51-66

Geochemical implications for the magma origin of granitic rocks from the Ditrău Alkaline Massif (Eastern Carpathians, Romania)



Elemér Pál-Molnár<sup>1,2</sup>, Anikó Batki<sup>1,2</sup>, Ágnes Ódri<sup>1</sup>, Balázs Kiss<sup>2</sup> and Enikő Almási<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Vulcano Research Group, Department of Mineralogy, Geochemistry and Petrology, University of Szeged, Egyetem street 2, H-6722 Szeged, Hungary

<sup>2</sup> MTA-ELTE Volcanology Research Group, Pázmány Péter street 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary (corresponding author: batki@geo.u-szeged.hu)

doi:10.4154/gc.2015.04

## ABSTRACT

In addition to a series of ultramafic to mafic and alkaline igneous rocks, a granite body also occurs in the Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Eastern Carpathians, Romania. We present and discuss mineral chemical data, and major and trace element compositions of the granites in order to define their nature and origin and to determine the depth of the magma emplacement. The granites consist of K-feldspar, albite to oligoclase and quartz accompanied by Ti-rich annite  $\pm$  calcic amphiboles. Depending on the amphibole content they are classified as less fractionated amphibole-bearing and amphibole-free varieties. Accessories include zircon, apatite, magnetite, ilmenite, and allanite or monazite. High Zr, Nb, Ga, Ce and Y content and Ga/Al and Fe/Mg ratios, together with low CaO, Sr and Ba contents and Y/Nb ratios of 0.04-0.88 are consistent with A1-type granites and mantle differentiates correspond to an intra-plate environment. The Ditrău Alkaline Massif granites were emplaced at middle – upper crustal levels between 14 and 4 km depth as indicated by the calculated crystallization pressure of  $370 \pm 40$  MPa and the stability limit of calcic amphiboles.

Keywords: A-type granite; geochemistry; mantle differentiates; amphibole geobarometry; Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Eastern Carpathians, Romania

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Since the nineteenth century many studies have examined the mineralogy, petrology and geochemistry of the Ditrău Alkaline Massif (DAM) (e.g. KOCH, 1879; IANOVICI, 1938; STRECKEISEN, 1938, 1952, 1954; CODARCEA et al., 1957; STRECKEISEN & HUNZIKER, 1974; ANAS-TASIU & CONSTANTINESCU, 1982; PÁL-MOLNÁR, 1992, 1994a, 2000; PÁL-MOLNÁR & ÁRVA-SÓS, 1995; DALLMEYER et al., 1997; KRÄUTNER & BINDEA, 1998; JAKAB, 1998; MOROGAN et al., 2000; FALL et al., 2007; BATKI et al., 2014), however, there is still a great debate on the petrogenesis of the DAM. A wide variety of igneous rocks have been described in the DAM from ultramafic to mafic ones (Tarniţa Complex: peridotites, gabbros, diorites), felsic silica-saturated and oversaturated syenites and granites, as well as undersaturated alkaline rocks (nepheline syenites) (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2000). The massif is the locus typicus of several magmatic rock types that were first identified here, e.g. ditróite, orotvite and ditróessexite. Previously these names were widely accepted in the international petrographical literature, though by now the IUGS does not recommend their use. Numerous dykes, including lamprophyres, tinguaites and alkali feldspar syenites, cut across the whole complex (BATKI et al., 2014).

<u>Geologia Croatica</u>

The north-eastern part of the DAM was previously considered to be a homogeneous granite body (JAKAB, 1998). However, KOVÁCS & PÁL-MOLNÁR (2005) and PÁL-MOLNÁR (2006) pointed out that there are various types of felsic granitic rocks in this area. Currently, two main hypotheses have emerged concerning the origin of the granite: (1) granites have resulted from the differentiation of mantle-derived melts (MOROGAN et al., 2000; PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2000) or (2) granites have been formed from silica-poor magmas contaminated by the felsic crust (STRECKEISEN & HUNZIKER, 1974; JAKAB, 1998), without mentioning any particular source of these magmas.

In this paper we discuss new geochemical and petrological data on granites and draw conclusions on processes of magma evolution in order to provide further constraints on the formation of the Ditrău Alkaline Massif.

### 2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Ditrău Alkaline Massif forms the southern and south western part of the Giurgeu Mountains (Eastern Carpathians, Romania). It is 19 km long and 14 km wide and ca. 200 km<sup>2</sup> in size on the surface (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2000) (Fig. 1). In

the structural system of the Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaric region it belongs to the Dacia Mega-Unit (Median Dacides; SĂNDULESCU, 1984) (Fig. 1.A). The massif intruded the Variscan metamorphic rocks of the Eastern Carpathians, later participating in the Alpine tectonic events along with these metamorphic rocks (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 1994b). Structurally, the DAM is the part of the Alpine Bucovinian Nappe System having direct contact with three of its Pre-Alpine (Variscan) lithogroups: the Bretila (Rarău Nappe), Rebra (Rodna Nappe) and Tulghes lithogroups (Putna Nappe) (BALIN-TONI, 1997, 1981) (Fig. 1B). The Bucovinian Nappe represents the upper unit of the Central Eastern Carpathian nappes which were formed during the Middle Cretaceous (SÅNDULESCU, 1984). The DAM is partly covered by Neogene- Quaternary andesitic pyroclastics and lava flows of the Călimani-Gurghiu-Harghita volcanic chain and by Pliocene-Pleistocene sediments and lignite-bearing lacustrine deposits of the Gheorgeni and Jolotca Basins (CODAR-CEA et al., 1957).

It is most likely that the intrusion was related to the opening events of the Meliata–Hallstatt Ocean (HOECK et al., 2009), where main rifting began during the Pelsonian Substage (Middle Triassic) (KOZUR, 1991).



Figure 1: (A) Location of the DAM in the Alpine-Carpathian-Dinaric region (after SĂNDULESCU et al., 1981, modified). (B) Structural units of the Eastern-Carpathians (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2010). (C) Sample locations in the northern part of the DAM (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2000).



Figure 2: Characteristic petrographic features of granites from the DAM. (A) Amphibole strongly replaced by titanite, epidote, chlorite and biotite, +N. (B) Biotite with zircon inclusions, +N. (C) BSE image of euhedral allanite crystal in K-feldspar. (D) Monazite grains in K-feldspar and plagioclase, +N. Mineral abbreviations are after KRETZ (1983).

Direct contact of the massif with sedimentary rocks is not observed. The first K/Ar ages were published by BAG-DASARIAN (1972) who determined a Neocomian (125±10 Ma) age of the DAM granites. Afterwards, PÁL-MOLNÁR & ÁRVA-SOÓS (1995) using K/Ar ages on amphibole and biotite separates, produced an average date of 206±7.8 Ma for the granites and suggested a late Triassic age for them.

The largest granite body crops out in the north-eastern part of the DAM, east of the Turcului Creek and north of the Jolotca Creek (Fig. 1C).

# **3. SAMPLES AND ANALYTICAL METHODS**

Samples of granites were collected from the right side of Jolotca Creek (Creangă Mare, Laposbükk, Turcului, Holoşag and Țengheler Mic Creeks) (Fig. 1C).

Whole-rock major element compositions were analyzed by ICP mass spectrometer (Finnigan MAT Element) and trace elements were determined by ICP atomic emission spectrometry using a Varian Vista AX spectrometer at the Department of Geological Sciences, University of Stockholm. Additional bulk rock analyses were carried out by ICP MS at the Acme Analythical Laboratory, Vancouver, Canada. Mineral phases of the studied granites were analyzed with a JEOL JCXA-733 electron microprobe in wavelengthdispersive mode using a beam current of 15 nA and an acceleration voltage of 20kV at the Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.

# 4. PETROGRAPHY AND MINERAL CHEMISTRY

### 4.1. Petrography

The studied granites are generally light grey with a light reddish tone. The rocks are inequigranular and exhibit a phaneritic texture. The main constituents are quartz (20–37%), Kfeldspar (up to 50%), plagioclase (ca. 10–35%) and subordinate biotite  $\pm$  amphibole (ca. 2–16%) (Fig. 2). Amphibole is frequently altered and occurs in half of the granite samples. The presence of amphibole in the granite does not depend on the location; amphibole-bearing granite occurs randomly throughout the granite body. Chlorite, epidote, magnetite and titanite as alteration products commonly appear after amphibole (Fig. 2A). Quartz is observed in the rocks as a medium- to coarsegrained anhedral phase (250–1500 µm). Plagioclase is usually

