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Abstract

Packaging of dairy products develops continuously along with advances in material technologies, which are in turn a response to demands of consumers. This article aimed to give an overview of currently available dairy packaging systems. Novel dairy packaging systems include new packaging technologies such as the modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) that is widely used nowadays, especially for dairy product like cheese. Application of edible packaging could significantly reduce the costs of cheese packaging by reducing the amount of usually required packaging material. Nanomaterials and active packaging might be useful for extending the shelf life of dairy products by reducing material permeability or negative sensory characteristics of batch processing. Forms of active packaging relevant to dairy foods include oxygen scavenging, carbon dioxide absorbers, moisture and/or flavour/odour taints absorbers; releasing compounds (carbon dioxide, ethanol, antioxidants and/or other preservatives); maintaining temperature control and/or compensating temperature changes and antimicrobial packaging. Antimicrobial packaging is gaining interest from packaging scientists and industry due to its potential for providing quality and their safety benefits. The greatest challenge from the ecological point of view is biodegradable packaging. The main challenges for low waste materials are the durability of the packaging correlated with product shelf life as well as the ecological perspective.
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Introduction

Basic role of dairy packaging, as well as for any other food product, is to provide a physical barrier to food in order to prevent the item from different damage (mechanical, physical, microbial contamination, etc.) and to maintain the best product quality. In the ideal case the packaging should constrain weight and nutrient losses as well as help in extending shelf life of packed item.

Nowadays, food packaging does not only target product convenience and provide adequate protection but it also interferes in many other applications such as informing the consumer about the content and underlining key information about the packed product (Han, 2005; Karaman et al., 2015; Khoshgozaran et al., 2012).
The process of manufacturing dairy products is sophisticated but the most determining factors that influence textural and the rheological properties of the products are content of milk fat and milk proteins, thickener type, heat treatment, incubation temperature, rate of acidification, and added stabilizers. The optimized amounts of ingredients, quality level of products, cutting time and safety-assurance can be determined non-invasively and non-destructively in the production line. Therefore, the final yield of the manufacturing process is affected by different parameters such as the characteristics of milk, type of instrumentation, time of processes, number of processes, type and quality of additives and ingredients, and packaging conditions (Ciron et al., 2011; Pang et al., 2015; Mohammadi et al., 2017).

Historically, the packaging of fluid milk started around the 19th century with the invention of the condensed milk production and it referred to the application of glass bottles. In 1932 the first plastic-coated milk carton was used (Alvarez and Pascall, 2011). As the time went by, and with the development of food industry new packaging methods were also introduced. Modern milk and dairy products are packaged into different materials considering numerous factors such as product type, processing and storage conditions, requirements for handling and the end purpose. Most frequently glass and/or plastic bottles, laminates (multilayer materials), pouches, plastic tubs, cans and other containers are used. All of those have one thing in common - they have to be printable and must provide all product information required by the legislation (Karaman et al., 2015). Although it is generally required for packaging material to be inert and not to interact with the packed dairy product, current trends are focused on development of packaging that include certain interactions in order to extend the shelf life. That way is provided through so called active, smart or intelligent packaging concept. The aim of this paper is to give a concise review of packaging materials and methods usually applied in the dairy industry with special emphasis on novel technologies.

Packaging materials convenient for dairy products

When choosing packaging material for dairy products, various important factors need to be considered such as toxicity, compatibility with the product, impact resistance, maintenance of sanitation, odour and light protection, chemically inactivity, shape and weight requirements, marketing appeal, printability and cost (Karaman et al., 2015). The nature and the characteristics of the dairy product to be packaged define the selection of the appropriate packaging material and method. For example, if the product is susceptible to oxidation (such as butter) a selected material needs to have high barrier properties toward oxygen in order to enable the declared shelf life. Similarly, if the dairy product needs to be thermally treated after it has been packaged, the chosen material has to be heat tolerant. Some of the most frequently used materials for packaging of selected dairy products and their permeability properties are presented in Table 1.

Food-package interaction

If the choice of the packaging material is improper, undesirable interactions with the packed dairy product might occur resulting in serious concerns about their safety. Over the past 10-15 years several food safety issues on migration of hazardous substances from packaging material into dairy products have been recorded. Consequently, numerous studies focused on the examination of possible migrants into dairy products, mostly into milk powder, liquid infant formulae, liquid milk and cheese (Wu et al., 2010). In the past decade the problem of migration of bisphenol A (BPA) in various dairy products was recorded.

Thereby, it must be highlighted that BPA is one of the most widely used synthetic compounds worldwide and can adversely impact human health, especially infants (Jalal et al., 2018). BPA is usually applied in production of specific polycarbonate plastic and epoxy resins coatings for cans. Since it is highly lipophilic, BPA can easily migrate from the container or the plastic lining of cans to the foods like milk, condensed milk or various ready-
to-eat liquid formulae especially at temperatures above 50 °C. Consequently, such packaged products are contaminated sources of BPA and lead to human exposure and bioaccumulation (Wu et al., 2010; Wong et al., 2017). Kang and Kodo (2003) measured BPA concentrations between 21 and 43 ng g⁻¹ in milk, yogurt, butter, cream, condensed and flavoured milk, while it was not detected in non-canned products. BPA was also detected in milk samples from some European countries like Greece, Sweden or Portugal. Especially canned milk or infant formulae were identified to contain elevated levels of BPA (Maragou et al., 2006; Cunha et al., 2011). Cao and Corriveau (2008) detected BPA in disturbing concentrations between 2.27-10.2 ng g⁻¹ in all samples of canned liquid infant formulae.

