Electromagnetic Modeling of Wire-Ground Structures by using a MTL Based Approach

DOI 10.7305/automatika.2017.12.1542 UDK 621.315.052.3.053.013-047.58:629.06

Original scientific paper

A multi-conductor transmission lines-based approach is developed to model electromagnetic coupling of wires above metallic structures. With this approach, global impedances and local distributions are accessible. According the frequency range and the geometry of the studied structures, it is possible to only take into account well-chosen parts. In that case, the shading concept provides an efficient way to determine which part is sufficient, thereby allowing reduction in model size. Global quantities results are validated against measurements, and local ones by theoretical knowledge. This work is usefulness in both the nomadic applications (automotive and aeronautic wiring ...) and the usual electrical applications (home and industrial wiring).

Key words: Electromagnetic coupling, ground planes, Shade of conductors, transmission line modeling (MTL.), wiring

Elektromagnetsko modeliranje struktura žica-uzemljenje korištenjem pristupa temeljenog na modeliranju transmisijskih linija. Pristup temeljen na visevodičkim transmisijskim linijama razvijen je kako bi se modelirala elektromagnetska sprega žica iznad metalnih struktura. Uz takav pristup, dostupne su i globalne impedancije i lokalne distribucije. S obzirom na raspon frekvencija i geometriju promatranih struktura moguće je uzeti u obzir samo dobro odabrane dijelove. U tom slučaju koncept zasjenjenja pruža učinkovit način za određivanje koji su dijelovi dostatni i tako se omogućuje smanjenje veličine modela. Rezultati globalnih veličina vrednovani su prema mjerenjima, a lokalne veličine prema teoretskom znanju. Ovaj je rad koristan u specifičnim aplikacijama (automobilsko i aeronautičko ožičenje ...) i klasičnim električnim aplikacijama (kućanstvo i industrija).

Ključne riječi: elektromagnetska sprega, uzemljenje, sjena vodiča, modeliranje transmisijskih linija (MTL.), ožičenje

1 INTRODUCTION

In modern electro-system facilities containing different kind of high-speed and high technology electrical/electronic equipment, the electromagnetic interactions studies between participants require consideration of the following three main parts: *the metallic environment* (ground planes), the cable harnesses, and the equipment (loads). Many electromagnetic interference problems (EMI) associated with electrical wiring connecting devices may prevent systems from satisfying stringent electromagnetic compatibility criteria (EMC).

For global modeling in EMC/EMI, it is usual to consider the loads extension as small compared to signals wavelengths, so that they can be modeled using lumped elements. For high frequencies, when the sizes of the studied structures are long enough, the use of 3D full-wave analysis in EMC design leads to an enormous computational cost because the required mesh size for wires is too small. Moreover, the metallic environment used to minimize the return common impedance, is usually assumed to be a perfect ground plane with infinite sizes. Unfortunately, this is not always true, since for many nomadic (vehicle, aeronautic, mobile...) applications, the ground planes – chassisare not enough perfect and/or also have finite dimensions. It is then necessary to take into account its physical and geometrical characteristics in the modeling process. The EM emissions are principally generated by the various components within a system and they propagate and spread between the equipment mostly via the wiring and the common ground plane. So it is essential to identify current loops, shared paths, and dominant coupling mechanisms between different circuits during the design phase in order to ensure a good working of the equipment.

[1-4] propose numerical based-PEEC-FEM approaches (Partial Electric Equivalent Circuit – Finite Element Method) to model wire-ground structures and to take into account the metallic environment return effects. While [59] use analytical and/or hybrid approaches based on the MTL method (Multi-Conductor Transmission Line) with the image concept, to extract an equivalent common mode circuit.

Practically, no single modeling tool is able to capture all the effects from different parts. The main reason is the difficulty to handle very different geometric scales, related to the three main parts of the system: the *ground planes*, the *cable harnesses* and the *components*. These parts can be modeled and analyzed using 3D-full-wave, 2D-transmission line (MTL) or circuit approaches. An accurate modeling tool requires various simulation techniques combining models of various types to capture the physical effects from the different parts of the system.