VRG6839		35.94	2.96	12.18	20.67	0.51	9.92	0.10	0.40	9.47	92.15	22	5.77	2.22	0.08	0.35	2.77	0.06	2.37	0.01	0.12	1.94	15.74	0.46	0.54	0.86
VRG6839		37.36	3.23	12.61	21.87	0.44	9.24	0.00	0.12	9.79	94.66	22	5.84	2.15	0.16	0.37	2.86	0.05	2.15	0.00	0.03	1.95	15.60	0.43	0.57	0.75
VRG6839		37.29	2.74	13.34	21.23	0.49	9.19	0.00	0.33	9.75	94.36	22	5.83	2.16	0.28	0.32	2.77	0.06	2.14	0.00	0.10	1.94	15.63	0.43	0.56	0.77
VRG6839		37.40	3.13	13.01	21.51	0.54	9.63	0.03	0.00	9.62	94.87	22	5.81	2.18	0.20	0.36	2.79	0.07	2.23	0.00	0.01	1.90	15.57	0.44	0.56	0.80
VRG6835	11	36.83	2.99	13.59	24.72	0.39	7.59	0.14	0.07	9.89	86.33	22	5.74	2.25	0.24	0.35	3.22	0.05	1.76	0.02	0.00	1.96	15.63	0.35	0.65	0.55
VRG6835	10	36.77	3.40	13.14	24.88	0.82	7.31	0.22	0.21	9.61	96.35	22	5.74	2.25	0.16	0.39	3.24	0.10	1.70	0.03	0.06	1.91	15.63	0.34	0.64	0.52
VRG6835		36.55	3.00	13.59	23.44	0.69	7.47	0.00	0.21	9.93	94.81	22	5.76	2.23	0.28	0.35	3.08	0.09	1.75	0.01	0.06	1.99	15.65	0.36	0.64	0.57
VRG6835		35.21	3.17	13.32	23.29	0.84	7.22	0.00	0.11	9.60	92.76	22	5.69	2.30	0.24	0.38	3.15	0.11	1.74	0.00	0.03	1.98	15.65	0.35	0.64	0.55
VRG6835		36.31	2.59	13.21	24.11	0.80	8.04	0.02	0.34	10.00	95.42	22	5.72	2.27	0.18	0:30	3.18	0.10	1.89	0.00	0.10	2.01	15.79	0.37	0.63	0.59
VRG6835		38.14	2.44	13.47	23.20	0.46	8.71	0.00	0:30	9.95	96.66	22	5.86	2.13	0.29	0.28	2.98	0.05	1.99	0.00	0.08	1.95	15.65	0.40	09.0	0.67
VRG6835		36.25	2.53	13.84	22.93	0.84	8.00	0.03	0.03	9.76	94.21	22	5.74	2.25	0.32	0.30	3.03	0.11	1.88	0.00	0.01	1.97	15.65	0.38	0.62	0.62
VRG6835		36.32	2.11	12.95	22.94	0.84	8.26	0.00	0.15	9.34	92.67	22	5.83	2.16	0.29	0.25	3.08	0.08	1.97	0.00	0.04	1.91	15.16	0.39	0.61	0.64
VRG6835		35.41	1.99	13.55	23.04	0.84	8.42	0.12	0.18	9.38	92.93	22	5.70	2.29	0.27	0.24	3.10	0.11	2.02	0.02	0.05	1.92	15.76	0.39	0.61	0.65
VRG6835		36.60	1.35	13.38	23.06	0.78	8.80	0.06	0.03	9.94	94.00	22	5.81	2.18	0.32	0.16	3.06	0.10	2.08	0.01	0.01	2.01	15.77	0.40	09.0	0.68
VRG6835		35.30	2.66	12.95	22.86	0.82	8.66	0.02	0.53	9.38	93.15	22	5.67	2.32	0.13	0.32	3.07	0.11	2.07	00.0	0.17	1.92	15.82	0.40	09.0	0.67
Sample		SiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	Total	Oxygens	Si	AIN	AI	μ	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	Mn	Mg	Ca	Na	×	Total	#6m	Fe/(Fe+Mg)	Ma/Fe

Table 1: Representative biotite compositions of granites from the Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Romania.



Figure 3: Compositional variations of amphiboles from the DAM granites after LEAKE et al. (1997).



**Figure 4:** Plots of Ab vs An vs Or compositional diagram (fields from BARK-ER, 1979) for the DAM granitic rocks.

euhedral to subhedral and is fine- to coarse-grained (600-1000 μm). It shows albite twinning and often has a sericitized core. The medium- to very coarse-grained K-feldspar (500-4000 µm) is microcline occurring as tartan twins. Exsolution textures are commonly represented by perthite and equilibrium quartz-feldspar intergrowth (i.e. myrmekite) occurring mainly in amphibole-bearing samples. Myrmekite texture is developed at the margins of plagioclase and microcline. Worm- or finger-like droplets of quartz are enclosed in plagioclase. Biotite appears as discrete subhedral to anhedral grains, as well as grain aggregates interstitial to feldspars and quartz (Fig. 2B). It is often altered to chlorite, opaque minerals and hematite. Accessory phases are apatite, zircon, monazite, ilmenite, magnetite and allanite. Allanite generally occurs as euhedral to subhedral crystals interstitial to the major minerals (Fig. 2C). It is strongly pleochroic (from red to brownish red). Zircon (Fig. 2B) and apatite are usually euhedral and are enclosed in biotite and feldspars. Monazite is euhedral and incorporated in biotite or feldspars (Fig. 2D). Magnetite occurs as euhedral crystals and enclosed in amphiboles. Allanite solely appears in granites which contain amphibole, whereas monazite appears only in amphibole-free varieties.

# 4.2. Mineral chemistry

#### 4.2.1. Biotite

Representative microprobe analyses of biotites are given in Table 1. They are annite according to DEER et al. (1992). There are two types of biotites in the studied granites, one with higher mg# of 0.42–0.46 (Mg/Fe=0.75–0.86) and the other one with lower mg# of 0.34–0.40 (Mg/Fe=0.52–0.68). The high Ti content (TiO<sub>2</sub>=1.4–3.4 wt. %) is a characteristic features of biotites, and is similar to the biotites of other rocks from the Ditrău Alkaline Massif (PÁL-MOLNÁR, 2000; MOROGAN et al., 2000; BATKI et al., 2014). Mg contents slightly decrease with increasing Al<sup>VI</sup> (Table 1).

### 4.2.2. Amphibole

Representative chemical compositions of amphiboles are shown in Table 2. According to LEAKE et al. (1997) they are calcic amphiboles and compositionally vary between ferro-edenite and ferrohornblende (Fig. 3). BCa contents vary from 1.68 to 1.82, while BNa content varies between 0.17–0.31. BNa content shows positive correlation with mg#, while BCa content increases with decreasing mg#.

All amphiboles similar to biotites are iron rich. They have high Fe/(Fe+Mg) ratios of 0.69–0.75. Their  $AI^{IV}$  content ranges from 1.20 to 1.35 and the  $AI^{VI}$  values vary between 0.00–0.18.

#### 4.2.3. Feldspars

Representative analyses of feldspars are listed in Table 3. Feldspars represent both plagioclase and K-feldspar. Plagioclase is mainly albite with composition of  $Ab_{86}An_{13}Or_1$  to  $Ab_{98}An_1Or_1$  (Fig. 4). Zoned plagioclase can not be observed. K-feldspar is orthoclase ( $Or_{94-97}$ ).

Sample	VRG6835								
Mineral	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Hbl	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Hbl	Fe2-Ed	Fe2-Ed
SiO <sub>2</sub>	42.50	43.09	43.39	42.65	43.34	41.88	42.94	43.38	41.66
TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.70	1.36	1.64	1.30	1.06	1.36	1.74	1.50	1.26
$AI_2O_3$	7.91	7.88	7.23	7.22	7.30	7.64	7.77	7.54	8.15
FeOt	24.70	25.74	25.24	24.98	24.21	24.27	24.76	24.79	25.40
FeO	20.47	20.05	18.52	21.10	22.08	21.42	17.43	21.43	22.31
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	4.69	6.31	7.45	4.30	2.36	3.16	8.14	3.72	3.43
MnO	1.02	1.12	1.20	1.18	1.17	1.24	1.10	0.80	1.17
MgO	5.70	5.64	6.40	5.96	5.66	5.30	5.99	5.49	4.71
CaO	10.62	10.62	10.24	10.67	10.54	10.24	10.20	10.26	10.67
Na <sub>2</sub> O	1.69	1.63	1.96	2.23	2.12	2.26	1.10	1.93	1.66
K <sub>2</sub> O	1.12	1.16	1.06	1.07	1.13	1.05	1.11	0.97	1.45
Total	96.96	98.87	98.36	97.26	96.76	95.24	96.71	96.66	96.13
Oxygens	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
TSi	6.64	6.64	6.65	6.68	6.76	6.70	6.64	6.79	6.64
ΣAI	1.46	1.44	1.30	1.33	1.34	1.44	1.41	1.38	1.53
TAI	1.35	1.28	1.30	1.31	1.23	1.29	1.35	1.20	1.35
CAI	0.11	0.16	0.00	0.02	0.11	0.15	0.06	0.18	0.18
CTi	0.20	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.20	0.17	0.15
Fe <sup>tot</sup>	3.22	3.31	3.23	3.26	3.19	3.25	3.19	3.23	3.38
CFe <sup>3+</sup>	0.55	0.73	0.86	0.50	0.28	0.38	0.94	0.43	0.41
CMg	1.32	1.31	1.46	1.39	1.31	1.26	1.38	1.28	1.12
CFe <sup>2+</sup>	2.67	2.58	2.37	2.76	2.91	2.87	2.25	2.80	2.97
CMn	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.15
BCa	1.78	1.77	1.68	1.79	1.76	1.75	1.69	1.72	1.82
ΣNa	0.51	0.49	0.57	0.67	0.63	0.69	0.32	0.58	0.50
BNa	0.22	0.22	0.31	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.30	0.27	0.17
ANa	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.47	0.40	0.45	0.02	0.31	0.33
AK	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.29
Total cat	20.66	20.71	20.52	20.89	20.64	21.00	20.10	20.63	15.77
mg#	0.33	0.34	0.38	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.38	0.31	0.27
Fe/(Fe+Mg)	0.71	0.72	0.69	0.70	0.71	0.72	0.70	0.72	0.75

Fe2-Ed: ferro-edenite; Fe2-Hbl: ferrohornblende; FeOtot: total iron; mg# (Mg/(Mg+Fe2+)

# **5. MAJOR AND TRACE ELEMENT** GEOCHEMISTRY

Geochemical data for the major element composition of the DAM granites (two samples) were previously published by STRECKEISEN (1954). MOROGAN et al. (2000) also gave major, trace and REE data of three samples (Table 4). In this study, new analyses for major, trace and REEs include eleven granites.

