

## STATISTICAL ( $T$ ) RATES OF CONVERGENCE

H.I. MILLER\* AND C. ORHAN

University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Ankara University,  
Turkey

ABSTRACT. The basis for comparing rates of convergence of two null sequences is that “ $x = (x_n)$  converges (*stat T*) faster than  $z = (z_n)$  provided that  $(x_n/z_n)$  is  $T$ -statistically convergent to zero” where  $T = (t_{mn})$  is a mean. In this paper we extend the previously known results either on the ordinary convergence or statistical rates of convergence of two null sequences. We also consider lacunary statistical rates of convergence.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Bajraktarevic [1, 2] and Miller [19, 23] studied rates of convergence of families of null sequences. The relationship between rates of convergence and summability methods may be found in [9, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. Recently Fridy, Miller and Orhan [16] have considered statistical rates of convergence and extended results from some of the above mentioned papers. In this paper, using a mean  $T = (t_{mn})$ , we study statistical ( $T$ ) rates of convergence and show that statistical speed of convergence strongly depends on  $T$ . We also extend some results in [16]. The final section of the paper concerns lacunary statistical rates of convergence.

If  $K$  is a subset of the positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_n$  will denote the set  $\{k \in K : k \leq n\}$  and  $|K_n|$  will denote the cardinality of  $K_n$ . The natural density of  $K$  ([8]) is given by  $\delta(K) := \lim_n n^{-1} |K_n|$ , if it exists. The number sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K := K(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero [7, 10, 11, 12, 18].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40C05.

*Key words and phrases.* Natural density, statistically convergent sequence, rate of convergence.

\*This research was done while the first author was a visiting Professor at Ankara University, and the research was supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

In this case, we write  $st - \lim x = L$ . Hence  $x$  is statistically convergent to  $L$  iff  $(C_1 \chi_{K(\varepsilon)})_n \rightarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ), where  $C_1$  is the Cesàro mean of order one and  $\chi_K$  is the characteristic function of the set  $K$ .

Statistical convergence can be generalized by using a regular nonnegative summability matrix  $T$  in place of  $C_1$  (see, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 15, 17]). Regular nonnegative summability matrices turn out to be too general for our purposes here, instead we use the concept of a mean.

A matrix  $T = (t_{mn})$  is called a mean if  $t_{mn} > 0$  when  $n \leq m$ ,  $t_{mn} = 0$  if  $n > m$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{mn} = 1$  for all  $m$  and  $\lim_m t_{mn} = 0$  for each  $n$ .

Recall that the set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  has  $T$ -density if  $\delta_T(K) := \lim_m \sum_{n \in K} t_{mn}$  exists ([8]). The sequence  $x = (x_n)$  converges ( $stat T$ ) to  $L$  means that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_m \sum_{n=1}^m [t_{mn} : |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon] = 0.$$

So (1.1) is equivalent to the fact that  $\delta_T(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| < \varepsilon\}) = 1$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We say that a property holds for  $T$ -almost all  $n$  if the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : P(k) \text{ is false}\}$  has  $T$ -density zero.

## 2. STATISTICAL ( $T$ ) RATES OF CONVERGENCE

If  $z$  and  $x$  are two nonvanishing null sequences (i.e.,  $x_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim x_n = 0$ ) then we say that  $z$  converges ( $stat T$ ) faster than  $x$  provided that  $z/x$  converges ( $stat T$ ) to zero.

The following example shows that statistical ( $T$ ) speed of convergence strongly depends on  $T$ .

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let  $x = (1/n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$  where

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Define the means  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  as follows:

$T_1 = (t_{mn}^{(1)})$  satisfies  $\sum_{n=1}^m [t_{mn}^{(1)} : n \text{ even}] = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$ , for all  $m$ , and all of the non-zero terms in the last summand are equal. Also  $\sum_{n=1}^m [t_{mn}^{(1)} : n \text{ odd}] = \frac{1}{m}$ , for all  $m$ , and all of the non-zero terms in the last summand are equal.  $T_2 = (t_{mn}^{(2)})$  is the same as  $T_1$  with the roles of even and odd reversed. Then  $x$  converges ( $stat T_1$ ) faster than  $y$ , but  $y$  converges ( $stat T_2$ ) faster than  $x$ .

