Identification of the Sava River temperature influence on the groundwater temperature of the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifers as a part of shallow geothermal potential
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Abstract:
Based on the statistical analysis of the time series of data, the influence of the change of the Sava River temperature on the changes of the groundwater temperature of the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifers is described. In the analysis, data was used from daily measurements of the Sava River temperature and from the quarterly measurements of the groundwater temperature. Statistical methods of correlation and linear regression were applied and the maximum, mean and minimum groundwater temperatures were analysed. The obtained results are presented in the form of statistical parameters, diagrams and maps of isotherms. This data is indispensable for the development of shallow geothermal applications related to open loop groundwater heat pump systems. Since the efficiency of a heat pump is directly dependent on the source temperature, the presented analyses are necessary for a prefeasibility study of geothermal projects and a comparison between different designs. Furthermore, currently operating projects in the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić area are systematically elaborated, giving a first approximation of energy consumption from this renewable energy resource.
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1. Introduction

The identification of temperature fluctuations of the Sava River and groundwater temperature oscillations of the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifers are needed for the development of shallow geothermal energy applications. Such open loop groundwater energy systems usually consider the use of heat pumps and are capable of covering heating and cooling needs throughout the year. Groundwater temperature directly affects the level of the seasonal performance factor of a heat pump system, especially if passive cooling is used. Daily temperature fluctuations of the surface water body are damped, while season fluctuations could affect groundwater temperatures at closer distances to the Sava River, located in the area of both aquifers. This is mainly influenced by hydrologically favorable periods, i.e. longer periods of high water levels during which groundwater recharge occurs. Investigations of the relationship between a river and groundwater temperature are mostly based on numerical modelling, but difficulty in temperature analysis by numerical methods shows the need of a precise definition of the conceptual model as well as many input parameters. Therefore, for the area of the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifer systems, time series data of the Sava River temperatures and groundwater temperatures were analyzed by statistical methods for the purpose of improving the conceptual model and obtaining a more complete picture of the connection between groundwater and surface waters.

2. Geological and hydrogeological aspects of the Zagreb aquifer

The Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifer systems are located in Northwest Croatia and include urban areas and their surroundings (see Figure 1). The aquifers are composed mainly of Quaternary sediments, i.e. Middle and Upper Pleistocene and Holocene, which are described in the explanatory notes for the Basic Geological Map of SFRY 1:100 000 (Basch, 1983; Šikić et al., 1979). Mountains surrounding this area were subjected to intensive erosion and denudation in the Middle and Upper Pleistocene. The eroded material was transported by waterways and deposited into lakes and swamps (Velić and Safitić, 1991). In Holocene, climatic and tectonic processes allowed for the formation of the Sava River flow, which started transporting materials from the Alpine region. The Pleistocene sediments were formed in a lacustrine-marshy environment while the origin of the Holocene sediments is fluviatile. Variations in depositing conditions due to climate changes and tectonic pro-
cesses caused heterogeneity and anisotropy of the aquifer system (Velić and Durn, 1993; Velić et al. 1999).

From a hydrogeological point of view, the system is an alluvial unconfined aquifer with a water table connected to the Sava River. Horizontal spreading is determined by Quaternary deposits, which in turn define the aquifer domain (see Figure 1).