The DAM granites are high in SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FeO<sup>t</sup> (up to 3.1 wt. %) and alkalis (Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O=8.2-11.5 wt. %), and generally low in CaO (Table 4). The MgO content of amphibolebearing granites varies from 0.47 to 0.84. Mg values are very low for all of the samples. The studied granites are mostly alkaline (Fig. 5A) and strongly peraluminous in composition (ASI>1; Fig. 5B). FeOt, MgO, CaO and TiO<sub>2</sub> decrease with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> suggesting the fractionation of amphibole and ilmenite (not shown, Table 4). They may also account for the high Nb concentrations (up to 429 ppm). Decreasing amounts of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and Zr with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> could be controlled by the early extraction of allanite, apatite and zircon, respectively. K<sub>2</sub>O values are constant with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> as a

pd pd<	5835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6835	VRG6839							
650 6746 687 647 <td>pl2</td> <td>the second se</td> <td>pl3</td> <td>pl4</td> <td>pl5</td> <td>mc1</td> <td>mc2</td> <td>mc3</td> <td>mc4</td> <td>pl1</td> <td>pl2</td> <td>pl3</td> <td>pl4</td> <td>pl5</td> <td>pl6</td> <td>pl7</td> <td>pl8</td>	pl2	the second se	pl3	pl4	pl5	mc1	mc2	mc3	mc4	pl1	pl2	pl3	pl4	pl5	pl6	pl7	pl8
016 013 010 013 010 013 010 013 010 013 010 013 010 013 010 013 <td>67.08</td> <td></td> <td>65.50</td> <td>67.48</td> <td>65.86</td> <td>64.62</td> <td>64.76</td> <td>64.18</td> <td>64.67</td> <td>65.72</td> <td>64.27</td> <td>65.42</td> <td>65.44</td> <td>66.12</td> <td>66.38</td> <td>66.71</td> <td>65.84</td>	67.08		65.50	67.48	65.86	64.62	64.76	64.18	64.67	65.72	64.27	65.42	65.44	66.12	66.38	66.71	65.84
0300 0302 0304 0304 0305 0304 0305 <th< td=""><td>0.17</td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.12</td><td> </td><td>0.10</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.02</td><td> </td><td>0.06</td><td>0.01</td></th<>	0.17		0.16	0.13	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.28	0.12		0.10	0.10	0.02	0.02		0.06	0.01
036 029 018 026 014 023 023 024 023 024 023 024 024 024 024 024 024 024 024 026 024 026 024 026 024 026 024 026 024 026 024 026 024 026 <td>20.35</td> <td></td> <td>20.90</td> <td>20.62</td> <td>20.26</td> <td>16.95</td> <td>17.67</td> <td>18.28</td> <td>17.36</td> <td>21.23</td> <td>21.34</td> <td>21.03</td> <td>20.68</td> <td>20.59</td> <td>20.90</td> <td>20.58</td> <td>20.82</td>	20.35		20.90	20.62	20.26	16.95	17.67	18.28	17.36	21.23	21.34	21.03	20.68	20.59	20.90	20.58	20.82
01 012 026 014 016 017 016	0.11		0.36	0.29	0.18	0.28	0.14	0.23	0.03		0.28			0.13	0.12		I
016 007 012 017 018  01 018  012 110 212 110 213 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 110 214 214 216	0.28		0.10	0.12	0.02	0.04	0.10	I	0.18	0.33	I	0.18			0.08	I	0.09
3 223 096 135 067 036 037 037 167 202 210   1 128 136 054 027 026 047 050 934 936 936 1047 1046 </td <td>0.0</td> <td>~</td> <td>0.16</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>I</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.08</td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td>0.02</td> <td>I</td> <td>0.04</td>	0.0	~	0.16	0.07	0.02	0.17	0.08	I	0.01	0.08					0.02	I	0.04
1 1130 954 027 026 047 050 944 943 946 105 1054	0.9	00	2.23	0.98	1.35	0.05	0.03	0.32	0.13	2.45	2.79	2.39	2.60	2.33	1.67	2.02	2.10
0 015 006 004 1653 1502 1502 1503 1652 1603 1653 1652 1603 1653 1653 1653 1653 1653 1653 1603 1653 1603 1653 1603 1653 1603 1633 1614 1614<	10.9	5	10.28	11.30	9.54	0.27	0.26	0.47	0.50	9.84	9.81	9.93	9.44	9.76	10.25	10.64	9.99
9 9	0	10	0.15	0.06	0.04	16.58	16.52	17.08	16.82	0.19	0.15	0.05	0.21	0.15	0.18	0.06	0.15
8 9 9 9 9 9	99.	16	99.84	100.67	96.82	60.66	99.21	100.39	98.91	99.84	98.65	60.66	98.42	99.11	99.61	100.06	99.03
		œ	∞	∞	Ø	Ø	80	Ø	œ	œ	80	Ø	80	∞	∞	80	œ
1 001 000 000 001 000 001 000		2.94	2.89	2.93	2.95	3.02	3.01	2.97	3.02	2.89	2.87	2.90	2.91	2.92	2.92	2.92	2.91
1 1081051070330370930361.101.111.101.081.061.061.060 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 000 000 000 001 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 001 0010 010 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 001 0010 010 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 001 0010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 000 000 001 0010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 000 000 001 0010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 000 001 0010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 011 0110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 111 0110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 111 0110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 111 011<	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	I	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0 0		1.05	1.08	1.05	1.07	0.93	0.97	0.99	0.95	1.10	1.12	1.10	1.08	1.07	1.08	1.06	1.08
1 0.00 0.	-	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00		0.01			0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 <th< td=""><td>0</td><td>.01</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>00.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td></th<>	0	.01	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.10 0.04 0.06 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.12 0.11 0.07 0.09 0.09   0 0.87 0.95 0.82 0.02 0.04 0.04 0.84 0.85 0.81 0.87 0.90 0.85   0 0.01 - - 0.99 0.98 1.01 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.93 0.85   0 0.01 - - 0.99 0.98 1.01 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 - 0.01   0 5.00 5.00 4.99 5.04 5.02 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97   5.00 5.00 4.92 5.04 5.02 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97   6 0.84 0.85 6.54 0.86 6.86 4.95 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97   6 0.84 0.32 8.56	0	.01	0.01	0.01	00.00	0.01	0.01	00.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00
0 0.87 0.95 0.82 0.02 0.04 0.04 0.84 0.85 0.81 0.83 0.87 0.90 0.85   0 0.01 - - 0.99 0.98 1.01 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 - 0.01   5.00 5.00 4.92 5.00 4.99 5.04 5.02 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 - 0.01 -	0	.04	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09
0.01 - 0.09 0.98 1.01 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 - 0.01   0 5.00 5.00 4.92 5.04 5.02 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.95 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 4.97 8.93   1 8.854 95.11 92.52 2.49 0.13 85.70 87.10	0	.92	0.87	0.95	0.82	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.81	0.83	0.87	06.0	0.85
5.00 5.00 4.92 5.04 4.99 5.02 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.95 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 4.99 4.97 6.54 0.86 0.28 1.25 0.89 1.04 0.32 0.87 88.01 85.70 87.56 90.78 90.21 88.81   1 0.61 4.55 0.24 0.13 14.30 11.30 13.46 11.70 13.04 11.55 8.16 9.21 8.81			0.01	Ι		0.99	0.98	1.01	1.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	,	0.01
5 0.84 0.32 0.24 97.53 94.57 95.68 6.54 0.86 1.25 0.89 1.04 0.32 0.88   1 88.54 95.11 92.52 2.40 2.32 3.94 4.31 82.16 85.67 88.01 85.70 87.56 90.78 90.21 88.81   1 10.61 4.55 7.22 0.24 0.13 11.30 13.46 11.70 13.04 11.55 8.16 9.45 10.32	7	66't	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	4.99	5.04	5.02	4.97	4.99	4.97	4.95	4.95	4.97	4.99	4.97
5 0.84 0.32 0.24 97.53 94.57 95.68 6.54 0.86 0.28 1.25 0.89 1.04 0.32 0.88   1 88.54 95.11 92.52 2.40 2.32 3.94 4.31 82.16 85.67 88.01 85.70 87.56 90.78 90.21 88.81   1 10.61 4.55 7.22 0.24 0.13 11.30 13.46 11.70 13.04 11.55 8.16 9.45 10.32																	
1 88.54 95.11 92.52 2.40 2.32 3.94 4.31 82.16 85.67 88.01 85.70 87.56 90.78 90.21 88.81   9 10.61 4.55 7.22 0.24 0.13 1.48 0.00 11.30 13.46 11.70 13.04 11.55 8.16 945 10.32	0	.56	0.84	0.32	0.24	97.34	97.53	94.57	95.68	6.54	0.86	0.28	1.25	0.89	1.04	0.32	0.88
0 10.61 4.55 7.22 0.13 1.48 0.00 11.30 13.46 11.70 13.04 11.55 8.16 9.45 10.32	94.	74	88.54	95.11	92.52	2.40	2.32	3.94	4.31	82.16	85.67	88.01	85.70	87.56	90.78	90.21	88.81
	4.6	60	10.61	4.55	7.22	0.24	0.13	1.48	0.00	11.30	13.46	11.70	13.04	11.55	8.16	9.45	10.32

Table 3: Representative feldspar compositions of granites from the Diträu Alkaline Massif, Romania.