The last example suggests the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $x$  and  $y$  are nonvanishing null sequences and  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are disjoint infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \in P_1} (x_n/y_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \in P_2} (y_n/x_n) = 0$ , then there exist means  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $x$  converges (stat  $T_1$ ) faster than  $y$  and  $y$  converges (stat  $T_2$ ) faster than  $x$ .*

**PROOF.** There exists an  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  contain elements smaller than  $m_0$ . Set  $T_1 = (t_{mn}^{(1)})$ ,  $T_2 = (t_{mn}^{(2)})$ ; two means, defined as follows.

For  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [t_{mn}^{(1)} : n \in P_1, n \leq m] = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$  with all the terms in this summand taken to be equal and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [t_{mn}^{(1)} : n \in P_2, n \leq m] = \frac{1}{m}$  with all terms equal. Let  $t_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m}$  if  $n \leq m$ .

Define  $T_2 = (t_{mn}^{(2)})$  as we defined  $T_1$  with the roles of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  reversed. Then  $x$  converges (stat  $T_1$ ) faster than  $y$  and  $y$  converges (stat  $T_2$ ) faster than  $x$ .

From the last result we see that if  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is infinite and  $\lim_{n \in P} (x_n/y_n) = 0$  where  $x = (x_n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$  are two nonvanishing null sequences then there exists a mean  $T$  such that  $x$  converges (stat  $T$ ) faster than  $y$ .  $\square$

We now consider the converse.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $x$  and  $y$  are nonvanishing null sequence and  $T$  is a mean and  $x$  converges (stat  $T$ ) faster than  $y$  then there exists an infinite set  $P$ ,  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lim_{n \in P} (x_n/y_n) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1 in [17], there exists an infinite set  $P$ ,  $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\delta_T(P) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \in P} (x_n/y_n) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is an analog of Theorem 1 in [16].

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of nonvanishing null sequences and let  $T$  be a mean. There exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  that converges (stat  $T$ ) faster than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that*

(i) *each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is in  $T$ -almost all  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , i.e.,*

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [t_{nk} : x \in \mathcal{A}_k] = 1,$$

(ii) *for each  $n$ ,*

$$y_n = \inf \{|x_n| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\} > 0.$$

**PROOF.** (i) Necessity. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of nonvanishing null sequences and  $z$  is nonvanishing null sequence that converges (stat  $T$ ) faster than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}_n := \{x \in \mathcal{A} : |x_n| > |z_n|\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , for each  $n$  and each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is in  $T$ -almost all  $\mathcal{A}_n$  since  $z$  converging (stat

$T$ ) faster than  $x$  implies  $\delta_T(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{z_n}{x_n} - 0 \right| < 1\}) = 1$  or  $\delta_T(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |z_n| < |x_n|\}) = 1$ , which says  $\delta_T(\{k : x \in \mathcal{A}_k\}) = 1$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{A}_n \neq \emptyset$  then  $y_n = \inf\{|x_n| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\} \geq |z_n| > 0$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}_n = \emptyset$  then

$$y_n = \inf \emptyset = \infty > 0.$$

(ii) Sufficiency. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of nonvanishing null sequences and  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfies (i) and (ii). Define

$$z_n = \begin{cases} \min(y_n t_n, t_n), & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_n \neq \emptyset, \\ t_n, & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_n = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $t_n = \min(t_{n1}, t_{n2}, \dots, t_{nn})$ . Notice that  $0 < t_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Clearly  $z$  is a nonvanishing null sequence. If  $x$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $x \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for  $T$ -almost all  $n$ , i.e.,  $0 < y_n \leq |x_n|$  for  $T$ -almost all  $n$ . Hence  $\frac{z_n}{|x_n|} \leq \frac{y_n t_n}{|x_n|} \leq t_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for  $T$ -almost all  $n$ , whence  $z$  converges (stat  $T$ ) faster than  $x$ .  $\square$

The next result is a generalization of Theorem 2 of [16].

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of nonvanishing null sequences. There exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  which converges (stat  $T$ ) slower than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$ , a null sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive numbers, and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{N_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of nonnegative integers such that*

- (I)  $\sup\{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n, N_{n-1} < k \leq N_n\} \leq \varepsilon_n^2$  for every  $n$ ;
- (II) for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\delta_T(\mathbf{n}_x) = 1$ , where  $\mathbf{n}_x = \cup\{(N_{n-1}, N_n] : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$ .

