Recovery of Acrylic Acid Using Calcium Peroxide Nanoparticles: Thermodynamics and Continuous Column Study
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The thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta G^\circ$, $\Delta H^\circ$, and $\Delta S^\circ$) for adsorption of acrylic acid on CaO$_2$ nanoparticle were estimated in the temperature range of 300.15 – 313.15 K, which helps to evaluate the feasibility of adsorption process, nature of adsorption process, and affinity of adsorbent toward solute molecule. A dynamic adsorption study in a fixed-bed column was performed using CaO$_2$ nanoparticle for the recovery of acrylic acid from aqueous stream. The breakthrough curves of adsorption system were obtained for different process variables, such as initial acrylic acid concentration (2882–7206 mg L$^{-1}$), flow rate (5–9 mL min$^{-1}$), and bed height (10–20 cm). The bed-depth service time model, Thomas model, Yoon-Nelson model, and deactivation kinetic model were applied to the experimental data to predict the column performance. The data were in good agreement with the deactivation kinetic model. The presented results may be useful for the design of adsorption system using nanoparticles, which can be further extended to other systems.
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Introduction

Acrylic acid is widely used for production of flocculants, super-absorbents, adhesives, surface coatings, additives, etc. The polymers containing acrylic acid and its ester derivatives have unique peculiarities, such as colourless transparency, elasticity, easy adhesion, stability to light, moderate heat and weathering. Acrylic acid expects a global market of KTPA by 2020. Acrylic acid can be manufactured by partial oxidation of propene with a yield 50 – 60 %, and the two-step process via acrolein accomplishes an overall yield of 90 %. It is essential to establish an adequate and economic single-step process starting from competitive carbon sources, but petrochemical carbon is not renewable. Therefore, their use adds to global CO$_2$ emissions, and they are expected to become more limited and expensive in future. Alternatively, production of acrylic acid by bio-route seems promising having the drawback of high cost of separation, which is the challenge in its commercialization.

Adsorption is an alternative to other separation processes with specific advantages. In adsorption, the molecules or atoms or ions in gas or liquid, dif-
uous fixed-bed column. The performance of the column was evaluated by various operating parameters, such as inlet acrylic acid concentration, flow rate, and bed height. The bed-depth service time model, Thomas model, Yoon-Nelson model, and deactivation kinetic model were used to predict the dynamic column adsorption. Error analysis was carried out to test the adequacy and accuracy of the model equations. Additionally, the estimation of thermodynamic parameters to fit the measured data to a broader range of temperatures was carried out. This is one of the first studies on continuous adsorption of acrylic acid in a fixed-bed column using CaO2 nanoparticles.

Materials and methods

Reagents

The chemicals used were: polyethylene glycol (PEG 200), ammonia solution (NH3·H2O, 25 %), calcium chloride (CaCl2, 99.5 %), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2, 35 %), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (all supplied by Merck India), and Acrylic acid (99 %) (S D Fine-Chem Ltd). Acrylic acid solutions were prepared in double distilled water. All the chemicals were used without further purification or treatment.

Preparation of CaO2 nanoparticles

A slightly modified co-precipitation technique was used for synthesis of CaO234,35. In the present case, six grams of CaCl2 was dissolved in 60 mL distilled water along with 30 mL ammonia solution (1 M) and 240 mL PEG 200 in a 1000-mL beaker. PEG 200 is used as a surface modifier, which is important for obtaining nanoparticles as it provides steric stabilisation38. The solution was stirred at a constant rate with the continuous addition of 30 mL H2O2 (30 %) at a rate of three drops per minute. A clear and colourless to yellowish solution was obtained after 3 hours of stirring. To precipitate the product, NaOH solution (pH 13) was added slowly until pH 11.5 was obtained and the mixture changed to a white suspension. The precipitate was separated by centrifugation at 10000 rpm, and the white powder obtained was washed three times with 0.1 M NaOH solution, followed by washing with distilled water until pH 8.4 for the residue water was achieved. The obtained precipitate was then dried in an evacuated oven for 2 hours at 353.15 K to obtain CaO2 nanoparticles.

