The article deals with the analysis of influence of carbon-fibre reinforced polymer (CFRP) on stress distribution in a steel reinforced concrete beam loaded by four-point bending flexural test. Simulation of the delamination is modelled by FEM with a cohesion zone material model. Distribution of cracks with CFRP strengthening is analysed, too. Finally, the fatigue life tests analysis was executed for the steel specimen (W.Nr. 1.0429 – concrete steel), which was used in the reinforced concrete beam. The fatigue test results are used to plot the fatigue life curve.
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**INTRODUCTION**

In the past decades, the use of composites for strengthening and repairing concrete structures has gained significant importance in civil engineering [1-3]. There are a large number of new, innovative building materials on the market. In order to avoid a drastic impact of building materials now or in the future, these materials as well as their manufacture and use must comply with the ecological standards. The trend of developing new building materials is not characterised by inventing something new, but rather by improving something long-existing. The aim of this study is to create a model to simulate a four-point bending loading experiment on a reinforced concrete beam with and without carbon-fibre reinforced polymer.

**FEM SIMULATION OF A REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAM**

Experimental measurements of four-point bending of a reinforced concrete beam were carried out in our laboratory. Figure 1 show that the contact between the load and the beam, as well as the contact between the supports and the beam. The surface representing half profile of the T-beam is divided into smaller areas, borderlines of which will be used later to create a mesh of link/beam elements forming the steel reinforcement. The element Solid65 was used for discretization the steel reinforcement. The dimensions of the full-size beams are 3600 mm x 350 mm x 400 mm and the span between the supports was 3310 mm. Moreover, the lower part of the beam includes a milled groove in the covering layer.
MODELLING THE LAMELLA AND ITS BONDING WITH THE CONCRETE

Bonding the lamella with the concrete is modelled using the conta173 contact elements, considering the contact with adhesive bonding (bonded always contact), and using a cohesive material model (CZM – Cohesive Zone Material). We used the data provided by the manufacturer of the glue. We assumed a dominant shear mode of breaking the bonded joint. This model requires entering $\tau_{\text{max}} = 12$ MPa (maximum equivalent contact shear stress), $\delta_t = 1$ mm (tangential displacement after debonding). This value is not stated by the manufacturer and must be obtained through an experiment [4].

In the post-processing, for the contact defined in the above manner, we can evaluate the generally known data, such as contact status, contact gap, contact pressure, as well as the following variables:

- DPARAM – debonding parameter – this parameter takes a value from 0 to 1, where the value 1 corresponds with the breaking of the bonded joint, and the value 0 corresponds with the initial unbroken joint,
- DTSTARI – the time step at which debonding occurred.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The solution is significantly influenced also by the manner used for the beam positioning modelling. In order to prevent the formation of stress concentrators, we modelled a plane support with a width corresponding to the experiment, and a joint in the support width centre. The above was done using shell elements – shell181. The nodes in the support width centre were removed of all degrees of freedom for displacement, and we retained all degrees of freedom for rotation. Contact with the beam was done using the conta173 and target170 contact elements. A support modelled in this manner has no longer caused the formation of stress concentrators in its vicinity; therefore no cracks occurred in this area [5].

The load acting on the beam in its upper part was created as a quarter of the total force $F = 110$ kN acting on the small area formed by the experiment requirements. The small area is 150 mm wide and its distance from the beam centre is 500 mm [6].

MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Concrete: the concrete according to STN EN 206-1 was used (Concrete STN EN 206-1-C35/45-C10, 04-D$_{\text{max}}$16-S3). The material properties of the concrete are: Young’s concrete elasticity modulus $E = 34$ GPa, ductility stated by the manufacturer $\varepsilon_{\text{cu}} = 0,035$, Poisson’s rate $\mu = 0,2$, the concrete density $\rho = 2400$ kg.m$^{-3}$.

Steel reinforcement: the concrete steel B500 B according to STN EN 10027 was used: strength $R = 500$ MPa, ultimate tensile strength $R_m = 550$ MPa, Young’s modulus $E = 200$ GPa, ductility $\varepsilon = 0,005$, Poisson’s rate $\mu = 0,3$.

