for  $i > i'_{n-1}$ , let  $g_i^n$  be any  $\mathcal{C}$ -morphism  $Y_{g'(i)} \rightarrow X_i$  (the set  $\mathcal{C}(Y_{g'(i)}, X_i)$  is not empty). It is readily seen that  $(f', f_j^n) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  and  $(g', g_i^n) : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  are  $S^*$ -morphisms, i.e., the morphisms of  $(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}})^*$ . It is obvious by construction that  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma(n) = j_n$ , is a commutativity radius for  $(f', f_j^n)$  as well as that  $\gamma' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma'(1) = 1$  and  $\gamma'(n) = i'_{n-1}$ ,  $n > 1$ , is a commutativity radius for  $(g', g_i^n)$ . Put  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f', f_j^n)]$  and  $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g', g_i^n)]$ . Let us define  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by putting  $\sigma(i) = i_{l+1}$ , whenever  $i'_{l-1} < i \leq i'_l$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let us define  $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  by putting  $\chi(n) = i'_{n-1}$ . Then, a straightforward examination shows that  $\sigma$  is a shift function and  $\chi$  is a homotopy radius for the equivalence of  $S^*$ -morphisms  $(f'g', g_i^n f_{g'(i)}^n), (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ , i.e.,

$$(g', g_i^n)(f', f_j^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{X_i}).$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{g}^* \mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly,

$$(f', f_j^n)(g', g_i^n) \sim (1_{\mathbb{N}}, 1_{Y_j})$$

holds via  $(\sigma', \chi')$ , where  $\sigma' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sigma'(j) = j'_k$ ,  $j_{k-1} < j \leq j_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\chi' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\chi'(n) = j_{n-1}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{f}^* \mathbf{g}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{Y}}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $\text{tow}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

## 7. THE EXAMPLES

It is clear that isomorphic inverse systems in  $\text{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{C}$  are also isomorphic in  $\text{pro}^*\text{-}\mathcal{C}$ . We shall now show that the converse does not hold. This will indicate that, beside the shape theory, the coarse shape theory might be a non artificial and useful new one, and therefore, a new geometric (and algebraic - by passing to  $\text{pro}^*\text{-Grp}$ ) tool for studying and classifying locally bad spaces. The first example is constructed for the pair  $\text{pro}\text{-Grp} \subseteq \text{pro}^*\text{-Grp}$ .

EXAMPLE 7.1. Let  $\mathbf{G} = (G_i, p_{ii'})$  and  $\mathbf{H} = (H_j, q_{jj'})$  be inverse sequences of groups  $G_i = H_j = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and homomorphisms  $p_{ii'} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $q_{jj'} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  defined via  $p_{ii+1}$  and  $q_{jj+1}$  respectively as follows:

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_{ii+1}$  is given by the integral matrix

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2^{4i} \end{bmatrix};$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q_{jj+1}$  is given by the integral matrix

$$Q_j = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2^{2j} & -2^{4j} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  are *not* isomorphic in  $pro\text{-}Grp$ , while  $\mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{H}$  in  $pro^*\text{-}Grp$  (actually, they are isomorphic in the subcategory  $tow^*\text{-}Grp$ ).

In order to prove the statement, let us first consider an arbitrary morphism  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  in  $pro\text{-}Grp$ . Let  $(f, f_j) : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  be a representative of  $\mathbf{f}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(f, f_j)$  is a simple morphism of inverse sequences in  $Grp$  with the strictly increasing index function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , and that each homomorphism  $f_j : G_{f(j)} = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 = H_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , is given by an integral matrix

$$F_j = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j & \gamma_j \\ \beta_j & \delta_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q_{jj+1}f_{j+1} = f_j p_{f(j)f(j+1)}$ , i.e.,

$$Q_j F_{j+1} = F_j P_{f(j)} \cdots P_{f(j+1)-1}.$$

This means

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2^{2j} & -2^{4j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j+1} & \gamma_{j+1} \\ \beta_{j+1} & \delta_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j & \gamma_j \\ \beta_j & \delta_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j \end{bmatrix} = P_{f(j)} \cdots P_{f(j+1)-1},$$

and  $\sigma_j = (-1)^{f(j+1)-f(j)} 2^{2k_j}$ ,  $k_j = (f(j+1) - 1)f(j+1) - (f(j) - 1)f(j)$ . It implies that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$-\alpha_{j+1} = \alpha_j, \quad 2^{2j} \alpha_{j+1} - 2^{4j} \beta_{j+1} = \beta_j.$$