Although such food is the main source of human intake of BPA, it is still unclear whether the BPA levels to which people are currently exposed are exceeding the safety limit. Besides BPA, there are also some other compounds whose occurrence in food products was also recorded. For example, the likelihood of monomer and oligomer migration increases when a plastic is exposed to high temperatures during thermal processing. This includes different plasticizers, antioxidants, slipping agents, heat stabilizers etc. Low-density polyethylene (PE-LD) is one of mostly widely used packaging materials and is often applied for cheese packaging. However, it might contain several additives which could migrate into the product and act adversely to food safety. Sanches Silva et al., (2007, 2009) detected considerable amounts of hazardous components such as diphenylbutadiene (DPBD) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) in milk powder and soft cheeses packaged into PE-LD. Similarly, Cruz et al., (2008) showed that DPBD and BHT had higher migration rate in packed cheese (gouda, 4.5 % - 35 % fat content) than it was predicted in model system. This points out the importance of testing in real food products that will surely become one of the main interests in toxicology tests in the very near future.

Intended or unintended interactions between dairy and packaging materials may cause significant sensory changes in packed food product. Additionally, this may also occur because of material failures as well as from failure of materials to protect the product integrity or quality (Duncan et al., 2009). Packaging materials can also significantly influence the physico-chemical properties of probiotic dairy foods.

One of the most important is definitely acidity during storage (Jayamanne and Adams, 2004) whose changes can directly affect the product quality and ultimately limit the consumers acceptability. Saint-Eve et al., (2008) compared 4 % fat yogurts to 0 % fat yogurts stored in glass, polypropylene (PP) and polystyrene (PS) as packaging materials, and reported that the fat content of yogurt can act as an aroma solvent and reduce absorption into packaging.
### Table 1. Packaging materials commonly used for dairy products (Adopted from: Alvarez and Pascall, 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Transmission of water vapour g m⁻² day⁻¹ at 38°C and 90% RH</th>
<th>Gas permeability cm³ m⁻² m⁻² day⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ at 25 °C and 50% RH</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glass</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Impermeable, easily recycled, inert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metal</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Excellent gas barrier, rigid, easily recycled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Films</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polivinylidene chloride, PVDC</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.28 x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.28 x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>1.96 x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>Excellent barrier to water vapour, gases, fatty and oily products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellophane, nitrocellulose coated</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.76 x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.76 x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.76 x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>Excellent clarity and sparkle, can be used in coatings and laminations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plastic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density Polyethylene, PE-LD</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>7.17 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>7.17 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.85 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>Very flexible, highly resistant to most solvents, good moisture barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density Polyethylene, PE-HD</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.79 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>1.79 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>8.15 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>Moderately flexible, stiffer, tasteless, odourless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl chloride, PVC</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>2.44 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>2.44 x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Versatile material, compounded with a wide range of additives (plasticized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene, Terephthalate, PET</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High tensile strength, low gas and moisture permeability, high use-temperature range, high scuff resistance, excellent oil barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paper</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminated papers</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Laminated to aluminium foil and extrusion coated with PE offers barrier to moisture, flavour, and UV light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coextruded-laminated (aseptic)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Barrier against moisture, gas, odour, light, and UV light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waxed papers</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moisture barrier, low cost, good resistance, good heat-sealing characteristics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Novel trends in dairy products packaging

Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP)

Even though in the past decades MAP was already often used for different food products like fruits or vegetables, meat, fish and bakery products, it is still classified as novel method in packaging of milk and dairy products. It belongs to a group of flexible packaging methods and is generated by altering the initial gaseous environment in the immediate vicinity of the products, whereby no further control during the storage period is performed. Modification of internal atmosphere is achieved by combining three main gases, carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrogen (N₂) and oxygen (O₂). It aims to slow down deteriorative reactions (chemical and biochemical) and to inhibit/or slow down the growth of spoilage microorganisms (Mullan and McDowell, 2003; Robertson, 2016). This approach allows to pack dairy with none/or less preservatives and therefore it became a popular solution for minimally processed foods which are increasingly being required by the modern consumers. CO₂ was shown to be sufficiently used by injection technique in milk and milk products as shown by Singh et al. (2012). CO₂ can easily be added and removed from dairy products with no deleterious effects making it a unique natural antimicrobial and processing agent. The direct addition of CO₂ to dairy products coupled with increasing the barrier properties of the containers has been commercially successful and economically feasible with cottage cheese and other fluid products with a shelf life increase of 200-400 %.

