

## THE MATERIAL FLOW ON AGRICULTURAL FARMS MATERIÁLOVÝ TOK V ZEMEDELSKÝCH PODNICÍCH

D. VANECEK, KALÁB D.

### ABSTRAKT

Prestože význam logistiky v průmyslu, obchodu a dalších oborech je všeobecně uznáván, v zemědělství není tato problematika systematicky zkoumána. Předpokladem podrobných analýz je znalost objemu a struktury materiálového toku. Autoři k tomuto účelu navrhli vlastní metodiku, která vychází z technologických ukazatelů, publikovaných Ministerstvem zemědělství ČR pro jednotlivé plodiny a kategorie zvířat. Tyto publikované normativní hodnoty Ministerstva pak slouží jako základní kameny, jejichž kombinací lze zjistit objem materiálového toku a jeho strukturu v podniku během jednoho roku. Výhodou metodiky je rychlé zjištění potřebných dat a možnost zohlednění některých specifických podmínek ve zkoumaných podnicích.

**KLÍCOVÁ SLOVA:** materiálový tok, manipulace s materiálem, logistika v zemědělství.

Príspevek vzniknul na základe financování výzkumu z grantu MSM122200002

### ABSTRACT

In spite that the great importance of logistics in industry, business and other branches is generally acknowledged, this problem is not systematically investigated in agriculture. As a presumption of detailed analysis, the knowledge of the volume and structure of the material flow is necessary. The authors have proposed their own methodical procedure which issues from technological indicators, published by the Czech Ministry of Agriculture for individual plants and categories of animals and which makes possible further classification of these data for enterprises with different level of farming. These published standards of the Ministry serve as basic stones and by means of their combination, the volume of material flow and its structure is possible to calculate during one year's periods. The advantage of proposed method is a fast finding out of necessary data and possibility of taking into account some specific conditions in investigated enterprises.

**KEY WORDS:** material-flow, manipulation with material, logistics in agriculture.

This article took origin on the basis of financing the research from the grant MSM 122200002

Manuscript received: 7 March 2003. Accepted for publication: 7 April 2003	<b>JOURNAL</b> Central European Agriculture ISSN 1332-9049
--	--

## DETAILED ABSTRACT

Logistika je pomerne nová vední disciplína, ktorá sa zabyvávajú riadením materiálového toku od dodavateľa surovín, pres vlastnú výrobnú transformáciu až ke konečnému spotrebiteľovi. Autori sa zamerili na tú časť materiálového toku, ktorá sa realizuje v podniku. Aby sa mohol tento tok úspešne riadiť, je treba znáť jeho objem a štruktúru v priebehu kalendárneho roku.

Stanoviť objem a štruktúru materiálového toku lze niekoľkými spôsobmi, napríklad odhadom dle zkušeností nebo na základě účetních dokladů. Každá z těchto metod má své výhody a nevýhody. Proto jsme navrhli metodu, vycházející z normativních technologických ukazatelů pro jednotlivé plodiny a druhy hospodářských zvířat. Normativní ukazatele publikoval v roce 1998 KAVKA a kol.. Jsou stanoveny nejen pro odlišné výrobní procesy, ale i pro tři různé úrovně intenzity výroby. Na základě těchto normativů jsme sestavili tabulky pro jednotlivé výrobky, obsahující konkrétní vstupy a jejich množství na hektar oseté plochy nebo na jedno zvíře, chované po celý kalendářní rok. Vynásobením těchto materiálových normativů konkrétními výměrami jednotlivých plodin nebo počtem zvířat v dané kategorii lze zjistit celkovou potřebu jednotlivých materiálů za kalendářní rok.

Navržená metoda je jednoduchá, vyžaduje však určité úpravy po konzultaci vedoucími pracovníky podniku. V zemědělství totiž hraje velkou úlohu mezivýrobek (výroba píce pro živočišnou výrobu, využití vyrobené chlévské mrvy nebo kejdy pro hnojení v rostlinné výrobě). Mechanické použití normativů materiálového toku by mohlo vést k tomu, že by výroba píce mohla být větší či menší než je potřeba ustájených zvířat nebo že by výroba chlévské mrvy byla větší či menší než je potřeba v rostlinné výrobě. Tyto případné rozdíly je třeba před výpočtem dalších ukazatelů konzultovat a upravit.

