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## Summary

The objective of this study was to determine whether the use of the electromagnetic field (EMF) of 50 Hz frequency and magnetic induction of 0.25 T could make for successful inactivation of a phytoplankton species, namely, marine microalgae Tetraselmis suecica and two bacteria strains; Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis. A number of laboratory electromagnetic field tolerance experiments with the selected organisms was performed; each microorganism was treated for various lengths of time; 1, 5 and 10 minutes, and in three various media with special regards to the conductivity. Bacteria were exposed to high, moderate and extremely low conductivity media, and the microalgae to high, low and extremely low conductivity media. The microbial viability was checked by counting the bacterial colony forming units, as well as alive and dead stained microalgae cells. It was found that the time of exposure to the EMF had a profound effect on the viability of $T$. suecica only in the extremely low conductivity media, and that it did not affect the viability of E. coli or E. faecalis at all.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION / Uvod

Many researchers have focused on exploring various methods for inactivation of various microorganisms involving the effect of magnetic fields. Some researched the application of pulsed magnetic field for bacterial sterilization [16], or combat biofouling
[23], whereas others studied the magnetic field effect on bacteria for possible application in dentistry due to its bactericidal activity and oral pathogen inactivation [32]. There is a patent for a magnetic apparatus for controlling Protista in distillates [15] and
a method for the magnetic inhibition of Protista [7] whereat the algae, bacteria, fungi and protozoans were included, as well as for a method for electromechanical lysing of algae cells [10] in a lysing medium comprising fresh water, salt water, brackish water, growth medium, culture medium or combinations thereof.

Electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure has obvious advantages over other methods for inactivation of microorganisms. Due to a comparatively short exposure time required to exploit EMF effect on microorganisms, it makes it easily applicable in many various facilities concerning sterilization of various liquids. In addition, the fact that the medium's composition remains unchanged after the EMF treatment makes for an environmentally very friendly method and opens a window of opportunities for its application in inactivation of microorganisms in various types of fluids such as ballast water.

Ballast water provides stability and maneuverability to the ship during its voyage and is commonly taken on at one port when cargo is unloaded, and discharged at another port when the ship receives cargo. Thereby the water contains a variety of organisms including bacteria and viruses, as well as the adult and larval stages of the numerous marine and coastal species; those are transported from their native range to distant areas where these species are then called non-native or non-indigenous species [2]. Given suitable conditions, some non-native species may become invasive and have a serious ecological, economic and public health impact on the receiving environment [2]. Once established in a new region, non-native species may invade wider areas and displace native organisms completely. Inactivation of organisms in ballast water during the voyage is the critical point in control and prevention of the unwanted introductions [4]. A number of methods and technologies for ballast water treatment have been developed during the last few decades and they could roughly be classified as mechanical (cyclonic separation, filtration, etc.), chemical (use of biocides, chlorine, ozone, advanced oxidation process, etc.) and physical (heating, cooling,

UV radiation, ultrasound, etc.) [28, 31, 17]. No single technique has been able to remove all types of organisms from ballast tanks, making it a great challenge for researchers around the world to find a technique that is effective in reducing introductions, environmentally friendly and acceptable to the shipping industry in terms of safety, time and cost.

Considering all of the above, we wanted to determine whether the strong electromagnetic field of 50 Hz frequency could be successful in inactivation of selected microorganisms if applied for a short exposure time and therefore suitable as a ballast water treatment option. In order to investigate this a number of laboratory electromagnetic field tolerance experiments of the marine microalgae Tetraselmis suecica and two bacteria strains; Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis were performed.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS / Materijal i metode 2.1. Treating device / Uređaj za tretiranje

In order to investigate the effect of electromagnetic field on microorganisms that are present in the ships' ballast water, an appropriate device is necessary. We designed a device that generated an electromagnetic field using a frequency used in ships' electrical network and with comparatively low power consumption (Figure 1). It was an electrical transformer made of 190 windings of copper coil around an iron core connected to the common electrical network with frequency of 50 Hz and the voltage of 212 V measured by YF-3170 multimeter (Yu Fong Electronics, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan). At one side of the device there was a rectangular gap ( $0.08 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in the iron core where the samples were placed and where a specific homogenous electromagnetic field with basic harmonic sine shape was generated. Using the Hall Effect Gaussmeter (Model 5170, F.W. Bell, Sypris, Pacific Scientific, OECO LLC, Portland, USA) the value of the magnetic induction in that gap was measured to $(0.25 \pm 0.01) \mathrm{T}$.


