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#### Abstract

The fact that the logarithmic mean of two positive numbers is a mean, that is, that it lies between those two numbers, is shown to have a number of consequences.
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## 1. Introduction

The logarithmic mean of positive numbers $x, y$ is given by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{x-y}{\ln x-\ln y}
$$

if $x \neq y$ and by $L(x, x)=x$ if $x=y$. It is a mean, that is, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min (x, y) \leq L(x, y) \leq \max (x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we shall show that this fact alone leads to a variety of interesting results.
Take $x \neq 1$. Setting $y=1$ in (1) provides

$$
\min (x, 1) \leq \frac{x-1}{\ln x} \leq \max (x, 1)
$$

[^0]so that $\ln x \leq x-1 \leq x \ln x$ for $x>1$. Similarly, the same relation holds for $0<x<1$. In fact, equality occurs only for $x=1$. So we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln x \leq x-1 \leq x \ln x \quad \text { for } x>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Schaumberger [7] has shown that (2) provides a strikingly direct route to several results involving power means. We may use similar development to obtain results for integral means but with nonuniform rather than uniform weights.

In Section 2 we derive a key inequality for potential means in this way. A discrete version is also given. In Section 3 we note the existence of analogous results for other means which possess integral representations. Finally, in Section 4, we observe applications to the relative operator entropy of two positive operators on a Hilbert space.

## 2. Potential means

Let $f, w:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be positive, integrable functions. The potential mean of order $r$ of a function $f$ with weight function $w$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{r}(f, w)=\left\{\frac{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) f(t)^{r} d t}{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) d t}\right\}^{1 / r}, \quad r \neq 0 \\
& M_{0}(f, w)=\exp \left\{\frac{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) \ln f(t) d t}{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) d t}\right\}, \quad r=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience we write $f$ for $f(t), w$ for $w(t), M$ for $M_{r}(f, w)(r \neq 0)$ and $M_{0}$ for $M_{0}(f, w)$. Set $x=f^{r} / M^{r}$ in (2). We get after multiplication by $w M^{r}$ that

$$
r w M^{r} \ln \frac{f}{M} \leq w f^{r}-w M^{r} \leq r w f^{r} \ln \frac{f}{M}
$$

Integration gives

$$
r M^{r} \int_{a}^{b} w \ln \frac{f}{M} d t \leq 0 \leq r \int_{a}^{b} w f^{r} \ln \frac{f}{M} d t
$$

that is, for $r>0$,

$$
M^{r} \int_{a}^{b} w \ln f d t-M^{r} \ln M \int_{a}^{b} w d t \leq 0 \leq \int_{a}^{b} w f^{r} \ln f d t-\ln M \int_{a}^{b} w f^{r} d t
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
M^{r} \ln M_{0}-M^{r} \ln M \leq 0 \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} w f^{r} \ln f d t}{\int_{a}^{b} w d t}-M^{r} \ln M
$$

or

$$
M^{r} \ln M_{0} \leq M^{r} \ln M \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{b} w f^{r} \ln f d t}{\int_{a}^{b} w f^{r} d t} \cdot M^{r}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
M_{0} \leq M \leq M_{0}\left(f, w f^{r}\right)
$$

that is, in extenso,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(f, w) \leq M_{r}(f, w) \leq M_{0}\left(f, w f^{r}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad r>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r<0$, the inequalities are reversed.
Assuming all integrals exist, a consequence of (3) is that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} M_{r}(f, w)=M_{0}(f, w)
$$

A similar development is available in the discrete case. If $a, w$ are positive $n^{-}$ tuples, a potential mean of order $r$ with weights $w$ is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{n}^{[r]}(a, w)=\left\{\frac{1}{W_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} a_{i}^{r}\right\}^{1 / r}, \quad r \neq 0 \\
M_{n}^{[0]}=\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{w_{i}}\right\}^{1 / W_{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $W_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}$. With the notation $w a^{r}=\left(w_{1} a_{1}^{r}, w_{2} a_{2}^{r}, \ldots, w_{n} a_{n}^{r}\right)$ we can obtain

$$
M_{n}^{[0]}(a, w) \leq M_{n}^{[r]}(a, w) \leq M_{n}^{[0]}\left(a, w a^{r}\right)
$$

which is a generalization of a result from [6] for the case of an unweighted mean. We have again that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} M_{n}^{[r]}(a, w)=M_{n}^{[0]}(a, w)
$$