When considering MAP application, permeability to O₂, CO₂ and water vapour transmission rates for packaging films are among the most essential factors in determining the package atmosphere composition, which may influence the product’s deterioration rate (Church, 1994; Mullan and McDowell, 2003). The atmosphere inside a package can be modified by either passive or active methods. In passive MAP, the rate of change and the final gas composition in the package depend on both the packed product and the permeability of the packaging material. The main disadvantage of passive MAP is that it may require a long storage period to achieve the optimal gas composition, which could be especially important for products with relatively short shelf life. That disadvantage can be overcome with the use of active modification of the atmosphere. Thus, active MAP is usually accomplished by first creating a vacuum and then injecting the desired gas mixture in the package. If packaging material that is used provides adequate barrier with no leakage, the desired atmosphere is directly achieved at the beginning of storage and remains unchanged. The disadvantage of active MAP over passive MAP is the higher cost in equipment and gases (Rodriguez-Aguilera and Oliveira, 2009). Regardless of the chosen pattern it was demonstrated that MAP dramatically extends the shelf life of packaged food products, and in some cases, food does not require any further treatments or any special care during distribution. Various studies have confirmed that MAP is very efficient in controlling mould development, aflatoxin activity, and shelf life extension of various cheese types (Dermiki et al, 2008; Gün et al, 2009; Khoshgozaran et al, 2012; Jalilzadeh et al, 2015). MAP design is composed of handpicking the film type and size of packaging for each product (Farber et al, 2003) so different types of packaging concepts are required for various types of cheeses. According to the available literature data, CO₂ concentrations between 20 and 60 % are required to inhibit aerobic microbes which are largely responsible for spoilage of unripened soft cheeses. In addition, in whey cheeses it was shown that MAP atmospheres consisting of 30/70, 40/60 and 60/40 CO₂/N₂ had significant inhibitory effect on the studied spoilage microbes with no influence on sensory characteristics of the product (Papioannou et al, 2007; Dermiki et al, 2008; Del Nobile et al, 2009; Temiz et al, 2009). Similarly, it was found that for acid coagulated fresh cheeses like cottage cheese or locally present cheese types (i.e. Apulian fresh cheeses Giuncatta and Primosal, Cameros) the best atmospheres were ≥75 % CO₂, 50/50 or 30/70 CO₂/N₂ (Pintado and Malcata, 2000; Olarte et al, 2001; Esmer et al, 2009). Even though in the case of semi-hard and hard cheese, it is preferable to avoid slicing, even if MAP is shown to provide adequate protection preserving the freshness and good cheese quality through the storage period (Colchin et al, 2001; Favati
et al., 2007; Rodriguez-Aguiler and Oliveira, 2009; Khoshgozaran et al., 2012). In conclusion, although MAP technology was demonstrated to be effective in prolonging the shelf life of cheeses, it must be noted that the choice of gas mixtures strongly depend on several parameters, especially cheese type, production process, packaging materials etc.

**TABLE 2. Evolution of N₂, O₂, and CO₂ over the storage period (Adopted from: Khoshgozaran et al., 2012)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese type</th>
<th>MAP (%)</th>
<th>Change observed</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Simon da Costa (smoked semi-hard cow’s milk cheeses)</td>
<td>VP: 100 N₂, 20 CO₂/80 N₂, and 50 CO₂/50 N₂</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Increase (in 100 N₂, 20 CO₂/80 N₂) and decrease (in 50 CO₂/50 N₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozzarella (soft cheese)</td>
<td>100 N₂, 10 CO₂/90 N₂, 25 CO₂/75 N₂, and 50 CO₂/50 N₂</td>
<td>Decrease, except for air which had increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samso Rindless (semi-hard)</td>
<td>100 N₂, 20 CO₂/80 N₂, and 100 CO₂</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottage</td>
<td>Air, 100 CO₂, 75 CO₂/25 N₂, and 100 N₂</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graviera (hard cheese)</td>
<td>100 CO₂, 50 CO₂/50 N₂, and 100 N₂ Air</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havarti (semi-hard)</td>
<td>25 CO₂/75 N₂</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requeijão (soft cheese)</td>
<td>100 CO₂, and 50 CO₂/50 N₂</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameros (fresh goat cheese)</td>
<td>20 CO₂/80 N₂, 40 CO₂/60 N₂, and 100 CO₂</td>
<td>Below 2 %</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myzithra Kalathaki (whey cheese)</td>
<td>20 CO₂/80 N₂, 40 CO₂/60 N₂, and 60 CO₂/40 N₂</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stracciatella</td>
<td>VP: 50 CO₂/50 N₂, 95 CO₂/5 N₂, 75 CO₂/25 N₂, and 30 CO₂/65N/5 O₂</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crottin de Chavignol</td>
<td>Air, VP, and 50 CO₂/50 N₂</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface mould-ripened</td>
<td>0 O₂/27±6 CO₂, and 2±1 O₂/19±2 CO₂</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Edible coatings and films**

