

Central blood pressure and pulse wave velocity in patients with resistant hypertension

VARAHABHATLA VAMSI¹, ANTONIO GOLUB², PEZIĆ MIJA³, PETAR FEKETE⁴, PAVAO FINDRI⁴, INGRID PRKACIN^{4,5}

¹Zaporozhye State Medical University, Zaporizhzhya, Ukraine,

²General Hospital Varaždin, Department of Pulmonary Diseases and TBC Klenovnik, Klenovnik, Croatia

³General Hospital Vinkovci, Department of Internal Medicine, Vinkovci, Croatia,

⁴University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

⁵University Hospital Merkur, Department of Internal Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

Corresponding author:

Ingrid Prkacin

Clinical Hospital Merkur, I.Zajca 19, Zagreb

tel. 0038512353-470, fax: 0038512431-393

ingrid.prkacin@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background. The measurement of brachial pressure (BP) has passed been on for ages, but the central pressure detection could only be possible with invasive techniques, until recently non-invasive and modern technology was introduced into the clinical setting. Studies described that the increase in central blood pressure (CBP) is an indicator of future cardiovascular or target organ damage. Compared with the general population, cardiorenal morbidity is much higher in patients with resistant hypertension (RH). We investigated for the first time the value of CBP and pulse wave velocity (PWV) in a group of RH patients.

Materials and Methods. Data from 80 patients with RH (resistance to 3 or more drugs, one is diuretic) without chronic kidney disease, at University hospital Merkur, Zagreb from the period of June 2017 to January 2018 were analysed. The pulse wave velocity (PWV), mean arterial pressure (MAP), vessels age (older than biological age), pulse pressure (PP), central blood pressure (CBP), brachial pressure (BP) were evaluated using the noninvasive Agedio B900 device (Germany).

Results. The median age was 58.75 (SD-15.3). 27 (35%) of patients were male (avg 53.9 y). BP and CBP were elevated in all RH patients (53 F/27M). The difference between the median value of BP (145.9/90.52mmHg, F=146.4/89.5, M=145/92) and CBP (132.16/91.78mmHg, F=132/90, M 131/94) was statistically significant for systolic BP ($p<0.01$). The mean value of the total measured PWV value was higher than reference for age in all RH and was 8.84 m/s. The mean value of PP and MAP was higher than reference (60.11 and

123,87 mmHg). The difference between sex was statistically significant higher for PWV in females than males (M/F= 8.1/9.2m/s, $p<0.01$). The difference between MAP median concentration (M/F=125.83/123) and PP (M/F=56/62mmHg) was not statistically significant ($p>0.01$).

Conclusion. Currently no gold standard technique is available to measure the CBP. Future studies should address that the cuff method could be a promising device in every day practice for this high risk population.

Key words: resistant hypertension, central blood pressure

INTRODUCTION

Despite measuring the blood pressure with a cuff and sphygmomanometer in the brachial artery, we have been accepting it as an important marker for the prediction of future cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and renal disease risk¹. The brachial pressure (BP) values vary numerously in individuals from that of the central (aortic) blood pressure². Many studies described that the increase in central blood pressure (CBP) is an indicator of future cardiovascular or other target organ damage³. The brachial and central pressures respond differently to different drugs, with central pressure being more accurate and specific than the brachial one⁴. The measurement of brachial pressure has been passed on for ages, but the central pressure detection could only be possible with invasive techniques, until recently non-invasive and modern technology was introduced into the clinics⁵. The systolic pressure amplification phenomenon occurs due to the increase in

the arterial stiffness shifting way from the heart. As the pressure waves travel from highly elastic central arteries to that of stiffened brachial arteries, the upper portion of the wave narrows, followed by the increase in the systolic blood pressure⁶. The peripheral arteries are muscular and not distensible whereas the central arteries are made of elastin fibres. The arterial walls of the large arteries permit blood filling during systole distending and push blood flow in a steady flow during diastole as the artery recoils. As a result the thoracic and ascending aorta is less stiff and distal arteries like the tibial are stiffer⁷.

Arterial stiffness rapidly increases in the limb vessels as the move away from the heart, this leads to a larger wave with greater systolic blood pressure. This results in making the brachial systolic BP and pulse pressure greater than the central pressure in young individuals, whereas the diastolic BP is in the normal range. The pulse pressure (PP) is the difference between the systolic and diastolic pressure of the respective artery measured.

Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is the speed at which the pressure waveform travels along the aorta and large arteries, during each cardiac cycle⁸. PWV is considered the gold standard for measuring the arterial stiffness. Compared with the general population, cardiorenal morbidity is much higher in patients with resistant hypertension (RH). We investigated the value of CBP and pulse wave velocity in a group of RH patients.

The primary objective of this study was to determine the value of PWV in resistant hypertension using for the first time a non-invasive measurement. The secondary goal was quantification and comparison of PWV according to sex.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study included 80 patients with resistant hypertension (RH) without acute heart failure, acute coronary disease and without chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60ml/min/1.73m²). The study population consisted of patients enrolled in Registar of RH and is an ongoing prospective single-center cohort study at the University hospital Merkur, Zagreb. The study included only patients from June 2017 to January 2018. All RH patients gave their written informed consent. The study was approved by the ethics committee.