Figure 1 The treating device - the electrical transformer that uses electricity of 212 V and frequency of 50 Hz and generates a specific homogenous electromagnetic field with basic harmonic sine shape and the magnetic induction of 0.25 T .
Slika 1. Uređaj za tretiranje - električni transformator koji koristi električnu energiju od 212 Vi frekvencije od 50 Hz i generira specifično homogeno elektromagnetsko polje s osnovnim harmonijskim sinusnim oblikom i magnetskom indukcijom od 0.25 T.

### 2.2. Experimental procedure / Eksperimentalna procedura

The experiment was carried out in the same way for all the microorganisms. A Petri dish containing 8 mL of the culture was placed in the gap of the treating device and the device was turned on exposing the sample to 50 Hz EMF of 0.25 T . After the experiment the temperature of the samples was measured. Control samples were obtained by placing the microorganisms in the gap without turning the device on, thus not exposing them to the electromagnetic field. Each microorganism was tested for the three different exposure times ( 1,5 and 10 min ) in three various growth media with special regards to the conductivity and every experiment was carried out in triplets. Respectively, 27 samples of each microorganism exposed to the EMF and the same number of the ones used as control was analyzed.

### 2.2.1. Microalgae / Mikroalge

In this experiment the marine green microalgae Tetraselmis suecica (Kylin) Butcher, 1959 was used. The CCAP 66/4 strain was obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa of the Scottish Marine Institute (Oban, United Kingdom) and provided from the shellfish hatchery unit of The Mariculture Business and Innovation Centre of the University of Dubrovnik (Ston, Croatia).

The viability of this phytoplankton species was checked by enumeration of cells stained with fluorescein diacetate (FDA) dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) [14], [9], [24]. An Olympus IX71 inverted research microscope equipped with the reflected fluorescence system (excitation wavelength of $460-490 \mathrm{~nm}$ by a $50-\mathrm{W}$ mercury lamp and observation filtered wavelength of $520-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) was used to observe simultaneous green and red fluorescence of the stained samples. The enumeration was performed three times; whereat a minimum of 100 cells were counted each time.

For the EMF exposure treatment, phytoplankton samples in three different growth media were used. The first one was the original sample of the culture stained for viability determination, referred further as growth media with high conductivity value (TS-H), and the following two were prepared by diluting this sample with glycerol (Kemika, Zagreb, Croatia), resulting in low (TS-L) and an extremely low (TS-EL) conductivity growth media. The different parameters of T. suecica media determined by the portable multi-parameter instrument (Multi 350i; WTW, Weilheim, Germany) are shown in Table 3. After the exposure to the electromagnetic field $T$. suecica alive and dead cells were enumerated again.

### 2.2.2. Bacteria / Bakterije

All the experiments with bacteria were performed in the laboratory of The Department of Environmental Health, Public Health Institute of the Dubrovnik - Neretva County. In these experiments the following strains obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, USA) were used: Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 and the Escerichia coli ATCC 25922.

The bacteria were harvested in Trypticase Soy Agar (Biolife, Milan, Italy) liquid medium and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h without shaking. Following the incubation, bacterial cultures were consecutively diluted in a saline solution prepared by
dissolving 9 g NaCl (Kemika) in 1 L of demineralised water (Millipore Elix 10 water purification system, EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, USA). In order to determine the number of bacterial colony forming units (CFU) in each dilution, portions of 5 mL were filtered through membrane filters with pore size of $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, placed on selective Slanetz Bartley agar (Biolife) plates and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After four hours filters of E. coli culture were transferred to Tryptic Bile Agar (Biolife) plates and incubated at $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h , followed by the indole test and enumeration of CFU. After 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ filters of E. faecalis culture were transferred on Bile Aesculin Azide agar (Biolife) plates preheated to $44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and incubated for 2 h , followed by enumeration of CFU.