Edible films and coatings become very interesting concept not only because of their benefits on food product but also in novel product differentiation and its launching on the market. Coatings are aimed to have similar functions as those of conventional packaging with great ability to act, as barriers to water vapour, gases, and flavour compounds. What is also very important in providing good shelf
life stability is that they can improve structural integrity and mechanical-handling of coated foods. However, their main disadvantage is that they cannot be used as the only packaging, in other words secondary non-edible packaging is required in order to properly and hygienically handle packed foodstuff. Besides mentioned, they are often used as carriers of different active compounds that can act as antimicrobials, antioxidants or nutraceuticals (Rodriguez-Aguiler and Oliveira, 2009). These properties will be covered later in the article. Being isolated from natural sources edible coatings can be classified according to their main structuring molecules to protein based, polysaccharide based, resins and lipid based used alone or together. The addition of plasticizers or/and surfactants is often required (Falguera et al., 2011). Within dairy products, edible packaging materials are mostly used for cheese (Table 2). Besides, providing better quality and preserving freshness, edible packaging could significantly reduce the final costs of cheese packaging by reducing the amount of usually required packaging material. However, it is important to note that the applied coatings need to be neutral considering sensory characteristics as they could influence/or interfere with sensory properties characteristics of the packaged cheese (Karaman et al., 2015). In the group of polysaccharides, galactomannan and chitosan were used as cheese coatings. Cerquirerea et al., (2009) tested their application on semi-hard cheeses. Authors found that galactomannan coatings significantly reduced respiration rates and mould growth on the cheese surface that was significant in uncoated cheese samples.

In general, protein-based films have more interesting mechanical and barrier properties than those consisting of polysaccharides. Many protein materials such as collagen, corn zein, wheat gluten, soy protein isolate, fish proteins, ovalbumin, whey protein isolate and casein have been tested. Milk proteins, like casein, become very interesting not only because of their good properties but also because of their industrial surplus (Khwaldia et al., 2004). Proteins isolated from milk have great nutritional value, but also, they have some useful physical characteristics like solubility in water and emulsifying properties that makes them great choice as edible coating.

Application of nanocomposites

Nanotechnology implies the use of materials on a nanometric scale, between 1 nm and 100 nm in size (Duncan, 2011). Nanoparticles are aimed to improve some properties of existing packaging materials such as mechanical, thermal and barrier properties (O’ Callaghan and Karry, 2016).

Nanoparticles are frequently used in active realising systems. They can be carrier of antibacterial compounds that are then immobilized in the polymer matrix and coated on the product surface. Controlling storage conditions, triggered release of active agents occur (Ruparelia et al., 2008). The potential application for a given nanoparticle depends on many factors like the material type (Ren et al., 2009), the particle shape (Wang et al., 2005) and the applied concentration (Kim et al., 2003). For example, Carbone et al., (2016) reported that silver montmorillonite (Ag-MMT) nanoparticles, which were obtained by replacing Na+ ions naturally present in montmorillonite with Ag+ ions, were embedded into an agar based matrix in order to prolong the shelf life of Fior di Latte cheese. Even though metal-based nanoparticles (ex. silver containing) have shown great antibacterial activity such systems might be unacceptable from a commercial point of view because their effects on human health and the environment on long term has not been clarified so far. For this reason packaging systems containing food grade nanoparticles may be more desirable, such as sorbic acid that is legally accepted and food grade antimicrobial (Cruz-Romero et al., 2013).

In the dairy sector, one of most frequently used properties of nanotechnology is enhancement of oxygen barrier properties of nanolaminates, nanocomposite bottles and bins with silver nanoparticles (Gopirajah and Anandharamakrishnan, 2017). Nanoclays and nanocrystals embedded in the plastic films and bottles are shown to block oxygen, carbon dioxide and moisture transfer thus avoiding them to reach packed food products (milk and dairy products). The advantage of clay nanocomposite in the packaging material is that it offers better shelf life, shutter proof, these materials are lighter in weight and heat resistant (Ravichandran, 2010).

This feat was achieved by incorporating silver-based microparticles with bactericidal, antimi-
obic and self-sterilizing properties into the rigid plastic bottles used as packaging for the milk. The microparticles are included as a powder in the polyethylene preform that is used to make plastic bottles by blow or injection moulding. The microparticles are inert, so there is no risk of their detaching from the packaging and coming into contact with the milk (Anonymous 1).

Furthermore, in the domain of smart packaging, plastic materials with incorporated nanosensors are aimed to detect off-flavours and gases produced by food item when it spoils and the packaging itself changes colour to emit an alert (Mehar Afroz et al., 2012).

**Smart/intelligent and active packaging**

By a definition, smart packaging systems are used as non-traditional packaging with special functions generating enhanced product that result in safer, more nutritious or appealing food products, whilst being environmentally friendly. They can also be informative yielding to improved logistical efficiency and optimised product recall. In addition, smart packaging technologies can be further optimised by the incorporation of nanotechnology, which can be utilised actively or intelligently, to enhance or to extend package function.

Intelligent packaging contains a device, positioned internally or externally to the package that can monitor the condition of the product, package or packaging environment. The device can provide information on these aspects, but does not alter the condition of the package or product (O’Callaghan and Kerry 2016). Mostly used in dairy packaging are time-temperature indicators and indicators of ripening that will be detailed in following subsections.