Metoda byla vyzkoušena v zemědělském podniku CIZ-AGRO, a.s., okres Jindřichův Hradec. Zemědělský podnik má 2 858 ha zemědělské půdy a chová skot a prasata. Celkový objem materiálového toku za rok činil (bez vody) 72 996 t, to je v přepočtu 25,54 t/ha z.p. Voda zaujímá největší objem, ať již se jedná o chemické postřiky nebo o spotřebu ve stájích. Protože však manipulace s vodou je snadná (vodovodní kohoutek), rozhodli jsme se s ní dále nepočítat, aby tak nedocházelo k jistému zkrácení. Z ostatních materiálů byla na prvním místě kejda (25,4 %, chlévská mrva 16,9 %, obilní zrno 11,0 %, sláma 10,4 %, kukuřice na siláž 9,1 %, zelené krmění 8,3 atd.).

Protože z hlediska logistiky není rozhodující jen velikost a štruktúra materiálového toku, ale tiež počet manipulácií, ktorým jsou jeho jednotlivé složky podrobeny, zabývali jsme se i tímto problémem. Za jednu manipulaci bylo považováno jedno naložení a jedno složení určitého materiálu. Například s kejdou se manipulovalo jen 1x, s chlévskou mrvou 2x, s obilním zrnem 3x. V průměru se s každým materiálem manipulovalo 1,53x. Pro podnik je však důležitější, zda tyto manipulace jsou prováděny mechanizovaně nebo ručně a všechny zbytečné manipulace a na ně navazující skladování by měly být odstraněny. Použití metodiky vedlo k odhalení některých slabých míst, na která by se logistika v podniku měla dále zaměřovat. Pro použití navržené metody navrhli autoři následující postup:

Provést kalkulaci pro výpočet objemu a štruktúry materiálového toku.

Upravit získané výsledky s vedením podniku, především s ohledem na velikost mezivýrobku.

S ohledem na počet manipulací vypočítat celkový objem manipulovaného materiálu a určit základní ukazatele, charakterizující materiálový tok.

Vyjádrnit a posoudit rozsah ruční manipulace a navrhnout opatření k jejímu snížení.

Posoudit vhodnost meziskladu z hlediska skladovaného materiálu

Posoudit výše ztrát a způsoby manipulace v těchto skladech.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

At present time the importance of logistics is increasing in many economic branches, especially in industry and business. Logistics is considered to be a science, dealing with integrated management of all the material and corresponding information flow from suppliers through transformation of input materials till to the final consumer. Though there are no unified definitions of logistics, most of the authors agree with this explanation. The importance and the volume of material and information flow increases especially in contemporary global environment, when subjects from different countries and continents integrate into production and business. To manage the material and information flow successfully, it is necessary to have a good overview concerning its volume and structure. This article dealt with these problems, applied on agricultural enterprises.

## 2. THE MATERIAL FLOW

Material flow in agriculture has some specifications, which result from:

- ?? The choice of planted cultures and breeding animals [specialization of production],
- ?? The percentage share of different plants and sorts of animals in the enterprise [production structure],
- ?? The chosen production intensity

In the prevailing part of industrial production, dealing with rough-working of input materials or their assemblage, we can see, that concerning the volume of material flow:

- ??  $\text{Inputs} = \text{outputs}$ , or:
- ??  $\text{Inputs} = \text{outputs} + \text{waste}$

But in plant production, as a consequence of photosynthesis, the volume of harvested plants substantially exceeds the volume of all input elements [seeds, fertilizers, chemicals for plant protection]. Here:

- ??  $\text{Inputs} > \text{output} + \text{waste}$

This is valid both for market cultures and interproduct, which is in agriculture fodder for own animal production. As waste there is usually straw or a percentage of the production, which doesn't respond to market standards and returns to next production as an organic fertilizer [or fodder].

In animal production, the situation is opposite. Fattening of young animals lasts weeks, months and so inputs exceed outputs, considered as the weight of animals for slaughterhouse. The same situation is in milk production too, when a cow needs daily a grand quantity of green fodder, but gives us only 10 - 20 liter milk daily. For this situation there is valid:

- ??  $\text{Inputs} > \text{output} + \text{waste}$

We see, that according to the chosen production structure, the enterprise will have preponderance of outputs over inputs [enterprises specialized in plant production only] or inputs will preponder over outputs [enterprises with universal production or oriented on animal production]. The number of different inputs and outputs is in agriculture limited in comparison with industrial production.

Inputs are mostly seed for sowing, seed potatoes, industrial fertilizers, chemicals for plant protection or agriculture interproduct [hay, silage, haylage for animal production, farm manure and farm sewage for plant production and also drinkable and technological water]. With regard to a complicated financial situation of our agricultural enterprises in the last years, the volume of purchased inputs decreased, especially these concerning industrial fertilizers.