Based on the CFU numbers, the appropriate concentration for the EMF exposure experiments was selected and new dilutions of each bacterium in three types of growth media with special regards to the conductivity were prepared. Various parameters of bacterial growth media were measured by portable pH meter (WTW 340i; WTW) and conductivity meter (Handylab LF 11; SCHOTT Instruments, Mainz, Germany) resulting with E. coli high (EC-H), moderate (EC-M) and extremely low (EC-EL) conductivity growth media (Table 1) and E. faecalis high (EF-H), moderate (EF-M) and extremely low (EFEL) conductivity growth media (Table 2). After the EMF exposure the bacterial CFU numbers were determined again. To make sure that there was no contamination with E. faecalis or E. coli during the procedure, we also conducted an experiment using uninoculated growth media as blanks.

Table 1 Escherichia coli growth media parameters Tablica 1. Parameteri uzgojnog medija za bakteriju Escherichia coli

| Medium / Parameter | EC-H | EC-M | EC-EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conductivity $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | 53.600 | 14.230 | 0.005 |
| Salinity $(\mathrm{psu})$ | 39.5 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 23.2 | 22.9 | 23.0 |
| pH | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.1 |

E. coli high conductivity growth medium (EC-H), E. coli moderate conductivity growth medium (EC-M), E. coli extremely low conductivity growth medium (EC-EL).
Uzgojni medij jake vodljivosti za E. coli (EC-H), uzgojni medij srednje vodljivost za E. coli (EC-M), uzgojni medij ekstremno niske vodljivosti za E. coli (EC-EL).

Table 2 Enterococcus faecalis growth media parameters Tablica 2. Parameteri uzgojnog medija za bakteriju Enterococcus faecalis

| Medium / Parameter | EF-H | EF-M | EF-EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conductivity $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | 52.600 | 14.850 | 0.001 |
| Salinity $(\mathrm{psu})$ | 38.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 23.2 | 22.9 | 23.0 |
| pH | 7.1 | 7.2 | 6.8 |

E. faecalis high conductivity growth medium (EF-H), E. faecalis moderate conductivity growth medium (EF-M), E. faecalis extremely low conductivity growth medium (EF-EL).
Uzgojni medij jake vodljivosti za E. faecalis (EF-H), uzgojni medij srednje vodljivost za E. faecalis (EF-M), uzgojni medij ekstremno niske vodljivosti za E. faecalis (EF-EL).

Table 3 Tetraselmis suecica growth media parameters Tablica 3. Parameteri uzgojnog medija za mikroalgu Tetraselmis suecica

| Medium / Parameter | TS-H | TS-L | TS-EL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conductivity $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ | 50.700 | 0.265 | 0.007 |
| Salinity $(\mathrm{psu})$ | 32.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 22.9 | 22.7 | 22.8 |
| pH | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.3 |

T. suecica high conductivity growth medium (TS-H), T. suecica low conductivity growth medium (TS-L), T. suecica extremely low conductivity growth medium (TS-EL).
Uzgojni medij jake vodljivosti za T. suecica (TS-H), uzgojni medij niske vodljivosti T. suecica (ST-L), uzgojni medij ekstremno niske vodljivosti T. suecica (TS-EL).

### 2.3. Statistical analysis / Statistička analiza

Statistical analysis of the obtained results was performed using variance analysis (ANOVA), at the assumed accuracy level ( $p<0.05$ ). Analysis of the differences between means from the particular group was completed using post hoc Tukey's test.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION / Rezultati i rasprava 3.1. Effect of the exposure time on sample temperature / Utjecaj vremena izlaganja na temperaturu uzorka

During a 1 min treatment the sample temperature remained the same, during 5 min it increased by $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and during 10 min exposure we noticed an increase in $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in all treated samples. Since the maximum temperature of all the samples after the exposure experiment was recorded to be $25.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hazardous thermal effects of EMF on the treated microorganisms were ruled out.

