In this paper the effect of thermo-mechanical parameters on the mechanical behavior of a 9 % Cr-2 % W steel is investigated by hot rolling and heat treatment on pilot scale. Results show a strong effect of reheating temperature before rolling on the material hardness, due to an increase of hardenability following the austenite grain growth. A poor effect of the hot reduction and of the following tempering temperature is detected in the total investigated deformation range. A loss of impact energy is found coupled with the hardness increase. The tensile properties values are strongly depending upon the tempering temperature and an increase of tensile yield stress ($R_{p0,2}$) and ultimate stress ($R_m$) have been recorded in tensile test carried on at $T = 550^\circ$C and $T = 650^\circ$C.
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INTRODUCTION

The nuclear constructions have been facing with the problem of induced brittleness in structural materials exposed to the radiation damage. In Europe 9 % Cr steel micro-alloyed with W has been recognized as one of the best balance for radiation damage tolerance and mechanical properties in nuclear constructions. Such material is used as reference steel for many structural part of the reactors and solar energy production plants, i.e.: wall of fast breeder reactors as well as in other high stressed primary structures such as the divertors, blanket and molten metal vessels [1-3]. The main reason for this adoption is based on its high mechanical properties at service temperatures coupled with the low or reduced activation (RAFM) characteristic under radiation, with the final result of low mechanical properties loss [4]. This material behavior has been reported in many literature studies and important initiatives are still ongoing [1, 4]. The reduced activation of ferritic/martensitic steels differ from conventional Cr-Mo steels because of W presence instead of Mo. Such material is essentially a low carbon steel with 9 Cr (% wt) with eventual controlled Ta and V content that can have an important influence on resulting final mechanical properties especially for creep properties [5].

This paper reports microstructure evolution correlated with mechanical properties results a 9 % Cr-2 % W steel. These results are obtained modifying the tempering temperature, carried on at $T = 750 \; ^\circ$C and 720 \; ^\circ$C. Steel reference chemical composition is reported in Table 1.

| Table 1 Main steel chemical composition / wt. % |
| C | 0.10 |
| Cr | 9.0 |
| W | 2.0 |

Moreover, other elements such us Mo, Nb, Ni, Cu and N, are maintained as low as possible. The irradiation tests carried on such steel show that the resulting radioactivity levels over two orders of magnitude under those recorded for conventional Cr steels [6], with low affected mechanical and physical properties [9-11]. Low activation steels have a fully austenite structure when are austenitized in the temperature range from 850 °C to 1 200 °C. Austenite phase transforms to martensite phase during air cooling or rapid cooling (quenching) to room temperature, and then steels are tempered to obtain a good combination of strength, ductility, and toughness. However, the use of these materials during long-time at high temperatures (thermal ageing) can produce microstructural changes (new precipitates, grain growth, segregation, etc.) which can significantly affect their mechanical properties (tensile, Charpy-V, fracture toughness, low cycle fatigue, etc.). For these reasons, an exhaustive knowledge of the metallurgical characteristics of these steels before and after thermal ageing is considered essential. In RAFM steels the desirable properties (low sensibility to radiation damage) are controlled by mean of the martensitic transformation thermal cycle design, and in particular are due the microstructure refinement (increase of the low and high angle boundaries) with clear advantages for applications in nuclear reactors [7-8]. The martensitic transformation occurs in steels by mean of a non-diffusional transformation when the material is cooled from above $A_{e1}$ to a sufficiently lower temperature ($M_s$).
with cooling rate higher than the “critical cooling-rate”; in these condition the transformation is lead from the energy decrease due to the metastable face-centered cubic (FCC) phase arrangement in the new stable body-centered cubic (BCC) phase with a consequent improvement of toughness behavior after tempering [9-11]. In this work the effect of thermo-mechanical treatment on the microstructure is analyzed, aimed to achieve higher tensile properties in order to evaluate its feasibility as possible structural material for fusion applications.

EXPERIMENTAL

Starting from a steel plate with chemical composition as in Table 1, the effect of reheating temperatures (before hot rolling) and rolling temperatures is analyzed. The plate was hot rolled on a pilot scale adopting two different reheating temperatures (1 075 °C and 1 175 °C), together with two finish rolling temperatures (750 °C and 650 °C) and two different total reductions (30 % and 40 %). The effect of tempering treatment after hot rolling is also analyzed (in the temperature range 720 °C-760 °C). Hardness, Charpy-V impact tests at -20 °C and tensile tests have been carried out. The Charpy-V notch have been carried on transverse full size specimens according to ASTM E23 and A263 standard. Microstructure is analyzed by light microscopy after Vilella etching. The tensile tests have been carried on using ASTM E21 standard at room temperature and two reference temperatures useful for a wide range of applications: T = 550°C and T = 650°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A limited effect is found following to the variation of rolling temperature, reheating temperature and reduction in the considered range (Figure 1).

The effect of tempering following the hot rolling as a function of thermo-mechanical parameters is reported in Table 2.

Results show that higher hardness values are found after re-heating at higher temperature (1 175°C). This is due to an improvement of hardenability following an increase of austenite grain size. In Figure 2 the microstructure evolution is reported for specimens 1-8 after tempering at T = 720 °C. Results show a clear effect of reheating temperature on austenite grain growth.
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**Figure 1** Effect of thermo-mechanical parameters on hardness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen n.</th>
<th>Reheat T / °C</th>
<th>Roll. T / °C</th>
<th>Red. / %</th>
<th>Temp. T / °C</th>
<th>HV10</th>
<th>HV10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 075</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>720-760</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 175</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 075</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 175</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Effect of tempering after hot rolling

![Table 2](image2.png)
same effect is independent and effective also in the case of specimens after tempering at T = 760 °C.

At the same time larger austenitic grain size (due to higher austenitization temperature) leads to a dramatic decrease of impact toughness behavior. Austenite grain size growth following an increase of reheating temperature affected the CVN behavior resulting in a decrease from 63 J down to 9 J in room temperature impact energy moving from specimen 2 to specimen 8. Moreover, tensile tests have been carried out at T = 550 °C and 650 °C. In order to point out any macro-difference in tensile properties two samples (specimen 2 and 8) obtained with different process condition, but same hot reduction, have been selected, Table 2. The tensile results carried on at room temperature and T = 550 °C and T = 650 °C are reported in Figure 3. A summary of experimental tensile tests is shown in Figure 3.

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of thermo-mechanical parameters on the mechanical behavior of a 9 % Cr-2 % W steel has been investigated by hot rolling and tempering heat treatment on pilot scale. Results show a strong effect of reheating temperature before rolling on the material hardness, due to an increase of hardenability following the austenite grain growth. A poor effect of the hot reduction and of the following tempering temperature is detected in the total thickness reduction range: 30 – 40 %. A dramatic loss of CV-N impact energy is found coupled with the hardness increase when the reheating temperature from 1 075 °C is increased up to 1 175 °C. All the results obtained with a tempering temperature lowered at T = 720 °C show important Charpy V-notch impact energy and tensile strength improvements. In particular, the samples rolled at T = 75 0°C and tempered at T = 720 °C maintain an interesting mechanical behavior: enough CV-N toughness at T = -20 °C and at least 20 % of tensile strength properties increase at T = 650°C, Table 2 and Figure 3.

In conclusion the considered steel is a high sensitive material to the thermo-mechanical process and thermal post process cycle to be carefully controlled in order to avoid any potential negative result on final properties.
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