Resistant hypertension is defined as failure to diminish blood pressure values to <140/90 mmHg (<140/85mmHg for diabetic patients) with a lifestyle method and prescription of at least three antihypertensive drugs in optimal doses, including a diuretic, or when patients use four or more antihypertensive drugs regardless of blood pressure control⁹. The following classes of medications were used: in all patients angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), calcium channel blockers (CCBs) and diuretics (we prefer combinations like ACEI+CCB+diuretic). In 75 % beta blockers, in 40% sympatholytics, and po-

tassium sparing diuretic in 30 %.

The measurement of the central blood pressure detection was evaluated with new non-invasive techniques¹⁰. We used brachial pressure waveforms of superficial arteries (almost identical to those obtained intra-arterially) ¹¹. This arm cuff based method makes use of a transfer function-like method with the ARCSolver algorithm built in the Mobil-o-graph¹⁰. An appropriate cuff-size we used to avoid poor blood pressure measurement after rest of 5 minutes. In all patients, we measured central blood pressure and PWV several times in an ideal environment (patients sat calmly and quietly). The pulse wave velocity (PWV, m/s), mean arterial pressure (MAP, mmHg, normal value <105), age of vessels (older than biological age or same as the biological age, years), pulse pressure (PP, mmHg, normal value <60), central blood pressure (CBP, normal value <130/90 mmHg), and brachial pressure (BP, normal value <140/90 mmHg) were evaluated using the noninvasive Agedio B900 device (IEM, Stolberg, Germany) ^{10,11}.

The statistical analysis was made using the statistical program Statistics (version 13.1). Descriptive data analysis was performed for all attributes.

RESULTS

The median age of patients with RH was 58.75 years (SD-15.3). A minority of patients, 27, (35%) were male and were younger than their female counterparts (53.9 years). BP and CBP were elevated in all RH patients (53 F/27M). The difference between median concentration of BP (145.9/90.52mmHg, F =146.4/89.5, M=145/92) and CBP (132.16/91.78mmHg, F=132/90, M 131/94) was statistically significant for systolic BP (p<0.01). The mean value of the total measured PWV value was higher than reference for age in all RH and was 8.84 m/s. The mean value of PP and MAP was higher than reference (60.11 and 123.87 mmHg). The difference between sex was statistically significant for PWV (M/F= 8.1/9.2m/s, p<0.01). In all patients age of vessels was older than biological age for >6 years. The difference between MAP median concentration (M/F=125.83/123) and PP (M/F=56/62mmHg) was not statistically significant (p>0.01).

All data are in tables.

Table 1. The value of brachial, central blood pressure, pulse wave velocity, pulse pressure, mean arterial pressure and age of vessels in total (80) patients with resistant hypertension

Age (y)	BP-syst	BP-dias	CBP-sys	CBP-dias	PWV	Age of vessels	PP	MAP
Avg- 57.75	145.9	90.52	132.16	91.78	8.84	6.11	60.11	123.87
SD-15.3	21.29	12.85	19.6	13.43	2.25	2.53	21.81	11.84

BP syst=systolic brachial pressure (mmHg), BP dias=diastolic brachial pressure (mmHg), CBP syst= systolic central blood pressure (mmHg), CBP dias= diastolic central blood pressure (mmHg), PWV=pulse wave velocity (m/s). PP= pulse pressure (mmHg), MAP= mean arterial pressure (mmHg)

Table 2. The value of brachial, central blood pressure, pulse wave velocity, pulse pressure, mean arterial pressure and age of vessels in male (N=27) patients with resistant hypertension

Age (years)	BP-syst	BP-dias	CBP-sys	CBP-dias	PWV	Age of vessels	PP	MAP
Avg-53.29	145	92.66	131.11	94.33	8.12	6.17	56.41	125.83
SD-14.53	24.25	14.35	20.5	15.49	1.97	2.38	24.51	12.64

BP syst=systolic brachial pressure (mmHg), BP dias=diastolic brachial pressure (mmHg), CBP syst= systolic central blood pressure (mmHg), CBP dias= diastolic central blood pressure (mmHg), PWV=pulse wave velocity (m/s). PP= pulse pressure (mmHg), MAP= mean arterial pressure (mmHg)

Table 3. The value of brachial, central blood pressure, pulse wave velocity, pulse pressure, mean arterial pressure and age of vessels in female (N=53) patients with resistant hypertension

Age	BP-syst	BP-dias	CBP-sys	CBP-dias	PWV	Age of vessels	Pulse pressure	MAP
AVG-60.01	146.41	89.49	132.69	90.49	9.20	6.08	62.1	123
SD-15.27	19.84	12.072	18.91	12.20	2.31	2.62	20.19	11.60