Thus,  $\alpha_j = (-1)^j \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , while

$$\beta_{j+1} = \frac{(-1)^{j-1} 2^{2j} \alpha - \beta_j}{2^{4j}}.$$

This recursive relation admits the following estimation ( $\beta \equiv \beta_1$ )

$$|\beta_{j+1}| \leq \frac{(2^2 + 2^8 + \cdots + 2^{2j^2}) |\alpha| + |\beta|}{2^{2j(j+1)}}.$$

Then, clearly,

$$|\beta_{j+1}| < \frac{|\alpha|}{2^{2j-1}} + \frac{|\beta|}{2^{2j(j+1)}},$$

which implies that there exists  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that, for every  $j > j_0$ ,  $|\beta_j| < 1$ . Since each  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we infer that  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j > j_0$ . Then, by the recursive relation,  $\alpha = 0$  must hold. Therefore,  $\alpha_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . This further implies that  $\beta_{j_0} = \dots = \beta_1 = 0$ . Consequently, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the homomorphism  $f_j$  is represented by a singular integral matrix

$$F_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_j \\ 0 & \delta_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since all the bonding homomorphisms are represented by regular matrices ( $\det P_i \neq 0 \neq \det Q_j$ ),  $\mathbf{f}$  cannot be an isomorphism. Thus,  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  are not isomorphic in *pro-Grp*. (Moreover, neither  $\mathbf{G} \leq \mathbf{H}$  nor  $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$  in *pro-Grp* can hold.)

Let us now prove that the inverse sequences  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{H}$  are isomorphic in the subcategory *tow\*-Grp*  $\subseteq$  *pro\*-Grp*. Consider a level  $S^*$ -morphism  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, f_j^n) : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  defined by induction as follows:

If  $n = 1$ , let  $f_1^1 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  be any homomorphism, and let  $f_j^1 = 0 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  be the trivial homomorphism for every  $j > 1$ ; assume that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , all homomorphisms  $f_j^k$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined; let  $f_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1_{\mathbb{Z}^2} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  be the identity given by the identity matrix

$$F_{n+1}^{n+1} = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

let  $f_j^{n+1}$  be given by an integral matrix  $F_j^{n+1}$  defined inductively via the commutativity relation

$$F_j^{n+1} P_j = Q_j F_{j+1}^{n+1},$$

$j = n, \dots, 1$ , and let  $f_j^{n+1} = 0$  for all  $j > n + 1$ . One can verify, by a straightforward calculation, that all  $F_j^{n+1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $j = n, \dots, 1$ , exist. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2^{2n} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & F_{n-1}^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -(2^{2(n-1)} + 2^{6(n-1)+2}) & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ F_{n-2}^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2^{2(n-2)} + 2^{6(n-2)+2} + 2^{10(n-2)+8} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \\ F_1^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^n & 0 \\ (-1)^{n-1}(2^2 + 2^8 + \dots + 2^{2n^2}) & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity relations assure that  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, f_j^n) : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is indeed an  $S^*$ -morphism of pro-groups. Let us prove that  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(1_{\mathbb{N}}, f_j^n)] : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$  is an isomorphism of pro-groups in *pro\*-Grp*. According to Theorem 6.1, given any