Active packaging can be regarded as a subset of smart packaging and refers to incorporation of certain active components into packaging film or within packaging containers with the aim of maintaining and extending product shelf life, but also to improve the safety of the packaged product. Thus, active packaging includes components of packaging systems that are for example capable of scavenging oxygen, absorbing carbon dioxide, moisture and/or flavour/odour taints; releasing carbon dioxide, ethanol, antioxidants and/or other preservatives; maintaining temperature control and/or compensating temperature changes (Conte et al., 2013).

Since antimicrobial group was the most frequently studied in the past decade, examples will be given in next subsection.

Commonly used and commercialised type of active packaging applied on cheese belong to group of ethanol vapour generators and desiccant-containing pouches for moisture control (Biji et al., 2015). Another group belongs to enzyme based systems that offer an alternative method to produce lactose-free fluid milk products (Vermierien et al., 1999; Fernández et al., 2008). Immobilized enzymes are aimed to reduce negative sensory characteristics of batch processing (Goddard et al., 2007; Mahoney et al., 2013). For example, lactase can be covalently immobilized onto UV functionalized low-density polyethylene (PE-LD) surface made between layers of repeated depositions of polyethylenimine (PEI) and glutaraldehyde (GL) cross-linking layers (Wong and Goddard, 2014). Presence of lactase results in decrease in lactose content of milk that is of great importance for consumers suffering from lactase deficiency (Goddard et al., 2007). Even in wet conditions, immobilized lactase in biofilm could retain up to 64 % of its activity (Wong and Goddard, 2014). Detailed studies on relationship between product quality, consistency, and consumer acceptance with bacterial counts, sensory acceptance, and shelf life of the final product are done for fluid milk (Hansen and Arora, 1990; Grosová et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2012). UHT sterilised milk can often be characterised by “cooked” and “stale” flavour resulting from protein changes during thermal processing. Zabbiia et al., (2009) showed how protein loaded film incorporated into the UHT brick packaging can decrease the concentration of compounds baring those flavours. Perkins at al., (2007) investigated the addition of oxygen-scavenging film into commercially used aseptic pouches and observed significant decrease in dissolved oxygen concentration as well as in some stale flavour volatiles. Johnson et al., (2015) tested the efficiency of titanium dioxide (TiO2) addition to high-density polyethylene (PE-HD) packages in order to reduce light induced oxidation of
extended shelf life milk (2 % total fat). Authors found that levels of incorporated TiO₂ above 1.3 % may effectively protect milk quality (measured by sensory evaluation, analysis of changes in volatile compounds, thiobarbituric reactive substances and riboflavin concentration) during an extended period of retail lighting exposure. A Swedish producer Ecolean® developed a combined packaging film made of 40 % calcium carbonate (chalk) blended with conventional PP and PE for production of special pouches. Using chalk provides added stiffness and whiteness, reduces weight of the package, and is a good gas and light barrier. Thus, possibility of oxidative degradation is put down to minimum and such pouches are shown to extent milk shelf life up to six-month even if kept unrefrigerated (Anonymous 2). Moraes et al., (2007) found that packaging containing antimicrobial components prevented butter spoilage. Gomes et al., (2009) found that the application of convenient oxygen scavengers could extend shelf life of cheese spread. When it comes to packing of dairy with probiotic bacteria as living products, it is of great importance to assure their viability all through packaging process and storing period until final consummation. In order to ensure it, several methods might be combined, such as use of combining multi-layered plastic material with incorporated oxygen absorbent, microencapsulation of probiotic strains, addition of carbon dioxide and storage at low temperatures (Lopez-Rubio et al., 2004; Rathore et al., 2013; Dobrucka and Cierpiszewski, 2014; Tripathi et al., 2014; Ramos et al., 2015). Cruz et al., (2013) tested the effect of the glucose oxidase added into yogurt containing probiotic bacteria and stored in different packaging materials. Authors found that samples packed in plastic containers with reduced permeability had more than satisfactory viability of probiotic bacteria and the desired overall quality of packed yogurt. In addition, results of several studies confirmed that multi-layered materials like NUPAK containers (combination of high-impact polystyrene (HIPS), ethylene-vinyl alcohol copolymer (EVOH) and PE), with oxygen absorbers provide the best protection for probiotic foods (Miller et al., 2003 a, b; Talwalkar et al., 2004; Kudelka, 2005).

Indeed, active technologies offer the ability to achieve a desired technical effect on packed dairy. However, most of literature data typically do not evaluate the potential for unanticipated adverse effects on dairy quality.