Thermodynamic studies

Adsorption experiments were conducted to estimate the thermodynamic parameters by varying the temperature (300.15 – 313.15 K) at a constant CaO2 nanoparticle dosage (1 g L−1) in the concentration range of 2882 – 5764 mg L−1. The experiments were carried out by taking 10 mL of acrylic acid of known concentration in 100-mL Erlenmeyer flask with a known adsorbent dosage. Orbital shaking incubator (REMI S-24BL, India) was employed to shake the mixture for a minimum of 200 minutes, and the samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes after shaking to separate the suspended CaO2 nanoparticles. The aqueous concentration of acrylic acid in the supernatant was determined by titration with NaOH (0.01 M) and phenolphthalein as an indicator. A fresh NaOH solution was prepared every time for the set of experiments. A few experiments were repeated and analysed, the consistency was observed within ±2 %. The pH was measured by a digital pH meter (Spectral Lab Instrumental Pvt. Ltd. India), which was calibrated with three buffers (pH 4.0, 7.0 and 10.0) daily.

Column experiments

The performance of acrylic acid recovery with CaO2 nanoparticles in fixed-bed column was studied through a series of column experiments with various initial concentrations (2882–7206 mg L−1), flow rate (5–9 mL min−1), and bed height (10–20 cm). The details of the experimental conditions are presented in Table 1. The borosilicate glass column (1.2 cm diameter and 30 cm length) had four outlets, with three outlets 5 cm apart, and one 10 cm from the bottom to collect the effluent at different bed heights. The column was packed with sand and CaO2 nanoparticles to improve the performance. A trial run at the start of the experiment was conducted without nanoparticles. It was observed that sand does not contribute to adsorption and acts as an inert packing. In order to avoid loss of CaO2 nanopar-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Initial concentration, C0 (mg L−1)</th>
<th>Flow rate, Q (mL min−1)</th>
<th>Bed height, Z (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2882</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5764</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7206</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4323</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4326</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4326</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
particles, glass wool was placed at the bottom and the top of the column. The flow rate of inlet acrylic acid feed solution of known concentration was regulated using a variable peristaltic pump, and the samples were collected from the column exit at regular intervals, while the concentration of the effluents was analysed by NaOH titration using phenolphthalein indicator. All the column experiments were carried out at 300.15 ± 1 K. The operation of the column was stopped when the effluent acrylic acid concentration approximately equalled the influent acrylic acid concentration.

Results and discussion

Adsorption thermodynamics

In order to explain the variation in the extent of adsorption with respect to temperature and to fit the measured data to a broader range of temperatures, thermodynamic parameters for acrylic acid adsorption onto CaO2 nanoparticles were quantified at four different temperatures 300.15, 305.15, 309.15, and 313.15 K. The thermodynamic study helps evaluate the feasibility of the physicochemical adsorption process. The thermodynamic equilibrium constant or the thermodynamic distribution coefficient, \( K_0 \), can be used to calculate the thermodynamic parameters (\( \Delta G^\circ \), \( \Delta H^\circ \), and \( \Delta S^\circ \)), which helps determine the favourable adsorption temperature, nature of the adsorption process (exothermic or endothermic), and the affinity of adsorbent toward the solute molecule. The standard Gibbs free energy change \( \Delta G^\circ \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), standard enthalpy change \( \Delta H^\circ \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), and standard entropy change \( \Delta S^\circ \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) were calculated using the following equations:

\[
\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_0
\]

\[
\ln K_0 = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT}
\]

\( K_0 \) can be defined as:

\[
K_0 = \frac{a_e}{a} = \frac{\gamma_s C_s}{\gamma_a C_a}
\]

where \( a \) is the activity of the adsorbed acrylic acid, \( a_e \) is the activity of acrylic acid at equilibrium, \( \gamma_s \) is the activity coefficient of adsorbed acrylic acid, \( \gamma_a \) is the activity coefficient of acrylic acid in equilibrium solution, \( C_s \) is concentration of acrylic acid adsorbed onto CaO2 nanoparticles (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( C_a \) is the acrylic acid concentration in equilibrium solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( R \) (8.3143 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) is the universal gas constant, and \( T \) (K) is the absolute temperature.

