

Biodegradation of typical laundry wastewater surfactants - a review

Brigita Altenbaher, PhD¹

Prof. **Sonja Šostar-Turk**, PhD²

Doc. **Sabina Fijan**, PhD²

¹University of Maribor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

²University of Maribor, Faculty of Health Sciences

Maribor, Slovenia

e-mail: sabina.fijan@um.si

Received November 14, 2013

UDK 677.042.2

Review

The pollution of laundry wastewater is dependent on the origin of the linen, soil degree of the linen and the laundering process. It is caused by dissolved organic and inorganic substances, as well as sedimented and toxic substances. Washing detergents contain various chemicals used in great quantities whose influence on the environment is very important as they are transferred into wastewater treatment plants after use and are, therefore, also present in effluent, where they add their contribution to the total toxicity of the effluent. This review paper summarizes the findings of various literature and presents the biodegradation of most often used surfactants. In order to assess their environmental risks, we need to understand the distribution, behaviour and degradation of surfactants in the different parts of a wastewater treatment plant.

Key words: aerobic biodegradation, anaerobic biodegradation, anoxic biodegradation, surfactants, toxicity

1. Introduction

Different techniques are used for the treatment of wastewaters from laundry today; each with its own advantages and disadvantages. Several research reports have been published on the success of biological wastewater treatment of laundry wastewater [1-4]. In the past the most common methods for treatment of laundry wastewater included sedimentation, flocculation, neutralisation, flotation. Lately more common methods include membrane filtration (micro-, ultra-, nanofiltration and reverse osmosis) as well as biological treatment (aerobic and anaerobic) [5]. European Union and its member states have successively over the last three decades im-

plemented European Union wide and national measures to ensure a sustainable water management process, an important outcome of which is the Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC [6] of the European Commission which defines certain environmental targets that have to be carried out by all EU members.

In biological treatment systems, the biodegradable fraction of wastewater can be removed effectively, but its non-biodegradable fraction passes through the system unchanged. This review paper summarizes the findings of different researches and presents the possibility for biodegradation of the most often used anionic, non-ionic, cationic and amphoteric sur-

factants. Its purpose is to help understand the behaviour of surfactants in different parts of wastewater treatment plants (anoxic, aerobic or anaerobic) and their possible presence in the effluent, where they can have a negative or even toxic effect on the environment.

2. Biological treatments

Degradation of surfactants through microbial activity is the primary transformation occurring in the environment. Biodegradation is an important process to treat surfactants in raw sewage in sewage treatment plants, and it also enhances the removal of these surfactants in the environment, thus reducing their impact on biota.

During biodegradation, microorganisms can either utilize surfactants as substrates for energy and nutrients or metabolize the surfactants by microbial metabolic reactions [7]. There are many chemical and environmental factors that affect the biodegradation of a surfactant in the environment. The most important influencing factors are chemical structure, and the physiochemical conditions of the environmental media [7]. Zhang and co-authors [8] reported that surfactant molecules with aromatic rings or secondary carbon chain structures have lower biodegradability in aerobic conditions. Increasing surfactant concentrations from sub- to supra critical micelle concentrations can significantly decrease biodegradation. This decrease may be attributed to the limited bioavailability of surfactants in the micelle phase as compared to the monomeric surfactants [8]. Surfactants are believed to act on the structure of the activated sludge flocks [9] and some of them are toxic to aquatic organisms. Surfactants containing a benzene ring are most likely to deteriorate wastewater treatment processes in the activated sludge system. The presence of the elevated amount of surfactants in wastewater can also decrease the affinity of substrate to biomass [10]. Different classes of surfactants have different degradation behaviour in the environment, therefore this review paper focuses on most widely used surfactants in industrial and domestic laundering of textiles.

2.1. Anionic surfactants

The anionic surfactant linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS) can be degraded by a consortia of aerobic microorganisms and attached biofilms in the environment [11-13]. The primary degradation of LAS during the activated sludge treatment is more than 99 %, after an adequate period of adaptation (20 days) [14-15]. Temmink and co-authors [16] reported that a large fraction (92–98 %) of

LAS-C₁₂ in the municipal activated sludge plant was found to be adsorbed to the sludge and in general more than 99 % of the influent load of LAS-C₁₂ could be removed by biodegradation, indicating that not only dissolved but also adsorbed LAS-C₁₂ is readily available for biodegradation. However, LAS could be biodegraded primarily also by natural microbial flora [17-18]. Krueger and co-authors [19] reported the rate of LAS biodegradation increased with increasing the dissolved oxygen concentrations in the sewage-contaminated groundwater, but under low oxygen conditions (< 1 mg/L), only a fraction of the LAS mixture was biodegraded.