## 3. Analogues

We now consider the generalized logarithmic mean of order $r$ of positive numbers $x, y$. For $x \neq y$ this is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{r}(x, y)=\left\{\frac{x^{r}-y^{r}}{r(x-y)}\right\}^{1 /(r-1)}, r \neq 0,1 \\
& L_{0}(x, y)=L(x, y) \\
& L_{1}(x, y)=I(x, y)=\frac{1}{e}\left(x^{x} / y^{y}\right)^{1 /(x-y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $x=y$ by $L_{r}(x, x)=x$.
These means have an integral representation

$$
L_{r}(x, y)=M_{r-1}\left(e_{1}, e_{0}\right)
$$

where $e_{1}(t)=t, e_{0}(t)=1$ for all $t \in[\min (x, y), \max (x, y)]$. So, a consequence of (3) for $r>1$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x, y) \leq L_{r}(x, y) \leq I\left(x^{r}, y^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reverse inequalities apply for $r<1(r \neq 0)$, while for $r=0$ we have

$$
G(x, y) \equiv \sqrt{x y} \leq L(x, y) \leq I(x, y)
$$

We have immediately from (4) that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} L_{r}(x, y)=I(x, y)
$$

In place of the integral potential mean of the function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ we could consider more general potential means of functions $g: \Omega \rightarrow R$, where $\Omega$ is an arbitrary set. For such means, (3) follows in the same way. As special cases we can again consider, for example, the logarithmic means on $n$ variables (see Pittenger [5]), the hypergeometric mean (see Brenner and Carlson [1]) and other means which have representations in the form of integral means (see [1]).

## 4. Operator theory

A further application lies in the theory of operators. Fujii and Kamei [2] introduced the notion of the relative operator entropy $S(A \mid B)$ for positive operators $A, B$ on a Hilbert space. For $A$ and $B$ invertible, this is given by

$$
S(A \mid B)=A^{1 / 2}\left(\ln A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right) A^{1 / 2}
$$

Fujii and Kamei [3] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-A B^{-1} A \leq S(A \mid B) \leq B-A \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can derive this (see e.g. [6] pp. 269-273) as a consequence of (2), which may be re-expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-1 / x \leq \ln x \leq x-1 \text { for all } x>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}$ is a positive operator, we may substitute it for $x$ to obtain

$$
I-A^{1 / 2} B^{-1} A^{1 / 2} \leq \ln A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2} \leq A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}-I
$$

Pre- and postmultiplication by the positive operator $A^{1 / 2}$ now provide (5).
Recently Furuta [4] proved a generalization of this inequality, namely, if $A$ and $B$ are positive invertible operators, then for any positive number $x_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln x_{0}-1\right) A+B x_{0}^{-1} \geq S(A \mid B) \geq\left(1-\log x_{0}\right) A-A B^{-1} A x_{0}^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that this follows from (6). For on substituting $x / x_{0}$ for $x$ in (6) we obtain

$$
\ln x_{0}+1-\left(x_{0} / x\right) \leq \ln x \leq \ln x_{0}+\left(x / x_{0}\right)-1 \quad \text { for all positive } x, x_{0}
$$

(This inequality is equivalent to (1) for $y=x_{0}$ ). Again we replace $x$ by the positive operator $A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}$ to derive
$\left(\ln x_{0}+1\right) I-x_{0} A^{1 / 2} B^{-1} A^{1 / 2} \leq \ln A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2} \leq\left(\ln x_{0}-1\right) I+A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2} x_{0}^{-1}$.
Pre- and postmultiplication by $A^{1 / 2}$ as before yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ln x_{0}+1\right) A-x_{0} A B^{-1} A \leq S(A \mid B) \leq\left(\ln x_{0}-1\right) A+B x_{0}^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can replace $x_{0}$ by $x_{0}^{-1}$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\ln x_{0}\right) A-x_{0}^{-1} A B^{-1} A \leq S(A \mid B) \leq B x_{0}^{-1}-\left(\ln x_{0}+1\right) A \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality in (9) taken with the second in (8) provides (7).
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