THE EFFECT OF CRACKS ON STRESS STATE IN CRANE WHEEL HARD-SURFACE UNDER CONTACT LOADING
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Shown in this paper are the results of microstructure testing of crane wheels, along with the repair welding procedure performed by hard-surfacing. Repair welding involved the application of a welded layer to the crane wheel, due to the damage that was caused by wear. Detailed analyses, based on the mechanical and chemical properties of the materials used for crane wheels, as well as for repair welding, were performed for the contact between the rail and wheel in real exploitation conditions, as well as by using numerical simulations. Numerical simulations were performed by using the finite element method. For the purpose of the analysis, contact stresses between the wheel and rail were calculated according to Hertz theory. Each of the numerical models was used to perform stress analysis with or without a crack in order to determine crack influence on the crane wheel integrity, and to compare the results with the values obtained theoretically. In addition, the symmetry of the contact area between the wheel and rail was taken into account, i.e. both symmetric and asymmetric contact models were made.
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1 Introduction

Everyday industrial production has a task of achieving highest production rates with the lowest maintenance costs. The task is to reduce costs of both labour and spare parts to the minimum without affecting production process. In the case of steel production one of the most important roles in handling of raw material, as well as with heavy production elements, belongs to cranes. Obviously, their failure can cause major delay in production. Steel mill plant “Železara Smederevo” in Serbia uses a total of 206 cranes from which 127 are technological and 79 are repair cranes. Cranes have lifting capability ranging from 0.5 t up to 170 t.

Most common issues with cranes maintenance are caused because of the wear of contact surface of the crane wheels during the exploitation [1]. In case of significant wear or failure, wheels are repaired and reused [2]. Repair of contact surface is performed by surface welding. During the average production cycle in Steel mill plant “Železara Smederevo” between 20 and 25 wheels are repaired each month. With increase in production an increase in wheel repair can be noted as well. The main problem of repaired wheels is reflected in occurrence of cracks in welded layers, being typical problem in hard surfacing [3÷5]. One of the key issues here is base metal itself, namely ferrite-pearlite steel with relatively high content of carbon, being susceptible to cracking during unfavourable thermal stresses, which are unavoidable during hard surfacing.

Because of high production rates and short time schedule there are cases where a repaired wheel with a crack must be used. The aim of this paper is to provide insight into the crack behaviour and the lifespan of repaired wheels with and without the cracks, as briefly analysed in [6].

2 Wheel design and materials

Depending on the application and working conditions, different geometry of wheels can be distinguished:

- Wheel without rims,
- Wheel with a single rim,
- Wheel with two rims,

as well as the two main types of the contact surface:

- Conical,
- Cylindrical.

Contact surface diameters are ranging from \(\varnothing 315 \text{ mm}\) to \(\varnothing 800 \text{ mm}\).

Examples of the different geometries as well as contact surfaces of wheels can be seen in Fig. 1.

Wheels are manufactured either by forging or casting. Materials used were C11G1C, C14G1C, C45, C45E, C45G for forging, while GS255JR, GS295JR, G34CrMo4 along with adequate machine and thermal processing were used for casting. It must be noted that the hardness of the contact surface for a new wheel ranges between 38 and 40 HRC.
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Figure 1 Left: Different geometries; Right: Different contact surfaces

Most commonly used wheel type in Steel mill facility "Železara Smederevo" is with two rims and cylindrical contact surface, as shown in Fig. 2. Test and simulations shown in this paper were obtained on this type of wheel.

Figure 2 Geometry of the most commonly used wheel type in Steel mill facility "Železara Smederevo"

Contact surface diameter is $\phi 630$ mm with outer rim diameter $\phi 680$ mm. Rims are set under the angle of 100°. Width of the wheels is 165 mm. Wheels are made with six symmetrically spaced holes used to reduce weight. Hole for the axis has a diameter of 140 mm. Repaired welded layer with a thickness of 6mm can be seen at the contact surface area. Geometry of the rail S49 (DIN 536 part 1, TU U 14-2-1230-99) with enlarged contact area can be seen in Fig. 3[7].