**PROOF.** (i) Necessity. Suppose  $z$  is a nonvanishing null sequence that converges (stat  $T$ ) slower than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set  $N_n = n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ; and  $\varepsilon_n^2 = |z_n|$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n &= \{x \in \mathcal{A} : |x_n| < |z_n|\} \\ &= \{x \in \mathcal{A} : |x_k| < |z_k|, N_{n-1} < k \leq N_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then if  $\mathcal{A}_n \neq \emptyset$  we have

$$\sup\{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n, N_{n-1} < k \leq N_n\} = \sup\{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\} \leq |z_n| = \varepsilon_n^2,$$

and if  $\mathcal{A}_n = \emptyset$  then the above supremum is  $-\infty < \varepsilon_n^2$ . Furthermore, suppose  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\{z_n/x_n\}$  is (stat  $T$ ) convergent to zero. Therefore  $\delta_T\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n| < |z_n|\} = 1$  or  $\delta_T\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\} = 1$ , or

$$\delta_T\left(\bigcup\{(N_{n-1}, N_n] : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\}\right) = 1.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (I) and (II).

(ii) Sufficiency. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , and  $\{N_n\}$  satisfy the conditions in the statement of the theorem. Define the sequence  $z$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= z_2 = \dots = z_{N_1} = \varepsilon_1, \\ z_{1+N_1} &= z_{2+N_1} = \dots = z_{N_2} = \varepsilon_2, \\ z_{1+N_2} &= z_{2+N_2} = \dots = z_{N_3} = \varepsilon_3, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x$  be any fixed sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{n_o}$  then  $|x_k| \leq \varepsilon_{n_o}^2$  when  $N_{n_o-1} < k \leq N_{n_o}$ . Hence,  $N_{n_o-1} < k \leq N_{n_o}$  implies that

$$\left| \frac{z_k}{x_k} \right| = \frac{\varepsilon_{n_o}}{|x_k|} \geq \frac{\varepsilon_{n_o}}{\varepsilon_{n_o}^2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n_o}}.$$

It follows that  $\lim_{k \in \mathbf{n}_x} |z_k/x_k| = +\infty$ , and the  $T$ -density of  $\mathbf{n}_x$  is one by hypothesis. So that  $z$  converges (*stat T*) slower than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

It is natural to compare rates of convergence and (*stat*) rates of convergence. If  $x$  converges faster [respectively, slower] than  $y$ , then  $x$  converges (*stat*) faster [respectively, slower] than  $y$ , however, for sequences whose rates of convergence are completely incomparable the inclusion is reversed. We say that  $x$  and  $y$  converge at *completely incomparable rates* provided that  $\lim_n x_n = X$ ,  $\lim_n y_n = Y$ ,

$$\underline{\lim}_n \left| \frac{x_n - X}{y_n - Y} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lim}_n \left| \frac{x_n - X}{y_n - Y} \right| = +\infty.$$

If, in the preceding situation, there exist subsets  $N_1, N_2 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , neither having  $T$ -density zero, such that

$$\lim_{n \in N_1} \left| \frac{x_n - X}{y_n - Y} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \in N_2} \left| \frac{x_n - X}{y_n - Y} \right| = +\infty,$$

then we say that  $x$  and  $y$  converge (*stat T*) at *completely incomparable rates*.

We now present an analogue of Theorem 3 of [16].

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of nonvanishing null sequences. There exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  such that for every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $z$  and  $x$  converge (*stat T*) at completely incomparable rates if there exist two sequences  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of positive integers such that*

$$1 < \alpha_1 < \beta_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_2 < \dots,$$

*a positive null sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , and two sequences  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}$  of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfy*

