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#### Abstract

Bruin and Elkies ([7]) obtained the curve of genus 2 parametrizing trinomials $a x^{8}+b x+c$ whose Galois group is contained in $G_{1344}=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3} \rtimes G_{168}$. They found some rational points of small height and computed the associated trinomials. They conjecture that the only $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points of the hyperelliptic curve $$
Y^{2}=2 X^{6}+28 X^{5}+196 X^{4}+784 X^{3}+1715 X^{2}+2058 X+2401
$$ are given by $(X, Y)=(0, \pm 49),(-1, \pm 38),(-3, \pm 32)$, and $(-7, \pm 196)$. In this paper we prove that the above points are the only $S$-integral points with $S=\{2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19\}$.


## 1. Introduction

In the literature there are many interesting results dealing with trinomials having certain Galois group. Bremner and Spearman ([3]) proved that up to scaling $x^{6}+133 x+209$ is the only irreducible sextic trinomial with Galois group $C_{6}$. Brown, Spearman and Yang ( $[5,6]$ ) characterized rational trinomials with Galois group $A_{4}, A_{4} \times C_{2}, S_{3}$ and $C_{3} \times S_{3}$. Brown, Spearman and Yang ([5]) proved that to obtain some cyclic sextic trinomial (other than the previously mentioned $x^{6}+133 x+209$ ) over some number field $K$ a rational point on the genus 2 curve $Y^{2}=X^{6}+105 X^{4}+2400 X^{2}-19200$ should exist (other than the ones with $X= \pm 4$ ). Bruin and Elkies ([7]) determined the set of rational points on the hyperelliptic curve $Y^{2}=X\left(81 X^{5}+396 X^{4}+\right.$

[^0]$\left.738 X^{3}+660 X^{2}+269 X+48\right)$ via covering techniques and the so-called elliptic Chabauty's method ( $[8,9]$ ) and they concluded that every trinomial $a x^{7}+b x+c$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group contained in $G_{168}$ is equivalent to one of the following trinomials
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{7}-7 x+3 \\
& x^{7}-154 x+99 \\
& 37^{2} x^{7}-28 x+9 \\
& 499^{2} x^{7}-23956 x+3^{4} \cdot 113
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

They conjecture that the only $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points of the hyperelliptic curve $Y^{2}=2 X^{6}+28 X^{5}+196 X^{4}+784 X^{3}+1715 X^{2}+2058 X+2401$ are given by $(X, Y)=(0, \pm 49),(-1, \pm 38),(-3, \pm 32)$, and $(-7, \pm 196)$. From the above list of rational points they recover the following degree-8 trinomials with Galois group contained in $G_{1344}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{8}+16 x+28 \\
& x^{8}+576 x+1008 \\
& 19^{4} \cdot 53 x^{8}+19 x+2 \\
& x^{8}+324 x+567
\end{aligned}
$$

They remark that the Mordell-Weil group of the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve $Y^{2}=2 X^{6}+28 X^{5}+196 X^{4}+784 X^{3}+1715 X^{2}+2058 X+2401$ has rank 2, so classical Chabauty cannot be applied. To apply elliptic Chabauty one has to find rational points on elliptic curves over a degree 15 extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

In this paper we provide a partial result related to the above conjecture. We prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. Let $S=\{2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19\}$. The only $S$-integral points on the hyperelliptic curve

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}: Y^{2}=2 X^{6}+28 X^{5}+196 X^{4}+784 X^{3}+1715 X^{2}+2058 X+2401
$$

are given by $(X, Y)=(0, \pm 49),(-1, \pm 38),(-3, \pm 32)$, and $(-7, \pm 196)$.
The proof is based on techniques developed in [11] for integral points on hyperelliptic curves and $[13,14]$ for $S$-integral points.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We recall some notation and results from $[11,13]$ related to $S$-integral points on hyperelliptic curves that will be used later on. Consider the hyperelliptic curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}: \quad a y^{2}=F(x):=x^{6}+b_{5} x^{5}+b_{4} x^{4}+b_{3} x^{3}+b_{2} x^{2}+b_{1} x+b_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \neq 0, b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $F$ and $J(\mathbb{Q})$ be the Jacobian of the curve $\mathcal{C}$. We have that