Composite lamella: the simulated composite lamella is made by the BASF company. Its trade name is MBRACE CFK 150/2 000. The composite lamella dimensions are $20 \times 1,4 \times 3000$ mm. For this lamella type, the manufacturer states the Young’s modulus larger than 165 GPa, Poisson’s number $\mu = 0,2$ and the recommended design tensile strength is $51,8$ kN, which means that the uniaxial tension stress is $1850$ MPa at the lamella cross-section of $28$ mm$^2$.

High-strength epoxy glue: to affix the lamella into the groove in the beam we use high-strength epoxy adhesive with a high glass transition temperature for the MBRACE lamella system by BASF, with the trade name MBRACE Laminate Adhesive HT. Its bond strength is $>14$ MPa, compressive strength is 73 MPa, bending elastic modulus is 4263 MPa, glass transition temperature is $\geq 40^\circ$, shrinkage/ expansion is $\leq 0,1\%$ [5].

ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS

Figure 3 shows the maximum deflection in the middle of the reinforced concrete beam with a lamella, and it reaches the value $w_{\text{max}} = 7,57$ mm. This value is slightly reduced compared with the model with CFRP lamella [6]. Due to bending, the free end of the beam behind the support is displaced upwards by 0,89 mm. Figure 4 shows the first principal stress in the concrete. This value indicates the sites that are critical for the concrete deterioration due to a high tensile stress, which concrete naturally “does not like”. High values are present in the beam lower side. The maximum is reached due to concrete deterioration consideration, and it appears near the steel reinforcement.

Due to the lamella groove, and the lamella with a high elasticity modulus, concentration of stresses occurs near the original beam/groove interface. The maxi-
mum value dropped and moved to this area from the steel reinforcement site.

Figure 5 shows the third principal stress in the concrete. This value shows the areas where concrete crushing might occur in case of exceeding the concrete compressive strength.

The maximum reached value is -28.1 MPa is below the used concrete compressive strength, therefore there should be no crushing.

The stress value was higher compared to the previous case and, like in the first principal stress, the value moved from the steel reinforcement to the site of the lamella groove [6].

The course of axial stresses in the reinforcement is shown in Figure 6. As we can see, compressive stress occurs in the upper part of the reinforcement. The maximum compressive stress emerged near the action of the loading pressure, and the compressive stress value in the reinforcement reached the value p_{max,steel} = 164.32 MPa. The maximum tensile stress emerged in the bottom part of the steel reinforcement, at the site under the loading force, and its value reached σ_{max,steel} = 424.63 MPa. This value is lowered compared with the model without the composite reinforcement, but it is still near the value of the yield strength R_c.

Figure 7 shows the pink-coloured cracks formed in the concrete as a result of exceeding the concrete tensile strength. These cracks occur around the T-shaped steel stirrups.

The shape and length of cracks has changed significantly compared with the case without a lamella. Although there is still one significantly long crack at the site under the acting load, other cracks are of approximately the same length.

In Figure 8 we can see the DPARAMJ and DPARAMI values that indicate the probability of adhesion loss of the glue and the lamella.

The maximum value is cca 0.94, which represents a 94% probability of adhesion loss. According to the DT-FIG. 5 Third principal stress in the concrete
FIG. 6 Axial stresses in the concrete beam reinforcement
FIG. 7 Depiction of cracks in the concrete
FIG. 8 The probability of adhesion loss with loading steps
FIG. 9 Wöhler curve of 1.0429 steel (bending)

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained show that the use of the above-mentioned composite lamella changes the stress distribution in the concrete matrix as well as in the steel reinforcement. This is manifested by the reduction in the

Figure 6
maximum stress values in the tensile domain for both
the concrete and the reinforcement, and in case of the
concrete also by increased maximum compression stress,
which is favourable for concrete. The maximum bending (deflection) values are very similar in the two
cases. It also results from the data obtained that there
will be no adhesion compromise between the concrete
and the lamella (the maximum value is 94 % of the
bond ultimate shear strength), but there will occur
delamination in the concrete. The shape and size of the
cracks in the concrete have changed as well. Based on
the values measured in fatigue tests, the fatigue strength
can be approximately determined at the number of cy-
cles prior to fracture $N_f = 1.107$. Hence, the fatigue life
of the tested material of concrete (reinforcing) steel
could be around 110 MPa.
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