Quaternary sediments are divided into three main units: a clay and silt overburden; a shallow Holocene age aquifer made of medium-grain gravel mixed with sands; and a deeper, Middle and Upper Pleistocene aquifer consisting of gravel, sand and clay alternating in lateral and vertical directions. Differentiation between the shallow and deeper aquifers is stratigraphic since they are hydraulically connected and form a unique aquifer from a hydrogeological point of view. A characteristic hydrogeological profile of the Zagreb aquifer is shown in Figure 2 (for the profile position, see Figure 1). Overburden deposits are very thin and often not even present, while the thickness of the aquifers is in the range of 5 meters in the furthest western part to about sixty meters in the eastern part of the Samobor-Zaprešić aquifer and about a hundred meters in the eastern part of the Zagreb aquifer. The regional groundwater flow direction is from west/northwest to east/southeast i.e. parallel to the Sava River. Local groundwater flow directions depend, to a large extent, on the hydrologic conditions and the Sava River water levels. Given that the flow of the Sava River, in the area of the studied aquifers, shows the characteristics of a valley river, it does not drain aquifers to the dominant extent as is the case in the upper parts of the flow. Head contour map analysis (Posavec, 2006) showed that during high river water levels, infiltration to groundwater takes place throughout the whole Zagreb aquifer area. Analysis also showed that during medium and low water levels, groundwater is drained in some parts of the flow. Spatial identification of parts of the aquifer systems, which are strongly controlled by the Sava River, was carried out by recession curve model analysis (Posavec, 2006). Analysis of the regression models showed that logarithmic regression predominates in parts of the aquifer close to the river, while in other parts, polynomial regression prevails. Generally, the hydraulic connection between the Sava River and the aquifer is very strong since the river is carved, along the entire flow through the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifers, into alluvial Holocene deposits with mainly high values of hydraulic conductivity.

The groundwater quantity in the Zagreb aquifer system is in a slow and constant decline. Posavec (2006) showed that groundwater levels are below upper elevations of the well screens and according to Bačani et al. (2010) groundwater levels are declining at an average of 1–2 m every 10 years. The reason for this decline mostly
lies in the deepening and erosion of the Sava River bed over time. A minor effect has also been the construction of levees for protection from flooding, as well as groundwater exploitation for the needs of public water supply, while industry to a lesser extent affects the decline of groundwater levels, if compared to the first two causes. In the near future, there are already plans to construct a series of river dams to stop the negative trends of water table decline. Comprehensive analyses and overviews of the aquifer groundwater level decline were given by Posavec (2006), Posavec et al. (2017) and Vujević & Posavec (2018).

This area has a marine west coast climate, with four separate seasons. It is a mild climate with warm summers and cold winters, without a dry season. The average annual temperature in Zagreb is 11°C. The warmest month, on average, is July with an average temperature of 21°C. The coolest month, on average, is January, with an average temperature of 0°C. The average high temperatures are the highest in July and August (27.2°C) and the average lowest temperatures are -2.8°C and -1.1°C in January and February retrospectively. The average annual amount of precipitation is 882 mm.

3. Analysis of time series data on Sava River and groundwater temperatures

Data on the groundwater temperatures and Sava River temperatures in the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifer system areas were analysed. The available time series data of groundwater temperatures included measurements from 472 piezometers in the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifer area, between 1991 and 2010. For the analysis of the Sava River temperatures, we used measurements at the hydrological stations Jesenice (1964 – 1990), Podsused - Žićara (1980 – 1986), Zagreb (1953 – 1989, 2003 – 2010) and Rugvica (1948. – 1995) (see Figure 3). Groundwater temperature measurements in this area are performed by the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service (DHMZ) and Vodoopskrba i odvodnja d.o.o., while the Sava River temperature measurements for the above hydrological stations are accumulated by the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service. The data time series is organized and processed through Microsoft Excel software and purpose-built algorithms in the Visual Basic for Applications (VBA) programming language. From 472 piezometers that have measurements from 1991 to 2010, 183...
piezometers, which had continuous measurements from 2003 to 2010, were selected (see Figure 3). Further, pi-
ezometers in a series of profiles from west to east, ap-
proximately perpendicular to the Sava River flow, were
selected and correlation and regression analysis of
groundwater temperatures and Sava River temperatures
was performed. The correlation analysis, in addition to
the Sava River and groundwater relationship, was also
made with daily measurements for the following hydro-
logical station pairs: Jesenice – Podsused Žičara on the
time series data from 1980 to 1986, Jesenice – Zagreb
for the period from 1964 to 1989, Jesenice – Rugvica for
the period from 1964 to 1990, Podsused Žičara – Zagreb
for the period from 1980 to 1986, Podsused Žičara –
Rugvica for the period from 1980 to 1986, and Zagreb
– Rugvica for the period from 1954 to 1989. The aim
was to identify possible temperature discrepancies along
the Sava River flow.