Outputs are planned market products, for example wheat, potatoes, milk, meat, eggs. But at the same time, with demanded products produces agriculture logistical waste too, for example farm manure, sewage. These materials are reversibly processed as inputs for plant production.

Calculation of material flow volume and structure in agricultural enterprises can be carried out by means of three main methods:

- ?? by estimation of staff management,
- ?? on the basis of accounting data,
- ?? on the basis of standards

Next we will describe the third alternative, which seems to be both simple and relatively precise.

On the basis of technological process of every possible plant or kind of animals and their category, the volume of manipulated material has been calculated by the authors in three possible levels of farming intensity: low, medium and high. All data relates to one hectare of the culture or to one animal, kept for the whole year [365 days]. As an example, see Table 1 with material flow calculation for wheat.

Tab. 1: Necessary material volume for 1 ha wheat [kg/ha].

material	low intensity		medium intensity		high intensity	
	inputs	outputs	inputs	outputs	inputs	outputs
limestone	500	---	500	---	500	---
industrial fertilizers	486	---	704	---	699,8	---
organic fertilizers	800	---	800	---	800	---
seed for sowing	210	---	210	---	210	---
plant protection [chemicals +water]	645	---	948	---	1 824	---
harvested grain	----	4 000	---	5 500	---	6 500
straw	----	4 800	---	6 600	---	7 800
TOTAL	2 641	8 800	3 162	12 100	4 033,8	14 300

Next materials participating in the material flow in other cultures can be: potatoes, flax, dry forage [hay], haulage, silage etc.

Tab. 2: Necessary material volume for 1 cow per year

material	low intensity		medium intensity		high intensity	
	input	output	input	output	input	output
manipulation [loading, unloading and transfer of cows to stables]	306	210	371	246	423	275
straw	3 000	---	3 000	---	3 000	---
concentrated fodder	1 136	---	1 317	---	1 696	---
water [drinking + technological]	20 075	---	22 995	---	25 500	---
conserved + fresh fodder	12 045	---	12 775	---	13 505	---
milk production	---	5 200	---	5 800	---	7 500
farm manure	---	10 000	---	11 000	---	12 000
TOTAL	44 174	19 969	40 458	17 046	36 562	15 416

Tab. 3: Necessary material volume for elected agricultural commodities, high intensity [kg/ha] and agricultural animals [kg/head/year]

plant	inputs	outputs	animals	inputs	outputs
potatoes	22 270	21 000	cow	41 735,80	19 768,50
sugar beat	19 241	50 000	cattle breeding	16 322,74	444,50
spring barley [for breweries]	14 547	11 000	cattle fattening	27 335,00	5 146,20
winter barley	10 366	12 900	sow	6 571,60	7 621,60
maize - silage	18 109	40 000	pigg - fattening	5 209,25	7 725,77
meadows	1 950	5 600			
leguminose-grain mixture [fresh forage]	9 165	27 000			
oats	9 849	11 000			
pastures	742	30 000			
wheat - grain	11 253	14 800			
rape	17 365	4 000			
rye	10 698	7 500			

### 3. MATERIAL AND METHOD

#### Necessary steps for evaluating of material flow per year in agricultural enterprise

To calculate the volume of material flow [with regard to cultivated plants and breeding animals]. As the result we can see, what kinds of material have the biggest volume and these should be later analyzed in details.

To specify the calculated volume of material flow in consultations with managers, especially problems which concern the volume of interproducts. There must be a balance between production of produced straw and needed volume of straw as a litter, between production of fodder [fresh and conserved] and its necessary needed volume for animals as fodder. It is not possible to consume more than is produced and produce more than is necessary.

To arrange the sequence of materials according to their tons- volume and indicate for every material the necessary number of manipulations [one manipulation = one loading and one unloading]. One manipulation is for example: loading of sacks at the railroad station from wagons into tractor trailers and later their unloading in the store, transport of grain from harvester to the drying equipment, transport of silage maize from the field [loading by harvester] to the silage pit etc. By multiplying these values by actual material flow in tons we receive the total volume of one times manipulated materials. Special regard should be focused on manual manipulation. Manipulations carried out by suppliers or customers are not calculated.

To evaluate all store capacities from the view of their construction, used technology, used mechanization, loses on quality and quantity of stored material and their capacity.

To propose some rationalization alternatives.