The values of \( K_0 \) were determined at four different temperatures (300.15, 305.15, 309.15, and 313.15 K) by plotting \( \ln (C/C_e) \) versus \( C \) and extrapolating \( C_e \) to zero. The standard Gibbs free energy change \( \Delta G^\circ \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) was then calculated using equation 1. \( K_0 \) decreased with temperature, indicating an exothermic process. The negative value of \( \Delta G^\circ \) signifies that the adsorption is favourable and spontaneous, and its increasing value at low temperature indicates that the adsorption process is more favourable at lower temperatures. The values of standard enthalpy change \( \Delta H^\circ \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and standard entropy change \( \Delta S^\circ \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) were obtained from the slope and intercept of Vant Hoff’s plot of \( \ln K_0 \) vs 1/\( T \). The all thermodynamic parameters evaluated are listed in Table 2. The negative value of \( \Delta H^\circ \) confirms the exothermic nature of adsorption process, and the negative value of \( \Delta S^\circ \) corresponds to a decrease in the degree of freedom of the adsorbed species. This is the normal effect of the chemical adsorption phenomenon where there is a decrease in concentration of the adsorbate in solid-solution interface and its increase onto the solid phase.

**Table 2 – Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of acrylic acid onto CaO2 nanoparticles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>( K_0 )</th>
<th>( \Delta G^\circ ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\circ ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \Delta S^\circ ) (J mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300.15</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>-6.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305.15</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>-6.17</td>
<td>-57.367</td>
<td>-169.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309.15</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>-4.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313.15</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>-4.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of influent concentration**

The initial acrylic acid concentration had a significant effect on column performance. This variable was investigated by varying acrylic acid concentra...
centration from 2882 to 7206 mg L\(^{-1}\). The adsorbent bed height of 10 cm and a flowrate of 5 mL min\(^{-1}\) were used (Fig. 2). With the increasing influent concentration from 2882 to 7206 mg L\(^{-1}\), the breakthrough time decreased from 8.41 to 3.52 min, because of limited adsorption sites, and thus, generated steeper breakthrough curves. In addition, the high acrylic acid concentration provided higher driving force for the mass transfer process, which in turn increased the adsorption capacity, and the rate of mass transfer reduced at lower inlet concentration resulting in slower transport\(^{38}\).

**Effect of flow rate**

The reduction in column saturation and residence time due to improper utilisation of adsorption bed is a usual phenomenon whenever higher flow rates of the solution are used in the column adsorption process\(^{34}\). The breakthrough curves for acrylic acid recovery for different flow rates from 5 to 7 mL min\(^{-1}\), with initial acrylic acid concentration 4323 mg L\(^{-1}\) and bed height 10 cm are shown in Fig. 3. It was observed that with higher flow rates, a shorter breakthrough time and higher slope of the curve were obtained, and as the flow rate was increased from 5 to 9 mL min\(^{-1}\), the breakthrough time decreased from 2.26 to 1.2 min. This indicates the movement of mass transfer zone with increasing flowrate, which leads to a decrease in the time required to reach the desired breakthrough concentration\(^{39}\).

**Effect of bed height**

The effect of bed height for the recovery of acrylic acid on column performance with 10, 15, and 20 cm bed height, at an inlet concentration of 4323 mg L\(^{-1}\) and flow rate of 5 mL min\(^{-1}\) was studied (Fig. 4). The results indicated the increase in breakthrough time from 3.67 to 18.89 min as the bed height was increased from 10 to 15 cm due to the availability of more sorption sites. The contact time of the influent inside the column increased with increasing bed height, which permitted a deeper diffusion of adsorbate molecules into the adsorbent\(^{40}\).

