The Harmonization of Braden Scale Assessments with Planned Interventions in Pressure Ulcer Prevention

Abstract

Introduction: The Braden scale is a tried and tested pressure ulcer risk assessment scale. It is part of nursing documentation in the Republic of Croatia and is used in all hospitals as a tool for pressure ulcer risk assessment. By using the Braden scale, nurses can determine which interventions should be performed to prevent pressure ulcers.

Aim: A prospective study was conducted in the Respiratory Intensive Care Unit during one month, with the goal of determining the harmonization level between the Braden scale assessment and the planned interventions for the nursing diagnosis of “high risk of pressure ulcers”. The aim was also to determine if the Braden scale assessment is used for all admitted patients, if the Braden scale assessment is used daily for all patients and if nursing care plans are made for patients who have a risk of pressure ulcer development.

Methods: A form was created for the purpose of conducting this study. The form contains patient data on sex, admission and discharge dates, as well as data regarding the Braden scale assessment at the moment of admission, during the stay in the ICU and at discharge.

Results: The study included 23 patients. 20 patients had pressure ulcer risk as assessed by the Braden scale. For 8 patients, a nursing care plan for “high risk of pressure ulcers” was written and interventions were conducted that showed very good harmonization with the parameters of the Braden scale.
Conclusion: Although the Braden scale is a pressure ulcer risk assessment tool, the study shows broader application possibilities. This refers to the possibility of using the parameters of the Braden scale as guidance for choosing interventions.

Introduction

The Braden scale is a tried and tested pressure ulcer risk assessment tool (1). It is an integral part of the nursing documentation in the Republic of Croatia and is used in all hospitals as a tool for the assessment of pressure ulcer risk. It consists of 6 parameters: sensory perception, moisture, activity, mobility, nutrition, friction and shear. The scores range from 6 to 23, the lower scores showing a higher risk of pressure ulcers (1). By using the Braden scale, nurses can determine which interventions should be performed to prevent pressure ulcers. It is of special importance to pay attention to the Braden scale parameters because they enable us to determine specific interventions for a specific patient (2).

Available algorithms show that interventions for pressure ulcer prevention can be divided according to the cumulative point score and according to the subscale scores of the parameters that make up the Braden scale (3). The use of such algorithms is not common in Croatia, although it would, in our opinion, enable nurses to define interventions for patients with a high risk of pressure ulcers more easily.

Gadd and Morris feel that the use of the Braden scale in such a way that interventions are planned according to the score from the Braden scale parameters can be an effective way of preventing pressure ulcers in hospitals (4,5).

Methods

The prospective study included patients from the Respiratory Intensive Care Unit during the period between February 27th and March 27th 2017. The study was conducted at the Clinic for Pulmonary Diseases Jordanovac, Zagreb. During this month-long study, there were 23 patients in the Respiratory Intensive Care Unit - 15 were male and 8 female. The average age of the patients was 54.8 (36-84 years of age). The average bed days amounted to 9.86 days (ranging from 1 to 21 days). For every patient, a Braden scale assessment was made at admission as well as daily during their stay on the ward as part of the nursing documentation. The Braden scale assessment was done by nurses in charge of the patient, whereas the nursing care plan was done by the shift manager or the ward’s head nurse. For this purpose, a form was created which contained the admission and discharge dates, as well as data regarding the Braden scale assessment at the moment of admission, during the stay in the Respiratory Intensive Care Unit (RICU) and at discharge. Using the form, the point score was monitored for every patient according to every parameter of the Braden scale in order to determine the margin point score and the need for interventions that would arise from that.

Results

Of the 23 patients hospitalized in the RICU during the month-long study, 20 had a risk of sustaining pressure ulcers at admission and during their stay in the RICU. None of the patients admitted in the RICU during the study have previously had pressure ulcers. Table 1 shows the point score for the 23 patients hospitalized in the RICU. The table shows the number of patients admitted during that time, distributed according to the parameters of the Braden scale, with the assessment done at patient admission. It also shows the average number of bed days for the aforementioned number of patients, as well as any changes in the point score of the Braden scale during their stay on the ward. The table also shows for which patient group the nursing care plan was made. 8 patients had a written plan for “high risk of pressure ulcers”. For those patients, interventions aimed at preventing pressure ulcers were performed during the whole time of their hospitalization in the RICU. This amounts to 117 days of interventions in pressure ulcer prevention. It was noted that these patients had a score of 12 points or less, i.e. these patients were assessed as having “high risk” or “very high risk” on the Braden scale. For patients with an
The nursing care plan for “high risk of pressure ulcers” was written according to the recommendations for standardized plans made by the Croatian Nursing Council.