$j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $j' = j + 1$  and  $n = j + 1$ . We have to prove that, for every  $n' \geq n$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h_j^{n'} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

$$h_j^{n'} f_{j+1}^{n'} = p_{jj+1} \wedge f_j^{n'} h_j^{n'} = q_{jj+1}.$$

This means that we have to verify

$$H_j^{n'} F_{j+1}^{n'} = P_j \wedge F_j^{n'} H_j^{n'} = Q_j,$$

where  $H_j^{n'} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  represents  $h_j^{n'}$ . By Theorem 6.4, it suffices to verify the following condition: For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists  $H_j^{n+1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$H_j^{n+1} F_{j+1}^{n+1} = P_j \wedge F_j^{n+1} H_j^{n+1} = Q_j.$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^{n+1} = P_n &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2^{4n} \end{bmatrix}, H_{n-1}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -2^{6(n-1)+2} & -2^{4(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \\ H_{n-2}^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2^{6(n-2)+2} & -2^{10(n-2)+8} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \\ H_1^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{n-1} & 0 \\ -2^8 & -2^{18} - \dots - 2^{2n^2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The following example is constructed in a quite similar way for the category pair  $pro\text{-}HTop \subseteq pro^*\text{-}HTop$ . First, recall that by a result of W. Scheffer [19], every homotopy class between compact connected abelian groups,  $[f] : X \rightarrow Y$ , contains a continuous homomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $h \in [f]$ . Consequently, every homotopy class  $[f] : T \rightarrow T$  of a 2-torus to itself ( $T \approx S^1 \times S^1$ , where the multiplicative group  $S^1$  is the standard unit circle in the complex plane) is (uniquely) represented by an integral matrix  $F \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

EXAMPLE 7.2. Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_i, [p_{ii'}])$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j, [q_{jj'}])$  be inverse sequences in  $HcPol$  having each term equal to a 2-torus, i.e.,  $X_i = Y_j = T$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and with the bonding homotopy classes  $[p_{ii'}] : T \rightarrow T$  and  $[q_{jj'}] : T \rightarrow T$  defined via  $[p_{ii+1}]$  and  $[q_{jj+1}]$  respectively as follows:

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[p_{ii+1}]$  is given by the integral matrix

$$P_i = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{2i} \end{bmatrix};$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[q_{jj+1}]$  is given by the integral matrix

$$Q_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2^j & -2^{2j} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are *not* isomorphic in  $pro\text{-}HTop$ , while  $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathbf{Y}$  in  $pro^*\text{-}HTop$  (actually, they are isomorphic in the subcategory  $tow^*\text{-}HcPol$ ).

Similarly to Example 7.1, let us first consider an arbitrary morphism  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  in *pro-HTop*. Let  $(f, [f_j]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  be a representative of  $\mathbf{f}$ . We may assume that  $(f, [f_j])$  is a simple morphism of inverse sequences in *HcPol* with the strictly increasing index function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , and that each homotopy class  $[f_j] : X_{f(j)} = T \rightarrow T = Y_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , is given by an integral matrix

$$F_j = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j & \gamma_j \\ \beta_j & \delta_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $[q_{jj+1}][f_{j+1}] = [f_j][p_{f(j)f(j+1)}]$ , i.e.,

$$Q_j F_{j+1} = F_j P_{f(j)} \cdots P_{f(j+1)-1}.$$

This means

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2^j & -2^{2j} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{j+1} & \gamma_{j+1} \\ \beta_{j+1} & \delta_{j+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_j & \gamma_j \\ \beta_j & \delta_j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{f(j+1)-f(j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{k_j} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{f(j+1)-f(j)} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{k_j} \end{bmatrix} = P_{f(j)} \cdots P_{f(j+1)-1},$$

and  $k_j = (f(j+1) - 1)f(j+1) - (f(j) - 1)f(j)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(j+1) - f(j)$  is an even integer. Hence, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\alpha_{j+1} = \alpha_j, \quad 2^j \alpha_{j+1} - 2^{2j} \beta_{j+1} = \beta_j,$$

and thus  $(\alpha_j \equiv \alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } j)$ ,

$$\beta_{j+1} = \frac{2^j \alpha - \beta_j}{2^{2j}}.$$

This recursive relation yields the following estimation ( $\beta_1 \equiv \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ )

$$|\beta_{j+1}| \leq \frac{(2 + 2^4 + \cdots + 2^{j^2}) |\alpha| + |\beta|}{2^{j(j+1)}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\beta_{j+1}| < \frac{|\alpha|}{2^{j-1}} + \frac{|\beta|}{2^{j(j+1)}},$$