This paper presents an MTL modeling approach for EMC-EMI frequency analysis of wire-ground structures with finite geometrical and physical proprieties. The ground plane is considered as a full-participant conductor. Its contribution on coupling effects will be expressed by the level and the spreading of its current distribution. As a part of this work, an easily reduced model can be extracted from MTL modeling by using the concept of shading [10], i.e. intense or dominant coupling parts are kept while the remaining ones are eliminated. Then a reduced simulation can be achieved with very similar input-output behavior, compared to the original model. The approach may be useful as a decision-design help tool, it doesn't replace full-wave 3D tools, but it can provide reduced input data for those tools, this may reduce treatment costs.

2 THE MTL MODELING APPROACH

In the case of coupled wire-ground plane, which is the most common configuration in nomadic applications, the quasi-TEM (Transverse Electromagnetic) mode becomes preponderant as compared to antenna mode [9]. Therefore, a MTL modeling generally provides good estimations for currents and voltages of multi-wire bundle above ground plane. Assuming some physical and geometrical conditions, non-uniform parts can be decomposed into small uniform segments. Thus, a 3D wiring system can be represented by a cascade of 2.5D problems, in the sense that it is formed by the juxtaposition of 2D MTLs problems. This allows the use of analytical closed-form exponential matrix solution, which is available for MTLs only.

2.1 The ground plane approximation

The ground plane is considered as a conductor with large rectangular cross section. It carries non uniform distribution of common and/or differential modes currents. TEM mode assumes that currents flow in the same direction, parallel to cable bundle.



Fig. 1. Wires bundle above a system of ground planes.



Fig. 2. Generic 2D configuration for per unit length computations. The fictitious reference (o) is far enough.

By dividing the ground plane and all tick conductors into bars of smaller rectangular cross section, the current inside is assumed to be uniformly distributed but with unknown level from one bar to the other (Fig. 1). Only the total current is known. This essentially approximates current distribution over the entire cross section as a piecewiseconstant approximation. The division must comply with the frequency skin effect constraints in both cross section dimensions. Then we will have the situation of multiconductor transmission line with rectangular and circular cross sections.

The system is divided into n rectangular and circular sub-conductors forming a coupled multi-conductor transmission line. With such configuration, and assuming infinitely long conductors, the per unit length matrices can be computed analytically. They are constants along the spatial dimension.

2.2 Per unit length matrices

For a flexible choice of a reference conductor, a remote (fictitious) reference (o), with no current flowing, located at infinity is assumed; the return to a local reference is performed, thereafter, by means of matrix operations in the final mathematical model.

Fig. 2 shows a 2D generic configuration to calculate the per unit length parameters between rectangular and/or circular conductors. Since the current is assumed to be uniformly distributed over each sub-conductor cross section; the per unit length parameters are frequency independent. Then, the per unit length resistance of a conductor i is expressed by

$$R_i = \frac{\rho_i}{s_i} \tag{1}$$

With ρ_i the resistivity of the material of conductor *i* and s_i its cross section

The per unit length mutual inductance between two filamentary open loops (po) and (qo) is given by (2).

$$l_{pq} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r_{pq}} \tag{2}$$

We note that l_{pq} is not the total per unit inductance but the contribution of sub-conductor's p and q on the total per unit mutual inductance, between two closed loops without return filaments.

The mean mutual inductance between conductors *i* and *j* is obtained by integration over their cross sections (3).

$$L_{ij} = \frac{\mu}{s_i s_j \cdot 2\pi} \int_{s_j} \int_{s_i} \log\left(\frac{1}{r_{pq}}\right) ds_p ds_q \qquad (3)$$

From the generic configuration, three cases can be considered: the both sections are circular, both sections are rectangular, or one is circular and the other is rectangular. In the first case we obtain a simple expression, identical to (2) with r_{pq} equals to the distance between the centers. In the second case, no simplification is possible and expression (3) remains. In the third case, the integration is reduced to only over the section s_j (the rectangular one) with the point p fixed at the center of the circular section (4).

$$L_{ij} = \frac{\mu}{s_i s_j \cdot 2\pi} \int_{s_j} \int_{s_i} \log\left(\frac{1}{r_{pq}}\right) ds_p ds_q \qquad (4)$$

The mean self-inductance is obtained as if $i \rightarrow j$ in the expression (3). Analytic integration over orthogonally configurations is proposed in [11-13].