Although temperatures of the Sava River were meas-
ured at nine hydrological stations (see Figure 3), there is
a negligible difference in the obtained temperature data.
Therefore, in the presented analysis, the Sava River was
treated as an isotherm by implementing virtual hydro-
logical stations along the river flow.

Regression analysis of the Sava River temperature
and groundwater temperature was conducted to investi-
gate the hypothesis, according to which the degree of
connection between these two variables weakens with
distance from the river. Based on that hypothesis, we as-
sume that the groundwater temperature forecasts, using
measured Sava River temperatures, are only possible in
the vicinity of the Sava River. By comparing Sava River
water levels and groundwater levels measured in pie-
zometers near the river flow, Posavec (2006) and Bačani
and Posavec (2009) observed a remarkably good con-
nection between the Sava River water level and ground-
water level. Analogously, we assumed that there is a
good connection between the Sava River temperature
and the groundwater temperature. Proving this hypoth-
thesis is a problem since the frequency of groundwater
temperature measurements is once a month or less.

In addition to the statistical analysis, isotherm maps
of groundwater temperatures for the summer and winter
periods were constructed for all the analyzed years. The
temperatures of the Sava River at the hydrological sta-
tions and virtual hydrological stations were included in
the interpolation. Since measurements are infrequent,
for the summer period analysis we selected temperatures
measured on the date closest to August 1, but within the
period from July 15th to August 15th. For the winter pe-
riod, groundwater temperature measurement dates were
closest to February 1st, in the period between January
15th and February 15th. Also, the maximum, average and
minimum groundwater temperatures as well as variation

Figure 3. Investigated areal view of the analyzed hydrological observing stations and piezometers
coefficients were analyzed within the available time series. Corresponding isotherm maps were created with the aim of determining the temperature anomalies in the aquifers. Based on the variation coefficient, the temperature variability for a particular piezometer and the reliability of the statistical parameter of arithmetic mean could be determined.

4. Results based on groundwater temperature analysis

Correlation analysis of daily Sava River temperatures, measured at hydrological stations along the flow, resulted in correlation coefficients in the range from 0.958 to 0.993. These correlation coefficient values indicate that temperatures along the Sava River through the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifers vary in very small ranges for the same measurement dates. Temperature differences on the hydrological station Zagreb, located in the wider city center, and the hydrological station upstream (Jesenice) show that temperatures are on average somewhat higher (0.5°C) in the urban area. This could be explained by an anthropogenic temperature increase due to the urban environment.

Analysis, by which we tried to establish the interrelationship between the Sava River and groundwater temperatures, showed that correlation and regression coefficients are relatively small, which indicates a weak interdependence of these two variables, even in the vicinity of the river. Groundwater temperature fluctuation analysis on piezometers at different distances from the river flow also did not confirm the hypothesis that the greater temperature fluctuations are present near the river flow. Although this may indicate heterogeneity of spatial distribution of temperature anomalies in the aquifer area, the lack of data prevents us from interpreting results of statistical analysis with certainty. Groundwater temperature measurements are conducted within the groundwater sampling procedure for determining its quality. Such samplings are usually done four to eight times a year. A low frequency of groundwater temperature measurements results in the inability of recording actual temperature fluctuations during one hydrological year, as extreme temperatures are not recorded most of the time. Since we could perform correlation and regression analysis with only, on average, quarterly measurements through a period of seven years, it is reasonable to assume that the dependence of these two variables would be stronger in the case of daily or weekly measurements.

When analysing isotherm maps, only the areas well covered by the analysed piezometers should be considered (see Figure 3 for distribution of analysed piezometers). Isotherm maps for the winter and summer period, for all the analysed years (from 2003 to 2010) are very...
Figure 5. Isotherms of the groundwater temperature for the summer period on August 1st, 2008.