The inhibition of anaerobic digestion by LAS was studied by Mösche and Meyer [20] and by Hernandez and co-workers [21]. The latter reported that LAS exerts its toxic effect through an immediate inhibition and a subsequent further decrease of bacterial activity. Anionic surfactants besides their poor removal during anaerobic digestion may inhibit the process of hydrolysis [21]. Higher surfactant concentrations cause a faster decay of activity but, on the other hand, low LAS concentrations (< 3mg/L) might have a slightly positive effect on the bacteria [20].

Alkane sulphonates, alpha-olefin sulphonates, methyl ester sulphonates and sulphosuccinates (mono and dialkyl) can be biodegraded under aerobic conditions [22]. However, sulphosuccinates can also be partially (branched alkyl sulphosuccinates) or extensively (linear alkyl sulphosuccinates) mineralized in anaerobic digesters given that the ester linkage and its position with respect to the sulphonate group are essential for the degradation of the surfactant molecule under anaerobic conditions [22]. The mass flow and behaviour of secondary alkane sulphonates (SAS) and LAS during sewage treatment are similar in many regards. For example, the percentage masses of SAS and LAS transferred to sludge are

similar, the more hydrophobic homologs and isomers of each surfactant are transferred to sludge preferentially, and both SAS and LAS are recalcitrant under anaerobic sludge digester conditions [23].

Alcohol sulphates or alkyl sulphates (AS) may interact in the wastewater treatment system in many ways. All interactions depend on the hydrophobic chain length. The most important interaction takes place with the cell membranes [24]. Depending on chain length and the composition of the cell membrane lipids and the chain length of the AS some surfactants will weaken these membranes more efficiently than other surfactants do. According to [25] the destruction of the cell membranes will cause an inhibition of the microbial activity and this inhibitory effect is permanent and not reversible by dilution [26]. The solubility of AS in water decreases with increasing hydrophobic chain length and this effect was considered to be one reason for the lower toxicity of long chain AS during anaerobic degradation [25].

Data on the biodegradation of anionic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles is summarized in Tab.1.

2.2. Non-ionic surfactants

The presence of nonionic surfactants in wastewater at the concentration of 50 mg L⁻¹ in the influent causes a decrease of flocks size when the dilution rate is equal to or higher than 0.102 h⁻¹. The presence of both non-ionic and anionic surfactants deteriorates the kinetics of biological wastewater treatment processes [27]. Biodegradable non-ionic surfactants such as alkyl polyglycosides are becoming more common [28]. Alcohol ethoxylates (AE or AEO) are believed to be a readily biodegradable and environmentally safe group of surfactants [17,29-30]. The results obtained showed that the biodegradability of alcohol ethoxylates depends on the molecular structure [31]. Mezzanotte and co-workers [31] observed

that the biodegradation of alcohol ethoxylates was affected by their affinity to water (the number of ethoxy groups), length of the alkyl chain and molecular weight. High primary biodegradation (above 95 %) was also found for AEs in the continuous flow activated sludge test with a high concentration of metabolites free fatty alcohol (FFA) and poly(ethylene glycols) (PEG) [32-33]. Battersby and co-workers [34] tested the aerobic biodegradation of two AEs at 10 °C (as a winter temperature) and at 20 °C, and they observed more than 97 % of removal. In addition to aerobic biodegradation, rapid anaerobic biodegradation of AEs has also been observed [31, 35].

During sewage treatments nonylphenol (NP) and octylphenol (OP) can be efficiently removed from the sewage effluents through activated sludge treatments [13]. According to Isobe et al. [36] a greater removal for NP (93 % on average) than OP (84 % on average) was consistent with their partitioning behaviour to particles in primary and secondary effluents. Ma and co-workers [37] also reported that 71 % of NP and nonylphenol polyethoxylates (NPnEO) were re-

moved by the sewage treatment process and the adsorption on sludge contributed to the removal of these compounds. Biodegradation of NPnEOs by denitrifying activated sludge was investigated by Lu and co-workers [38]. The results showed that NPnEOs were degraded readily (almost 90 %). Organic substance and initial concentration had great influence on biodegradation of NPnEOs in the denitrifying activated sludge process, while the influence of biodegradation intermediates such as NP could be neglected. Temperature and acclimation of biomass also has a strong influence on the biodegradation rate of NPnEOs, as it has been demonstrated that the biotransformation of these compounds is higher at a higher temperature [39] and the inhibition effect is smaller when the biomass is acclimatised [40]. Reports about the degradation of NPnEOs in anaerobic conditions are scarce. However, it appears that NP is the major product of degradation; it does not undergo further transformation and is adsorbed onto the sludge solids [41-42]. According to Luppi and co-workers [43] anaerobic degradation of NPnEO is strictly dependent on the