As a result of these steps we receive following indicators:

#### Resulting indicators:

- a) volume of material flow/ha of agricultural land in tons and in % [volume of material inputs and outputs separately and as a complex]
- b) volume of material flow/worker [t]
- c) relation of material outputs to material inputs, [productivity of material]
- d) number of manipulations with individual material kinds and total volume of once manipulated materials
  - volume of the material flow [t/ha] x number of manipulations
- e) total volume of 1x manipulated material/ha of agricultural land,
- f) total volume of 1x manipulated material/ worker
- g) volume of material 1x manipulated manually

### 4. RESULTS

#### Application of proposed method in agricultural farm

For application the cooperative ZD Telc was chosen, which manages its 2858 ha of agricultural land in the region 480 m above the sea level. The total number of employees is 160, from this amount 103 are active in plant and animal production. There was following production structure in 2001:

Tab. 4: Production structure in plant production and in animal production

Plant	hectares	Category of animals	number of heads
wheat	560	dairy cows	631
rye	145	calves [to 6 months of age]	290
barley	445	heifers	365
oats	151	bulls for fattening	582
rape	224	sows	454
peas	52	fattening pigs	2 147
potatoes	95		
maize for silage	297		
other fodder crops	107		
meadows	687		
temporarily not cultivated land	95		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2 858</b>		

Tab. 5: The material-flow volume in the year 2001

Plant production		Animal production	
Material	tons per year	Material	tons per year
limestone	1 410	water	[35 748]
organic fertilizers	29 029	concentrated fodder	3 924
industrial fertilizers	1 249	farm sewage	18 507
seed for sowing	573	farm manure	12 373
plant protection [chemicals + water]	2 150	loading, unloading and transfer of animals	1 512
grain	8 038	straw	4 051
straw	7 579	conserved + green fodder	14 611
meadows [hay]	2 542	milk	3 281
maize [silage]	8 910		
green fodder	8 077		
potatoes	1 188		

Calculation of material-flow volume on the basis of proposed standards

## 2. Specifying the material - flow calculation

Calculation on the basis of standards must be discussed with the farm management and adjusted if needed, because every enterprise adjusts technological processes, which can then differ from the basic standard processes. In our investigation there were following specifications needed:

Agriculture enterprise ZD Telc doesn't manage plant protection with own machines and application of industrial fertilizers too, but orders them from a specialized service enterprise. For this reason there is a cut - down of 2 150 t [mostly transport of water for applying of chemicals] and 1 249 t of industrial fertilizers.

Calculated volume of straw has been adjusted, because production makes 7 579 t, but consumption only at about a half [4 051 t]. For next calculation we took higher value because the surplus can be stored on the fields.

Similar difference is at green and conserved fodder, mostly because of different specific weights of these kinds of fodder in this way of calculation. For next

calculation we took the necessary demand for fodder in animal production, not the calculated harvested quantity. The production must be adjusted not only in calculation, but in reality, too.

The sequence of most important kinds of material [after adjustment]

The biggest part of material flow falls on drinking and technological water in animal production. But because manipulation with water is easy [by means of water tap], this liquid material will not be taken next into consideration. But it has a great economic importance, 1m<sup>3</sup> of water costs environ 40 crowns, that is in our case nearly 1,5 million crowns per year.

### Calculation of indicators

Share of material flow per 1 ha of agricultural land:  
 $72\,996 / 2\,858 = 25,54$  tons

### Share of material flow per 1 worker in plant or animal production:

$72\,996 / 103 = 708,7$  t / worker

### Share of outputs: inputs

For this indicator it is necessary to eliminate the interproduct, where output from plant production is input to animal production and on the contrary. The resting materials are shown in Table 7.

Tab. 6: Adjusted calculation of material flow in ZD Telc in 2001

Nr.	Material	tons per year	%
1	water	[35 748]	----
2	farm sewage	18 507	25,4
3	farm manure	12 373	16,9
4	grain - cereals	8 038	11,0
5	straw	7 579	10,4
6	maize - silage	6 666	9,1
7	green fodder	6 043	8,3
8	concentrated fodder	3 924	5,4
9	milk	3 281	4,5
10	hay	1 902	2,6
11	loading, unloading and transport of animals in stables	1 512	2,1
12	limestone application	1 410	1,9
13	potatoes - harvest	1 188	1,6
14	seed for sowing	573	0,8
TOTAL [without water]		72 996	100,0

Tab. 7: Manipulated inputs and outputs without interproduct

Inputs		Outputs	
Material	tons	Material	tons
concentrated fodder	3 924	grain [cereals]	8 038
limestone	1 410	milk	3 281
seed for sowing	573	potatoes	1 188
Total	5 907	Total	12 507

Relation [outputs]: [inputs] = 1,53

This indicator indicates the effect of biological process in agriculture and share of outsourcing services, too.