$$
x-\alpha=\kappa \xi^{2}
$$

where $\kappa, \xi \in K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\kappa$ comes from a finite set. By knowing the Mordell-Weil group of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ it is possible to provide a method to compute such a finite set. We assume that a rational point $P_{0}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is known. Let $\epsilon_{0}=1$ if $P_{0}$ is one of the two points at infinity and $\epsilon_{0}=\gamma_{0}-\alpha d_{0}^{2}$, where $x\left(P_{0}\right)=\gamma_{0} / d_{0}^{2}, \gamma_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Every coset of $J(\mathbb{Q}) / 2 J(\mathbb{Q})$ can be represented by a point of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(P_{i}-P_{0}\right)$ where the set $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ is stable under the action of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$, and such that all $y\left(P_{i}\right)$ are non-zero. Let $x\left(P_{i}\right)=\gamma_{i} / d_{i}^{2}$, where $\gamma_{i}$ is and algebraic integer and $d_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$. An algebraic number $\epsilon=\epsilon_{0}^{(m \bmod 2)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\gamma_{i}-\alpha d_{i}^{2}\right)$ is associated to such a coset. The following result is [13, Lemma 3.1.2].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a set of $\epsilon$ associated as above to a complete set of coset representatives for $J(\mathbb{Q}) / 2 J(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\Delta$ be the discriminant of the polynomial $F$. For each $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ let $B_{\epsilon}$ be the set of square-free rational integers supported only by primes dividing $a \Delta N o r m_{K / \mathbb{Q}}(\epsilon) \prod_{p \in S} p$. Let $\mathcal{K}=\{\epsilon b: \epsilon \in$ $\left.\mathcal{E}, b \in B_{\epsilon}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and if $(x, y)$ is an $S$-integral point on (2.1), then $x-\alpha=\kappa \xi^{2}$ for some $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}, \xi \in K$.

We introduce some notation we need to provide upper bounds for the size of $S$-integral solutions of hyperelliptic equations. Let $\alpha$ be an algebraic integer of degree at least 3 , and let $\kappa$ be a integer belonging to $K$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, $\alpha_{3}$ be distinct conjugates of $\alpha$ and $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}$ be the corresponding conjugates of $\kappa$. Let

$$
K_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \sqrt{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}}\right), \quad K_{2}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{3}, \sqrt{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}}\right), \quad K_{3}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \sqrt{\kappa_{2} \kappa_{3}}\right),
$$

and

$$
L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \sqrt{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}}, \sqrt{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{3}}\right) .
$$

Let $S$ be a finite set of rational primes with $|S|=s$. If $S=\emptyset$, then let $P=1$, otherwise $P=\max S$. Let $d$ be the degree of $L$. Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ and $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ be the degrees and the unit ranks of $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ respectively. Let $R$ be an upper bound for the regulators of $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ and $R_{S}$ an upper bound for the respective $S_{K_{i}}$-regulators of $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$. Let $s_{i}$ be the number of places in $S_{K_{i}}$. Let $h_{K_{i}}$ be an upper bound for the class numbers of the $K_{i}$. For a positive real number $a$ let $\log ^{*}(a)=\max \{1, \log a\}$. Let $c_{j}^{*}=\max _{i=1,2,3} c_{j}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right), j=$ $1,2, \ldots, 5$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\frac{\left(\left(s_{i}-1\right)!\right)^{2}}{2^{s_{i}-2} d_{i}^{s_{i}-1}}, \quad c_{2}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)=29 e \sqrt{s_{i}-2} c_{1}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right) d_{i}^{s_{i}-1} \log ^{*}\left(d_{i}\right), \\
c_{3}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\frac{\left(\left(s_{i}-1\right)!\right)^{2}}{2^{s_{i}-1}} \begin{cases}2 / \log 2 & \text { if } d_{i}=1 \\
\left(\log \left(3 d_{i}\right)\right)^{2} & \text { if } d_{i} \geq 2\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
c_{4}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)=d_{i} \pi^{s_{i}-2} c_{2}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right), \quad c_{5}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)=2 d_{i} c_{3}\left(s_{i}, d_{i}\right)
$$