Figure 6. Isotherms of the groundwater average temperature for the year 2008.
similar. Maps for the year 2008 are shown in Figures 4 and 5. An isotherm map for the winter period, with river temperatures included, shows a relatively low temperature on the right bank of the Sava River, in the Novi Zagreb and Kosnica area. The groundwater temperature in those parts of the Zagreb aquifer is about 6°C in the winter period. The maximum groundwater temperature in the winter period is about 18°C and occurs on the left bank of the Sava River, west of the Petruševec well field (see Figure 4). The summer period map shows that the minimum groundwater temperature is about 11°C, and the maximum is about 24°C, measured along the Sava River flow (see Figure 5). Relatively high temperatures in the summer period are observed in the same areas where a relatively low temperatures are seen in the winter period. The smallest temperature oscillations are in the areas of active well fields and amount to two to three degrees Celsius throughout the year.

The spatial distribution of average temperatures is shown in Figure 6, and the temperature ranges from 10°C to 18°C. It is also seen that the temperature slightly increases downstream of the Samobor-Zaprešić aquifer. The analysis of maximum, average and minimum temperatures showed that the temperature varies the most on the right bank of the Sava River in the Novi Zagreb area, which is confirmed by the highest value of variation coefficient at that location. The results of these analyses, besides providing insight into changes of the Sava River and groundwater temperatures, also indicate the importance of increasing the frequency of groundwater temperature measurement. More frequent measurements would provide data for more reliable groundwater temperature analysis in the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifer systems, which is the main input parameter for geothermal energy use planning.

5. Energy exploitation of shallow groundwater in an open loop heat pump system

Open loop heat pump systems use local aquifers as a renewable source of shallow geothermal energy. Thermal energy is extracted from the pumped water at the production well during the winter months in the heat pump heating regime, while during the summer months in the heat pump cooling mode, thermal energy is rejected into the groundwater at the injection well. Unlike in heating mode, during the cooling mode it is not necessary to always use a heat pump compressor. In general, if the groundwater is equal to or below 16°C, then it can be circulated through a plate heat exchanger and into the building heat distribution system to provide the so-called free or passive cooling. If the temperature of groundwater is higher, or groundwater flow is insufficient for passive cooling, then the heat pump compressor is used to provide active cooling for the building.

The amount of shallow geothermal heat power \( Q_{\text{geo}} \) in kW that can be extracted from a groundwater flow is given by:

\[
Q_{\text{geo}} = q \cdot c_w \cdot \Delta T_w
\]  (1)

where \( q \) is groundwater flow in l/s; \( c_w \) is the specific heat capacity of water, which equals 4.187 kJ/kg°C and \( \Delta T_w \) is the temperature drop (or rise, in cooling mode) of the groundwater flow in °C.

If a heat pump with a coefficient of performance \( (\text{COP}_c) \) is used to extract heat from the groundwater, the total heat power available for space heating \( (Q_s) \) in kW can be derived by:

\[
Q_s = Q_{\text{geo}} + \frac{Q_c}{\text{COP}_c} = q \cdot c_w \cdot \Delta T_w \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\text{COP}_c} \right)
\]  (2)

In the case of heat rejection into the groundwater during the building cooling regime when a heat pump compressor is operational, the total cooling effect of the groundwater \( Q_{c, \text{geo}} \) in kW is the sum of the building cooling energy \( Q_c \) in kW and the waste heat from the compressor (defined with \( \text{COP}_c \)) which also must be rejected to the groundwater:

\[
Q_c = Q_{c, \text{geo}} - \frac{Q_s}{\text{COP}_c} = q \cdot c_w \cdot \Delta T_w \left( \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{1}{\text{COP}_c} \right)} \right)
\]  (3)

Calculations such as these use a coefficient of performance (COP) representing the instantaneous performance of the heat pump under given temperature conditions. The equations, however, neglect any additional energy used for the operation of submersible pumps in a groundwater well, or circulation pumps of the heat pump circuit. The inclusion of these factors and averaged over an entire heating or cooling season is called seasonal performance factor (SPF).