- a)  $y_n = \inf \{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n, k \in I_n^\alpha\} > 0$  for all  $n$ ;
- b) for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_x^\alpha = \bigcup [I_n^\alpha : x \in \mathcal{A}_n]$  does not have  $T$ -density zero;
- c)  $\sup \{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{B}_n, k \in I_n^\beta\} \leq \varepsilon_n^2$  for all  $n$ ;

d) for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_x^\beta = \bigcup [I_n^\beta : x \in \mathfrak{B}_n]$  does not have  $T$ -density zero, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^\alpha &= \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha_1\}, & I_1^\beta &= \{1 + \alpha_1, 2 + \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_1\} \\ I_2^\alpha &= \{1 + \beta_1, 2 + \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_2\}, & I_2^\beta &= \{1 + \alpha_2, 2 + \alpha_2, \dots, \beta_2\} \\ I_3^\alpha &= \{1 + \beta_2, 2 + \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_3\}, & & \text{and so on.} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Define the sequence  $z$  by

$$z_k = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{y_n}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right\}, & \text{if } k \in I_n^\alpha, \\ \varepsilon_n, & \text{if } k \in I_n^\beta. \end{cases}$$

Let  $x$  be a fixed element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $k \in I_n^\alpha$  then

$$\left| \frac{z_k}{x_k} \right| \leq \frac{|z_k|}{|y_n|} \leq \frac{1}{n};$$

if  $x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  and  $k \in I_n^\beta$ , then

$$\left| \frac{z_k}{x_k} \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_n^2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{k \in \mathfrak{n}_x^\alpha} \left| \frac{z_k}{x_k} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \in \mathfrak{n}_x^\beta} \left| \frac{z_k}{x_k} \right| = +\infty,$$

and since neither  $\mathfrak{n}_x^\alpha$  nor  $\mathfrak{n}_x^\beta$  has density zero, it follows that  $z$  and  $x$  converge (stat) at completely incomparable rates for each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

For countable collections of nonvanishing null sequences there always exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  that converges (stat $T$ ) at a rate completely incomparable with every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Namely the following holds.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countable collection of nonvanishing null sequences and  $T$  is a mean, then there exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  that converges (stat  $T$ ) at completely incomparable rates with every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  be a strictly decreasing null sequence and write  $\mathcal{A} = \{x^{(n)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $x^{(n)} = \{x_{nk}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathfrak{B}_n = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ , and define  $I_1^\alpha, I_1^\beta, I_2^\alpha, I_2^\beta, \dots$  in such a way that the number of elements in each of these sets is greater than the sum of the number of elements in the preceding sets. Clearly

$$y_n = \inf \{|x_{ik}| : i \leq n, k \in I_n^\alpha\} > 0$$

since the infimum of a finite set of positive numbers is the smallest element.

By the condition on the number of elements in the sets  $I_i^{\alpha, \beta}$ , we have

$$\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} I_n^\alpha = \mathfrak{n}_x^\alpha = \bigcup [I_n^\alpha : x \in \mathcal{A}_n]$$

does not have  $T$ -density zero if  $x = x_m$ , for each  $m$ . Furthermore, since each  $x$  is a null sequence, the  $I_n^\alpha$ 's can be chosen large enough to guarantee that

$$\sup \left\{ |x_{ik}| : x^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{B}_n, k \in I_n^\beta \right\} \leq \varepsilon_n^2 \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Finally, it is clear that

$$\mathfrak{n}_x^\beta = \bigcup [I_n^\beta : x \in \mathfrak{B}_n] = \bigcup_{n=m}^\infty I_n^\beta$$

does not have  $T$ -density zero if  $x = x_m$ , for each  $m$ . □

Notice that Theorem 4 in [16] shows that the converse of our last theorem is false.

### 3. LACUNARY STATISTICAL RATES OF CONVERGENCE

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $h_r := k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Write  $I_r := (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ ,  $k_0 = 0$ .

The sequence  $s = \{s_n\}$  is said to be lacunary statistically convergent to  $L$  provided that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |s_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $s_\theta - \lim s = L$  or  $s_n \rightarrow L(s_\theta)$  ([13, 14]).

A subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  has  $\theta$ -density if  $\delta_\theta(K) := \lim_r |K \cap I_r| h_r^{-1}$  exists.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** *We say that  $z$  converges (lacunary stat.) faster than  $x$  provided the sequence  $(z_n/x_n)$  is lacunary statistically convergent to zero.*

We now present some examples. The first one shows that there exist sequences  $z$  and  $x$  such that  $z$  converges (*stat*) faster than  $x$  but  $z$  does not converge (*lacunary stat.*) faster than  $x$  for some  $\theta$ . The other example considers the converse of the first example.