presence of nitrate. There was no indication of biodegradation of NPnEO when nitrate was replaced by sulphate, bicarbonate or in electron acceptor-free controls. On the contrary Lu and co-workers [44] reported that anaerobic biodegradation of NPnEOs could be enhanced by adding sulphate or nitrate. Langford and co-workers [45] reported that sludge age is also important for biodegradation. Greater concentrations of activated sludge and, perhaps, greater species' diversity at a higher sludge age, enabled more rapid degradation of long chain NPnEOs. However, an accumulation of short chain compounds and NP was observed as their rate of formation exceeded their rate of degradation at all sludge ages and particularly at a higher sludge age due to rapid long chain compound degradation. Compared to anaerobic activated sludge treatment, denitrifying activated sludge treatment had a much higher removal efficiency of NPnEO contaminants [38].

Data on the biodegradation of non-ionic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles is summarized in Tab.2.

2.3. Cationic surfactants

Tab.1 Biodegradation of anionic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles

Surfactant	Biodegradation	Conditions of successful biodegradation	References
Linear alkylbenzenesulfonates	aerobic	20 days adaption period needed	[14,15]
Alkane-, alpha-olefin-, methyl ester sulphonates	aerobic		[22]
Sulphosuccinates	aerobic	mono and dialkyl	[22]
	partly anaerobic	branched alkyl and extensively linear alkyl	[22]
Alkohol sulphates	partly anaerobic	short alkyl chain	[24]

Tab.2 Biodegradation of non-ionic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles

Surfactant	Biodegradation	Conditions of successful biodegradation	References
Alcohol ethoxylates	aerobic	lower number of ethoxy groups, longer alkyl chain	[31]
	anaerobic	higher number of ethoxy groups, shorter alkyl chain	
Polyetoxylates	aerobic	/	[13]
	anaerobic	presence of nitrate	[43]

Tab.3 Biodegradation of cationic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles

Surfactant	Biodegradation	Conditions of successful biodegradation	References
Quaternary ammonium based surfactants	aerobic	short alkyl chain	[48,51]
Diethyl ester dimethyl ammonium chloride	aerobic or anaerobic	none specified	[52]

Tab.4 biodegradation of amphoteric surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles

Surfactant	Biodegradation	Conditions successful biodegradation	References
Alkyl betaines	aerobic	none specified	[49, 53]
alkyl imidazole derivatives, alkylamido betaines	aerobic or anaerobic	none specified	

Quaternary ammonium based surfactants (QASs) are commonly used in rinse cycles softener formulations. Under anaerobic conditions, some QACs showed poor primary biodegradation and no evidence of any extent of ultimate biodegradation [46-48]. However under aerobic conditions the cationic surfactants are considered biologically degradable, although the biodegradation for individual surfactants varies [49] and some of them can be toxic [50]. According to García and co-workers [51] an increase in the alkyl chain length or the substitution of a benzyl group for a methyl group reduces the aerobic biodegradation rate. Sütterlin and co-workers [48] investigated the biodegradability of QACs in the presence of different anionic surfactants. There was little biodegradability of the QACs as either single compounds or in the presence of organic counter ions. The biodegradability of the organic counter ions was lower in the presence of QACs as compared to the single substances. Primary elimination of the QACs by sorption took place. Cationic surfactants sorb strongly onto suspended particulates and sludge, which are predominantly negatively charged [48].

Due to the poor biodegradation kinetics of ditallow dimethyl ammonium chloride (DTDMAC) [47], diethyl ester dimethyl ammonium chloride (DEEDMAC) was introduced to replace it [52]. DEEDMAC differs structurally from DTDMAC by the

inclusion of two ester linkages between the ethyl and tallow chains. These ester linkages allow DEEDMAC to be degraded rapidly and completely in standard laboratory screening tests and a range of environmental media such as sludge, soil and river water. DEEDMAC can be degraded completely under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, and it has a half-life of around 24 h in raw sewage. Therefore, removal of DEEDMAC during sewage treatment is greater than 99 % [52].

Data on the biodegradation of cationic surfactants commonly used in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles is summarized in Tab.3.