### 3.2. Effect of the exposure time and the conductivity of the medium on viability of Tetraselmis suecica / Utjecaj vremena izlaganja i vodljivosti medija na vijabilnost alge Tetraselmis suecica

One-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference among the numbers of alive $T$. suecica cells in control and treated groups ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000, \mathrm{~F}=209.88$ ) as well as between the number of dead T. suecica cells in control and treated groups ( $p=0.000$, $\mathrm{F}=209.88$ ). Further analysis by Post hoc Tukey's test showed no significant difference between control and all treated samples in TS-H and TS-L groups. Also, in TS-EL samples no statistically significant difference between time of exposure of 1 and 5 min . was found. However, TS-EL samples treated for 10 min . were significantly different compared to all other samples. In control samples alive cells accounted for $83 \%$ of the total cell number, and in the treated ones, for only $51 \%$ (Figure 2). In addition, there was no statistically significant difference between the number of alive cells in control samples enumerated at the moment of the glycerol addition and 10 min after which eliminates glycerol as the cause of $32 \%$ increase in mortality of $T$. suecica in the treated sample.

These results support the ideas of previous research $[22,21$, 27] concerning the mechanism of action of EMF on cells. Counts of alive and dead cells of $T$. suecica exposed to the 50 Hz EMF of 0.25 T in high and low conductivity media imply that neither the time of exposure nor the conductivity of the media had any effect on the viability of these phytoplankton organisms. In extremely low conductivity medium different results for different


Figure 2 The ratio of alive and dead $T$. sueccica cells in extremely low growth medium. The cells were enumerated in control samples immediately after adding the glycerol ( $\mathrm{Ct}=0$ ) and after 10 minutes $(\mathrm{C} t=10)$ and in the treated samples after $10(\mathrm{~T} t=10)$ minutes of exposure to the 50 Hz and 0.25 T electromagnetic field in extremely low conductivity medium.
Slika 2. Omjer živih i mrtvih stanica T. sueccica u uzgojnom mediju ekstremno niske vodljivosti. Stanice su prebrojavane u kontrolnim uzorcima neposredno nakon dodavanja glicerola (C $t=0$ ) i nakon 10 minuta ( $C t=10$ ) te u tretiranim uzorcima nakon 10 minuta izlaganja 50 Hz electromagnetskom polju 0.25 T u mediju ekstremno niske vodljivosti.
exposure times were recorded; the exposure in duration of 1 and 5 min did not have any effect on the number of either alive or dead cells in control and treated samples, but the 10 minute exposure reduced the proportion of alive cells by $32 \%$. This fact, combined with the fact that glycerol was excluded as the cause of $T$. suecica mortality, suggests that the effect of exposure time is significant only in the medium almost free of other ions, where membrane's ions, when given enough time, can upset its potential and cause damage to the cell. The time as an important factor has already been recognized [21]. In addition, the presence of ions in the medium is another important factor highlighted in the method for electromechanical lysing of different species of algae, including $T$. suecica [10] in a lysing medium comprised of fresh water, salt water, brackish water, growth medium, culture medium or combinations thereof. A research with Staphylococcus aureus exposure to a lowfrequency electric and electromagnetic field $(20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{mT})$ in fluid and on gel-like medium [19] also supports these findings. The observed growth inhibition effects in fluid medium and the fact that no impact of applied electric and magnetic field was found in gel-like medium, imply that the unlimited mobility of ions is the basic requirement for field-induced effects in fluids. A study of indirect effects of EMF on E. coli through water, assay buffer, or peptone growth medium [30] also supports these findings. Researchers observed different EMF effects on bacterial growth; 70.6 and 73 GHz similarly suppressed the $E$. coli growth in water and on solid medium, and the growth depression for 51.8 and 53 GHz was less in suspension then on solid medium, especially for 53 GHz . The authors related these differences, in relation to a specific frequency, with the EMF energy partial absorbance by the surrounding medium. This implies that if there are ions present in the medium and if they are mobile, they can take on some of the magnetic field effect providing shielding effect to the microorganisms grown in that medium. It is notable that this finding corresponds with the shielding effect claimed for whole
organisms; the conductivity of their bodies shields the interior of the body from external electromagnetic fields, especially at low frequencies [8].