The design depth and diameter of a groundwater well is dependent on the depth of the aquifer, the groundwater level in the well during pumping and the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer. The design diameter is also dependent on the yield of the well, which affects the diameter of the required pump. Since groundwater usually contains dissolved minerals, in open loop installation there is a frequent use of plate heat exchangers which separate groundwater flow and an intermediate loop of circulating heat carrier fluid to the heat pumps. As the heat transfer efficiency of the heat exchanger increases (the U - value increases) and the approach temperature drops, equipment investment costs arise. From an engineering practical aspect, it is feasible to design plate heat exchangers with approach temperatures as low as 1.5 – 2°C difference from the groundwater flow temperature. For ecological and technical reasons (risk of ground settlement in some soils due to prolonged net abstraction, overload of sewage systems, influence on the groundwater table of nearby wells, etc.) re-injection of the ground-
water is regulated by the administrative body (Hrvatske vode).

The main advantages of an open loop heat pump system are the dominant coefficient of performance (COP), since the groundwater temperature is the most favourable renewable source. As seen in Figure 7, for the most efficient heating installation and temperature regime of 35/30°C (e.g. floor heating), the measure of heat pump delivered useful heat energy units opposed to consumed electricity (COP) is usually higher than 5.5 (for groundwater temperature above 10°C).

However, some disadvantages of groundwater open loop systems can be listed as follows:

- Unlike closed loop borehole heat exchangers, which can be installed in almost any geological environment but with variable heat extraction rates dependent on ground thermal conductivity and initial temperature, open loop installations are strictly geo-

Figure 7. Coefficient of performance for classic brine heat pump unit depending on source temperature and building distribution temperature, according to thermodynamic process analysis (Ecoforest heat pumps technical data www.ecoforest.es)

Table 1. Installed open loop groundwater heat pump systems in the Zagreb area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Wells location</th>
<th>Flow (l/s)</th>
<th>Heating power kWt</th>
<th>Cooling power kWt</th>
<th>Heating energy GWh/y (est.)</th>
<th>Cooling energy GWh/y (est.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IKEA Hrvatska d.o.o., Ulica Alfreda Nobela 2, Sop</td>
<td>1711/1 k.o. Hruščica 2 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>1711/1 k.o. Hruščica 2 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2224</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>2.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO Stručar d.o.o. Zagreb, Avenija Dubrovinik 16</td>
<td>847/1 k.o. Zaprudska otok production + injection wells</td>
<td>847/1 k.o. Zaprudska otok production + injection wells</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILSING d.o.o. Zagreb, Velika cesta 99</td>
<td>186/3 k.o. Gradićić production + injection wells</td>
<td>186/3 k.o. Gradićić production + injection wells</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private investor, Bregana</td>
<td>1196/2 k.o. Bregana 1 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>1196/2 k.o. Bregana 1 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIRAMIDA d.o.o. Sesvete, Radnička 10</td>
<td>3001/1 k.o. Sesvete Novo production + injection wells</td>
<td>3001/1 k.o. Sesvete Novo production + injection wells</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEROMPEX d.o.o. Bregana, Strma ulica 12</td>
<td>2470/2 k.o. Podsused 2 production + 2 injection wells</td>
<td>2470/2 k.o. Podsused 2 production + 2 injection wells</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN DIES d.o.o. Zagreb, Vlaška 70D</td>
<td>265 k.o. Trnje production + injection wells</td>
<td>265 k.o. Trnje production + injection wells</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUMIPEX-GRP d.d. Pavlervka Miškine 64e, Varaždin</td>
<td>843/23 k.o. Žitnjak production + injection wells</td>
<td>843/23 k.o. Žitnjak production + injection wells</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADIMO d.o.o. Zagreb, Kovinska 5</td>
<td>74/2 k.o. Brezovica 3 production + 1 injection wells</td>
<td>74/2 k.o. Brezovica 3 production + 1 injection wells</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP Promet d.o.o. Sveta Nedeljela, F. Tuđmana 77</td>
<td>234 k.o. Sveta Nedeljja production + injection wells</td>
<td>234 k.o. Sveta Nedeljja production + injection wells</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALFA STAN GRUPA d.o.o. Samobor, Starigradiska 16a</td>
<td>4821/37 k.o. Trnje 2 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>4821/37 k.o. Trnje 2 production + 3 injection wells</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palace Hotel Zagreb d.d. Zagreb, Trg J.J. Strossmayera 10</td>
<td>2508 k.o. Centar production + injection wells</td>
<td>2508 k.o. Centar production + injection wells</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Velika Gorica, Velika Gorica, Trg kralja Tomislava 34</td>
<td>415/1 k.o. Velika Gorica production + injection wells</td>
<td>415/1 k.o. Velika Gorica production + injection wells</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia Control d.o.o. Velika Gorica, Rudolfka Fizira 2</td>
<td>676/1 k.o. Pleso - under construction</td>
<td>676/1 k.o. Pleso - under construction</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1047</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry of Entrepreneurship and Crafts of the Republic of Croatia, Zagreb, Ulica grada Vukovara 78 - SEECEL Kajzerica</td>
<td>596/9 k.o. Klara - under construction</td>
<td>596/9 k.o. Klara - under construction</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8243</strong></td>
<td><strong>5495</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.892</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.748</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
logically dependent, as they require the existence of an aquifer with favourable hydraulic conditions to provide an adequate yield of groundwater,