2.4. Amphoteric surfactants

Amphoteric surfactants form part of special surfactants available for formulators to improve or design new formulations in response to environmental, toxicity, safety and performance demands. Among the most important members of this family are the alkyl and alkylamido betaines and the alkyl imidazoline derivatives. Nevertheless, limited information on the ecological properties of amphoteric surfactants is available, Tab.4. As regards biodegradation, alkyl betaines, alkyl imidazoline derivatives and alkylamido betaines have been demonstrated to be readily biodegradable under aerobic conditions [49, 53]. Alkyl imidazoline derivatives and alkylamido betaines are also readily biodegradable under anaerobic con-

ditions whereas alkyl betaines exhibit a negligible biotransformation [53].

3. Conclusion

The advantages of the physicochemical characteristics of surfactants used in laundering procedures have resulted in their industrial scale production and application all over the world. Besides the beneficial effects, they also show marked toxicity and can cause marked environmental pollution. Although most surfactants appear to be biodegradable, their complete mineralization relies on the activity and condition of the activated sludge. This review paper presents the degree of biodegradation for the most common used surfactants in industrial or domestic laundering of textiles in different conditions of biological treatment and, therefore, provides very useful information for the appropriate and successful treatment of the defined type of surfactants.

References:

- [1] Andersen M., G.H. Kristensen, M. Brynjolf, H. Grüttner: Pilot-scale testing membrane bioreactor for wastewater reclamation in industrial laundry, *Water Science and Technology* 46 (2002) 67-76
- [2] Hoinkis J., V. Panten: Wastewater Recycling in Laundries – from Pilot to Large Scale Plant. *Chem. engineering and Processing* 47 (2008) 1159-1164
- [3] Altenbaher B., M. Levstek, B. Neral, S. Šostar Turk: Laundering wastewater treatment in a moving