which implies that there exists  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that, for every  $j > j_0$ ,  $|\beta_j| < 1$ . Since each  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we infer that  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j > j_0$ . Then, by the recursive relation,  $\alpha = 0$  and, thus,  $\alpha_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . This further implies that  $\beta_{j_0} = \cdots = \beta_1 = 0$ . Consequently, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the homotopy class  $[f_j]$  is represented by a singular integral matrix

$$F_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_j \\ 0 & \delta_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since all the bonding homotopy classes are represented by regular matrices ( $\det P_i \neq 0 \neq \det Q_j$ ),  $\mathbf{f}$  cannot be an isomorphism. Thus,  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are



not isomorphic in *pro-HTop*. (Moreover, neither  $\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{Y}$  nor  $\mathbf{Y} \leq \mathbf{X}$  in *pro-HTop* can hold.)

Let us now prove that the inverse sequences  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  are isomorphic in the subcategory *tow\*-HcPol* ( $\subseteq \textit{pro}^*\textit{-HcPol} \subseteq \textit{pro}^*\textit{-HTop}$ ). Consider a level  $S^*$ -morphism  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  defined by induction as follows:

If  $n = 1$ , let  $[f_1^1] : T \rightarrow T$  be any homotopy class, and let  $[f_j^1] = [c] : T \rightarrow T$ ,  $j > 1$ , be the homotopy class of a constant mapping; assume that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , all homotopy classes  $[f_j^k] : T \rightarrow T$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined; let  $[f_{n+1}^{n+1}] = [1_T] : T \rightarrow T$  be the homotopy class of the identity mapping, which is represented by the identity matrix

$$F_{n+1}^{n+1} = I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

let  $[f_j^{n+1}]$  be represented by an integral matrix  $F_j^{n+1}$  defined inductively via the commutativity relation

$$F_j^{n+1} P_j = Q_j F_{j+1}^{n+1},$$

$j = n, \dots, 1$ , and let  $[f_j^{n+1}] = [c]$  for all  $j > n + 1$ . One can verify, by a straightforward calculation, that all  $F_j^{n+1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $j = n, \dots, 1$ , exist. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -2^n & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & F_{n-1}^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2^{n-1} - 2^{3(n-1)+1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ F_{n-2}^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -(2^{n-2} - 2^{3(n-2)+1} + 2^{5(n-2)+4}) & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \\ F_1^{n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^n & 0 \\ (-1)^n(2 - 2^4 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}2^{n^2}) & (-1)^n \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity relations assure that  $(1_{\mathbb{N}}, [f_j^n]) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is indeed an  $S^*$ -morphism of the inverse sequences. Let us prove that  $\mathbf{f}^* = [(1_{\mathbb{N}}, [f_j^n])] : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$  is an isomorphism in *tow\*-HcPol*. According to Theorem 6.1, given any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $j' = j + 1$  and  $n = j + 1$ . We have to prove that, for every  $n' \geq n$ , there exists a homotopy class  $[h_j^{n'}] : T \rightarrow T$  such that

$$[h_j^{n'}][f_{j+1}^{n'}] = [p_{jj+1}] \wedge [f_j^{n'}][h_j^{n'}] = [q_{jj+1}].$$

This means

$$H_j^{n'} F_{j+1}^{n'} = P_j \wedge F_j^{n'} H_j^{n'} = Q_j,$$

where  $H_j^{n'} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  represents  $[h_j^{n'}]$ . By Theorem 6.4, it suffices to prove that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , there exists  $H_j^{n+1} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$H_j^{n+1} F_{j+1}^{n+1} = P_j \wedge F_j^{n+1} H_j^{n+1} = Q_j.$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$H_n^{n+1} = P_n = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{2n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_{n-1}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2^{3(n-1)+1} & -2^{2(n-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$H_{n-2}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -(2^{3(n-2)+1} - 2^{5(n-2)+4}) & 2^{2(n-2)} \end{bmatrix}, \dots,$$

$$H_1^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^n & 0 \\ (-1)^n(2^4 - 2^9 + \dots + (-1)^n 2^{n^2}) & -2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof.

