CCA-338

546.281:546.791.07 Original Scientific Paper

The Preparation and Crystal Structure of Some Ternary Silicides Containing Uranium and Transition Metals

Z. Ban* and M. S'ikirica*

Institute »Ruđer Bošković«, Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia

Received July 14, 1964

The crystal structure of some new ternary silicides of the general composition $U_4M_5Si_3$ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe) has been determined by means of the x-ray powder diffraction method. The structure which belongs to the Cl4 (MgZn₂) type is characterized by a statistical distribution of both kinds of smaller atoms. The chemical composition of the monophase specimens is probably temperature dependent. At lower temperatures it may aproach the formula U_2M_3Si . In some of these systems ternary compounds UM_2Si_2 were also identified. They were found to be isostructural with the previously reported ThM₂Si₂ compounds. A novel method for the preparation of uranium alloys with the use of the electron beam melting is described.

INTRODUCTION

During the investigation of the ternary systems U-M-Si (M = transition metal) we noticed that two prominent structure types, C14(MgZn₂) and D1₃(BaAl₄) appear most frequently. While the homogeneity region of the D1₃ type compounds seems to be very narow, the composition of the Laves phases (C14 type) is probably temperature dependent, and the ratio M versus Si changes continuosly from 1.67 to 3 (or perhaps even more). Therefore, as far as we know at present, the composition can vary up to $U_4M_5Si_3$, probably in relation to the nature of the transition metal. If the structure of Laves phases is in any sense controlled by the electron to atom ratio, a regularity in the sequence of different transition metals should be found. This could be done in future by an exact determination of the point where the C15 type (UMn₂, UFe₂, UCo₂) changes to C14 type as well as a determination of the upper limit of the silicon content. In this article the crystal structure of the new ternary Laves phases $U_4M_5Si_3$ (M = Cr, (Mn), Fe) is being reported.

Materials

EXPERIMENTAL

The turnings of the vacuum-melted reactorgrade uranium were mixed up with the corresponding metals and silicon, both in powder form. The following materials were used: chromium (purity not quoted) — The Coleman & Bell Co., manganese 99.9% — L. Light and Co., and iron p. a. — Chinoin, Budapest. Silicon powder — 200 mesh — Merck, Darmstadt, was purified by treating with hydrochloric and hydrofluoric acid and rinsed with deionized water. Such powder had a specific resistivity of approximately 0.1 ohm \cdot cm.

^{*} Permanent address: Laboratory of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb.

Sample Preparation

The uranium turnings mixed with the powder of the corresponding metal and silicon was transfered into the specially designed apparatus for hydrogenation. Hydrogen was purified cataliticaly by means of the DEOXO purifier and dried over phosphorus pentoxide. The whole apparatus was evacuated several times to approximately 10-3 torrs and washed with hydrogen. Hydrogenation was then carried out under a slight overpressure of hydrogen at 220-2600 C¹. The extremely fine powder of UH₃ enabled very intimate mixing of the components on shaking the tube. The lower end of the tube was allowed to cool by withdrawing the heater. Approximately 15-20 ml of toluene dried over sodium, was poured in from the tap funnel. The powder was suspended by vigorous shaking and quickly transfered into the porcelain dish. The paste-like precipitate was put into the special demountable mold and pressed by a hydraulic press into the form of a prism $5 \times 5 \times 25$ mm. A thin molybdenum wire pressed onto one end of the specimen and served later as a suspension. After removing the die, the compact was wetted with toluene and quickly mounted into the specially designed electron beam furnace². The chamber of the furnace was evacuated by means of a rotary pump and uranium hydride decomposed to metalic uranium by heating the cathode of the electron beam source. When the evolution of hydrogen ceased, the whole system was coupled to the oil difusion pump, trapped with liquid air end evacuated to a vacuum better than $5 imes 10^{-5}$ torrs as measured by a Penning type ionisation gauge. This started the exotermic reaction between silicon and the metallic components with subsequent melting. One drop of each sample was allowed to fall into a heavy, cold copper container. The evaporation of the more volatile components (Si, Cr, Mn and Fe) was not an obstacle to this method because experiments have shown that the overall weight loss does not exceed 2 per cent, certain amount of material being lost through spitting.