Figure 3 Geometry of the rail

3 Wheel wear and damage

There are several different scenarios of the wheel damage that can occur during a lifespan. Over the last years where the production rate has significantly increased, an increase in wheel replacement has been also noted. The most common reason for replacement is the wear of the rim. It is defined by the local regulations that in a case when rim thickness is reduced to 10 mm or less the wheel must be replaced immediately. Rim wear or even breakage is responsible for almost 40 % of all failures. Fig. 4 represents a diagram with all of the most common failures defined in the percentage.

Figure 4 Failure types shown in percentage

According to the local regulations in the case of a contact surface diameter reduction bigger than 5 mm, wheel must be replaced. However, while surface wear is responsible only for 11 % of the wheel replacements, it is the most commonly performed wheel reparation procedure.

Examples of surface and rim wear as well as the rim breakage that has occurred during the wheel exploitation can be seen in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

Figure 5 Contact surface and rim wear during the wheel exploitation

Figure 6 Rim wear and breakage during the wheel exploitation
Increase in loading to the maximal lifting capabilities of each crane causes the contact loadings between the wheel and rail to increase as well. This typically results in general damage from wear to fatigue. Unlike the slow process of wear, fatigue causes cracks on contact areas of wheels, along with loss of material. Experiments have proven that an increase in surface hardness improves its wear resistance [8, 9]. However, if this hardness "goes" deeper into the wheel rim, it will actually increase the probability of its failure, leading to wheel damage.

Core toughness below the hard surface area contributes to chipping of material from the wheel contact surface, due to the fact that the tough core absorbs the stresses caused by incorrectly levelled rails. These stresses can be transferred to the rim, leading to damage and fracture. Therefore, stress analysis of crane wheel is essential, in order to assess its integrity and life, as shown in [10÷12].

4 Repair welding procedure

Local procedures of wheel repairing for cranes state the operations should be performed in the following order:

Wheel normalization represents first step in the process. All of the wheels must be put to process of normalization regardless of them being previously repaired. By doing this influence of the residual stresses is reduced.

It is of great importance to perform pre-treating of the contact surface diameter. If the rim diameter is within ±5 mm of the nominal measure according to drawings, it should not be treated. In case the rim diameter is more than 5 mm below the nominal measure, it should be pre-treated until the first clean measurement (perfectly round surface). This is performed in 6 mm increments.

In the next phase measuring of the pre-treated wheel according to the sketch must be performed to ensure adequate geometry required for further process. Pre-heating of the wheel to a temperature 200 °C to 220°C is necessary. Contact area and rims should be welded using a wire, followed by 3 welded layers. Submerged Arc welding Flux procedure was used for welding. Materials used for welding procedure were WLDC-9 for an intermediate "puffer" layer (for bridging the difference in material properties of base metal and welded layer) and WLDC-12 for hard-surfacing. Wire materials are manufactured by Weldclad COREWIRE HARDFACING CONSUMABLES Company [13]. Before welding process, wheel was subjected to pre-heating. Two layers of hard surfacing were welded. Chemical composition of base material and both additional materials can be seen in Tab. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional material</th>
<th>C %</th>
<th>Si %</th>
<th>Mn %</th>
<th>Cr %</th>
<th>Mo %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS-42CrMo4</td>
<td>0.38-0.45</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6-1</td>
<td>0.8-1.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLDC-9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLDC-12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristics of welding process for both materials are shown in Tab. 2, while mechanical characteristics of hard-surfacing layer can be seen in Tab. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer number</th>
<th>Additional material</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>WLDC-9</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>WLDC-12</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional material</th>
<th>$R_m$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$A_5$ (%)</th>
<th>$A_t$ (J)</th>
<th>Hardness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLDC-12</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40 HRc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial temperature for the second and third welded layer is higher than the preheating temperature and therefore, during the welding process of these layers, temperature should be frequently controlled and pauses should be made to avoid exceeding the maximum allowable temperature, which causes hot cracking.

After welding, wheel was subjected to annealing. Appearance of repaired wheel after welding procedure can be seen in Fig. 7.