A lack of scientific research regarding different effects of EMF exposure on Tetraselmis sp. as microalgae of interest was noticed in literature. Studies of EMF effects to other phytoplankton species are diverse, including reports of negative to positive effect assigned to its influence on different molecular interaction sites such as cell membrane, chloroplast, nucleus/DNA, proteins, protoplasm and a whole cell causing either negative or positive effect [12].

### 3.3. Effect of the exposure time and the conductivity of the medium on bacterial CFU / Utjecaj vremena izlaganja i vodljivosti medija na BIK bakterija

The enumeration of bacterial CFU in uninoculated growth media showed no results, implying that during the experimental procedure there was no contamination with E. coli or E. faecalis.

The bacterial CFU numbers in control and treated groups were not influenced by the exposure time or its interaction effect with conductivity. Two-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference between the number of $E$. coli CFU in control and treated groups ( $p=0.000 ; F=31.19$ ), as well as a statistically significant difference between the number of $E$. faecalis CFU in control and treated groups ( $p=0.000 ; F=15.02$ ) only with regards to the conductivity of the growth medium. Further analysis of the number of E. coli CFU in different growth media by One-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference ( $p=0.000 ; F=30.80$ ) and Post hoc Tukey's test specified that the CFU number in samples in EC-EL growth medium was not significantly different from the CFU number in samples in EC-M growth medium and that both of them were significantly higher than the CFU number in samples in EC-H growth medium (Figure 3). Similarly, further analysis of the number of $E$. faecalis CFU in different growth media by

One-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference ( $p=0.000 ; F=13.09$ ) and Post hoc Tukey's test specified that the CFU number in samples in the EF-H growth medium was not significantly different from the CFU number in samples in EF-M growth medium and that both of them were significantly lower than the CFU number in samples in EF-EL growth medium (Figure 4).

In experiments with $E$. coli and E. faecalis, the time of exposure to the magnetic field did not at any point have an effect on the number of bacterial CFU in control and treated samples, but conductivity of the growth medium did cause a statistically significant difference. The most negative effect of EMF on bacteria was found in high conductivity growth media, which is contrary to the finding of the most negative effect on $T$. suecica in extremely low conductivity growth media. This could be explained by the fact that E. coli ATCC 25922 and E. faecalis ATCC 29212 are not marine strains, and thus the apparent negative effect of EMF on their viability shown here can be assigned to the high salinity of the growth medium. Based on this, the authors deduce that exposure of 1,5 and 10 min to 50 Hz electromagnetic field of 0.25 T does not affect the viability of E. coli or E. faecalis.

Various non-thermal effects of EMF on bacteria such as bactericidal effects and effects on bacterial growth, bacterial DNA, cell morphology, as well as sensitivity to antibiotics, have been reported [25], furthermore, the effect of $50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{mT}$ ELFEMF applied for 6 h on E. coli (ATCC 25922) and E. faecalis (ATCC 29212) was also noted [13]. Different studies of low frequency magnetic fields on $E$. coli growth found in literature indicate that a negative effect increases with the time of exposure [6, 1, 18,26 ], but other [5] suggest that the field effect is the greatest in the first few hours and then decreases implying an adaptive response of the exposed cells to field stress. In addition, some authors also observed an increase in cell viability in exposed samples [3], while others reported both stimulatory and


Figure 3 Escherichia coli CFU numbers in different growth media. The CFU were enumerated in control samples (C) and in the treated samples after $1(\mathrm{~T} t=1), 5(\mathrm{~T} t=5)$ and $10(\mathrm{~T} t=10)$ minutes of exposure to the 50 Hz electromagnetic field of 0.25 T in ( $\mathrm{EC}-\mathrm{H}$ ), moderate (EC-M) and extremely low (EC-EL) conductivity media.
Slika 3. Broj izraslih kolonija (BIK) bakterije Escherichia coli u različitim uzgojnim medijima. BIKje prebrojavan u kontrolnim uzorcima (C) iu tretiranim nakon $1(T t=1), 5(T t=5)$ i $10(T t=10)$ minuta izlaganja 50 Hz electromagnetskom polju 0.25 Tu mediju jake (EC-H), srednje (EC-M) iekstremno niske (EC-EL) vodljivosti.