REMARK 7.3. Both examples from above have their roots in the example of Keesling and Mardesić [9, Section 4]. One can also compare [20, Example 5 and Claim 3], [16, Section 4] and [6].

The final example shows that, in general, by the coarse shape functor induced function

$$S^*|\cdot : \mathcal{C}(X, Q) \rightarrow Sh_{(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}^*(X, Q)$$

is not surjective (even in the case  $X \equiv P \in Ob\mathcal{D}$ ).

EXAMPLE 7.4. Let  $\mathcal{C} = HTop$  and  $\mathcal{D} = HPol$ . Let  $P = \{*\}$  be a singleton and let  $Q = \{*\} \sqcup \{*\}$  (disjoint union). Then

$$card(HPol(P, Q)) \equiv card([P, Q]) = card(Sh(P, Q)) = 2,$$

while (see Claim 2 in Section 4)

$$card(Sh^*(P, Q)) = (card([P, Q]))^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}.$$

Consequently, the induced function

$$S^*|\cdot : [P, Q] \rightarrow Sh^*(P, Q)$$

cannot be a surjection.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Borsuk, *Concerning homotopy properties of compacta*, Fund. Math. **62** (1968), 223-254.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Shape*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1975.
- [3] K. Borsuk, *Some quantitative properties of shapes*, Fund. Math. **93** (1976), 197-212.
- [4] D. Coram and P. F. Duval, Jr., *Approximate fibrations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **7** (1977), 275-288.
- [5] H. Freudenthal, *Entwicklungen von Räumen und ihren Gruppen*, Compositio Math. **4** (1933), 145-234.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl and T. B. Rushing, *Direct limit groups and the Keesling-Mardesić shape fibration*, Pacific J. Math. **86** (1980), 471-476.
- [7] H. Herrlich and G. E. Strecker, *Category Theory: an Introduction*, Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston, 1973.
- [8] A. Kadlof, N. Koceić Bilan and N. Uglešić, *Borsuk's quasi-equivalence is not transitive*, submitted.

- [9] J. Keesling and S. Mardešić, *A shape fibration with fibers of different shape*, Pacific J. Math. **84** (1979), 319-331.
- [10] S. Mardešić, *Shapes for topological spaces*, General Topology Appl. **3** (1973), 265-282.
- [11] S. Mardešić, *Comparing fibres in a shape fibration*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **13(33)** (1978), 317-333.
- [12] S. Mardešić, *Inverse limits and resolutions*, in: Shape theory and geometric topology (Dubrovnik, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. **870**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, 239-252.
- [13] S. Mardešić and T. B. Rushing, *Shape fibrations I*, General Topology Appl. **9** (1978), 193-215.
- [14] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems*, Fund. Math. **72** (1971), 41-59.
- [15] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Shape Theory*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford 1982.
- [16] S. Mardešić and N. Uglešić, *A category whose isomorphisms induce an equivalence relation coarser than shape*, Topology Appl. **153** (2005), 448-463.
- [17] K. Morita, *The Hurewicz and the Whitehead theorems in shape theory*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A **12** (1974), 246-258.
- [18] K. Morita, *On shapes of topological spaces*, Fund. Math. **86** (1975), 251-259.
- [19] W. Scheffer, *Maps between topological groups that are homotopic to homomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 562-567.
- [20] N. Uglešić, *A note on the Borsuk quasi-equivalence*, submitted.
- [21] N. Uglešić and B. Červar, *The  $S_n$ -equivalence of compacta*, submitted.
- [22] N. Uglešić and B. Červar, *A subshape spectrum for compacta*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **40(60)** (2005), 347-384.

N. Koceić Bilan  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Split  
Teslina 12/III, 21000 Split  
Croatia  
*E-mail*: koceic@pmfst.hr

N. Uglešić  
23287 Veli Rat, Dugi Otok  
Croatia  
*E-mail*: nuglesic@unizd.hr

*Received*: 10.4.2006.

*Revised*: 26.5.2006.