**Estimation of parameters for column design**

The different parameters for column design are presented in Table 3. The relationship between beds packed with material in the column and the linear flow rate of solvent with solute is given by empty-bed contact time (EBCT). It is evaluated as:

\[
EBCT = \frac{A_c Z}{Q} \quad (4)
\]

where \(A_c (m^2)\) is the cross-sectional area of the column.
The present experimental data reveal that, as the flow rate increased, EBCT decreased (Table 3) and acrylic acid breakthrough occurred more quickly. EBCT increased with the increase in bed height. The capacity of the bed at breakthrough and saturation point is determined from following equations:

\[
q_b = \frac{C_0 Q}{m} \int_0^{t_b} \left(1 - \frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) dt
\]

\[
q_s = \frac{C_0 Q}{m} \int_0^{t_s} \left(1 - \frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) dt
\]

where \(q_b\) and \(q_s\) are the breakthrough and saturation time capacities (mg g\(^{-1}\)), respectively, \(Q\) is the volumetric flow rate (mL min\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) is the mass of adsorbent (g), \(t_b\) and \(t_s\) are the breakthrough and saturation time (min), respectively.

The length of mass transfer zone (MTZ) can be calculated as the ratio of breakthrough and saturation time capacities:

\[
MTZ = \frac{Z}{1 - \frac{q_b}{q_s}}
\]

It can be seen that the increase in bed height leads to a slight increase in MTZ due to the availability of more sorption sites. MTZ decreased with an increase in flow rate due to increased mass transfer rates.

**Application of Bed Depth Service Time (BDST) model**

A modified form of Bohart-Adams model, BDST model, is the simplest approach for predicting column design and performance, it expresses the capacity of the bed at various percentage breakthrough values as a fixed function of operation parameters\(^{41}\). It can be represented as follows:

\[
t = \frac{N_0}{C_0 U_0} Z - \frac{1}{C_0 K_{\text{BD}}} \ln \left(\frac{C_0}{C_i} - 1\right)
\]

where \(C_0\), \(C_i\), \(N_0\), \(K_{\text{BD}}\), \(U_0\), \(Z\), and \(t\) are the initial concentration of acrylic acid (mg mL\(^{-1}\)), desired concentration of acrylic acid at breakthrough (mg mL\(^{-1}\)), adsorption capacity of bed (mg L\(^{-1}\)), adsorption rate constant (mL mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), linear flow velocity of feed to bed (cm min\(^{-1}\)), height of column (cm), and service time (min) of the column under the above conditions, respectively. The experimental data obtained from column operation was used to inspect the capability of the BDST model to predict the column performance. The plot of \(t\) versus \(Z\) for \(C/C_0\) values of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.9, as depicted in Fig. 5, yields a straight line. The values of the adsorption capacity of bed \(N_0\), and the rate constant \(K_{\text{BD}}\), which characterises the rate of solute transfer from the fluid phase to the solid phase\(^{42}\), were evaluated from the slope and intercept, respectively. With the steady increase in the slope from 1.44 to 1.86 min cm\(^{-1}\) with increasing \(C/C_0\), the corresponding values of \(N_0\) and \(K_{\text{BD}}\) can be observed in Table 4. The high \(R^2\) values (Fig. 5) demonstrated the validity of the BDST model for the adsorption of acrylic acid onto CaO\(_2\) nanoparticles.

**Application of Thomas model**

The Thomas model is used to predict the maximum solid-phase concentration of the solute on the adsorbent and the adsorption rate constant which plays a significant role in the successful design of column adsorption operation. The mathematical expression of Thomas model is given as follows\(^{43}\):
\[
\frac{C_t}{C_0} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((k_{th} q_0 m/Q) - k_{th} C_0 t)}
\]