4.2 Metallographic analysis of sheets defect

In order to examine the microstructure of welded layer, samples in the shape of sheet were tested. Welding procedure of tested samples was performed with the same parameters as the real wheel. The microstructure of the
base material is a ferrite-pearlite. Macro appearance of sample can be seen in Fig. 8.

Microstructure of the sample can be seen in Fig. 9. Martensite structure in both welded layers can be observed. Martensite structure has very high wear resistance. It is used to solve the problem of wear due to friction of metal on metal or general wear and tear caused by friction and impacts, [11, 13].

5 Wheel load

Wheels with a diameter of 630 mm and flat contact surface which move along the type S49 rail have maximum allowed load of 200 kN. It must be noted that the span of rails ranges between 21.5 m and 32 m.

During the contact between two cylindrical machine parts with parallel generatrices, the contact area is shaped like a narrow rectangle with width of \(2e\) and length \(l\). Assuming that the curve radii of these cylinders are \(\rho_1\) and \(\rho_2\), made of a material with the Poisson’s ratio of \(\nu = 0.3\) and elasticity modules of \(E_1\) and \(E_2\), then the contact rectangle width is equal to:

\[
2e = 3.04 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{F \cdot \rho}{E \cdot l}},
\]

\[
E = \frac{2E_1E_2}{E_1 + E_2}\quad \text{- Equivalent elasticity module},
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{\rho_1\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}\quad \text{- Equivalent curve radius}.
\]

According to Hertz’s theory of contact stresses, contact stress between wheel and rail (flat surface cylinder) is:

\[
P_{\text{max}} = \frac{4}{\pi} \cdot P_{\text{av}} = 0.418 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{F \cdot E}{\rho \cdot l}} = 1082 \text{ MPa}.
\]

In case of sphere contact, i.e. between a cylinder and a flat surface (wheel and rail), \(\rho_2 = \infty\) is adopted in above relations, resulting in a reduced curve radius, \(\rho = \rho_1\).

6 Numerical simulation by the finite element method

Geometry of the rail and wheel is relatively simple, but contact between rail and wheel represents a complex part to be modelled because of curvature in surface and different material sections. Approximations in a form of removing of the small radii on both models, as well as removing of the holes on the side wall, were performed. All radii that were excluded from the model were positioned far from the contact area, and did not have any significant influence on the model integrity.

In order to evaluate stress strain distribution caused by the contact, four separate models were developed. First model was made with assumption that a contact was ideal, i.e. that the wheel and the rail were symmetrical, Fig. 10. This model was denoted as symmetric loading without a crack.

Second developed model represents a case where a wheel has been moved to the side, and contact is present on side surfaces of wheel and rail. As a result of this asymmetric position, and contact between wheel rim and
rail, there is no initial contact between top of the rail and wheel i.e. gap is present between top surfaces of the wheel and rail. This model was denoted as asymmetric loading without a crack and can be seen in Fig. 10.

Third model used for evaluation was positioned as a symmetric loading but with a symmetrically positioned crack in the contact area. This model was denoted as symmetric loading with a crack and can be seen in Fig. 10.

The fourth model developed was based on asymmetric loading with an asymmetrically positioned crack in the transition area between the wheel rim and contact surface. This model was denoted as asymmetric loading with a crack and can be seen in Fig. 10.

Detailed dimensions and sketches of predefined cracks on models with symmetric loading and asymmetric loading with a crack can be seen in Fig. 11.

All models were created as quarters of a wheel, since stress strain distribution is expected only in the area between the axis and contact with the rail. Length of the rail was reduced to 150 mm which ensured enough length to provide adequate behaviour outside the direct contact area.

Loading on the wheel was defined as concentrated force. In order to ensure even distribution, two equal forces with a value of 100 kN each were applied. Forces were positioned along the bottom line of an axis opening at a distance of 47,5 mm from the side of the wheel.