÷
niä
na
ğ
f, F
ssi
Чa
Je
ili
IK.
٩r
ľă
Ē
e
£
t o
ar.
d
en
臣
ē
آم ا
÷
Ъ
f
ţ
ani
gr
of
es
lys
na
Ка
õ
٩ <u>-</u>
loc
Ň
4
<u>e</u>
ab
F

WHALEN et al,1987	average of 148 samples	73.81	12.40	0.26	I	1.24	1.58	0.20	0.06	0.75	4.07	4.65	0.04	99.06	1.4	0.7	1.31	I	
Jolotca Creek	2000 DT 134	74.27	13.65	0.11	1.15	0.19	0.86	0.14	0.03	0.18	4.07	5.51	0.09	100.25	1.4	0.7	1.40	10.9	
Jolotca Creek	toGAN et al., DT 112 granites	71.93	14.63	0.16	1.98	0.32	1.49	0.17	0.05	0.32	4.68	5.25	0.02	101.00	1.5	0.7	1.43	7.9	
Jolotca Creek	DT 114	71.47	14.69	0.22	1.83	0.31	1.37	0.15	0.06	0.68	4.53	5.17	0.04	100.52	1.5	0.7	1.42	7.6	
Magas- bükk Creek	SEN, 1954 2 nites	71.29	15.87	0.60	1.35	0.21	1.03	0.18	0.01	0.10	5.05	4.96	I	100.65	1.6	0.6	1.57	11.8	
Holoşag Creek	STRECKEI 1 grai	73.45	14.01	0.14	2.13	0.32	1.62	0.00	0.02	0.46	5.95	3.67	0.08	101.85	1.5	0.7	1.39	0.0	
Turcului Creek	VRG 7458	76.54	12.44	0.05	1.20	0.18	0.92	0.07	0.02	0.15	3.75	5.21	0.01	99.50	1.4	0.7	1.37	5.5	
Holoşag Creek	VRG 7425/A	78.44	11.44	0.11	0.80	0.14	0.60	0.09	0.02	0.24	2.79	5.42	0.05	100.14	1.4	0.7	1.35	10.1	
Ţengheler Mic Creek	VRG 6842 free granites	74.22	14.34	0.12	0.61	0.11	0.45	0.17	Ι	0.59	4.30	4.90	0.07	99.88	1.6	0.6	1.46	21.8	
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6726 amphibole-	68.73	16.29	0.21	2.12	0.35	1.59	0.18	0.05	0.15	5.88	5.58	0.05	101.18	1.4	0.7	1.40	7.8	
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6856	70.2	14.27	0.16	1.84	0.30	1.39	0.27	0.08	0.29	4.41	5.32	I	98.53	1.5	0.7	1.42	12.8	/(Ca+Na+K)
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6847	1.77	13.34	0.0	1.24	0.20	0.94	0.13	0.02	0.12	4.67	4.59	I	102.44	1.4	0.7	1.42	9.5	D.: A/CNK: AI
Turcului Creek	VRG 7460	65.21	16.63	0.55	3.48	0.53	2.65	0.63	0.09	2.01	4.74	5.55	0.16	99.75	1.6	0.6	1.35	15.3	o-IK-O/Al-
Turcului Creek	VRG 7459 granites	70.12	14.99	0.17	2.59	0.40	1.98	0.15	0.14	0.25	6.17	4.48	0.02	99.92	1.4	0.7	1.38	5.5	O): NK/A: (Na
Laposbükk Creek	VRG 6838/A oole-bearing	67.42	16.02	0.50	3.10	0.54	2.30	0.84	0.09	0.76	5.94	3.97	0.14	101.62	1.6	0.6	1.50	21.3	O2/(Na2O+K2
Lapos- bükk Creek	VRG 6839 amphib	67.4	16.50	0.45	3.06	0.53	2.28	0.65	0.07	0.96	5.02	5.28	I	102.20	1.6	0.6	1.47	17.5	A/NK: Alve
Lapos- bükk Creek	VRG 6835	71.70	14.86	0.40	2.00	0.36	1.48	0.47	0.06	0.77	4.59	4.74	I	101.43	1.6	0.6	1.47	19.0	aO/MaO+Fe
Location	Sample wt. %	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	FeOt	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	FeO	MgO	MnO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> 0	$P_2O_5$	Total	A/NK	NK/A	A/CNK	Mg#	Ma# (100(M

inued)
4. (conti
Table

WHALEN et al.,1987	average of 148	samples	I	4	9	Ι	Ι	$\overline{\lor}$	2	120	48	352	169	528	24	23	5	37	Ι	75	Ι		I	137	Ι	I		I	24.6	Ι	Ι	I	I		Ι	Ι	I	I
Jolotca Creek	2000 DT 134		I	7.0	9.0	0.0	Ι	3.0	0.0	13.0	24.0	133.0	309.0	139.0	17.0	15.0	Ι	50.0	2.0	25.0	I		25.0	43.0	4.3	13.0	3.1	0.3		3.3		0.8	I		3.7	2.4	I	98.9
Jolotca Creek	ROGAN et al., DT 112	granites	I	2.3	7.0	0.0	Ι	2.0	0.0	42.0	42.0	113.0	154.0	360.0	14.0	30.0	Ι	89.0	3.9	20.0	Ι		120.0	189.0	21.0	61.0	8.6	0.6		5.2		0.7	I		3.6	2.0	I	411.7
Jolotca Creek	MO DT 114		1	0.1	11.0	0.0	Ι	2.0	2.0	29.0	86.0	154.0	152.0	348.0	8.7	28.0	Ι	97.0	5.3	17.0	I	I	71.0	132.0	Ι	33.0		I		Ι	Ι	I	I		Ι	I	I	236.0
Turcului Creek	VRG 7458		5.00	1.00	8.00	I	0.80	2.80	5.10	11.00	32.60	61.00	256.40	138.90	6.30	42.90	11.10	158.90	10.60	22.60	5.80	0.50	23.70	40.40	4.40	15.30	3.93	0.19	22.50	3.70	0.71	0.83	2.26	0.37	4.42	2.26	0.31	125.3
Holoşag Creek	VRG 7425/A		£	-	8	I	0.9	0.6	3.2	17	102.8	222	234.9	124.6	9	26.4	2.6	53.5	3.5	11.3	3.4	0.2	49.5	83.9	8.04	24.3	3.86	0.49	18.4	2.87	0.43	0.37	0.98	0.15	2.17	1.07	0.14	196.7
Ţengheler Mic Creek	VRG 6842	free granites	ε	2	Ι	Ι	1.2	c	1.7	10	95.3	174	170.1	253.1	2.6	38.8	4.8	39.2	2.6	34.5	7.5	0.3	66.8	113.9	10.87	34.1	6.08	0.79	18.8	4.96	0.88	1.07	3.3	0.53	4.79	3.57	0.55	271.0
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6726	amphibole-	11	-	Ι	I	0.8	1.3	3.5	92	52.9	110	250.6	510.8	6.7	28.2	9	103.6	4.2	13.5	11.9	0.4	78.3	171.6	12.79	39.9	5.84	0.48	25.3	4.39	0.56	0.49	1.46	0.24	2.91	1.61	0.28	346.2
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6856		4.5	1.5	5.5	17	7.05	9.4	20	49.55	43	180	403	690	3.9	Ι	Ι	147	Ι	18.5	19	4.2	112.5	281.4	Ι	38.5	11.5	0.6	29.2	8.9	Ι	I	I	I	3.4	2.1	I	488.1
Creangă Mare Creek	VRG 6847		6.1	0.4	2	4	6.2	4.7	15	21	13	32	1005	140	17.4	I	Ι	429	Ι	19	5.8	5.1	I	38.1	Ι	5.5	4.8	0.2	26.5	3.6	Ι	I	I		3.5	2	I	84.2
Turcului Creek	VRG 7460		6.00	2.00	22.00	I	3.90	2.90	3.80	48.00	544.30	816.00	178.30	344.30	6.30	22.20	3.60	71.90	5.20	22.00	7.70	1.60	41.30	90.20	7.95	28.50	5.37	1.49	22.20	4.50	0.70	0.70	1.87	0.30	3.91	1.94	0.30	211.2
Turcului Creek	VRG 7459	ranites	2.00	1.00	12.00	I	1.10	1.90	3.70	27.00	52.70	102.00	147.80	282.30	2.90	17.10	3.40	109.90	6.00	12.50	7.50	09.0	30.80	94.30	6.00	19.30	3.50	0.23	25.10	2.77	0.43	0.45	1.33	0.24	2.49	1.75	0.27	189.0
Laposbükk Creek	VRG 6838/A	oole-bearing g	2	S	29	Ι	S	2.8	2.7	77	250	493	87.4	375.1	4.1	28.8	2.4	95.2	5.2	24.9	9.2	0.1	89.1	176.5	14.75	46.8	7.65	1.4	21.1	5.53	0.82	0.85	2.52	0.38	4.66	2.2	0.35	374.6
Laposbükk Creek	VRG 6839	amphik	2.9	3.6	23.5	13	5.02	11.9	16	2.5	230	433	318	306	10.5	Ι	Ι	82	Ι	18.5	2.6	Ι	60.2	121.6	Ι	25.5	7.6	1.05	23.1	7.3	Ι	I	I	I	3.5	1.7	I	251.6
Laposbükk Creek	VRG 6835		6.45	1.8	13	4.14	4.17	4.1	33	34	173	293	444	241	7			73		13.5	1.8		37.8	70.6		14.5	5.1	0.6	20.05	4.6					2.3	1.3		156.9
Location	Sample	bbm	Be	Sc	>	Ů	CO	ïZ	Cu	Zn	Sr	Ba	Rb	Zr	Pb	Th	D	qN	Та	×	Hf	Mo	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Ga	Gd	Tb	Но	Er	Tm	Dy	ΥЬ	Lu	ZREE