X-Ray Procedure

Samples for the x-ray studies were generally taken from the center of the molten specimens. They were crushed in an agate mortar under toluene in order to avoid oxidation. All x-ray diffraction patterns were obtained by means of a recording Philips diffractometer provided with a Geiger counter tube, filtered CuK radiation being used throughout the work. The use of the copper radiation in this particular case resulted in relatively high background.

RESULTS

X-ray diffraction records of strictly monophase specimens were indexed on the basis of a hexagonal unit cell. Correlation of the unit cell dimensions with the previously reported isomorphous phase $U_4Mo_5Si_3{}^3$ is given in Table I. In all cases a unit cell contains one formula unit. The systematically absent reflections were 001 and hhl for 1 odd, so that the space group is P6₂/mmc

TABLE I

Unit Cell Dimensions of U4M5Si3

a (Å)	c (Å)	c/a
5.370 ± 0.002	8.582 ± 0.005	1.598
5.199 ± 0.002	8.098 ± 0.005	1.557
5.158 ± 0.002	7.972 ± 0.005	1.54_{5}
5.142 ± 0.002	7.759 ± 0.005	1.509
	a (Å) 5.370 ± 0.002 5.199 ± 0.002 5.158 ± 0.002 5.142 ± 0.002	a (Å)c (Å) 5.370 ± 0.002 8.582 ± 0.005 5.199 ± 0.002 8.098 ± 0.005 5.158 ± 0.002 7.972 ± 0.005 5.142 ± 0.002 7.759 ± 0.005

* Reported earlier³

** See text

TABLE II Atomic Coordinates $\pm \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, z\right)$ $4U,(U_3Mn)$ in 4(f) $\pm \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2} - z\right)$ (0, 0, 0) $\left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ 5Cr(Mn,Fe) in 2(a) and 3Si $\pm \left(x, 2x, \frac{1}{4} \right)$ 6(h) and $\pm \left(2\overline{x}, \ \overline{x}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ $\pm \left(x, \overline{x}, \frac{1}{4} \right)$ $=-\frac{1}{6}$ х ^ZU₄Cr₅Si₃ = 0.067 Z »U₄Mn₅Si₃« = 0.062 ^ZU₄Fe₅Si₃ = 0.062

TABLE III Diffractometer Data for $U_4Cr_5 Si_3$

h k. l	${ m sin}^2 \Theta_0$	${ m sin^2} artheta_{ m c}$	Io	Ic
1 0. 0	0.0293	0.0293	60.2	55.9
0 0. 2	0.0363	0.0362	28.4	26.1
1 0. 1	0.0384	0.0384	56.7	48.2
1 0. 2	0.0654	0.0656	32.7	27.7
1 1. 0	0.0879	0.0879	106.6	113,4
1 0. 3	0.1106	0.1108	154.7	136.4
2 0.0	0.1170	0.1172	22.3	22.4
$1 \ 1. \ 2$	0.1240	0.1242	94.6	100.9
2 0.1	0.1263	0.1263	51.6	46.7
0 0. 4	100	0,1450		1.2
- 2 0. 2	-	0.1535		0.9
1 0. 4	0.1737	0.1740	5.1	3.1
2 0.3	0.1984	0.1985	24.1	24.0
2 1.0	0.2049	0.2049	6.8	4.4
$2\ 1.\ 1$	0.2142	0.2139	8.6	9.5
1 1. 4		0.2327		0.7
2 1.2	0.2413	0.2410	6.8	8.2
1 0. 5	0.2554	0.2555	13.7	21.4
2 0.4		0.2618	Water Street	0
3 0. 0	0.2634	0.2634	13.7	21.6
3 0. 1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	0.2725	1997 - <u>1</u> 98	0
2 1. 3	0.2866	0.2863	56.7	61.8
3 0. 2	0.2998	0.2996	20.6	24.6
0 0. 6	0.3257	0.3257	5.1	5.1
2 0. 5	0.3432	0.3432	21.5	26.7
20.0	0.0104	0.0104	21.0	20.

