CCA-504

541.57:547.64 Note

Hybridisation in Adamantane and Diamantane by the Maximum Overlap Method

Z. B. Maksić and L. Klasinc

Institute »Ruđer Bošković«, Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia

Received January 19, 1968

The hybridisation in adamantane and diamantane was calculated applying the method of maximum overlap. Appropriate weighting factors for different types of bonds and »double zeta« atomic functions of Clementi were used. The results are compared with NMR and X-ray diffraction measurements.

In spite of the great development of the high speed computing technique no accurate calculations on large molecules are feasible at present. Therefore, the simple semiempirical methods retain their validity, and are very useful in the description of bonding. Various kinds of maximum overlap methods belong to this class of approximate calculations. The first general method for constructing maximum overlap hybrids was given by Murrell.¹ This method was developed within the valence bond framework and is convenient for systems of the type MX_k . Murrell's procedure has been made more straightforward by Golebiewski². Lykos and Schmeising³ treated the construction of the maximum overlap hybrids from the molecular orbital point of view. They maximised the squares of the values of the bond overlaps reducing the whole procedure to the eigenvalue problem of the overlap matrix.

Coulson and Goodwin⁴ performed maximum overlap calculations on the first three cycloalkanes. They found that the results were in fairly good agreement with a more elaborate valence bond treatment⁵. An improvement was made in this type of calculation by introducing scale factors for different bonds⁶. This has been widely exploited in the investigation of highly strained cyclic hydrocarbons⁷⁻⁹, and satisfactory results have been obtained especially when Clementi atomic orbitals were used^{8,9}.

Here we report the maximum overlap calculations for adamantane and diamantane. Because of their unusual physical, chemical and structural properties, these molecules are of special interest for chemists¹².

Briefly, the method applied here consists of the following^{6,7,9}: by mixing s and p orbitals one obtains hybrids of the general form

$$\psi_{ij} = a_{ij} (s) + b_{ij} (p)$$
(1)

These hybrids can also be represented in the shortened sp^n form, where *n* stands for b_{ij}^2/a_{ij}^2 and is not necessarily an integer. The total overlap of the molecule is

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{CC}} \sum_{\mathrm{C-C}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CC}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{CH}} \sum_{\mathrm{C-H}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{CH}}$$
(2)

where the summation goes over all bonds. S_{AB} denotes the overlap integral $\int \psi_A \psi_B \, d\tau$ of the two overlapping orbitals. The weighting factors k_{CC} and k_{CH} are introduced to compensate for the difference in bond energy between the two types of bonds. They depend on the nature of the atomic orbitals used in the calculations. We employed the »double zeta« functions of Clementi¹⁰, which gave the weighting factors⁹: $k_{CC} = 121.17$ and $k_{CH} = 135.86$. The coefficients of the hybrids ψ_{ij} are varied until the expression (2) reaches its maximum value. Since the knowledge of the interatomic angles is not necessary in this procedure these angles were taken as additional parameters. Thus, it was possible to determine the interatomic angles theoretically. In our procedure the interatomic distances were fixed at 1.54 Å for the C—C bond and 1.07 Å for the C—H bond for both molecules. In Fig. 1. secondary and tertiary carbon



Fig. 1. a) Adamantane C10H16;

b) Diamantane C14H20.

atoms are denoted as 2 and 3 respectively. The hybrid orbital ψ_{ij} is directed from the atom *i* to the atom *j*. The hybrids which form the C—H bonds are designated ψ_{iH} . The inclination of the hybrid ψ_{ij} to the straight line joining two neighbouring atoms is denoted as ω_{ij} . In the numerical procedure, it is more convenient to take the interhybrid angles as parameters instead of the coefficients a_{ij}^6 .

Adamantane

Adamantane $C_{10}H_{16}$, synthetised first by Prelog and Seiwerth¹¹ and later by Schleyer*, is a tetrahedral molecule with CH groups on the vertices and CH₂ groups on the edges of a tetrahedron. Its crystal and molecular structure is determined by electron and X-ray diffraction investigations¹³⁻¹⁶. All measurements have been consistent with the tetrahedral angles and with lenghts of 1.54 Å and 1.09 Å for C--C and C--H bond respectively. These structural parameters are wideal« and characterise strain free molecules. In our calculations the angle \angle_{323} was chosen as the molecular parameter and the deviations of the hybrids ω_{23} and ω_{32} as hybridisation parameters. The results are summarised in Table I. The deviations of the hybrids at the maximum value of the expression (2) are $\omega_{23} = \omega_{32} = -0.8^{\circ}$. This means that the hybrids are bent inside the molecule. However, we can neglect these small deviations and consider the C--C bonds to be straight.