### 3. Number of manipulations with material

Not only the volume of materials, but also the number of manipulation with them is necessary,

because some materials are handed 2 - 3 times before they reach their definitive place. As one manipulation, one loading and one unloading of the same material is considered. In the enterprise ZD Telc, the number of manipulations and total volume of once manipulated materials was following [see Table 8]:

Tab. 8: Number of manipulations

material	number of manipulations	description	material flow [t]	partial volume [t]	total volume [t]
farm sewage	1x	from the cesspool direct on fields	18 507	18 507	18 507
farm manure	2x	from stable to dung-hill	12 373	12 373	24 746
		from dung-hill on fields		12 373	
green fodder	1x	from fields to stables	6 043	6 043	6 043
conserved fodder [hay,silage]	2x	from fields to stores	8 568	8 568	17 136
		from stores to stables		8 568	
grain	50 % - 1x	harvested grain directly to purchasing enterprise	8 038	4 019	12 054
	50 % - 3x	from fields to drying machine		4 019	
		from drying machine to store		4 019	
		from store to purchasing enterprise		4 019	
straw	2x	from fields to stack	7 579	7 579	15 157
		from stack to stables		7 579	
milk	1x	from stables to cooling tanks	3 281	3 281	3 281
potatoes	3x	from fields to stores	1 186	1 186	3 558
		from stores to sorting machine		1 186	
		from sorting machine to customers		1 186	
manipulation with animals	1x	into and out of stables	1 512	1 512	1 512
limestone application	1x	from store to fields	1 410	1 410	1 410
seed for sowing	1x	from store to fields	573	573	573
TOTAL			72 996		111 929

In average, every material is manipulated 1,53 times [111 929 : 72 996]. This cannot be seen as disadvantage, if manipulation is carried out by means of machines. The volume of manipulated materials per 1 hectare increases so to  $25,54 \times 1,53 = 39,08$  tons and per one worker in production to  $111\ 929 : 103 = 1\ 087$  tons.

#### 4. Manual manipulation

Manual manipulation prevails at seed for sowing. Firstly sacks with this material are loaded on tractors and transported to fields. On fields the material is transferred to machines manually. By means of new technologies this activity can be carried out mechanically, too.

Other sphere of manual work is when loading and unloading animals in stables. There no mechanization can be used, but some arrangement must be used to decrease the manual effort and to avoid the stress of animals.

The last points of proposed method [store capacities and rationalization] are not a part of this article.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

For applying logistical management in the enterprise, data concerning structure and volume of material-flow are necessary. For this reason the authors propose a simple method, based on technological standards of cultivating in plant production and breeding animals in animal production. The effort of farm management must be concentrated on material-



flow, which occupies the greatest volume or on the greatest volume of manually manipulated materials.

#### LITERATURE

- 1? KAVKA a kol.: Technologické, technické a ekonomické normativní ukazatele pro zemědělství ČR. MZ CR, Praha 1998.
- 2? GROS, I.: Logistika. VŠCHT Praha, 1996
- 3? PERNICA P.: Logistický management. Radix, Praha, 1998
- 4? PERNICA P., MOSOLF H.J.: Partnership in logistics. Radix, Praha 2000
- 5? KORTSCHAK B.: Úvod do logistiky. Babtext Praha, 1994
- 6? VANECEK D., ROLÍNEK L., KALÁB D.: Logistické problémy v zemědělské výrobě. Sborník VŠB TU Ostrava, Reka 2001

Prof.Ing. Drahoš Vanecek, [e-mail: dvanecek@zf.jcu.cz](mailto:dvanecek@zf.jcu.cz)  
CSc., Jihočeská univerzita, zemědělská fakulta, Katedra řízení, Studentská 13, 370 05 České Budějovice,  
Česká republika  
Tel: +420 38 777 2484,

Ing. Dalibor Kaláb, [e-mail: kalab@zf.jcu.cz](mailto:kalab@zf.jcu.cz)  
Jihočeská univerzita, zemědělská fakulta, Katedra řízení, Studentská 13, 370 05 České Budějovice, Česká  
republika  
Tel: +420 38 777 2487