- bed biofilm reactor, *Tekstil* 59 (2010.) 8, 333-339
- [4] Altenbaher B., S. Šostar Turk, S. Fijan: Ecological parameters and disinfection effect of low-temperature laundering in hospitals in Slovenia. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 19 (2011) 253-258
- [5] Simonic M., I. Petrinic, S. Šostar-Turk: Čiščenje odpadnih voda iz industrijske pralnice z uporabo membranske tehnologije. *Tekstil* 47 (2004) 167-174
- [6] 2000/60/EC Council Directive of 23 October 2000. Establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (2000/60/EC). Official Journal of the European Communities, L327, 2000.
- [7] Ying G.: Fate, behavior and effects of surfactants and their degradation products in the environment, *Environment international* 32 (2006) 417-431
- [8] Zhang C., T. Kalliat, K.L. Valsaraj, W.D. Constant, D. Roy: Aerobic biodegradation kinetics of four anionic and nonionic surfactants at sub- and supra-critical micelle concentrations (cmcs), *Water Research* 33 (1999) 1, 115-124
- [9] Wang Z., B. Li, T. Zhang: Identification of surfactants emerged in aerobic granulation. *Chemosphere* 82 (2011) 535-540
- [10] Liwarska-Bizukojc E., R. Scheumann, A. Drews, U. Bracklow, M. Kraume: Effect of anionic and nonionic surfactants on the kinetics of the aerobic heterotrophic biodegradation of organic matter in industrial wastewater. *Water Research* 42 (2008) 923-930
- [11] Takada H., K. Mutoh, N. Tomita, T. Miyadzu, N. Ogura: Rapid removal of linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS) by attached biofilm in an urban shallow stream, *Water Research* 28 (1994) 9, 1953-1960
- [12] Yadav J.S., D.L. Lawrence, B.A. Nuck, T.W. Federle, C.A. Reddy: Biotransformation of linear alkylbenzene sulfonate (LAS) by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*: oxidation of alkyl side-chain, *Biodegradation* 12 (2001) 6, 443-453
- [13] Clara M., Scharf S., Scheffknecht C., O.Gans: Occurrence of selected surfactants in untreated and treated sewage, *Water Research* 41 (2007) 4339-4348
- [14] León V.M., López C., Lara-Martín P.A., Prats D., Varó P., E. González-Mazo: Removal of linear alkylbenzene sulfonates and their degradation intermediates at low temperatures during activated sludge treatment, *Chemosphere* 64 (2006) 1157-1166
- [15] Huelgas A., N. Funamizu: Flat-plate submerged membrane bioreactor for the treatment of higher-load greywater, *Desalination* 250 (2010) 162-166
- [16] Temmink H., B. Klapwijk: Fate of linear alkylbenzene sulfonate (LAS) in activated sludge plants. *Water Research* 38 (2004) 903-912
- [17] Perales J.A., Manzano M.A., Sales D., J.A. Quiroga: Biodegradation kinetics of LAS in river water. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 43 (1999.) 155-160
- [18] Pérez-Carrera E., León V.M., Lara-Martín P.A., E. González-Mazo: Influence of the hydrophilic moiety of anionic and nonionic surfactants on their aerobic biodegradation in seawater. *Science of the Total Environment* 408 (2010) 922-930
- [19] Krueger C.J., K.M. Radakovich, T.E. Sawyer, L.B. Barber, R.L. Smith, J.A. Field: Biodegradation of the Surfactant Linear Alkylbenzenesulfonate in Sewage-Contaminated Groundwater: A Comparison of Column Experiments and Field Tracer Tests, *Environment & Science Technology* 32 (1998) 24, 3954-3961
- [20] Mösche M., U. Meyer: Toxicity of linear alkylbenzene sulfonate in anaerobic digestion: influence of exposure time, *Water Research* 36 (2002) 3253-3260
- [21] Hernández Leal, L. Temmink, H., Zeeman, G., C.J.N. Buisman: Characterization and anaerobic biodegradability of grey water, *Desalination* 270 (2011) 111-115
- [22] García M.T., E. Campos, A. Marsal, I. Ribosa: Biodegradability and toxicity of sulphonate-based surfactants in aerobic and anaerobic aquatic environments, *Water Research* 43 (2009) 295-302
- [23] Field J.I., T.M. Field, T. Poiger, H. Siegrist, W. Giger: Fate of secondary alkane sulfonate surfactants during municipal wastewater treatment, *Water Research* 29 (1995) 5, 1301-1307
- [24] Feitkenhauer H., U. Meyer: Anaerobic digestion of alcohol sulfate (anionic surfactant) rich wastewater – batch experiments. Part I: influence of the sludge loading, *Bioresearch and Technology* 82 (2002) 115-121
- [25] Feitkenhauer H., U. Meyer: Anaerobic digestion of alcohol sulfate (anionic surfactant) rich wastewater - batch experiments. Part II: influence of the hydrophobic chain length, *Bioresearch Technology* 82 (2002) 123-129
- [26] Angelidaki I., B.K. Ahring: Effects of free long-chain fatty acids on thermophilic anaerobic digestion, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 37 (1992) 6, 808-812
- [27] Liwarska-Bizukojc E., M. Bizukojc: The influence of the selected nonionic surfactants on the activated sludge morphology and kinetics of the organic matter removal in the flow system, *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 41 (2007) 26-34
- [28] Schwarz J.C., V. Klang, M. Hopfel, D. Mahrhauser, C. Valenta: Natural microemulsions: Formulation design and skin interaction, *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* 81 (2012) 557-56
- [29] Krogh K.A., Halling-Sorensen B., Mogensen B.B., K.V. Vejrup: Environmental properties and effects of non-ionic surfactant adjuvants in pesticides: a review, *Chemosphere* 50 (2003) 871-901
- [30] Wind T., R.J. Stephenson, C.V. Eadsforth, A. Sherren, R. Toy: Determination of the fate of alcohol ethoxylate homologues in a laboratory continuous activated-sludge unit study, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 64 (2006) 42-60
- [31] Mezzanotte V., F. Castiglioni, R. Todeschini, M. Pavan: Study on anaerobic and aerobic degradation of different non-ionic surfactants. *Bioresource Technology* 87 (2003) 87-91