(9)

where \(q_0\) is the adsorption capacity of the column (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(Q\) is the volumetric flow rate (mL min\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) is the mass of adsorbent in the column (g), and \(k_{th}\) is the Thomas rate constant (mL min\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\)). The values of \(k_{th}\) and \(q_0\) were determined from a plot of \(C_t / C_0\) vs. \(t\) using a nonlinear regression method, and the values are present in Table 5. The best-fit isotherm for the column adsorption system was determined by using sum of absolute error (EABS) and sum of square error (SSE) functions. Comparison of predicted and experimental breakthrough curves for different concentrations, flow rates, and bed heights is given in Fig 6. From Table 5, it can be inferred that the Thomas model adsorption capacity of the column \(q_0\) increases with increase in bed height and decreases with the increase in acrylic acid concentration. The reason for the deviation in experimental results and the predicted Thomas model results might be that this model is based on second-order reaction kinetics, whereas the adsorption is dominated by both interphase mass transfer and chemical reaction kinetics.

### Application of Yoon and Nelson model

The Yoon and Nelson model involves fewer column parameters and is applicable for a single component system\(^{45}\); it is expressed as:

\[
\frac{C_t}{C_0 - C_i} = \exp(k_{YN} t - t_{0.5} k_{YN})
\]

(10)

where \(k_{YN}\) is the Yoon and Nelson rate constant (min), and \(t_{0.5}\) is the time required for 50% adsorbate breakthrough (min). A plot of \(C_t / (C_0 - C_i)\) vs. \(t\) (Fig. 7) will yield the parameter \(k_{YN}\) and \(t_{0.5}\) using a non-linear regressive method. The constants evaluated for different flow rates, bed heights, and concentrations of acrylic acid are listed in Table 5. The rate constant \(k_{YN}\) increased and the 50% breakthrough time \(t_{0.5}\) decreased with increasing both bed height and acrylic acid concentration (see Table 5). The increase in \(C_0\) increases driving force for mass transfer, and the higher value of bed height \(Z\) offers a longer path for the molecules to travel through the bed, which affects \(k_{YN}\) directly resulting in increased \(k_{YN}\) values.

### Application of deactivation kinetic model

The deactivation kinetic model involving a single activity term denoting a combined effect of active surface area, pore structure, and activity per unit area of solid reactant, was developed primarily for gas-solid adsorption. The model neglects axial dispersion and mass transfer resistance, and assumes isothermal and pseudo-steady-state conditions\(^{46,47}\). The deactivation kinetic model is expressed as follows\(^{48}\):

| Table 4 – BDST model parameters for acrylic acid adsorption onto CaO\(_2\) nanoparticles in a fixed bed column \((C_0 = 4323.6\ \text{mg L}^{-1}, Q = 5\ \text{mL min}^{-1})\) |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| \(C_t / C_0\)   | \(a\) \text{ (min cm}^{-1}\) | \(b\) \text{ (min)} | \(K_{bd}\) \text{ (mL mg}^{-1}\text{ min}^{-1}\) | \(N_0\) \text{ (mg mL}^{-1}\) |
| 0.4             | 1.44            | −10.405         | −0.00901        | 13.88            |
| 0.6             | 1.73            | −11.745         | 0.00798         | 12.04            |
| 0.9             | 1.86            | −0.2646         | 1.92            | 4.29             |