If the surrounding medium is homogeneous with permittivity ϵ , permeability μ , and conductivity σ then, we admit that matrices *L*, *C* and *G* satisfy approximately the well know identities (5) and (6).

$$LC = \mu \epsilon I \tag{5}$$

$$LG = \mu \sigma I \tag{6}$$

From which the per unit length capacitance matrix is obtained

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{L}^{-1} \tag{7}$$

Because the surrounding medium is assumed lossless, then the conductance G is zero. Finally, the "partials" per

unit length impedance and admittance matrices are respectively defined as in (8)

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{R} + j\omega \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{G} + j\omega \mathbf{C} \end{cases}$$
(8)

Such quantities are essential to build the MTL model.

2.3 Short summery on the MTL method

In frequency-domain analysis (steady state, sinusoidal excitation), for a per unit length of n coupled-conductor line, the coupled first order MTL equations are (9a).

$$d\boldsymbol{V}\left(z\right)/dz = -\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{I}\left(z\right) \tag{9a}$$

$$d\boldsymbol{I}\left(z\right)/dz = -\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{V}\left(z\right) \tag{9b}$$

Where V(z) and I(z) are $n \times 1$ column vectors containing voltages (with respect to a fictitious reference) and currents, respectively. The $n \times n$ complex matrices of perunit-length impedance Z and admittance Y are symmetric.

The uncoupled second order MTL equations obtained from (9a) by differentiation with respect to z dimension are given by (10).

$$\begin{pmatrix} d^{2}\boldsymbol{V}(z)/dz^{2} = \boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{V}(z) \\ d^{2}\boldsymbol{I}(z)/dz^{2} = \boldsymbol{Y}\boldsymbol{Z}\boldsymbol{I}(z) \end{cases}$$
(10)

Using similarity transformation (11) that verifies the condition (12) matrices **ZY** and **YZ** are diagonal [14].

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{V}(z) = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) \\ \boldsymbol{I}(z) = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}} = \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$$
(12)

This yields to decoupled modes of the system (10) and gives (13) and (14).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\right) \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \gamma^2 \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) \\ \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right) \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \gamma^2 \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) \end{cases}$$
(13)

With:

$$\gamma^2 = \boldsymbol{T_v^{-1}} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{T_v} = \boldsymbol{T_i^{-1}} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Z} \boldsymbol{T_i}$$
(14)

Thus, the solutions governing the mode voltages and currents in (13) are decoupled and are given by (15).

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} + \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-} \\ \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(z) = \boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} - \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-} \end{cases}$$
(15)

Where γ is a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix of propagation mode coefficients, V_m^{\pm} and I_m^{\pm} are $n \times 1$ undetermined



Fig. 3. Sending end terminal network: (a) with remote reference and (b) with local reference.

vectors related to forward/backward travelling waves of modes.

Transforming back to the phasor voltages and currents via (11) gives the general solution to the MTL equations containing a total of 4n undetermined constants in the $n \times 1$ vectors V_m^+, V_m^-, I_m^+ and I_m^- , as in (16).

$$\boldsymbol{V}(z) = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} + \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z} \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-} \right)$$
(16a)

$$\boldsymbol{I}(z) = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} - \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z} \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-} \right)$$
(16b)

Defining the characteristic impedance matrix (17), thereby reducing the number of undetermined constant to only 2n. Substituting (16b) into (9b) yields (18).

$$Z_{c} = Y^{-1}T_{i}\gamma T_{i}^{-1}$$
(17)

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{V}(z) = \boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{c}}\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z}\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} + \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z}\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-}\right) \\ \boldsymbol{I}(z) = \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-\gamma z}\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{+} - \boldsymbol{e}^{+\gamma z}\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}^{-}\right) \end{cases}$$
(18)

Finally, as for multiport, to evaluate the final solution which involves 2n undetermined constants, we need 2n additional constraint equations provided by the terminal conditions.

2.4 Reference handling and terminal condition

Herein the reasoning is only detailed for the sending end; the same approach can be undertaken for the receiving end.