Table 2 shows the interventions cited in the nursing care plan for the 8 patients that had a written nursing care plan as well as the number of days during which interventions were conducted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Total number of days during which interventions were performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change of the patient’s position every 2 hours</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess the risk factors for the development of pressure ulcers</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain the hygiene of skin and bed linen</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure optimal hydration of the patient</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put pillows under the knees</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Fowler’s low position</td>
<td>64 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change the position by raising, not dragging</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform passive extremities exercises</td>
<td>56 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the intake of proteins and carbohydrates</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use pain medication</td>
<td>10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control the development of edema</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record the current state</td>
<td>117 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate families about measures to prevent pressure ulcers</td>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 shows the average point score for every parameter of the Braden scale. Along with the average point scores for every parameter of the Braden scale, the possible point range as well as the margin point score were shown (3). Consequently, we can note that interventions for patients should be planned in accordance with parameters of sensory perception, activity and mobility as well as friction and shear. The Activity parameter has the lowest point score, while the Moisture parameter has the highest point score. All patients whose Braden scale assessment at admission resulted in very high to high risk were immediately put on a high protein diet, so the Nutrition parameter cannot be correctly assessed. Since interventions were aimed at the Activity, Friction and Shear, Mobility and Sensory Perception parameters, we believe that the harmonization levels between the parameters of the Braden scale are good.

Interventions do not include the usage of pressure ulcer prevention products (mattresses, pillows, etc.), consultation with the physiotherapist (who is involved in patient care in most ICUs), nor do they include the adaptation of interventions to the needs of the individual patient. Planned interventions were carried out during the whole time of the patients’ hospitalization. None of the nursing care plans had a written evaluation. For transferred patients, no end-evaluations were written and none of the clinical handovers stated whether it was necessary to continue to carry out the nursing care plan.

Two patients developed 1st degree pressure ulcers, which was entered in the pressure ulcer form. At that moment, these patients had an assessment of high risk of pressure ulcer development. No change of plan and interventions was done for those patients.

### Discussion

The month-long study in the RICU showed that the Braden scale was used daily as a pressure ulcer evaluation tool. Based on the Braden scale assessment, interventions are planned and nursing care plans are written. It has been noticed that plans are only written if the assessment on the Braden scale shows a high or very high risk, whereas for Braden scale values that point to a moderate or mild risk no nursing care plans are written. It is difficult to determine why this is so. As part of undergraduate nursing study final papers on the topic of pressure ulcers, the importance of prevention is noted, especially the risk assessment for the development of pressure ulcers, but prevention interventions are usually not linked to the parameters of the Braden or any other scale (6, 7). A special emphasis on the nutritional status was noticed, but it was neither linked to the data that existed in the nursing documentation nor with the parameters of the Braden scale that assessed diet (6). Režić and Pauker note their observations where of the 71 patients with pressure ulcers, the Braden scale assessment showed that in 64 cases the Braden scale score was 12 or less, while in 7 cases the score was 14, showing moderate risk (8).

Since no intervention algorithms for the Braden scale exist, it is possible that nurses lack guidelines on how and when to plan and coordinate pressure ulcer prevention interventions.

All nursing care plans lack evaluation, as well as records about the existing risk of pressure ulcer development in the patient handover.
Other countries have specially educated wound treatment teams. These teams come to the ward when requested and carry out an assessment of the patients’ condition, give recommendations for treatment, continue to follow patients’ condition and decide on further measures in case of change in condition. Such teams are needed in our country, too. At the moment, wound treatment teams rarely exist on the institutional level in Croatia. The Commission for Wounds, an example of good practice, shows how it significantly improved the work on the prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers (9).

Conclusion

The study shows that nurses assess the risk of the development of pressure ulcers daily using the Braden scale for all patients on the ward, but the nursing care plan “High Risk of Pressure Ulcer Development” is written only when the Braden scale assessment shows high/very high risk, whereas no plan is written when the risk is moderate or mild. No evaluations were written for patients who had written plans. The harmonization of the Braden scale assessment with planned interventions showed good harmonization. The authors feel that it is necessary to develop algorithms for pressure ulcer prevention interventions linked to the parameters of the Braden scale, following the example of other countries. Algorithms give a clear, concise review of interventions that are necessary for pressure ulcer prevention.
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USKLAĐENOST PROCJENE NA BRADEN LJESTVICI S PLANIRANIM INTERVENCIJAMA VEZANIM UZ PREVENCIJU NASTANKA DEKUBITUSA

Sažetak

Uvod. Bradenova ljестvica dokazano je pouzdana ljестvica za procjenu rizika za nastanak dekubitusa. Sastavni je dio sestrinske dokumentacije u Republici Hrvatskoj i upotrebljava se u svim bolničkim zdravstvenim ustanovama kao alat kojim procjenjujemo rizik za nastanak dekubitusa. Medicinske sestre koristeći se Bradenovom ljестvicom mogu utvrditi koje intervencije moraju provoditi u svrhu prevencije dekubitusa.


Metode. Izrađen je obrazac u svrhu ovog praćenja u koji su upisivani podaci o spolu, datumu prijema i datumu otpusta te podaci povezani s procjenom po Bradenovoj ljестvici prilikom prijema, tijekom boravka na odjelu te prilikom otpusta.


Zaključak. Iako je Bradenova ljестvica alat za procjenu rizika za nastanak dekubitusa, praćenje pokazuje mogućnosti veće primjene. To se odnosi na mogućnosti primjene parametara Bradenove ljестvice kao uvođa za odabir intervencija.

Ključne riječi: Bradenova ljестvica, prevencija dekubitusa, plan zdravstvene njege, algoritam intervencija za dekubitusa