• the requirement of a significant degree of design input from a hydrogeologist or groundwater engineer to accomplish optimal heat pump system design and properly constructed, durable water wells, with pump installations, monitoring and control mechanisms,

• significant pumping costs associated with the production of groundwater from deeper wells and generally open loop systems are not economically viable for smaller heating/cooling loads,

• they require formal consent from a regulatory authority to produce and inject groundwater to an aquifer, especially near drinking water production sites. The authorized temperature difference between the production and injection well is 5°C due to environmental risks,

• usually some form of fee is required according to regulation for the energy use of groundwater. In the Republic of Croatia, such a fee is set by the Regulation on issuing water rights acts (Narodne novine NN 78/10, 79/13, 9/14) and Regulation on audit of water use fee (NN 82/10, 84/10). It amounts to 0.015 € per m³ of produced groundwater (corresponds to roughly 2.3 €/MWh, for a typical 5°C temperature difference in a heat pump system).

• open loop systems have some degree of risk of fouling/clogging of the heat exchanger and production/injection well by particulate matter, mineral precipitates or biofilms.

5.1. Overview of open loop heat pump system installations and energy utilization for the Zagreb area

Unlike in all Member States of EU28, currently there is no regulatory body in the Republic of Croatia that conducts a statistical overview of heat pump installations with shallow geothermal resources, nor consumed energy from such systems. Since geothermal heat pump systems are classified as renewable, there is an urgent need to establish tracking of shallow geothermal energy use, both from closed loop borehole heat exchangers and open loop groundwater systems. In cooperation with the water authority company Hrvatske vode, this paper registered commercial projects with open loop groundwater heat pump systems in the area of the Samobor-Zaprešić and Zagreb aquifers. A project database was made in the Google Earth™ application, highlighting consumer locations, well depth and technical specifications, lithology column and approved maximum yield. A preliminary assessment on cumulative energy use was made, according to general heat pump operation regimes and efficiency (distribution temperature of 45/40°C as the most common design) and the average temperature of groundwater according to Figure 6. The final delivered energy was set according to normed groundwater flow from the created database and including equations 1-3. Full load hours of heat pump operation were estimated practically to be 1200 hours for the heating regime and 400 hours for the cooling regime, depending on the climate parameters for the city of Zagreb and a typical building’s insulation. Future research should be governed towards