First, the solution (18) is written in a compact form at the sending end of the system (19).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_0 \\ \mathbf{I}_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_0 & \mathbf{B}_0 \\ \mathbf{C}_0 & \mathbf{D}_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m^+ \\ \mathbf{I}_m^- \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Two ways to manipulate reference are presented herein. The first way (Fig. 3a) consists in increasing the system size by creating new variables. A high impedance, which represents the vacuum between the remote reference and the terminal network, is added and creates a voltage point N where the sum of current is zero. Mathematically, this can be expressed by (20) and (21). These expressions are inserted in (19) to give (22).

$$\boldsymbol{E}_s = \boldsymbol{V}_0 + \boldsymbol{Z}_s \boldsymbol{I}_0 - \boldsymbol{1} \boldsymbol{V}_N \tag{20}$$

$$0 = Z_{\infty} \cdot \sum \boldsymbol{I}_0 + V_N \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}_s \\ \boldsymbol{I}_0 \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\boldsymbol{A}_0 + \boldsymbol{Z}_s \boldsymbol{C}_0) & (\boldsymbol{B}_0 + \boldsymbol{Z}_s \boldsymbol{D}_0) & -\mathbf{1} \\ \boldsymbol{C}_0 & \boldsymbol{D}_0 & \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{Z}_{\infty} \cdot \mathbf{1}_n \boldsymbol{C}_0 & \boldsymbol{Z}_{\infty} \cdot \mathbf{1}_n \boldsymbol{D}_0 & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{I}_m^+ \\ \boldsymbol{I}_m^- \\ \boldsymbol{V}_N \end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

Where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is a $(1 \times n)$ row vector (23).

$$\mathbf{1}_n = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1_n \end{array} \right] \tag{23}$$

An impedance value Z_{∞} of some hundred M?s is sufficient to have a very similar vacuum situation.

In the second way (Fig. 3b) no increase in system size is necessary, only translation of the reference is sufficient. If a conductor k is chosen as a local reference, then we subtract from all rows, in V_0 and in the product $Z_s I_0$, the row corresponding to conductor (k), and then, we replace the latter by a new row expressing the null sum of all currents.

Then, for each conductor i excepting k we can write (24).

$$E_{s}(i) - E_{s}(k) = V_{0}(i) - V_{0}(k) + Z_{s}(i) I_{0}(i) - Z_{s}(k) I_{0}(k)$$
(24)

Its compact form is (25).

$$\mathbf{E}'_{s} = \mathbf{V}_{0} + \left(\mathbf{Z}'_{s} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{0} \right)' \tag{25}$$

For the k^{th} conductor (reference) equation (24) is zero and will be replaced by the null sum of current (26).

$$\sum \boldsymbol{I}_0 = 0 \tag{26}$$

Finally we obtain (27) for the starting end.

$$\begin{bmatrix} E'_s \\ I_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{A}'_0 + \left(\mathbf{Z}_s \mathbf{C}_0 \right)' \right) & \left(\mathbf{B}'_0 + \left(\mathbf{Z}_s \mathbf{D}_0 \right)' \right) \\ \mathbf{C}_0 & \mathbf{D}_0 \\ \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{C}_0 & \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{D}_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m^+ \\ \mathbf{I}_m^- \end{bmatrix}$$
(27)

Where a matrix X' is a matrix with (n - 1) row defined in (24) and (25).

Forming systems (22) or (27) for sending and receiving ends, and combining adequate terminal equations, the solution gives the unknown modal currents, I_m^+ and I_m^- , to be used in (18) to get the voltage and current throughout the lines.

3 VALIDATION OF THE APPROACH

In this section, the capability and the limits of such approach are tested by comparing its results to those of known situations, either by theory or through measurements.

3.1 Impedances prediction

The test setup is shown in Fig. 4a. A large copper ground plane $(1m \times 1m \times 0.001m)$ with two cooper conductors of 0.35mm radius and 1m length above. They are supported by Styrofoam blocks at heights respectively 1.0 cm and 5.0 cm. Conductor 1 is straight while conductor 2 can be bent by 90° at its middle. The equivalent relative permittivity of the surrounding medium is taken close to that of the vacuum, 1.25. A network analyzer is used to give the impedances over a wide frequency band (100 kHz to 500 MHz) via the S-parameters measurements. In simulation process, the system is decomposed into three connected MTL problems as shown in Fig. 4b. The ground plane is divided into 200 bars of 5mm width and 1mm thickness.

At each operating frequency and for each MTL part, systems (22) or (27) are established with specified terminal conditions and solved for I_m^{\pm} . Actual currents and voltages are then evaluated using (18), the input mutual impedance Z_{ij} between two ports *i* and *j* is then the ratio V_i/I_j at origin coordinates. We note here that, for the adopted piecewise-constant approximation, the transformation matrix Ti in (11) is frequency independent. Particular attention is made when connecting models for terminal parts; input impedances for some parts become terminal impedances of parts MTL-2 and MTL-3 become terminal impedances for MTL-1.