**Antimicrobial packaging**

Antimicrobial packaging has gained increased attention especially in cheese packaging since it usually includes combination of an edible film or coating and an antimicrobial component. A conventional multilayer film exhibiting antimicrobial features usually includes four layers namely the control layer, matrix layer, barrier layer, and outer layer. In such structure, into the matrix layer, an antimicrobial substance would be imbedded. By the control layer adjacent to the matrix layer, aims to control the release of antimicrobial substance to the food surface. Nonedible antimicrobial films applied as active packages are classified into two groups - (1) antimicrobial containing films in which the antimicrobial agents migrate to the food surface and (2) those with an antimicrobial agent, bounding to the film surface layer. Complete or partial losing of antimicrobial activity of the applied active agent during film production and storage is one of the main drawbacks of antimicrobial films. Chemical preservatives that can be used in active antimicrobial-releasing systems include organic acids and their salts (primarily sorbates, benzoates, and propionates), parabens, sulphites, nitrates, chlorides, phosphates, epoxides, alcohols, ozone, hydrogen peroxide, diethyl pyrocarbonate, and bacteriocins (Pocas and Pintado, 2010; Biji et. al, 2015). However, with aims to protect human’s health and to produce chemical free foodstuff, their use is under the loop. One of the most used antimicrobials is lysozyme, which is an enzyme showing great activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. In the dairy industry, it is commercially used for the preservation of cheese and for the prevention of holes formation in those cheese types where it is undesired property (McSweeney, 2007). Sinigaglia et al., (2008) incorporated lysozyme and Na-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) into the typical conditioning brine of the cheese. According to the obtained results, the used combination of antimicrobial components significantly inhibited the growth of coliforms and *Pseudomonadaceae* during the first 7 days of storage at 4 °C and thus, proved to be effective in prolonging shelf life of traditional Mozzarella cheese.
Nisin, polycyclic peptide produced by the bacterium *Lactococcus lactis* has shown antibacterial activity against a large spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, particularly against pathogens like *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Brochothrix thermodracta*, *Micrococcus flavus*, *Lactobacillus helveticus*, and *Pediococcus pentosaceus* (Lee et al., 2004; Sobrino-Lopez and Martín-Belloso, 2008). As a surface-active molecule, nisin is able to bind to various compounds and it is therefore convenient to be sorbed on solid surfaces and is aimed to destroy the adhering bacterial cells. There are also some works showing its reliable application in cheese packaging (Sobrino-Lopez and Martín-Belloso, 2008; Jin et al., 2009; Hoang et al., 2010; Irkin and Esmer, 2015). For example, Hanusova et al., (2010) showed that PE films incorporated with nisin significantly improved shelf life of soft unripened cheese. Hoang et al., (2010) and Martins et al., (2010) found that the application of edible films containing nisin were highly efficient for packaging of semi hard Babybell cheese and Ricotta cheese preventing the growth of *Listeria innocua* and *Listeria monocytogenes*, respectively.

Lee et al., (2003) showed that a 3-mm-thick nisin and α-tocopherol in paper used as 3 % coating had antioxidative (lipid oxidation) and antimicrobial (against *M. flavus*) effect on milk cream. Accordingly, the proposed packaging showed to be suitable for creating an antimicrobial and/or antioxidative feature against *M. flavus* and/or lipid oxidation in the milk cream, respectively (Haghighi-Manesh et al., 2017). Jin (2010) tested the effect of packaging skim milk into bottles coated with nisin and polylactic acid (PLA). According to the observed results such type of active packaging could be useful in protecting skim milk from *L. monocytogenes* contamination. Natamycin (or pimaricin) is another antifungal antibiotic produced by *Streptomyces natalensis*, and is commonly used to control fungus growth on the cheese surface (Dalhoff and Levy, 2015). It must be used at concentrations between 1 and 20 ppm, since yeasts are generally less resistant (min. inhibitory concentration below 5 ppm) than moulds (min. inhibitory concentration above 10 ppm). As an antimycotic substance applied for surface-treatment of hard, semi-hard and semi-soft cheeses, it is commercially permitted in 32 countries (Jalilazdeh et al., 2015). Kallinteri et al., (2013) observed that natamycin, added either solely or combined with nisin, efficiently suppressed fungal growth in the Galotyri cheese. Santonicola et al., (2017) showed that chitosan enriched with natamycin significantly reduced yeasts and moulds in cheese stored at 20 °C for 7 days. In addition, chitosan had better effect than methylcellulose that was also tested in the same study.

Because of its antimicrobial nature as polymer itself, chitosan can also be used as active agent by its own, without addition of other antimicrobial compounds (when it serves as carrier). Various studies have shown it positive effect in prolonging shelf life of packed cheese (Moreira et al., 2011; Costa et al., 2018). Structurally, chitosan is a chitin deacetylated derivative and the second most copious polysaccharide in nature found to be biofunctional, biodegradable, biocompatible, nontoxic, and with strong antimicrobial features. However, due to its poor mechanical properties as well as poor solubility at high pH values, it would be more reasonable for coating on plastic film packaging in medium acid foods (Dutta et al., 2009; Kanatt et al., 2012; Haghighi-Manesh et al., 2017). It is also important to mention that some precautions should be taken when using it on dairy since it is soluble only in acidic pH and sometimes may impact the product original colour.