Diamantane

Diamantane $C_{14}H_{20}$ (Fig. 1) is the second member of the adamantanalogous series whose three-dimensional extension is the diamond lattice. Recently an elegant synthesis of this compound has been carried out by Cupas, Schleyer and Trecker.¹⁷ An X-ray investigation has been performed by Karle and Karle¹⁸. Diamantane consists of two fused adamantanes with one common cyclohexane ring. However,

* For an excellent review on adamantane chemistry see paper by Fort and Schleyer¹².

HYBRIDISATION IN ADAMANTANE AND DIAMANTANE

their tetrahedrons are somewhat distorted. Thus two parameters are needed to describe the molecular skeleton: the angles $\angle_{3\cdot3\cdot3}$ and \angle_{323} . For the sake of simplicity the bonds in the central cyclohexane ring $3' \dots 3'$ are supposed to be straight bonds. The hybrid deviations at maximum value of expression (2) are $\omega_{32} = -1^{\circ}$, $\omega_{23} = \omega_{23}' = -0.95^{\circ}$ and $\omega_{3'2} = 1.35^{\circ}$. The geometrical angles are in better agreement with the experimental data (Table I) than might be expected for this type of calculation. Although we cannot state that the hybridisation itself determines the geometrical angles, we can say that in this case hybridisation follows the geometry very closely.

Many physical and chemical properties can be correlated with the s character of the hybrids¹⁹. Our results can be compared with the available NMR data^{17,20}. Using the Muller-Pritchard²¹ relationship for the spin-spin coupling constant $J_{C^{13}-H} = 5 \, \text{s}^{0/0}$ one obtains 133 cps for the methylene proton, whereas the experimetal value is 120 ± 1 cps. This indicates a somewhat too large s character of the C—H hybrids. The chemical shift can be qualitatively explained by the s content of the hybrids, since a hybrid more rich in s orbital shields the proton more effectively. The NMR spectrum of adamantane²⁰ shows two chemical shifts: 1.88 ppm for the CH proton and 1.78 ppm for the CH₂ proton. Hybridisation itself cannot explain such a small difference, as indicated by the hybridisation ratios ($n_{2H} = 2.8$, $n_{3H} = 2.7$) in Table I, but according to a comparable hybridisation in the two types of C—H bonds one can expect nearly the same chemical shifts. Analogously, practically the same hybridisation state in diamantane carbon atoms ($n_{2H} = 2.8$, $n_{3H} = 2.7$, $n_{3 \cdot H} = 2.8$) indicates similar chemical shifts. They are, in fact, identical¹⁷ ($\delta = 1.68$ ppm).

The maximum overlap method has many advantages. It is a simple, pictorial model, which corresponds to the intuitive representation of the chemical bond. However, this method has no proper theoretical foundation. Nevertheless, it is interesting to point out the apparent similarity²² of the method of Golebiewski² with the LCAO—MO treatment introduced by Hall²³ for calculations of bond orders. If we assume that the resonance integral matrix is equal to the overlap matrix the two methods are identical. Similarly, Lykos and Schmeising³ have shown that a construction of hybrids becomes equivalent to the Hückel method for σ -electrons, when homonuclear molecules are considered. This fact may be regarded as additional support to the validity of the maximum overlap method. When the overlap matrix nearly commutes with the Hamiltonian matrix, the results of the simple methods based on overlap and more sophisticated approaches with well defined Hamiltonians should give similar results.

Several applications of the maximum overlap method to the investigations of the strained cyclic hydrocarbons^{4,6-9} proved that the method is useful. In the case of strainless adamantane-like molecules variations of molecular parameters between bonds and molecules are rather small and the maximum overlap method becomes too crude of an approximation. However, deviations of molecular angles from the ideal tetrahedral values are obtained in complete agreement with experimental data.

There are also some disadvantages of the maximum overlap method. For example, the ionic character of the bond is not incorporated into the scheme and promotion energy between s and p orbitals is neglected. An improvement in this respect is desirable. Finally, the hybrids obtained by the maximum