h k. l $\sin^2\Theta_0$ $\sin^2\Theta_c$ In 1 0. 0 0.0299 0.0298 45 0 0. 2 0.0376 0.0374 62 1 0. 1 0.0392 0.039150 1 0. 2 0.06720.067240 1 1. 0 0.0893 0.089498 1 0.3 0.11400.1139190 2 0. 0 0.1192 0.1191 18 1 1. 2) 0.12680.12671652 0. 1 0.1285 0 0.4 0.1496 2 0. 2 0.1565 1 0.4 0.1793 0.179418 2 0. 3 0.20330.2033 262 1. 0 0.2085 2 1. 1 0.2181 0.2178261 1. 4 0.2388 0.238915 2 1. 2 0.2458 0.245910 1 0. 5 0.2637 0.2635 36 3 0. 01 0.2680) 0.2676 10 2 0. 4 0.2687 3 0. 1 0.27742 1. 3 0.2924 0.2926 75 3 0. 2 0.3044 0.3054 14 0 0. 6 0.33670.3366 243 0. 31 0.35220.353144 20.50.35292 2. 0 $\}$ 0.3574 0.3575 2 1. 4 10 0.3581

TABLE IV Diffractometer Data for $*U_4Mn_5Si_{3}$ « — $(U_3Mn)Mn_{6.2}Si_{1.8}$

 $(D_{6h}^4)^4$. The examination of the relative intensities led us to the conclusion that the atomic position should follow the same pattern as in $U_4Mo_5Si_3$ *i. e.* be of MgZn₂ type. Satisfactory agreement between the observed and calculated intensity values was obtained assuming the atomic co-ordinates given in Table II. Complete calculations were performed only for $U_4Cr_5Si_3$. The comparison of the observed and the calculated sin² Θ values and relative intensities are given in Tables III, IV and V. The values of the intensity of reflections I_c were calculated using the formula

$$\mathrm{I_c} \sim \mathrm{F^2} \cdot \mathrm{M} \cdot rac{1+\mathrm{cos}^2 2 \Theta}{\mathrm{sin}^2 \, 2 \Theta \cos \Theta}$$

where M stands for a multiplicity factor. Interatomic distances are listed in Table VI.

DISCUSSION

Two different possibilities of partially disordered structure, giving very close agreement between the calculated and observed intensities, were discussed in connection with the crystal structure of $U_4Mo_5Si_3{}^3$. This type of statistical distribution of smaller atoms in the ternary Laves phases seems to be of general importance. This can be illustrated by the results reported by E. I.

			0 0
h k.l	$\sin^2 \Theta_0$	$\sin^2\Theta_{ m c}$	Io
1 0.0	0.0300	0.0299	55
$\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0.2 \\ 1 & 0.1 \end{array}\right]$	0.0399	$\left[\begin{array}{c} 0.0394\\ 0.0398\end{array}\right]$	76
1 0.1	0.0696	0.0693	38
1 1.0	0.0897	0.0897	125
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0.3 \\ 2 & 0.0 \end{array} \right\}$	0.1188	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0.1186\\ 0.1197 \end{array} \right\}$	215
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1.2 \\ 2 & 0.1 \end{array} \right\}$	0.1294	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0.1292\\ 0.1295 \end{array} \right\}$	192
$2 \ 0.2$		0.1571	
0 0.4		0.1577	
1 0.4		0.1876	
2 0.3	0 2087	0.2084	25
2 1.0)	0.2001	0.2094 }	55
2 1.1	0.2193	0.2193	26
1 1.4		0.2474	
$2 \ 1.2$		0.2488	
3 0.0	0.2691	0.2693	20
1 0.5	0.2763	0.2763	25
$2 \ 0.4$		0.2773	
3 0.1		0.2791	
2 1.3	0.2979	0.2981	74
$3 \ 0.2$	0.3086	0.3087	32
0 0.6	0.3546	0.3548	20
3 0.3		0.3579	
2 2.0	0.3585	0.3590	40
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.5 \\ 2 & 1.4 \end{bmatrix}$	0.3664	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.3660\\ 0.3671 \end{array} \right\}$	34