Loadings and boundary conditions that were applied to all models can be seen in Fig. 12. Support on a bottom side of the rail was used to restrict the position of the whole assembly and to simulate working conditions. Additional restriction to lateral movement and rotation was added on to side surfaces of axis opening (green area). Displacement restriction in the axial direction of the rail was also introduced (red area). Please note that although boundary conditions and loads were the same for all models, with only difference in the mutual position of the wheel and rail and geometry of the crack, in Fig. 12 only symmetric loading without a crack and symmetric loading with a crack is shown. In the case of all models with a crack, crack area displacement was not restricted in the axial direction.

Symmetric models were defined with only the contact between the top of the rail and the rim, while asymmetric models were defined with initial contact between the sidewalls of the rim and rail and a contact between the top of the rail and the rim that was a result of the applied loading.

All models were meshed with the use of C3D8R elements, 8-node linear elements with reduced integration. Element size was reduced towards the contact area in both wheel and rail in order to ensure adequate results. Example of the mesh is shown in Fig. 13.

7 Results

Numerical simulations performed in this paper have shown that the stress is concentrated around the contact area for all of the models, as was expected. Different values of stress can be observed throughout the different material sections. Upper Fig. 14 represents a Von Misses stress field for a symmetric loading without a crack. Stress value in the contact area is ranging between 510 MPa and 530 MPa, while in the welded area it reaches value of 849 MPa.

In the case of symmetric loading with a crack, shown in lower Fig. 14, an increase in stress can be observed.
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The effect of cracks on stress state in crane wheel hard-surface under contact loading throughout the welded area. Presence of the crack in the model has resulted in the stress values in contact area ranging between 715 MPa and 1013 MPa. Increased deformation of the contact area has resulted in a decrease of stress in the top of the welded area to 770 MPa.

Stress field for asymmetric loading without a crack is shown in upper Fig. 15. Asymmetric position of the wheel and the rail has resulted in the appearance of the lateral forces causing increase in both wheel and rail.

Stress value in the contact area is ranging between 870 MPa and 970 MPa, while in the welded area it reaches value of 1030 MPa. Case of the asymmetric loading with a crack is shown in the lower Fig. 15. The influence of the crack on the contact area has resulted in stress dissipation over a larger area. Because of all the mentioned reasons, stress values within the welded area are much higher than the values within the rail. On the other hand, the crack in the asymmetric model is only slightly positioned within the and due to this, stress values in the rail are higher than those within the welded layer, because of the geometry. In the contact area, there is no stress concentration in the welded layer, due to this position of the crack.

8 Conclusion

With the use of a welding reparation process a new layer of material has been created over the contact surface. Outer two layers have martensite structure, which is characterized by high levels of hardness and resistance to wear. Third layer ("puffer" layer) has different properties, and major role in overcoming the material properties difference between the base material of the wheel and the welded layers.

Thorough numerical simulations presented in this paper have shown that the stress field for a symmetric loading without a crack has the smallest values of stress and best distribution compared to other models. In a case of symmetric loading with a crack, an increase in the stress field can be noted. Area of the crack is positioned throughout more than 80 % of the welded layer, which as a result has a significant increase in stress in the remaining 20 %. Presence of the crack has ensured higher deformations in the contact area, and dissipation of the stress in the base material over a larger area. Stress field dissipation can be observed throughout different sections of material in all models. In a case of asymmetric loading
without a crack, almost identical values of stress can be observed on both wheel and the rail. Simulation of asymmetric loading with a crack has shown that a crack has a significant influence on the stress values within a welded layer of the wheel. Because of the asymmetric position, contact is achieved both on the rim and on the contact area. Crack position and size have influence on deformation, and stress dissipation over a larger area.

The crack has a significant influence on the stress field on a local level, but on a global level, if the crack is within a welded layer, integrity of the wheel will not be influenced because of material properties. As it was proven in practice, wheels with cracks located only in the martensite welded area can be further exploited, but the crack propagation should be examined and monitored. In the case of asymmetric model it was concluded that there will be no significant stress load on the rim area. Because of the specific shape of the rim and the wheel, the loading will be redirected to a contact surface and away from the rim.

Influence of the additional transversal loading on the wheel must be examined in more details since the practice has shown that a significant number of cracks can be positioned in the transition area between contact surface and the rim.
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