Figure 8. Open loop groundwater heat pump systems in Google Earth™ database
The obtained project data is 8.2 MWt for heating and 5.5 MWt for cooling. This value is the sum of shallow geothermal heat capacity and heat pump power available to a building as the end user. The estimation of consumed energy for all fifteen discussed projects is 9.9 GWh, for heating and 2.7 GWh for cooling. When geothermal heating energy is transformed to its natural gas equivalent, as a common energy resource in the area, it corresponds roughly to saving 1.2 million m³ of natural gas per year. It is important to mention that the current installed capacity is just a small fragment of the total potential of the aquifer that could be exploited. Restrictions to massive wide use of an aquifer as an energy source are some area limitations for well construction due to numerous sites where drinking water is produced for the city of Zagreb (as seen in Figure 1). Also, new projects under development must prove that the production of water would not affect any other groundwater well installations nearby, from the aspect of changing water table depth due to depression cones forming from pumping. Another negative biological factor which could arise from mass development is the significant influence on static water temperature change in the aquifer.

6. Conclusions

The identification of the influence of temperature of the Sava River on the temperature oscillations of the groundwater of the Zagreb and Samobor-Zaprešić aquifers was conducted by statistical analysis of the time series of the Sava River temperature and the temperature of the groundwater by using correlation and linear regression methods. The obtained isotherm maps point to potential areas where geothermal anomalies could have an impact on groundwater temperature oscillations. The isotherm maps also indicated that, with the existence of certain anomalies, the groundwater temperature generally rises in the upstream-downstream direction, from the area of the Samobor-Zaprešić aquifer towards the Zagreb aquifer. The results of these analyses, besides providing an insight into the correlation between the change of the Sava River temperature and the groundwater temperature, also indicate the importance of increasing the frequency of groundwater temperature measurements, as well as other parameters, to provide data for more reliable groundwater analysis of the Zagreb aquifer. This data is also important for any further shallow geothermal energy system development, as part of a renewable energy growth strategy. A particularly interesting area for the development of open loop heat pump systems is the east and south-east part of the Zagreb aquifer, where the thickness of the aquifer’s 1st upper layer is between 25 and 50m, and the cumulative thickness of both layers is up to 100m. Therefore, this area provides a significant groundwater yield opportunity per single well which can lower investment costs. Considering the spreading area of the Zagreb aquifer and its currently very low energy utilization status, it can be argued that it has great renewable energy source potential for future exploitation.
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SAŽETAK
Prepoznavanje utjecaja temperature rijeke Save na temperaturu podzemne vode u samoborsko-zaprešićkome vodonosniku te njegov plitki geotermalni potencijal
Na temelju statističkih analiza vremenskih nizova podataka opisan je utjecaj promjene temperature rijeke Save na promjene temperature podzemne vode zagrebačkoga i samoborsko-zaprešićkoga vodonosnika. U analizama su korišteni podatci dnevnih mjerenja temperature rijeke Save i kvartalnih mjerenja temperatura podzemne vode. Primijenjene su statističke metode korelacije i linearne regresije te su analizirane maksimalne, srednje i minimalne temperature podzemne vode. Rezultati obrade prikazani su u obliku statističkih parametara, dijagrama i karti izoterni. Navedeni podatci nužni su za razvoj plitkih geotermalnih sustava koji koriste podzemne vode kao izvor toplinske energije. Kako je učinkovitost dizalice topline funkcionalno vezana na temperaturu obnovljivoga izvora, analiza temperature podzemnih voda nužna je za predinvesticijske studije i usporedbi različitih izvedbi termotehničkih sustava. Nadalje, sistematično su obradeni podaci o trenutačno aktivnim sustavima koji koriste podzemne vode kao izvor energije te je procijenjena godišnje utrošena količina energije za grijanje i hlađenje.
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