**Figure 5:** Standard discrimination diagrams showing that the DAM granites are alkaline and strongly peraluminous. (A) A.R. vs SiO<sub>2</sub> diagram where A.R. (alkalinity ratio)= $[Al_2O_3+CaO+(Na_2O+K_2O)]/[Al_2O_3+CaO-(Na_2O+K_2O)]$  (wt.% ratio). Compositional fields are from WRIGHT (1969) and MANIAR & PIC-COLI (1982). (B) A/NK vs A/CNK diagram for the DAM granitic rocks.



Figure 6: Plots of (A) trace element and (B) rare-earth element abundances normalised to primitive mantle (SUN & MCDONOUGH, 1989) for the DAM granites.

result of continuous K-feldspar fractionation during differentiation, but Na<sub>2</sub>O has a slight negative correlation with SiO<sub>2</sub> from 70 w. %,, consistent with the late stage of albite crystallization (not shown, Table 4). Ce increases up to an SiO<sub>2</sub> content of about 70 w. % and then decreases in the granites, suggesting later fractionation of monazite. V shows the same pattern as TiO<sub>2</sub> due to ilmenite fractionation (not shown, Table 4). Amphibole-bearing granites own higher Sr (up to 544ppm) and Ba (up to 816 ppm) contents than the amphibole-free varieties (Sr < 103ppm, Ba < 222ppm).

Primitive mantle-normalized granites display strong negative Ba, Sr and Eu anomalies (Eu/Eu\* = 0.15–0.66) (Fig. 6A). The primitive mantle-normalized REE (Fig. 6B) patterns show strong enrichment in LREE and significant fractionation of HREE with an (La/Yb)<sub>N</sub> ratio of 13–38 (Table 4). The (La/Yb)<sub>N</sub> ratios are influenced by the enrichment of HREE. The rocks have high MREE content, especially Sm and Gd. Ba, Sr, Zr, and Sc decrease with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> (not shown, Table 4). The Y/Nb ratios are up to 0.88.

#### 6. DISCUSSION

### 6.1. Mantle source and geodynamic implications

Many types of granitoid classification have been proposed in the literature. These classifications divide granitoids mostly on the basis of their petrography (e.g. PUPIN, 1980; LAMEYRE & BOWDEN, 1982; NACHIT et al., 1985; TISCHENDORF & PALCHEN, 1985), geochemistry (CHAPELL & WHITE, 1974; PUPIN, 1980; COLLINS et al., 1982; PEARCE et al., 1984; WHALEN et al., 1987) and tectonic environment (PITCHER, 1983). Essentially all of these classifications broadly correspond to the three possible sources of granitoids: crustal, mantle-derived and mixed (BARBARIN, 1990). The petrogenetic model developed for granitoid rocks by LOISELLE & WONES (1979) and WHITE & CHAPELL (1983) contain four types of granitoids (S, I-, M-, and A-type) which also overlap with the crustal, mantle-derived and mixed origin of granitoids. The A-type granitoids occur along rift zones (LOISELLE &

WONES, 1979). According to WHALEN et al. (1987) Atype granites can be separated from I- and S-type granites based on their Ga/Al ratios and the fractionation of accessory phases. The average composition of A-type granites (WHALEN et al., 1987) is also presented in Table 4.

The DAM granites exhibit high Zr+Nb+Ce+Y content (>350 ppm) and Ga/Al ratios indicating A-type characteristics of the rocks (Fig. 7). Furthermore, the DAM granites fulfil the compositional criteria (LOISELLE & WONES, 1979; WHALEN et al., 1987) for identifying them as A-type granites with high concentrations of SiO<sub>2</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O+K<sub>2</sub>O, Zr, Nb, Ga, Y, Ce and Fe/Mg ratios, and low CaO, Sr and Ba contents (Table 4).

As suggested by several authors A-type granites can be formed in different ways (COLLINS et al., 1982; WHALEN et al., 1987; EBY, 1990; FROST & FROST, 1997; BONIN, 2007). Generally, models for the petrogenesis of A-type magmas are as follows: (1) Fractionation of mantle-derived basaltic magmas (EBY, 1990; BONIN, 2007); (2) mantle-derived magmas may assimilate crustal material during their ascent to produce a syenitic derivative that fractionates to a granitic composition (POITRASSON et al., 1995; BARTH, 1945); (3) this syenitic magma can also form granite with a mixed source characteristic due to further assimilation (BARKER et al., 1975); (4) melting of crustal material, namely low degrees of partial melting of granulite that were depleted in incompatible elements by previous melt extraction (WHALEN et al., 1987; COLLINS et al., 1982).

EBY (1992) subdivided anorogenic A-type granitoids into two groups: A1-type granites (Y/Nb<1.2) representing a mantle differentiate of continental rift-related and other intraplate environments, whilst A2-type granites (Y/Nb>1.2) derived from melting of underplated continental crust emplaced in a variety of tectonic environments (e.g. back-arcs, collision, post-collision and transcurrent faults etc.). The studied granites have low Y/Nb ratio of 0.04-0.88 suggesting within-plate A1-type characteristics (Fig. 8A) and emplacement in an extensional environment (Fig. 8B). This also implies that they are mantle differentiates with sources corresponding to OIB (Fig. 9).



Figure 7: Discrimination diagrams (A) (K<sub>2</sub>O+Na<sub>2</sub>O)/CaO vs Zr+Nb+Ce+Y and (B) Nb vs 10000\*Ga/Al after WHALEN et al. (1987) for the DAM granites. OFG: unfractionated S-, M- and I-type granitoids; FG: fractionated S-, M- and I-type granitoids.



Figure 8: Plots of incompatible trace elements (A) Nb vs Y vs 3Ga distribution for subdivision of A-type granites following EBY (1992) for the DAM granites. (B) Rb vs Y+Nb diagram after PEARCE et al. (1984) for granites from the DAM.



Figure 9: Rare earth and incompatible trace element ratios for the DAM granites: (A) Yb/Ta vs Y/Nb and (B) Ce/Nb vs Y/Nb . Fields for A1, A2, OIB and IAB are after EBY (1992).

The studied rocks are predominantly ferroan granites (Table 4). According to FROST & LINDSLEY (1991) reduced basaltic rocks from extensional environments could undergo Fe-enrichment or reduction through differentiation, whereas the relatively oxidized arc basaltic rocks could not. This implies that the DAM granites originated from a reduced basaltic compositional source. According to FROST & FROST (2011) ferroan granitoids are principally peralkaline and metaluminous, however there are also ferroan granites with a peraluminous nature. The ferroan alkali granitoids with a peraluminous component, like the studied rocks, can occur owing to the greater amount of felsic crust assimilation compared to metaluminous granitoids (FROST & FROST, 2011).