**EXAMPLE 3.2.** Suppose  $\theta = \{k_r\}_{r=0}^\infty$  is a lacunary satisfying:  $\delta(\bigcup_{r=1}^\infty I_{2r}) = 0$ .

Clearly such a  $\theta$  exists. Define  $z$  and  $x$  as follows:  $z_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  for all  $n$ ,

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \in \bigcup_{r=0}^\infty I_{2r+1}, \\ \frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{if } n \in \bigcup_{r=1}^\infty I_{2r}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\frac{z_n}{x_n} = \frac{1}{n}$  if  $n \in \bigcup_{r=0}^\infty I_{2r+1}$  and  $\delta(\bigcup_{r=1}^\infty I_{2r}) = 0$ , so by a result of Fridy [10],  $\{z_n/x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges (*stat*) to 0, or  $z$  converges faster (*stat*)

than  $x$ . However  $\frac{z_n}{x_n} = 1$  if  $n \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} I_{2r}$  so that if  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , for each  $r$ ,  $\frac{1}{h_{2r}} \left| \left\{ n \in I_{2r} : \left| \frac{z_n}{x_n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 1$  and hence  $z$  does not converge (*lacunary stat.*) faster than  $x$  for the given  $\theta$ .

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let  $\{K_n\}_1^{\infty}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers with the property that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{K_n}{K_1 + \dots + K_n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is strictly increasing and converges to 1. Let  $B_1 = (0, K_1]$ ,  $B_2 = (K_1, K_1 + K_2]$ ,  $B_3 = (K_1 + K_2, K_1 + K_2 + K_3]$ , ..., etc.

Define  $z$  and  $x$  as follows:  $z_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  for all  $n$ ,

$$x_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{if } n \in \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} B_{2r+1}, \\ \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} B_{2r}. \end{cases}$$

Now set

$$\theta = \{k_r\} = \{0, K_1 + K_2, K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4, K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5 + K_6, \dots\}.$$

First notice that  $z$  does not converge (*stat*) faster than  $x$  since  $\frac{z_n}{x_n} = 1$  if  $n \in \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} B_{2r+1}$  and  $\delta(\bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} B_{2r+1}) \neq 0$  since  $\frac{K_1 + K_3 + \dots + K_{2n+1}}{K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_{2n+1}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally  $z$  does converge (*lacunary stat.*) faster than  $x$  for the above  $\theta$  since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in (K_1 + \dots + K_{2r-2}, K_1 + \dots + K_{2r}] : \frac{z_k}{x_k} = \frac{1}{k} \right\} \right| = \\ & = \frac{K_{2r}}{K_{2r-1} + K_{2r}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is an analog of Theorem 2.4.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $\theta = (k_n)$  be lacunary sequence and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of nonvanishing null sequences. Then there exists a non vanishing null sequence  $z$  that lacunary *stat.* converges faster than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that*

- (i)  $\lim_n \frac{1}{h_n} |\{k \in I_n : x \in \mathcal{A}_k\}| = 1$  (i.e., each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is in  $\theta$ -almost all  $\mathcal{A}_k$ )
- (ii) for each  $n$ ,  $y_n := \inf \{|x_n| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\} > 0$ .

PROOF. Necessity may be proved, by replacing  $T$ -density by  $\theta$ -density, in Theorem 2.4. So we just consider sufficiency. Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of nonvanishing null sequences and  $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of subcollections



of  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfies (i) and (ii). Now define a sequence  $z = \{z_n\}$  by

$$z_n = \begin{cases} \min(\frac{y_n}{h_n}, \frac{1}{h_n}), & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_n \neq \emptyset \\ \frac{1}{h_n}, & \text{if } \mathcal{A}_n = \emptyset \end{cases},$$

By (ii) and the fact that  $h_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $z$  is a null sequence of positive numbers. If  $x$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\delta_\theta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : x \in \mathcal{A}_k\}) = 1$ . Therefore  $0 < y_n \leq |x_n|$  for  $\theta$ -almost all  $n$ . Hence  $\frac{z_n}{|x_n|} \leq \frac{y_n}{|x_n| h_n} \leq \frac{1}{h_n}$  for  $\theta$ -almost all  $n$ , whence  $z$  lacunary statistically converges faster than  $x$ .  $\square$