Through input impedances prediction, simulation results shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, show good agreement with measurement, both in appearance and in magnitude. Of course, this is only valid for configurations with bends close to 0 or 90 degrees, in other words, only for configurations that can be decomposed into quasi TEM sections.

3.2 Current distribution

Contrary to image modeling concept, this approach also gives the current distribution in the ground plane, which is very important for local EM field calculations.

For the setup in Fig. 4b, each ground is divided into 200 bars; terminal resistances are 0.5 Ohm, and 10 volts voltage source. Fig. 7 shows the current distribution over the entire ground plane at two-spaced frequency, 50 Hz and 5 MHz.

Qualitatively this agrees well with theory, which asserts that at low frequency, return currents spread in the entire





Fig. 4. Test setup photograph (a) and domain decomposition on connected MTL parts, with circuit terminals (b).



Fig. 5. Top conductor, measured and calculated, input impedances for the two situations: shorted end and open end.as already noted, measures start at 100 kHz, but simulations start at 1kHz.

return conductor domain, Fig. 7a, but at high frequency, return currents will concentrate more beneath associated



Fig. 6. Measured and calculated mutual input impedances.







Fig. 7. Ground plane current distribution for two frequencies, (a) 50 Hz and (b) 5MHz, conductors are grounded at ends via 0.5 Ohm

"going" conductor, Fig. 7b.



Fig. 8. Return current distribution in [A/mm2], through 100×2 mm2 ground plane cross section, showing "Shading areas" for three horizontal positions of 0.35 mm driver conductor radius, at 10 mm height and 5 kHz excitation.



Fig. 9. Return current distribution [A/mm2], through ground plane cross section, showing "Shading area" for middle horizontal positions of driver conductor, at three frequencies excitation.

3.3 Shading effect – Equivalent reduced ground plane

In the case of a reference conductor being a large ground plane, each current returning in the ground plane will be concentrated, in an area as a shade, beneath the "going" conductor as illustrated in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9. The transverse spreading of this shading area depends on various parameters, of which the position (horizontal and vertical) of driver conductor, the operating frequency, the ground plane thickness, etc. Fig. 8 shows horizontal position effect where Fig. 9 shows frequency excitation effect on the currents spreading.

The distribution of these currents is used to determine the rectangular cross section of an equivalent ground plane conductor – shading area - that has, nearly, the same distribution, and thus, will give roughly the same effects (behav-



Fig. 10. Return current distribution [A/mm2], through entire ground plane cross section, and trough 90% equivalent "Shading areas" for middle horizontal positions of driver conductor, 8 kHz frequency excitation and 100 mm original width.

ior). The term "nearly" means an adopted approximation with respect to the maximum distribution in a given situation. For example if we adopt an approximation of 90% of the maximum value, all ground area that corresponds to values less than 10% of the maximum distribution will be removed. Fig. 10 shows how delimiting the equivalent "shading area" and the similarity between entire and shading current distributions is quite similar.

Depending on approximation degree, the current which was under removed parts should then be redistributed in such a fashion that the current density is larger at the edges of the shaded area (Fig. 10). Poor approximations greatly affect and distort local behaviors; high approximations preserve behaviors but reduce less the area study.

Figure 11 shows the width variation of the equivalent ground plane, "shading area", when moving horizontally the driver conductor from one edge to the other. It shows that the shading width increases in the vicinity of edges, then, remains relatively constant between them; this constant value can be adopted for all horizontal positions. It shows also that when increasing conductor height, the shading width rapidly increases.

For middle horizontal position, Fig. 12 shows that the shading width "exponentially" decreases when frequency increases. While Fig. 13 shows that the shaded area width "parabolically" increases with the conductor height.

It should be noted that for a given situation of conductors above ground plane, the shading area is also valid for all situations in which frequencies are higher and heights are less. Since the model is linear, the shading for multiple conductors is the superposition of each conductor shade.



Fig. 11. Width of equivalent ground plane versus conductor horizontal position for: 90% approximation behavior, three heights, 8 kHz, and 100mm original width.



Fig. 12. Width of equivalent ground plane versus frequency for: 90% approximation behavior, three heights, and middle position of a 100mm original width.