#### 6.2. Geobarometry

Amphibole composition in plutonic rocks is commonly applied to determine the pressure of the crystallization and solidification of the pluton that can be used to determine the depth of the magma emplacement (e.g. VYHNAL & MC-SWEEN, 1990; ZHANG et al. 2006; STEIN & DIETL, 2001; PAPOUTSA & PE-PIPER, 2014). After empirical notification of the Al in amphibole barometer by HAMMAR-STROM & ZEN (1986) and HOLLISTER et al. (1987), experimental studies (e.g. JOHNSON & RUTHERFORD, 1989a, b; THOMAS & ERNST, 1990; SCHMIDT, 1992) also confirmed that the Al content of the amphibole can indicate the pressure of its crystallization. These studies found that the Al content of the amphibole increases with increasing pressure due to the Tschermak substitution  $Si + R^{2+} =$ Al<sup>IV</sup> + Al<sup>VI</sup>. However, it is important to note that the composition of amphibole is sensitive for several parameters including the intensive parameters (p, T, fO2, fH2O), the cocrystallizing phases and the equilibrium melt composition. Thus, amphibole can be used as a barometer if the thermodynamic degrees of freedom can be reduced. Several criteria have to be met for accurate barometric calculations. The empirical studies indicate that a requisite mineral assemblage is necessary for hornblende barometry including quartz, plagioclase, K-feldspar, biotite, hornblende, Fe-Ti oxide and titanite, to buffer the amphibole composition (HOLLISTER et al., 1987; HAMMARSTROM & ZEN, 1986; ANDER-SON & SMITH, 1995). The barometer has been calibrated experimentally for the assemblage quartz + plagioclase + Kfeldspar + biotite + hornblende + Fe-Ti oxide + titanite + melt + fluid (JOHNSON & RUTHERFORD, 1989a, b; THOMAS & ERNST, 1990; SCHMIDT, 1992). Excluding titanite, all of these minerals can be found in the studied granites. The role of titanite is unknown but the study of AN-DERSON & SMITH (1995) did not find any correlation between the presence or absence of this phase and the calculated pressure. They concluded that either the activity of titanite was at a level sufficiently close to saturation so as to buffer Al content in hornblende or not significantly affect the equilibria. Titanite is sensitive for the oxygen-fugacity and was stable in high fO2 experiments of JOHNSON & RUTH-ERFORD (1989a). The high Fe/(Fe+Mg) and low  $Fe^{3+}/$ (Fe<sup>3+</sup>+Fe<sup>2+</sup>) ratio of the studied amphiboles suggest an intermediate/low fO2 for the crystallization condition of their host granite using the criteria of ANDERSON & SMITH (1995). Maybe the oxygen-fugacity was too low to stabilize titanite during the crystallization of the studied granites.

ANDERSON & SMITH (1995) found that amphiboles with an Fe/(Fe+Mg) ratio higher than 0.6 yield anomalously higher pressures for proterozoic anorogenic granites than the former barometric constraints when the Al-in-amphibole barometry was applied. According to the experiments of SCHMIDT (1993) Tschermak substitution preferentially removes Mg from the M2 site instead of Fe. ANDERSON & SMITH (1995) suggested that the low fO2 during crystallization of these anorogenic granite magmas lowered the Mg content of the amphiboles relative to Fe<sup>2+</sup> and forced Tschermak substitution. This also accompanied Fe3+ - AlVI exchange due to the low  $Fe^{3+}$  relative to total Fe. Thus the higher Fe/Mg and low Fe<sup>3+</sup>/(Fe<sup>3+</sup>+Fe<sup>2+</sup>) ratio of the amphiboles may be related to the low fO2 during crystallization of those anorogenic granites which resulted in the elevated Al content and the overestimation of the crystallization pressure. The amphiboles of the present study are characterized typically by low  $Fe^{3+}/(Fe^{3+}+Fe^{2+})$  (0.17±0.07) and high Fe/ (Fe+Mg) (0.71±0.02) suggesting that the pressure values obtained from Al in amphibole barometry can be viewed as a maximum.

Temperature can also affect the calculated pressure value through the edenite exchange that also increases the Al content of the amphibole. To eliminate the influence of temperature, the recalibration of ANDERSON & SMITH (1995) has been used which enables pressure calculations for igneous amphiboles that have been affected by the edenite substitution.

HOLLISTER et al. (1987) suggested that the composition of the coexisting plagioclase should be in the range of An<sub>25-35</sub> because the tschermak substitution is governed by a reaction involving 2 quartz + 2 anorthite + biotit = tschermakite + orthoclase. According to this reaction HOLLISTER et al. (1987) suggested that low anorthite content of the plagioclase lowers the Al content of the amphibole. On the other hand HUMPHREYS et al. (2006) suggested that the plagioclase composition has a reverse effect on the Al content of amphibole due to the edenite + albite = richterite + anortite reaction (HOLLAND & BLUNDY, 1994). Thus, a higher An content of the plagioclase lowers the Al content of amphibole. The effect of the plagioclase composition on the Al content of amphibole is unclear, but it should be taken into account because in the studied samples it falls out of the optimal range ( $An = 11 \mod \%$ ).

For the pressure calculation we used the spreadsheet of ANDERSON et al. (2008) (RiM69 Ch04 hbld plag thermo-jla.xls). This spreadsheet calculates pressure based on the Al in amphibole barometer using the ANDERSON & SMITH (1995) equation and temperature based on the amphibole-plagioclase thermometer (HOLLAND & BLUNDY, 1994; BLUNDY & HOLLAND, 1990). We used the "preferred" pressure values based on thermometer B of HOL-LAND & BLUNDY (1994), taking into account the study of ANDERSON (1996). The calculated pressure value is 370  $\pm$  40 MPa that corresponds to 14.1  $\pm$  1.5 km depth using 2700 g/cm3 value for crustal density. As previously mentioned, above this pressure and depth, values can be interpreted as a maximum due to the higher Fe/Mg ratio of the studied amphiboles, however PAPOUTSA & PE-PIPER (2014) indicated that amphiboles that have a higher Fe/Mg ratio than the recommended value may also give reasonable pressure values. The other factor that may lower the accuracy of our result comes from the Ab-rich composition of the plagioclase, but the effect of this is not easy to quantitatively determine because of the opposite view of the effect of plagioclase composition on the Al content of amphibole.

The calcic amphiboles are not stable below  $\sim 100$  MPa pressure according to experimental studies (e.g. RUTHER-FORD & DEVINE, 2003, 2008). We conclude that the studied granites were emplaced at middle or upper crustal levels at  $\sim 14$  km depth or shallower, but deeper than the  $\sim 4$  km stability depth of calcic amphiboles.

### **6.3. Fractional crystallization**

Geochemical characteristics of the DAM granites indicate that they have formed as a result of strong fractional crystallization. The distribution of whole-rock major and trace elements (Fig. 6, Table 4) together with the petrographic observations suggest the fractionation of calcic amphibole, ilmenite, allanite, apatite and zircon in the early stage of evolution of the studied granites. During this stage, Ce increases and starts to decrease from SiO<sub>2</sub> 70 w%, which indicates crystallization of monazite. While K-feldspar crystallized continuously during differentiation, albite is a late stage product of the evolution.

The fact that LREE are enriched compared to HREE indicates a fractionation between these elements. The presence of concave-up curvature in the pattern of HREE may reflect either HREE-rich fluid interaction or accumulation of zircon crystals (EBY et al., 1998). Since the most common accessory constituent is zircon, the most likely explanation for the upward HREE patterns is the zircon accumulation. The studied rocks show significant negative Ba, Sr and Eu anomalies (Fig. 6A). Plagioclase and amphibole fractionation produce negative Sr and Eu anomalies, whereas K-feldspar precipitation is responsible for the negative Ba anomaly (WU et al., 2002). According to ARSLAN & ASLAN (2006) the negative Ba anomaly may be considered as evidence of high temperature feldspar fractionation rather than biotite precipitation. Figure 6 shows that amphibole-bearing granite is distinct for Eu, Ba, and Sr due to its smaller negative anomaly. The high content of Sm and Gd may reflect the early precipitation of perthitic feldspar (BOWDEN & WHITELY, 1974), or crystallization of hornblende (KOCHHAR, 2000), while the Th anomaly is due to the presence of monazite and allanite.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

Beside a series of alkaline igneous rocks, a granite body also crops out in the DAM. New whole-rock and mineral chemical data on the granites, together with the petrographic observations suggest the following implications.

(1) DAM granites are mostly alkaline and strongly peraluminous in composition. They are A-type granites with intra-plate A1-type characteristics indicated by their high Zr, Nb, Ga, Ce and Y content and Ga/Al and Fe/Mg ratios, and by their low CaO, Sr and Ba contents and Y/Nb ratios.

(2) The A1-type nature implies that the studied granites are mantle differentiates with sources corresponding to OIB and extensional environment.

(3) The studied granites were probably emplaced at middle or upper crustal levels at about 14 km depth or shallower, but deeper than the ~4 km stability depth of calcic amphiboles indicated by the calculated crystallization pressure of  $370 \pm 40$  MPa.

(4) Magmatic evolution of granites was mainly controlled by fractionation of calcic amphibole, ilmenite, allanite, apatite and zircon in the early stage and monazite and albite in a later stage of evolution. K-feldspar crystallized continuously during differentiation.

(5) Amphibole-bearing granites differ from the amphibole-free varieties in their lower Sr, Eu and Ba anomalies which suggests their less fractionated character. These observations confirm the mantle origin of the DAM granites from a parental mafic magma together with fractional crystallization processes as assumed previously by MOROGAN et al. (2000) and PÁL-MOLNÁR (2000). However, the peraluminous nature of the studied granites suggests the possibility of further assimilation and crustal contamination.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Gábor DOBOSI at the Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences for his help with the microprobe analyses. We thank Carl-Magnus MÖRTH, Birgitta BOSTRÖM and Klára HAJNAL at Stockholm University for their technical support in ICP-MS and ICP-AES analyses. We also thank Marija HORVAT and an anonymous reviewer for constructive suggestions, which helped to improve the quality of this paper. Funding for latter analyses was provided by the Swedish Institute in Stockholm and the Hungarian National Science Foundation (Grant No. T046736).