Figure 4 Enterococcus faecalis CFU numbers in different growth media. The CFU were enumerated in control samples ( $C$ ) and in the treated samples after $1(\mathrm{~T}=1), 5(\mathrm{~T} t=5)$ and $10(\mathrm{~T}=10)$ minutes of exposure to the 50 Hz electromagnetic field of 0.25 T in high (EF-H), moderate (EF-M) and extremely low (EF-EL) conductivity media.
Slika 4. Broj izraslih kolonija (BIK) bakterije Enterococcus faecalis u različitim uzgojnim medijima. BIKje prebrojavan u kontrolnim uzorcima (C) i u tretiranim nakon $1(T t=1)$, $5(T t=5)$ i $10(T t=10)$ minuta izlaganja 50 Hz electromagnetskom polju 0.25 T u mediju jake (EF-H), srednje (EF-M) i ekstremno niske (EF-EL) vodljivosti.
inhibitory impact of 50 Hz pulsed electromagnetic fields on E. coli (ATCC 25922) under low and high flow conditions [23]. Results of some experiments indicated that the survivability of E. coli cells suspended in phosphate buffer solution decreased with magnetic field intensity and treatment time, especially when the magnetic intensity of 160 mT at frequency of 62 kHz for a period of 16 h was applied [16]. It has also been demonstrated that an electromagnetic wave irradiation for 5 s at $500-1000 \mathrm{kHz}$ has bactericidal activity against several oral bacterial pathogens including E.faecalis [32]. In addition, several studies of extremely high frequencies EMF effect on Enterococcus hirae resulted in a decreased specific growth rate, but suggesting the differences in mechanisms of action [20, 30,11].

## 4. CONCLUSION / Zaključak

The viability of $T$. suecica was adversely affected by a 10 min exposure to 50 Hz EMF of 0.25 T only in the extremely-low conductivity growth medium, while all applied magnetic treatment did not affect the viability of E. coli (ATCC 25922) or E. faecalis (ATCC 29212). These results imply that exposure to 50 Hz EMF of 0.25 T is not suitable for inactivation of selected microorganisms in such a short exposure time and in a high conductivity media such as seawater. Considering many contrary findings of EMF effects on various microorganisms, we strongly suggest more research with higher and/or varying frequencies in order to have the maximum effect that can cause damage to cells because of EMF's obvious advantages over other methods for inactivation of microorganisms.
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[^0]:    Sažetak
    Cilj ovoga istraživanja bio je utvrditi može li elektromagnetsko polje (EMP) frekvencije 50 Hz i magnetske indukcije od 0,25 T uspješno inaktivirati jednu morsku fitoplanktonsku vrstu; mikroalgu Tetraselmis suecica te dvije vrste bakterija; Escherichia coli i Enterococcus faecalis. Provedeni su laboratorijski pokusi tolerancije odabranih organizama na elektromagnetsko polje. Svaki mikroorganizam tretiran je u različitim razdobljima; 1, 5 i 10 minuta, te u tri različita medija s obzirom na vodljivost. Bakterije su bile izložene u mediju visoke, umjerene i ekstremno niske vodljivosti, a mikroalge u mediju visoke, niske i ekstremno niske vodljivosti. Mikrobna vijabilnost je ispitivana uz pomoć brojanja izraslih kolonija bakterija, te živih i mrtvih obojenih stanica mikroalgi. Utvrđeno je da je vrijeme izlaganja elektromagnetskom polju imalo velik utjecaj na vijabilnost T. suecica samo u mediju ekstremno niske vodljivosti i da nije uopće utjecalo na vijabilnost E. coli ili E. faecalis.