TABLE V Diffractometer Data for $U_4Fe_5Si_3$

TABLE VI

Interatomic Distances in U₄M₅Si₃

Atom	Nearest atom	Number of atoms	Distance (Å)			
			Мо	Cr	Mn	Fe
U 4(f)	U 4(f) U 4(f) (M,Si) 2(a) (M,Si) 6(h)	1 3 3 9	$3.23 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.15 \\ 3.13$	2.96 3.08 2.99 3.05	2.99 2.99 2.98 3.02	2.92 2.98 2.96 3.00
(M,Si) 2(a)	(M,Si) 6(h)	6	2.64	2.52	2.45	2.44
(M,Si) 6(h)	(M,Si) 6(h)	4	2.68	2.60	2.58	2.57

Gladischevsky and coworkers for the compounds found in the systems Mn--Ni(Co)-Si, V-Co(Ni)Si, W-Co(Ni)Si, Mo-Co(Ni)Si^{5,6,7} and some others. It is interesting to notice that in the systems containing manganese, it takes up the role of the biggest atom i. e. the atom occupying the position 4(f). In these structures the radius of the manganese atom at the position 4(f) is 1.48 Å which is very close to the radius of the uranium atom (1.52 Å). Therefore, at least partial mutual replacement could be expected. This was actually confirmed by a large number of our experiments. While the alloys of the composition

- M. Sikirica, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Zagreb (1963).
 M. Sikirica and Z. Ban, New Nuclear Materials Technology, IAEA, Vienna 1963, p. 229.
- 4. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Birmingham 1952, Vol. I, p. 304.
- E. I. Gladishevsky, P. I. Kripyakevich, and J. B. Kusma, Dopovidi Akad. Nauk URSR, Nr. 1. (1956) 67.
 E. Cherkashin, E. I. Gladishevsky, P. I. Kripyakevich, and J.
- B. Kusma, Zhur. Neorg. Khimii 3 (1958) 650.
- 7. J. B. Kusma, M. J. Teslyuk, and E. I. Gladishevsky, Zhur. Strukt. Khimii 3 (1962) 156.
- 8. J. B. Kusma and H. Nowotny, Mh. Chem. 95 (1964) 428.
- 9. R. L. Berry and G. V. Raynor, Acta Cryst. 6 (1953) 178.
- 10. P. A. Beck (Ed.), Electronic Structure and Alloy Chemistry of the Transition Metals, Interscience Publishers, New York 1963, p. 159--161.

IZVOD

Preparacija i kristalna struktura nekih ternarnih silicida koji sadrže uran i prelazne metale

Z. Ban i M. Sikirica

Opisana je nova metoda preparacije višekomponentnih legura s uranom. Hidriranjem urana dobiva se fini prah UH_3 koji miješanjem s drugim komponentama daje vrlo homogene smjese. Otpresci takvih smjesa tale se bez kontakta s posudom pomoću elektronskog snopa u uređaju originalne konstrukcije.

Određena je kristalna struktura dobivenih spojeva s općom formulom $U_4M_5Si_3$. Pokazano je da se radi o Lavesovim fazama tipa C 14 sa statističkim rasporedom malih atoma.

INSTITUT »RUĐER BOŠKOVIĆ« ZAGREB

Primljeno 14. srpnja 1964.