The following result is an analog of Theorem 2.5 that can be proved by replacing  $T$ -density with  $\theta$ -density.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of nonvanishing null sequences. Then there exists a nonvanishing null sequence  $z$  which lacunary statistically converges slower than each  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{A}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of subcollections of  $\mathcal{A}$ , a null sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive numbers, and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{N_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of nonnegative integers such that*

- a)  $\sup\{|x_k| : x \in \mathcal{A}_n, N_{n-1} < k \leq N_n\} \leq \varepsilon^2$  for every  $n$  and
- b) for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\delta_\theta(\mathbf{n}_x) = 1$ , where

$$\mathbf{n}_x = \cup\{(N_{n-1}, N_n] : x \in \mathcal{A}_n\}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bajraktarević, *Sur quelques problames concernant la vitesse de convergence et la sommabilite des suites*, Radovi Akademije nauka i umjetnosti BiH **LII/14** (1974), 5-27.
- [2] M. Bajraktarević, *Sur queleques problemes concernant la vitesse de convergence et la sommabilite des suites II*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade) **16** (1973), 25-30.
- [3] R.C Buck, *Generalized asymptotic density*, Amer J. Math **75** (1953), 335-346.
- [4] J. Connor, *Two valued measures and summability*, Analysis **10** (1990), 373-385.
- [5] J. Connor, *R-Type summability methods, Cauchy criteria, P-sets and statistical convergence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 319-327
- [6] J. Connor, *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32** (1989), 194-198.
- [7] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241-244.
- [8] A.R. Freedman and J.J. Sember, *Densities and summability*, Pacific J. Math. **95** (1981), 293-305.
- [9] J.A. Fridy, *Minimal rates of summability*, Canad. J. Math. **XXX** (1978), 808-816.
- [10] J.A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5** (1985), 301-313.
- [11] J.A. Fridy, *Statistical limit points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 1187-1192.
- [12] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Statistical limit superior and limit inferior*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 3625-3631.
- [13] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. **160** (1993), 43-51.
- [14] J.A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical summability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **173** (1993), 497-504.

- [15] J.A. Fridy and H.I. Miller, A matrix characterization of statistical convergence, *Analysis* **11** (1991), 59-66.
- [16] J.A. Fridy, H.I. Miller and C. Orhan, Statistical rates of convergence, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **69** (2003), 147-157.
- [17] H.I. Miller, A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 1811-1819.
- [18] H.I. Miller and C. Orhan, On almost convergent and statistically convergent subsequences, *Acta. Math. Hungarica* **93** (2001), 135-151.
- [19] H.I. Miller, A note on matrix summability and rates of convergence, *Matematički vesnik (Belgrade)* **10 (25)** (1973), 145-147.
- [20] H.I. Miller, Some results on rates of convergence of sequences, *Akad. Nauka Umjet. Bosne Hercegov. Rad. Odjelj. Prirod. Mat. Nauka* **LXI/17** (1978), 169-178.
- [21] H.I. Miller, Further results about rates of convergence and summability, *Akad. Nauka Umjet. Bosne Hercegov. Rad. Odjelj. Prirod. Mat. Nauka* **LXIX/20** (1982), 73-86.
- [22] H.I. Miller, Rates of convergence and topics in summability theory, *Akad. Nauka Umjet. Bosne Hercegov. Rad. Odjelj. Prirod. Mat. Nauka* **LXXIV/22** (1983), 39-55.
- [23] H.I. Miller and V. Zanelli, On a result of Fridy about minimal rates of summability, *Rad. Mat.* **9** (1999), 71-75.

H.I. Miller

Department of Mathematics

The University of Louisville

Louisville, Kentucky

USA

and

University of Sarajevo

Department of Mathematics

Sarajevo, 33000

Bosnia and Herzegovina

*E-mail*, H.I. Miller: [himiller@hotmail.com](mailto:himiller@hotmail.com)

C. Orhan

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Ankara University

Tandoğan 06100, Ankara

Turkey

*E-mail*, C. Orhan: [orhan@science.ankara.edu.tr](mailto:orhan@science.ankara.edu.tr)

*Received*: 19.03.2003.