BP syst=systolic brachial pressure (mmHg), BP dias=diastolic brachial pressure (mmHg), CBP syst= systolic central blood pressure (mmHg), CBP dias= diastolic central blood pressure (mmHg), PWV=pulse wave velocity (m/s). PP= pulse pressure (mmHg), MAP= mean arterial pressure (mmHg)

DISCUSSION

Patients with resistant hypertension are presented with a long-standing history of poorly controlled hypertension⁹. Inaccurate blood pressure measurement is not uncommon; it occurs when patients are not instructed to sit calmly and quietly, and when the cuff is too small¹². In this study an appropriate cuff-size was used to avoid poor blood pressure measurement. In accordance with the new original article from the Netherlands, that in hypertensive patients presence of controlled (BP<140/90mmHg) or uncontrolled (BP>140/90mmHg) resistant hypertension is related to an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality and all-cause mortality¹³, we measured PWV. We have proved for the first time that RH patients have higher PWV (than according to age), and this research confirmed the difference between sex. In female patients, menopause status

influences arterial stiffness, but mechanisms are undefined. We have confirmed that a measurement finding is not random because we measured PWV and CBP several times. Due to higher cardiovascular risk, resistant hypertension is serious and requires special diagnosis and treatment by a multidisciplinary team¹⁴. It is of high importance that the evaluation of a patient with RH includes on the first visit not only 24-hours ambulatory monitoring of blood pressure (AMBIP) but also measurement of CBP and PWV. This cuff-based device is usable without specific training and is adapted to ambulatory use.

CONCLUSION

Currently no gold standard technique is available to measure the central blood pressure. The non invasive cuff method is more promising and needs further evalu-

ation. Future studies should address that the cuff method could be a promising device in every day practice for this high risk population RH. Targeting PWV from a therapeutic perspective might slow the progression of cardiovascular remodeling.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. All authors contributed to acquiring and interpreting data, reviewed/edited the manuscript. V.V. contributed to interpreting data and wrote, I.P. reviewed/edited the manuscript. A.G., P.M, P.F.,P.F. are taking responsibility for the contents of the article.

Conflict of Interest: None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Roman MJ, Devereux RB, Kizer JR, Lee ET, Galloway JM, Ali T, Umans JG, Howard BV. Central pressure more strongly relates to vascular disease and outcome than does brachial pressure: the Strong Heart Study. *Hypertension* 2007;50:197–203.
2. Safar ME, Blacher J, Pannier B, Guerin AP, Marchais SJ, Guyonvarc'h PM, London GM. Central pulse pressure and mortality in end-stage renal disease. *Hypertension* 2002; 39:735–8.
3. Pini R, Cavallini MC, Palmieri V, Marchionni N, Di Bari M, Devereux RB, Masotti G, Roman MJ. Central but not brachial blood pressure predicts cardiovascular events in an unselected geriatric population: the ICARe Dicomano Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51: 2432–9.
4. Jankowski P, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, Czarnańska D, Brzozowska-Kiszka M, Styczkiewicz K, Loster M, et al. Pulsatile but not steady component of blood pressure predicts cardiovascular events in coronary patients. *Hypertension* 2008;51:848–55.
5. Safar ME, Levy BI, Struijker-Boudier H. Current perspectives on arterial stiffness and pulse pressure in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases *Circulation* 2003; 107: 2864–9.
6. O'Rourke M. Pulsatile arterial hemodynamics in hypertension. *Aus NZ Med* 1976; 6 (Suppl 2):40 – 8.
7. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ* 2009;338:b1665.
8. Carmel M, McEniery, John R. Cockcroft, Mary J. Roman, Stanley S. Franklin, Ian B. Wilkinson. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1719–25.
9. Prkacin I, Balenovic D, Djermanovic-Dobrota V, Lukac I, Drazic P, Pranjic IK. Resistant hypertension and Chronotherapy. *Mater Sociomed*. 2015;27:118–21.
10. Weber T, Wassertheurer S, Rammer M, Maurer E, Hametner B, Mayer CC, et al. Validation of a brachial cuff-based method for estimating central systolic blood pressure. *Hypertension* 2011;58:825–32.
11. Kelly R, Hayward C, Ganis J, Daley J, Avolio A, O'Rourke M. Non-invasive registration of the arterial pressure pulse waveform using high-fidelity applanation tonometry. *J Vasc Med Biol* 1989;3:142–9.
12. Bilo G, Sala O, Perego C, Faini A, Gao L, Gluszczyńska A et al. Impact of cuff positioning on blood pressure measurement accuracy: may a special designed cuff make a difference? *Hypertension Research* 2017;40:573–80.
13. Van der Sande NGC, de Beus E, Bots ML, Voskuil M, Blankestijn PJ, Visseren F, et al. Apparent resistant hypertension and the risk of vascular events and mortality in patients with manifest vascular disease. *J Hypertens* 2018;36:143–50.
14. Prkacin I, Vrdoljak P, Cavric G, Vazanic D, Pervan P, Nesk-Adam V. Resistant hypertension and cardiorenovascular risk. *BANTAO Journal* 2017;15:6–9.