### REFERENCES

- ANASTASIU, N. & CONSTANTINESCU, E. (1982): Tectostructural position of the foidic rocks in the Romanian Carpathians.– Revue Roumaine Géologie, Géophysique, Géographie, série Géologie, 26.
- ANDERSON, J.L. (1996): Status of thermobarometry in granitic batholiths.- Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 87, 125–138.
- ANDERSON, J.L., BARTH, A.P., WOODEN, J.L. & MAZDAB, F. (2008): Thermometers and thermobarometers in granitic systems.– Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 69/1, 121–142.
- ANDERSON, J.L. & SMITH, D.R. (1995): The effects of temperature and O<sub>2</sub> on the Al-in-hornblende barometer.– American Mineralogist, 80, 549–559.
- ARSLAN, M. & ASLAN, Z. (2006): Mineralogy, petrography and wholerock geochemistry of the Tertiary granitic intrusions in the Eastern Pontides, Turkey.– Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 27, 177–193.
- BAGDASARIAN, G.P. (1972) Despre vîrsta absolută a unor roci eruptive şi metamorfice din masivul Ditrău şi Munții Banatului din România [Absolute age of eruptive and metamorphic rocks from Ditrău and Banat Mountains in Romania – in Romanian].– Studii şi Cercetări Geologie, Geofizică, Geografie, Seria Geologie, 17/1, 13–21.
- BALINTONI, I. (1981): The importance of the Ditrau Alcaline Massif emplacement moment for dating of the basement overthrusts in the Eastern Carpathians.– Revue Roumaine Géologie, Géophysique, Géographie, série Géologie, 25, 89–94.
- BALINTONI, I (1997): Geotectonica terenurilor metamorfice din România [Geotectonics of metamorphic area from Romania – in Romanian].– Editura Carpatica, Cluj-Napoca, 176 p.
- BARBARIN, B. (1990): Granitoids: main petrogenetic classifications in relation to origin and tectonic setting.– Geological Journal, 25, 227–238.
- BARKER, F. (1979). Trondhjemites: definition, environment and hypotheses of origin. – In: BARKER, F. (ed.): Trondhjemites, dacites and related rocks, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1–12.
- BARKER, F., WONES, D.R., SHARP, W.N. & DESBOROUGH, G.A. (1975): The Pikes Peak batholith, Colorado Front Range and a model for the origin of the gabbro-anorthosite-syenite-potassic granite suite.– Precambria Research, 2, 97–160.

- BARTH, T.F.W. (1945): Studies of the igneous rock complex of the Oslo region II. Systematic petrography of the plutonic rocks.– Skrifter ardet Norske Videnskaps-Akademi, Oslo I Matematisk-Naturvidenskapelig Klasse, 1–104.
- BATKI, A., PÁL-MOLNÁR, E., DOBOSI, G. & SKELTON, A. (2014): Petrogenetic significance of ocellar camptonite dykes in the Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Romania.– Lithos, 200–201, 181–196.
- BLUNDY, J.D. & HOLLAND, T.J.B. (1990): Calcic amphibole equilibria and a new amphibole-plagioclase geothermometer.— Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 104/2, 208–224.
- BONIN, B. (2007): A-type granites and related rocks: evolution of a concept, problems and prospects.— Lithos, 97, 1–29.
- BOWDEN, P. & WHITELY, J.E. (1974): Rare earth patterns in peralkaline and associated granites.- Lithos, 7, 15–21.
- CHAPPELL, B.W. & WHITE, A.J.R. (1974): Two contrasting granite types.– Pacific Geology, 8, 173–174.
- CODARCEA, A., CODARCEA, D.M. & IANOVICI, V. (1957): Structura geologică masivului de roci alcaline de la Ditrău [Geological structure of alkaline rocks from the Ditrău Massif – in Romanian].– Buletinul Științific al Academiei Republicii Populare Române, Secția de Geologie și Geografie, II/3–4, 385–446.
- COLLINS, W.J., BEAMS, S.D., WHITE, A.J.R. & CHAPPELL, B.W. (1982): Nature and origin of Atype granites with particular reference to southeastern Australia.– Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 80, 189–200.
- DALLMEYER, D.R., KRÄUTNER, H-G. & NEUBAUER, F. (1997): Middle-late Triassic 40Ar/39Ar hornblende ages for early intrusions within the Ditrau alkaline massif, Rumania: Implications for Alpine rifting in the Carpathian orogen.– Geologica Carpathica, 48, 347–352.
- DEER, W.A., HOWIE, R.A. & ZUSSMAN, J. (1992): An introduction to the Rock-Forming Minerals, 2nd edition.– Longman Scientific and Technical, 696 p.
- EBY, G.N. (1990): The A-type granitoids: a review of their occurrence and chemical characteristics and speculations on their petrogenesis.– Lithos, 26, 115–134.
- EBY, G.N. (1992): Chemical subdivision of the A-type granitoids: petrogenetic and tectonic implications.– Geology, 20, 641–644.
- EBY, G.N., WOOLLEY, A.R., DIN, V. & PLATT, G. (1998): Geochemistry and petrogenesis of nepheline syenites: Kasungu-Chipala, Ilomba, and Ulindi nepheline syenite intrusions, North Nyasa alkaline province, Malawi.– Journal of Petrology, 39, 1405–1424.
- FALL, A., BODNAR, R.J., SZABÓ, CS. & PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (2007): Fluid evolution in the nepheline syenites of the Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Transylvania, Romania.– Lithos, 95, 331–345.
- FROST, B.R. & LINDSLEY, D.H. (1991): The occurrence of Fe–Ti oxides in igneous rocks. – In: LINDSLEY, D.H. (ed.): Oxide Minerals: Petrologic and Magmatic Significances, 25. Mineralogical Society of America, Reviews in Mineralogy, 433–486.
- FROST, C.D. & FROST, B.R. (1997): High-K, iron-enriched rapakivitype granites: the tholeiite connection.– Geology, 25, 647–650.
- FROST, C.D. & FROST, B.R. (2011): On ferroan (A-type) granites: their compositional variability and modes of origin.— Journal of Petrology, 52, 39–53.
- HAMMARSTROM, J.M. & ZEN, E. (1986). Aluminum in hornblende: An empirical igneous geobarometer.– American Mineralogy, 71, 1297–1313.
- HOECK, V., IONESCU, C., BALINTONI, I. & KOLLER, F.(2009) The Eastern Carpathians "ophiolites" (Romania): Remnants of a Triassic ocean.– Lithos, 108, 151–171.
- HOLLAND, T. & BLUNDY, J. (1994): Non-ideal interactions in calcic amphiboles and their bearing on amphibole-plagioclase thermometry.– Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 116, 433–447.

- HOLLISTER, L.S., GRISSOM, G.C., PETERS, E.K., STOWELL, H.H. & SISSON, V.B. (1987): Confirmation of the empirical calibration of Al in hornblende with pressure of solidification of calc-alkaline plutons.– American Mineralogist, 72, 231–239.
- HUMPHREYS, M.C.S., BLUNDY, J.D. & SPARKS, R.S.J. (2006): Magma evolution and open-system processes at Shiveluch volcano: insights from phenocryst zoning.– Journal of Petrology, 47/12, 2303–2334.
- IANOVICI, V. (1938): Considérations sur la consolidation du massif syénitique de Ditrău, en relation avec la tectonique de la région.– C. R. Acad. Sci. Roum., II/6, 689–694.
- JAKAB, GY. (1998): Geologia Masivului alcalin de la Ditrău [Geology of Alkaline Massif from Ditrău – in Romanian].– Pallas-Akadémia, Miercurea-Ciuc, 298 p.
- JOHNSON, M.C. & RUTHERFORD, M.J. (1989a): Experimental calibration of the aluminum-in-hornblende geobarometer with application to Long Valley Caldera (California) volcanic rocks.– Geology, 17, 837–841.
- JOHNSON, M.C. & RUTHERFORD, M.J. (1989b): Experimentally determined conditions in the Fish Canyon Tuff, Colorado, magma chamber.– Journal of Petrology, 30/3, 711–737.
- KOCH, A. (1879): A ditrói syenittömzs közettani és hegyszerkezeti viszonyairól [Petrographical and structural aspects of the Ditrău Syenite – in Hungarian].– Magyar Tudományos Akadémiai Értekezések, IX/2.
- KOCHHAR, N. (2000): Attributes and significance of the A-type Malani magmatism, northwestern Peninsular India.– In: DEB, M. (ed.): Crustal Evolution and Metallogeny in the Northwestern India Shield. Narosa Publishers, New Delhi, 158–188.
- KOVÁCS, G. & PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (2005): A Ditrói alkáli masszívum granitoid kőzeteinek petrogenezise [*Petrogenesis of granitoid rocks from the Ditrău Alkaline Massif –* in Hungarian].– Földtani Közlöny, 135/1, 121–143.
- KOZUR, H. (1991): The evolution of the Meliata-Hallstatt ocean and its significance for the early evolution of the Eastern Alps and Western Carpathians.– Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 87/1–4, 109–135.
- KRETZ, R. (1983): Symbols for rock-forming minerals.—American Mineralogist, 68, 277–279.
- KRÄUTNER, H.G. & BINDEA, G. (1998): Timing of the Ditrău alkaline intrusive complex (Eastern Carpathians, Romania).– Slovak Geological Magazine, 4, 213–221.
- LAMEYRE, J. & BOWDEN, P. (1982): Plutonic rock types series: discrimination of various granitoid series and related rocks.– Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 14, 169–186.
- LEAKE, B.E., WOOLLEY, A.R., BIRCH, W.D., ARPS, C.E.S., GIL-BERT, M.C., GRICE, J.D., HAWTHORNE, F.C., KATO, A., KISCH, H.J., KRIVOVICHEV, V.G., LINTHOUT, K., LAIRD, J. & MANDARINO, J. (1997): Nomenclature of Amphiboles: Report of the Subcommittee on Amphiboles of the International Mineralogical Association Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names.– Mineralogical Magazin, 61, 295–321.
- LOISELLE, M.C. & WONES, D.R. (1979): Characteristics and origin of anorogenic granites.– Annual Meetings of the Geological Society of America and Associated Societies, San Diego, CA 11, 468.
- MANIAR, P.D. & PICCOLI, P.M. (1989): Tectonic discrimination of granitoids.– Geological Society of America Bulletin, 101, 635–643.
- MOROGAN, V., UPTON, B.G.J. & FITTON J.G. (2000): The petrology of the Ditrău alkaline complex, Eastern Carpathians.– Mineralogy and Petrology, 69, 227–265.
- NACHIT, H., RAZAFIMAHEFA, N., STUSSI, J.M. & CARRON, J.P. (1985): Composition chimique des biotites et typologie magmatique des granitoids.– Comtes Rendus Hebdomadaires de l'Academie des Sciences, 301/11, 813–818.

- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (1992): Petrographical characteristics of Ditró (Orotva) hornblendites, Eastern Charpatians, Transylvania (Romania): a preliminary description.– Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, 33, 67–80.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (1994a): Petrographical characteristics of Ditró (Orotva) diorites, Eastern Charpathians, Transylvania (Romania).– Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, 34, 95–109.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (1994b): A Ditrói Szienitmasszívum kialakulása a földtani megismerés tükrében [Formation of the Ditrău Syenite Massif in view of geological aspects – in Hungarian].– A Magyar Tudományos Akadémia Szegedi Akadémiai Bizottságának Kiadványai, Szeged, 85 p.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (2000): Hornblendites and diorites of the Ditrău Syenite Massif.– Department of Mineralogy, Geochemistry and Petrology, University of Szeged, Szeged, 172 p.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (2006): Granitoids from the Ditrău Alkaline Massif, Transylvania, Romania.– Geolines, 20, 103–104.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. (2010): Geology of Székelyland.– In: SZAKÁLL, S. & KRISTÁLY, F. (eds.): Mineralogy of Székelyland, Eastern Transylvania, Romania. Csík County Nature and Conservation Society, Sfântu Gheorghe, Miercurea Ciuc, Târgu Mureş, 33–43.
- PÁL-MOLNÁR, E. & ÁRVA-SÓS, E. (1995): K/Ar radiometric dating on rocks from the northern part of the Ditrău Syenite Massif and its petrogenetic implications.– Acta Mineralogica-Petrographica, 36, 101–116.
- PAPOUTSA, A. & PE-PIPER, G. (2014): Geochemical variation of amphiboles in A-type granites as an indicator of complex magmatic systems: Wentworth pluton, Nova Scotia, Canada.– Chemical Geology, 384, 120–134.
- PEARCE, J.A., HARRIS, N.B.W. & TINDLE, A.G. (1984): Trace element discrimination diagrams for the tectonic interpretation of granitic rocks.– Journal of Petrology, 25, 956–983.
- PITCHER, W.S. (1983): Granite Type and Tectonic Environment.– In: HSU, K. (ed.): Mountain Building Processes. Academic Press, London, 19–40.
- POITRASSON, F., DUTHOU, J.L. & PIN, C. (1995): The relationship between petrology and Nd isotopes as evidence for contrasting anorogenic granites gneiss: example of the Corsican Province (SE France).– Journal of Petrology, 36, 1251–1274.
- PUPIN, J.P. (1980): Zircon and granite petrology.– Contribution to Mineralogy and Petrology, 73, 207–220.
- RUTHERFORD, M.J. & DEVINE, J.D. (2003): Magmatic conditions and magma ascent as indicated by hornblende phase equilibria and reactions in the 1995–2002 Soufrie're hills magma.– Journal of Petrology, 44/8, 1433–1453.
- RUTHERFORD, M.J. & DEVINE, J.D. (2008): Magmatic conditions and processes in the storage zone of the 2004–2006 Mount St. Helens Dacite.– In: SHERROD, D.R., SCOTT, W.E., STAUFFER, P.H. (eds.): A volcano rekindled: the renewed eruption of Mount St. Helens, 2004–2006, USGS Professional Paper, 1750, 703–725.
- SĂNDULESCU, M (1984): Geotectonica României [Geotectonics of Romania – in Romanian].– Editura Tehnică, București, 336 p.
- SCHMIDT, M.W. (1992): Amphibole composition in tonalite as a function of pressure: An experimental calibration of the Al-in-hornblende barometer.– Contribution to Mineralogy and Petrology, 110, 304–310.
- SCHMIDT, M.W. (1993): Phase relations and compositions in tonalite as a function of pressure: an experimental study at 650°C.– American Journal of Science, 293, 1011–1060.
- STEIN, E. & DIETL, C. (2001): Hornblende thermobarometry of granitoids from the Central Odenwald (Germany) and their implications for the geotectonic development of the Odenwald.– Mineralogy and Petrology, 72, 185–207.

- STRECKEISEN, A. (1938): Das Nephelinsyenit-Massiv von Ditro in Rumänien als Beispiel einer kombinierten Differentation und Assimilation. erh.– Verhandlungen der Schweizerischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft, 159–161.
- STRECKEISEN, A. (1952): Das Nephelinsyenit-Massiv von Ditro (Siebenbürgen), I. Teil.– Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 32, 251–308.
- STRECKEISEN, A. (1954): Das Nephelinsyenit-Massiv von Ditro (Siebenbürgen), II. Teil.– Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 34, 336–409.
- STRECKEISEN, A. & HUNZIKER, J.C. (1974): On the origin of the Nephelinsyenit Massif of Ditró (Transylvania, Romania).– Schweizerische Mineralogische und Petrographische Mitteilungen, 54, 59–77.
- SUN, S. & MCDONOUGH, W.F. (1989): Chemical and isotopic systematics of ocean basalts implications for mantle composition and process.– In: SAUNDERS, A.D. & NORRY, M.J. (eds.): Magmatism in the ocean basins. Geological Society Special Publication, 42, 313–346.
- THOMAS, W.M. & ERNST, W.G. (1990): The aluminium content of hornblende in calc-alkaline granitic rocks: A mineralogic barometer calibrated experimentally to 12 kbar.– In: SPENCER, R.J., CHOU, I.M. (eds.): Fluid-mineral interactions: A tribute to HP Eugster. The Geochemical Society Special Publication, 2, 59–63.

- TISCHENDORF, G. & PALCHEN, W. (1985): Zur klassifikation von Granitoiden.– Zeitschrift für Geologische Wissenschaften, 13, 615–627.
- VYHNAL, C.R. & MCSWEEN, H.Y. JR. (1990): Constraints on Alleghanian vertical displacements in the southern Appalachian Piedmont, based on aluminum-in-hornblende barometry.– Geology, 18, 938–941.
- WHALEN, J.B., CURRIE, K.L. & CHAPPELL, B.W. (1987): A-type granites: geochemical characteristics, discrimination and petrogenesis.– Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 95, 407–419.
- WHITE, A.J.R. & CHAPPELL, B.W. (1983): Granitoid types and their distribution in the Lachlan Fold Belt, southeastern Australia.– In: RODDICK, J.A. (ed.): Circum-Pacific Plutonic Terranes. Geological Society of America Memoirs, 159, 21–34.
- WRIGHT, J.B. (1969): A simple alkalinity ratio and its applications to questions of non-orogenic granitic-gneisses.– Geological Magazin, 46, 1097–1111.
- WU, F.Y., SUN, D.Y., LI, H., JAHN, B.M. & WILDE, S. (2002): A-type granites in northeastern China: age and geochemical constraints on their petrogenesis.– Chemical Geology, 187, 143–173.
- ZHANG, S.H., Y. ZHAO & SONG, B. (2006): Hornblende thermobarometry of the Carboniferous granitoids from the Inner Mongolia Paleo-uplift: implications for the tectonic evolution of the northern margin of North China block.– Mineralogy and Petrology, 87/1–2, 123–141.

Manuscript received October 11, 2014 Revised manuscript accepted January 12, 2015 Available online February 27, 2015