3.4 Influence upon simulation performances

For the case of two straight conductors, Fig. 14 shows a 20 cm reduced ground plane equivalent to previous original ground plane in Fig. 4a. This reduced one reproduces, at least, 90% of the original behavior for all frequencies upper than 10 kHz and conductors heights lower than 5cm. Herein, the simple example of two straight conductors is tested. All proper input impedances, measured or simulated, are quite similar and are not presented here, slight differences are observed only for the mutual ones. Fig. 15 shows a comparison between the measured input mutual impedance for both the entire ground and the reduced one and the simulation results in the case of open terminations. A slight increase of the mutual impedance, relative to entire case, is due to decrease, by truncation, in coupling paths.



Fig. 13. Width of equivalent ground plane versus conductor height for 90% approximation behavior two frequencies and middle position of a 100mm original width



Fig. 14. Equivalent reduced ground plane for two straight conductors. The width of 20 cm corresponds to the shading area on the original ground plane of $(1m \times 1m)$



Fig. 15. Open ends mutual impedance for entire and reduced system.

For this example, Table I shows, for a unique frequency, a comparison of some simulation performances between the two cases: the entire ground plane and the reduced one, using a processor Intel® CoreTM 2 Quad CPU Q9650 3GHz. Since the number of unknowns is twice the number of elements, it is evident that the consumed time, calculations and solving, of the reduced model in this example is only 3.43% of the counterpart of the entire model. This is a high gains related to a simple example. The simplicity does not diminish the relevance of the concept for more complex situations.

	Full model	Reduced model
Ground plane Size	1000x1000x1	200x1000x1
[mm] (Width-		
Length-Thickness)		
Element Size [mm]	1x1000x1	1x1000x1
Number of elements	1002	202
(bars)		
Elapsed Time [sec]	21.85	0.75
	Time Ratio = 0.0343	

Table 1. Comparison of simulation costs

Using these results, it is possible to conclude that the determination of the equivalent reduced rectangular conductor to a given ground plane depends on several parameters: the desired degree of approximation, the original ground plane size, the position and size of driver conductors, the operating frequency, etc. To compile all these parameters in a same relationship is a tricky task that is under future investigation. Nevertheless such a concept can be used before any 3D full-wave study, this can provides rational description of the studied field.

4 CONCLUSION

In this paper, an MTL based-approach for modeling electromagnetic coupling in wire-ground structures was presented. The fundamental assumption associated with this approach is that the structure must be composed of quasi-TEM parts. This assumption appears to be a weakness for the approach, but most closely wire-ground structures can be decomposed into typically related TEM parts. The metallic environment, ground plane, chassis... etc.; was considered as a certain distribution of sub-conductors, which allowed not only access to global quantities as input impedances, but also to local quantities as current distributions which are essential for any electromagnetic field mapping. The electromagnetic analysis of local quantities has shown that it is not required to take into account the entire metallic environment; only a well-chosen restricted part will be sufficient. The shading concept was presented and has allowed a convenient way to choose this restricted part, which has significantly improved treatment costs with very similar behavior. Using this approach, a good agreement between measurements and simulation is observed.

The simplicity of the examples discussed in this paper does not diminish the generalization of the approach to more complicated cases formed by a combination of simple quasi TEM sections. The facility of transforming wire-ground problems into cascades of distributed equivalent circuits allows the use of standard circuit tools like Spice.

We recall here that this approach does not replace, in any case, the use of 3D full-wave tools that require a lot of efforts, memory and time, but through its 2D aspect with its shading concept which make it a fast and easy tool, it can be used in advance to prepare a rational description of the study area before using the 3D full-wave tools. It may also be useful as a help tool for design in engineering offices.