Taking into account chemically free, eco and green concept it is common to use natural plant and essential oils extract as natural preservatives to increase dairy shelf life. Extracts are generally prepared from cinnamon, thyme, bergamont oils etc. with their isolates as cinnamaldehyde, carvacrol, linalool, sabinene, thymol, eugenol, menthol, camphene and have shown great antimicrobial and fungistatic activity (Brasil et al., 2012; Sanla-Ead et al., 2012; Santos-Gouvea et al., 2017). Up to date focus was made on cinnamaldehyde, carvacrol, linalool, sabinene, thymol, eugenol, menthol, camphene and have shown great antimicrobial and fungistatic activity (Brasil et al., 2012; Sanla-Ead et al., 2012; dos Santos Gouvea et al., 2017). Up to date focus was made on cinnamaldehyde incorporated in various systems (coatings, films, or nanoparticles) and different carrier matrixes such as pectin (Brasil et al., 2012; Ravishankar et al., 2012), starch (Arfa et al., 2007; Kechichian et al., 2010), chitosan (Brasil et al., 2012; Ouattara et al., 2000), wax paraffin (Rodriguez-Lafuente et al., 2010), polypropylene, polyethylene/ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (Lopez et al., 2007), cellulose (Sanla-Ead et al., 2012), and soy protein (Arfa et al., 2007; Gamage et al., 2009). Kavas and Kavas...

(2016) studied the effect of egg white based coating fortified with sage or lemon balm essential oils at various concentrations on Turkish cheese type *Lor*. Authors found that sage oil had much better antibacterial properties, while lemon balm essential oil exerted stronger antifungal action. Moro et al., (2013) evaluated the use of 12 essential oils obtained from certain aromatic plants as natural cheese covers in order to prevent the growth of *P. verucosum* - one of common cheese contaminants. The most effective essential oils were obtained from *Anethum graveolens*, *Hyssopus officinalis* and *Chamaemelum nobile* resulting in 50 % inhibition of fungal growth at concentrations lower than 0.02 µL mL⁻¹.

---

**TABLE 3.** Examples of edible and antimicrobial packaging applied in various cheese types (Adopted from da Silva Ramos et al., 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioactive component</th>
<th>Film/Coating type</th>
<th>Cheese type</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Mozarella</td>
<td>Decrease in microbial contamination</td>
<td>Duan et al., (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td>Zein</td>
<td>Kashar</td>
<td>Increase <em>L. monocytogenes</em> counts in cheese for 8 weeks at 4 °C was prevented</td>
<td>Unalan et al., (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natamycin</td>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Semi-hard regional cheese</td>
<td>Decrease in moulds and yeasts</td>
<td>Fajardo et al., (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natamycin, Nisin</td>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>Sliced Mozzarella</td>
<td>Inhibition of fungal growth</td>
<td>Dos Santos Pires et al., (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tapioca starch</td>
<td>Port Salut</td>
<td>Decrease in <em>L. innocua</em> and <em>S. cerevisiae</em> counts</td>
<td>Ollé Resa et al., (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linalool, carvacrol, thymol</td>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>Reduction in <em>A. niger</em> counts after 35 days of storage at 15 °C</td>
<td>Kurowel et al., (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive leaf extract</td>
<td>Methylcellulose</td>
<td>Kasar</td>
<td>Decrease in <em>S. aureus</em> counts after 7 and 14 days of storage</td>
<td>Ayana and Turhan (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Na-caseinate</td>
<td>Cheddar</td>
<td>Significant reduction in mesophyllic and psychrotrophic bacteria and yeasts counts</td>
<td>Moreira et al., (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time temperature indicators (TTIs)**

TTIs are tools designed for continuously monitoring the temperature in refrigerated and frozen product along the distribution chain. TTIs are today the most common commercial systems used in packaging, since they are simple and their response do not depend on the nature of the food or the concentration of chemical substances but on the temperature variations. They offer visual changes that are accelerated with increasing temperature based on physical (3M™ MonitorMark™), chemical (Keep-it™, Fresh-Check™, OnVu™, FreshCode™), enzymatic (VITSAB™) or microbiological processes. Some systems are oriented to consumers, giving in a simple way the appropriate information. This includes barcodes that disappear upon the change, labels that advance in the stick or indicators sited next to a reference to follow colour change to the naked eye.

**Freshness and ripening indicators**

Freshness indicators monitor the quality of packed foods by reacting in one way or another
to metabolites generated in the fresh food product as a result of microbial growth or metabolism (Realini and Marcos, 2014). Changes in the concentration of metabolites such as glucose, organic acids (e.g. l-lactic acid), ethanol, carbon dioxide, biogenic amines, volatile nitrogen compounds or sulphur derivatives during storage indicate microbial growth and therefore open the possibility of using their presence as freshness indicators (Arvanitoyannis and Stratakos, 2012). In the case of dairy products, especially cheese varieties undergoing intensive ripening processes such indicators might be of a great importance in monitoring the occurrence of excessive formation of biogenic amines. Smart packaging with systems that monitor food freshness through the detection of these metabolites has been described, however in most cases successful commercialization is still rare (Romero et al., 2017).

**Biodegradable packaging**

One challenge facing the food packaging industry is the efforts given to produce biobased - primary packaging that is at the same time biodegradable but also that matches the durability of the packaging with product shelf life. The biggest issue is how to make the biologically based packaging material, that by its nature is aimed to degrade naturally during certain period, but in this case, it must remain stable without changes of mechanical and/or barrier properties and must function properly during storage until disposal. Subsequently, the material should biodegrade efficiently after discarding (Petersen et al., 1999).