| Table 5 – Packed bed parameters for different models for the adsorption of acrylic acid onto CaO\(_2\) nanoparticles |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Exp. no.        | Thomas model    | Yoon-Nelson model | Deactivation kinetic model |
| \(K_T\) \times 10^{5} \text{ (L mg}^{-1}\text{ min}^{-1}\) | \(q_0\) \text{ (mg g}^{-1}\) | EABS | SSE | \(K_{YN}\) \text{ (min)} | \(t_{0.5}\) \text{ (min)} | EABS | SSE | \(k_0\) \text{ (mL g}^{-1}\text{ min}^{-1}\) | \(k_d\) \text{ (min}^{-1}\) | EABS | SSE |
| 1               | 2.15            | 2438580         | 0.7243          | 0.0621           | 59.22            | 4.34 | 142.8 | 0.2741 | 0.9681 | 0.09 |
| 2               | 3.08            | 1419981         | 1.22            | 0.4741           | 0.1334           | 28.85 | 0.57 | 13.03 | 0.3039 | 0.8489 | 0.0935 |
| 3               | 2.40            | 1389116         | 2.73            | 0.7759           | 0.1387           | 29.80 | 6.31 | 4.34 | 0.4102 | 0.6812 | 0.0586 |
| 4               | 5.20            | 2315261         | 3.64            | 1.81             | 0.3749           | 10.45 | 2.24 | 0.95 | 120.2 | 0.4917 | 0.4588 | 0.0342 |
| 5               | 3.08            | 1419981         | 2.12            | 0.4741           | 0.1334           | 28.85 | 5.71 | 3.53 | 120.2 | 0.4917 | 0.4588 | 0.0342 |
| 6               | 6.04            | 1196314         | 1.80            | 0.4495           | 0.2612           | 12.80 | 3.41 | 1.88 | 192.8 | 0.7187 | 0.8315 | 0.4382 |
| 7               | 10.41           | 1767974         | 1.80            | 0.6111           | 0.4504           | 8.817 | 2.28 | 1.22 | 90.88 | 1.053 | 1.14 | 0.2242 |
| 8               | 3.08            | 1419981         | 2.12            | 0.4741           | 0.1334           | 28.85 | 5.71 | 3.53 | 85.94 | 0.3039 | 0.8489 | 0.0935 |
| 9               | 5.89            | 1809526         | 1.76            | 0.7557           | 0.2410           | 15.95 | 1.66 | 0.41 | 72.68 | 0.2556 | 2.85 | 1.15 |
| 10              | 5.57            | 1809459         | 1.76            | 0.7557           | 0.2410           | 15.95 | 1.66 | 0.41 | 63.28 | 0.1967 | 4.20 | 2.74 |
where $k_0$ and $k_d$ are initial adsorption rate constant $(\text{mL g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1})$ and deactivation rate constant $(\text{min}^{-1})$, respectively. It can be noted from Table 5 that $k_d$ increases with the increase in $C_0$ and $Q$ as more molecules are adsorbed on the active sites, but decreases with greater $Z$ owing to the increase in the number of active sites at constant $C_0$ and $Q$. In addition, the initial adsorption rate constant, $k_0$, is observed to change considerably with $Q$ and $Z$ rather than $C_0$, because of the simultaneous change in the weight-time-factor ($m/Q$) and effluent concentration. The lower values of error function EABS and SSE, and the breakthrough curves predicted by the deactivation model for different values of flow rate, bed height, and acrylic acid concentration are in better agreement with experimental curves (Fig. 8), and suggest the best fit to the experimental data in comparison with other models.

**Conclusions**

The thermodynamic study revealed that adsorption of acrylic acid on CaO$_2$ nanoparticles is feasible and exothermic. The values of standard enthalpy change ($\Delta G^0$) and standard entropy change ($\Delta S^0$) were determined from Vant Hoff’s plot to be $-57.36 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $-169.34 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, respectively. The recovery of acrylic acid in continuous fixed-bed column was dependent on initial concentration, flow rate, and bed height. It suggests that lower initial concentration and flow rate, and longer bed height would increase the recovery of acrylic acid from aqueous solution. The flow rate and bed height influence the residence time of influent in the column. However, an increase in bed height increases MTZ inside the column due to greater availability of adsorption sites. The deactivation kinetic model was found to be most suitable for the mathematical account of acrylic acid recovery in a dynamic fixed-bed column. CaO$_2$ nanoparticles can be successfully employed for recovery of acrylic acid from an aqueous stream in an integrated continuous column.
Fig. 7 – Comparison of experimental and predicted breakthrough curves using Yoon-Nelson model at different (a) influent concentrations, (b) flow rates, and (c) bed heights.

Fig. 8 – Comparison of experimental and predicted breakthrough curves using deactivation kinetic model at different (a) influent concentrations, (b) flow rates, and (c) bed height.
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