- [32] Szymanski A., B. Wyrwas, Z. Swit, T. Jaroszynski, Z. Lukaszewski: Biodegradation of fatty alcohol ethoxylates in the continuous flow activated sludge test, *Water Research* 34 (2000) 4101-4109
- [33] Szymanski A., B. Wyrwas, E. Buben, T. Kurosz, W. Hreczuch, W. Zembrzusi, Z. Lukaszewski: Biodegradation of oxo-alcohol ethoxylates in the continuous flow activated sludge simulation test, *Water Research* 36 (2002) 3378-3386
- [34] Battersby N.S., A.J. Sherren, R.N. Bumpus, R. Eagle, I.K. Molade: The fate of linear alcohol ethoxylates during activated sludge sewage treatment, *Chemosphere* 45 (2001) 109-121
- [35] Salanitro J.P., L.A. Diaz: Anaerobic biodegradability testing of surfactants, *Chemosphere* 30 (1995) 813-830
- [36] Isobe T., H. Nishuyama, A. Nakashima, H. Takada: Distribution and behaviour of nonylphenol, octylphenol and nonylphenol monoethoxylate in Tokyo metropolitan area: their association with aquatic particles and sedimentary distributions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35 (2001) 6, 1041-1049
- [37] Ma X., B. Shao B., J. Hu, M. Yang: The transformation of nonylphenol ethoxylates in sewage treatment, *Huan Jing Ke Xue* 23 (2002) 5, 80-83
- [38] Lu J., Q. Jin, Y. He, J. Wu, W. Zhang, J. Zhao: Biodegradation of nonylphenol polyethoxylates by denitrifying activated sludge, *Water Research* 42 (2008) 1075-1082
- [39] Manzano M.A., J.A. Perales, D. Sales, J.M. Quiroga: The effect of temperature on the biodegradation of a nonylphenol polyethoxylate in river water, *Water Research* 33 (1999) 2593-600
- [40] Karahan Ö., T. Olmez-Hanci, I. Arslan-Alaton, D. Orhon: Modeling biodegradation of nonylphenol ethoxylate in acclimated and non-acclimated microbial cultures, *Bioresource technology* 101 (2010) 8058-8066
- [41] Schröder H.F.: Tracing of surfactants in the biological wastewater treatment process and the identification of their metabolites by flow injection-mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and -tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 926 (2001) 127-150
- [42] Nie Y., Z. Qiang, H. Zhang, W. Ben: Fate and seasonal variation of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in a sewage treatment plant with A/A/O process, *Separation and Purification Technology* 84 (2011) 9-15
- [43] Luppi L.I., I. Hardmeier, P.A. Babay, R.I. Itria, L. Erijman: Anaerobic nonylphenol ethoxylate degradation coupled to nitrate reduction in a modified biodegradability batch test, *Chemosphere* 68 (2007) 2136-2143
- [44] Lu J., Q. Jin, Y. He, J. Wu, W. Zhang, J. Zhao: Anaerobic degradation behavior of nonylphenol polyethoxylates in sludge, *Chemosphere* 71 (2008) 345-351
- [45] Langford K.H., M.D. Scrimshaw, J.W. Birkett, J.N. Lester: Degradation of nonylphenolic surfactants in activated sludge batch tests, *Water Research* 39 (2005) 870-876
- [46] Garcia M.T., E. Campos, J. Sanchez-Leal, I. Ribosa: Effect of the alkyl chain length on the anaerobic biodegradability and toxicity of quaternary ammonium based surfactants, *Chemosphere* 38 (1999) 15, 3473-3483
- [47] Garcia M.T., E. Campos, J. Sanchez-Leal, I. Ribosa: Anaerobic degradation and toxicity of commercial cationic surfactants in anaerobic screening tests, *Chemosphere* 41 (2000) 705-710
- [48] Sütterlin H., R. Alexy, A. Coker, K. Kümmerer: Mixtures of quaternary ammonium compounds and anionic organic compounds in the aquatic environment: Elimination and biodegradability in the closed bottle test monitored by LC-MS/MS, *Chemosphere* 72 (2008) 479-484
- [49] Madsen T., H.B. Boyd, D. Nylén, A. Rathmann-Pedersen, G.I. Petersen, F. Simonsen: Environmental and health assessment of substances in household detergents and cosmetic detergent products. Environmental Project No. 615. Miljøprojekt, CETOX (2001)
- [50] Sarkar B., M. Megharaj, Y. Xi, G.S.R. Krishnamurti, R. Naidu: Sorption of quaternary ammonium compounds in soils: Implications to the soil microbial activities, *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 184 (2010) 448-456
- [51] Garcia M.T., I. Ribosa, T. Guindulain, J. Sanchez-Leal, J. Vives-Rego: Fate and effect of monoalkyl quaternary ammonium surfactants in the aquatic environment, *Environmental Pollution* 111 (2001) 169-175
- [52] Giolando S.T., R.A. Rapaport, R.J. Larson, T.W. Federle, M. Stalmans, P. Masscheleyn: Environmental fate and effects of DEED-MAC: A new rapidly biodegradable cationic surfactant for use in fabric softeners, *Chemosphere* 30 (1995) 6, 1067-1083
- [53] García M.T., E. Campos, A. Marsal, I. Ribosa: Fate and effects of amphoteric surfactants in the aquatic environment, *Environment International* 34 (2008) 1001-1005