The most used material in this group is polylactic acid (PLA) that is currently used for production of different packaging shapes (cups, bowls, foils, and food storage containers). Foamed PLA is used as an insulator and is an alternative to foamed polystyrene (PS). PLA have good mechanical properties, similar to poly(ethylene terephthalate), (PET), and polypropylene (PP). Additionally, an important component is the plasticizer, which enhances flexibility and extensibility. In general, these coatings exhibit higher water permeability, lower oxygen permeability, and inferior mechanical properties compared with traditional packaging (McHugh and Krochta, 1994). The influence of the packaging with biodegradable PLA and PLA coated with a barrier of pure silicon oxide and in combination with modified atmosphere (MAP) on the shelf life of soft cheese Kleo produced in Latvia, was studied by Dukalska et al., (2011). Modified atmosphere was made with 30 % carbon dioxide and 70 % nitrogen and the samples were stored at 4 °C for 32 days. The best results were obtained for cheese packaged with PLA, coated with a barrier of pure silicon oxide and in combination with MAP, observing that, in this case, the cheese quality evaluated through the colour was acceptable and moulds were not observed after 32 days storage. Balaguer et al., (2014) developed gliadin films crosslinked with cinnamaldehyde (5 %) and enriched with natamycin (0.5 %). Cast films were used to pack slices of soft and semi-hard cheeses extending their shelf life due to fungistatic action of cinnamaldehyde. Moreover, the greatest effectiveness was observed for soft cheese, probably due to its higher water activity that favoured the release of the active substances. No considerations were performed by the authors in relation to the biodegradability of the matrix developed after crosslinking.

Shelf life of plain yogurt (3.5 % fat) was studied by Frederiksen et al., (2003) for 5 weeks in PLA or PS cups under fluorescent light (3500 lux) or in darkness. Practically, neither oxidation neither changes in vitamin content (riboflavin and β-carotene) occurred when stored in dark. However, for samples exposed to the light, PLA was shown to be at least as effective as PS in preventing colour changes and lipid oxidation and better in prevention of vitamins. Regarding migration concern, the amount of styrene in yogurt stored in PS cups increased during storage, whereas lactate was not found in yogurts stored in PLA (Gerschenson et al., 2018).

**Conclusion**

Packaging methods of the dairy industry are increasingly changing in order to match the needs of consumers and the rest of the food industry. Novel concepts of dairy packaging comprise numerous possibilities that enable not only protection but also the prolongation of shelf life and/or improvement of functional properties of products. Some
of the most recently introduced methods are that sophisticated to enable the release of information regarding the freshness of the product. Such innovative approaches also enhance the safety and security of dairy product and along with the progress of their commercialization it is almost safe to claim that the number of retailer and consumer complaints will decrease.

Therefore, more studies are needed concerning the use of novel packaging systems as well as processing methods to extend and to enhance food safety. Advanced technology will continue to allow dairy industry to meet consumer needs for food safety, quality, cost, information and environmental concerns with food packaging on the global market.

Novi trendovi pakiranja mlijeka i mliječnih proizvoda

Sažetak

Metode pakiranja mliječnih proizvoda neprekidno se razvijaju u skladu s razvojem ambalažnih materijala, te u skladu sa zahtjevima potrošača. Cilj ovog preglednog rada je pružiti uvid u trenutno dostupne nove metode pakiranja mliječnih proizvoda. Pakiranje u modificiranoj atmosferi (MAP) prvenstveno se primjenjuje u sirarstvu. Upraba jestivih pakiranja značajno je smanjila uobičajeni utrošak ambalažnog materijala za pakiranje sira. Nanomaterijali i aktivno pakiranje mogu se primijeniti u svrhu produljenja roka valjanosti bilo smanjenjem propusnosti materijala ili smanjenjem pojave nepoželjnih senzorskih svojstava mliječnih proizvoda i time utjecati na produljenje njihove kvalitete. U aktivno pakiranje ubrajaju se primjerice izračivači kisika, apsorberi ugljikova dioksida, regulatori vlage/okusa/mirisa, antioksidansi i/ili drugi konzervansi, održavanje nadzora nad temperaturom i/ili kompenziranje temperaturnih promjena, antimikrobno pakiranje, itd. Antimikrobno pakiranje privlači sve više pažnje kako znanstvenika tako i industrije budući da istovremeno omogućava održavanje kvalitete i zdravstvene ispravnosti proizvoda. S ekološkog aspekta najzanimljivijim se smatra pakiranje u biorazgradljivu ambalažu. Glavni izazovi za takve materijale su omogućiti osiguravanje čvrstoće i integriteta pakovine u odnosu na trajnost proizvoda.

Ključne riječi: mliječni proizvodi, MAP, antimikrobno pakiranje, pametno pakiranje, jestiva ambalaža

References

   https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374407-4.00387-3


   https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0626009

   https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-012-0803-2

   https://doi.org/10.1002/pts.870