))				
Hybrids	я 	Bond overlap	Interhybrid angle	Geometrical angle calcd.	Geometrical angle exptl.	
Adamantane						
$\psi_{23} = 0.4846 (s) + 0.8748 (p)$	3.26		$\angle 323 = 107.87^{0}$	$\angle 323 = 109.47^{0}$	$109.47^{0} \pm 1.5^{0}$	
$\psi_{32} = 0.4923 (s) + 0.8704 (p)$	3.13	0.6467	$\angle 232 = 108.56^{0}$	$\angle 232 = 109.47^{0}$	$109.47^{0} \pm 1.5^{0}$	
$\psi_{2H} = 0.5150 (s) + 0.8572 (p)$	2.77	0.7366	\angle H2H = 111.16 ⁰	\angle H2H = 111.16 ⁰	109.470*	
$\psi_{3H} = 0.5225 (s) + 0.8528 (p)$	2.66	0.7394				
Diamantane						
$\psi_{23} = 0.4898 (s) + 0.8718 (p)$	3.17		$\angle 323 = 108.40^{\circ}$	$\angle 323 = 110.25^{0}$	$110.17^{0} \pm 0.25^{0}$	
$\psi_{32} = 0.4930 (s) + 0.8701 (p)$	3.11	0.6487	$\angle 232 = 108.72^{0}$	$\angle 232 = 108.83^{0}$	$108.75^{0} \pm 0.25^{0}$	
$\psi_{23}, = \psi_{23}$	3.17	0.6484				
$\psi_{3\cdot 2} = 0.4879$ (s) $+ 0.8729$ (p)	3.20					
$\psi_{3:3'} = 0.4979$ (s) $+ 0.8672$ (p)	3.03	0.6525	$\angle 3'3'3' = 109.50^{0}$	$\angle 3'3'3' = 109.50^{\circ}$	$108.75^{0} \pm 0.25^{0}$	
$\psi_{2\rm H} = 0.5100 (\rm s) + 0.8602 (\rm p)$	2.84	0.7352	\angle H2H = 110.57 ⁰	\angle H2H = 110.57 ⁰		
$\psi_{3\rm H} = 0.5205 (\rm s) + 0.8533 (\rm p)$	2.69	0.7382				
$\psi_{3,\rm H} = 0.5159$ (s) $+ 0.8567$ (p)	2.76	0.7357				
* assumed value ¹⁴ .						

TABLE I

Maximum Overlap Hybrids, Hybridisation Ratio $n=b_{ij}^2/a_{ij}^2$ Interhybrid Angles, and Molecular Angles

104

Z. B. MAKSIĆ AND L. KLASINC

overlap procedure may be used as initial wave functions in more sophisticated calculations, and such an application has yet to be examined.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Dr. M. Randić and Dr. D. Sunko for useful discussions and to Dr. T. H. Goodwin for reading the manuscript and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. N. Murrell, J. Chem. Phys. 32 (1960) 767.
- 2. A. Golebiewski, Trans. Faraday Soc. 57 (1961) 1849.
- 3. P. G. Lykos and H. N. Schmeising, J. Chem. Phys. 35 (1961) 288.
- 4. C. A. Coulson and T. H. Goodwin, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2851, erata ibid. 1963, 3161.

- 5. C. A. Coulson and W. E. Moffitt, Phil. Mag. 40 (1949) 1.
 6. M. Randić and Z. Maksić, Theoret. Chim. Acta 3 (1965) 59.
 7. Z. Maksić, L. Klasinc, and M. Randić, Theoret. Chim. Acta 4 (1966) 273.
 8. M. Randić, J. M. Jerkunica, and D. Stefanović, Croat. Chem. Acta. 38 (1966) 49.
- 9. L. Klasinc, Z. Maksić, and M. Randić, J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1966, 755. 10. E. Clementi, Tables of Atomic Functions, Supplement to IBM Journal of Research and Development 9 (1965) 2.
- 11. V. Prelog and R. Seiwerth, Ber. 74 (1941) 1644, 1769.
- 12. R. C. Fort, Jr. and P. v. R. Schleyer, Chem. Rev. 64 (1964) 277.
- 12. A. C. Fort, of and I. Chim. Acta 28 (1945) 1233.
 13. W. Nowacki, Helv. Chim. Acta 28 (1945) 1233.
 14. G. Giacomello and G. Illuminati, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 75 (1945) 246, Ric. Sci. 15 (1945) 559.

- 15. W. Nowacki and K. W. Hedberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 70 (1948) 1497.
 16. C. E. Nordman and D. L. Schmitkons, Acta Cryst. 18 (1967) 764.
 17. C. Cupas, P. v. R. Schleyer, and D. J. Trecker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87 (1965) 917.
- 18. I. L. Karle and J. Karle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87 (1965) 920.
- H. A. Bent, Chem. Rev. 61 (1961) 275.
 R. C. Fort, Jr. and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Org. Chem. 30 (1965) 789.

21. N. Muller and D. E. Pritchard, J. Chem. Phys. 31 (1959) 768.

- 22. A. Golebiewski, Acta Phys. Polon. 23 (1963) 243.
- 23. G. G. Hall, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 229 (1955) 251.

IZVOD

Hibridizacija u adamantanu i dijamantanu metodom maksimalnog prekrivanja

Z. B. Maksić i L. Klasinc

Izračunata je hibridizacija u adamantanu i dijamantanu primjenom metode maksimalnog prekrivanja. Pri tome su upotrijebljene Clementijeve »double zeta« atomske funkcije¹⁰ i odgovarajući težinski faktori za C-C i C-H veze⁹. Rezultati su uspoređeni s eksperimentalnim podacima.

INSTITUT »RUĐER BOŠKOVIĆ« ZAGREB

Primljeno 19. siječnja 1968.