REFERENCES

- J. Z. Ji, H. Q. Wu and P. L. Huang, "The electromagnetic modeling and simulation analysis of large size metal structure", Proc ECECS 2015, Hong Kong 30-31 May 2015.
- [2] Z. F. Song, F. Dai, D. L. Su, S. G. Xie, and F. Duval, "Reduced PEEC modeling of wire-ground structures using a selective mesh approach," *Progress In Electromagnetic Research*, vol. 123, pp. 355-370, 2012.
- [3] E. Clavel, J. Roudet, T. Chevalier, and D. M. Postariu, "Modeling of connections taking into account return plane: Application to EMI modeling for railway," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 678-684, March. 2009.
- [4] D. M. Hockanson, J. L. Drewniak, T. H. Hubong, T. P. Van Doren, F. Sha, C. W. Lam, and L. Rubin, "Quantifing EMI resulting from finite impedance reference plane," *IEEE Trans. On EMC*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 286-297, March. 1997.
- [5] D. Liu et all, "Accurate evaluation of field interaction between cable harness and vehicule body by a multiple scattering method", IEEE, Trans EMC, vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 383-390, Apr 2017.
- [6] J. Yang, Z. Li, L. Liu, and C. Gu, "Multiconductor reduction method for modeling crosstalk of complex cable bundles in the vicinity of a 60 degree corner," *Progress In Electromagnetic Research*, vol. 28, pp. 201-211, 2013.
- [7] M. Kachout, T. J. Belhadj, and F. Choubani, "Crosstalk prediction for three conductors nonuniform transmission lines: Theretical approach and numerical simulation," *Journal of Electromagnetic Analysis and Applications*, vol. 3, pp. 319-327, 2011.
- [8] Y. Bayran, J. L. Volakis, "A hybrid electromagnetic circuit method for electromagnetic interference onto mass wires" IEEE, EMC Society, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 893-900. Nov 2007.
- [9] G. Liu, D. J. Pommerenke, and J. L. Drewniak, "Anticipating vehicle-level EMI using a multi-step approach," *IEEE International Symposium on EMC*, Istanbul, Turkey, 2003. vol. 1, pp. 419-421.
- [10] M. Kechicheb, E. Clavel, M. R. Mekideche, "The MTL method and concept of shading to model automotive wiring having wide and multiple ground planes," Proc ICEAA'13, Torino, Italy, 2013. Pp. 6-9.

- [11] A. E. Ruehli, "Inductance calculation in a complex integrated circuit environment," IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 16, no. 5, 1972.
- [12] Z. Piatek, B. Baron, "Exact closed form formula for self-inductance of conductor of rectangular cross section", Progress in electromagnetics research, vol 26, pp. 225-236, 2012.
- [13] T. J. Higgins, "Formulas for the geometric mean distances of rectangular areas and of line segments," Journal of Applied Physics, vol.14, April 1943, pp. 188-195.
- [14] C. R. Paul, "Analysis of multiconductor transmission lines," Wiley Interscience Publication 1994.



M. Kechicheb was born in Skikda, Algeria in 1969. He received the Engineer degree in Electrical Engineering in 1995 from the Annaba University, Algeria, and the Magister in Electrical Engineering in 2001 from the Jijel University, Algeria. He is currently a Maître Assistant and a member of L2EI Laboratory at the Jijel University, Algeria. His principal research interests electromagnetic modelling, wiring systems design and applications.



E. Clavel received the Engineer and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France, in 1993 and 1996, respectively. She is an Assistant Professor at Grenoble-Alpes University (France). She has been a permanent researcher with the G2ELab (Grenoble Electrical Engineering Lab) since 1996, in the field of power electronics. Her main activities concern the modeling and design of any kind of connections of power structures,

massive bars, bus bars, printed circuit boards, etc. Her research team develops a tool (InCa3D) which models and optimizes connections in order to improve the performances of power structures, including electromagnetic compatibility, mechanical and thermal aspects.



M. R Mekideche after obtaining his first diploma in Electrical Engineering at the USTO University at Oran, he joined the National Polytechnicas School at Algiers in 1981 as an assistant and postgraduate student preparing for his Magister degree. He obtained the "Maître Assistant" degree in 1986. After obtaining his PhD degree from the University of Nantes (France), he became "Maître de Conferences" and then Professor. He is now working at the University of Jijel

as a teacher and Dean of the Engineering Faculty. Professor Mekideche is now a member of the L2EI laboratory of the University of Jijel and is the author and co-author of about 100 works published or presented at international conferences. AUTHORS' ADDRESSES Mahieddine KECHICHEB, M.E.E. Prof. Mohamed Rachid MEKIDECHE, Ph.D. Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Jijel University BP 98 Ouled Aissa, 18000, Jijel, Algeria E-mail: mahkechicheb@yahoo.fr Asst. Prof. CLAVEL Edith, Ph.D. G2ELAB (Grenoble Génie Electrique laboratoire) UMR 5269 Grenoble-INP-UGA-CNRS Bâtiment GreEn-ER 21 avenue des martyrs, 38031 Grenoble CEDEX, France E-mail : edith.clavel@g2elab.grenoble-inp.fr

Received: 2015-11-05 Accepted: 2017-07-18