Let $c_{6}^{*}=\max _{i=1,2,3} c_{6}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)$, where

$$
c_{6}\left(r_{i}, d_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } r_{i}=0 \\ 1 / d_{i} & \text { if } r_{i}=1 \\ 29 e r_{i}!\sqrt{r_{i}-1} \log \left(d_{i}\right) & \text { if } r_{i} \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
N=\max _{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}\left|\underset{\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right) / \mathbb{Q}}{\operatorname{Norm}}\left(\kappa_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)\right)\right|^{2},
$$

$$
H^{*}=\max \left\{\pi / d, \frac{\log N}{\min _{1 \leq i \leq 3} d_{i}}+c_{6}^{*} R+\mathrm{h}(\kappa)+h\left(\sum_{p \in S} \log p\right)\right\}
$$

$c_{7}(n, d)=\min \left\{1.451(30 \sqrt{2})^{n+4}(n+1)^{5.5}, \pi 2^{6.5 n+27}\right\} d^{2} \log (e d)$,
$c_{8}(n, d)=(16 e d)^{2(n+1)} n^{3 / 2} \log (2 n d) \log (2 d)$,
$c_{9}(n, d)=(2 d)^{2 n+1} \log (2 d) \log ^{3}(3 d)$,

$$
c_{10}^{*}=2 H^{*}+2 H^{*} d(s+1)\left(1+2\left(c_{4}^{*}\right)^{2} c_{7}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1, d\right) R_{S}^{2} \times\right.
$$

$$
\times \log \left(\sqrt{2} e \max \left\{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-2\right) \pi / \sqrt{2}, c_{2}^{*} R_{S}\right\}\right)
$$

$$
c_{11}^{*}=4 d(s+1) H^{*}\left(c_{4}^{*}\right)^{2} c_{7}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1, d\right) R_{S}
$$

$$
c_{12}^{*}=2 H^{*}+2 H^{*} d(s+1)+c_{11}^{*} \log \left(\frac{\max \left\{c_{5}^{*}, 1\right\}}{2 \sqrt{2} d H^{*}}\right)
$$

$$
c_{13}^{*}=\log 2+2 H^{*}+4\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-2\right) H^{*}\left(c_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} c_{2}^{*} c_{9}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1, d\right) R_{S}^{3}
$$

$$
c_{14}^{*}=\frac{2 H^{*} d^{s_{1}+s_{2}-2} P^{d}}{\log (2) \log ^{*}\left(P^{d}\right)}\left(c_{1}^{*}\right)^{2} c_{8}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}, d\right) R_{S}^{2},
$$

$$
c_{15}^{*}=2 H^{*}+2 H^{*} d(s+1)+
$$

$$
+c_{14}^{*} \log \left(\frac{\max \left\{c_{5}^{*}, 1\right\} e^{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)\left(6\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)-1\right)} d^{3\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1\right)} \log (2 d) P^{d\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)}}{H^{*} c_{9}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}-1, d\right)}\right)
$$

The following result is [13, Theorem 3.7.1].
Lemma 2.2. If $x \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}$ is a $S$-integer satisfying $x-\alpha=\kappa \xi^{2}$ for some $\xi \in K$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}(x) \leq & 20 \log 2+13 \mathrm{~h}(\kappa)+19 \mathrm{~h}(\alpha)+H^{*}+ \\
& +8 \max \left\{c_{10}^{*} / 2, c_{13}^{*} / 2, c_{12}^{*}+c_{11}^{*} \log c_{11}^{*}, c_{15}^{*}+c_{14}^{*} \log c_{14}^{*}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous result provides an upper bound for the size of $S$-integral solutions, the next one gives lower bound for the size of rational solutions that is not contained in a given set $W$, the set of known points. This is
[11, Lemma 12.1]. Let $P_{0}$ be a fixed rational point on the curve (2.1) and let $\jmath$ be the corresponding Abel-Jacobi map given by

$$
\jmath: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow J, \quad P \rightarrow\left[P-P_{0}\right]
$$

Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ be generators of the free part of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ and

$$
\phi: \mathbb{Z}^{r} \rightarrow J(\mathbb{Q}), \quad\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{k} D_{k}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $W$ be a finite subset of $J(\mathbb{Q})$, and let $L$ be a sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{r}$. Suppose that $\jmath(C(\mathbb{Q})) \subset W+\phi(L)$. Let $\mu_{1}$ be such that

$$
\mu_{1} \leq h(D)-\hat{h}(D),
$$

where $\hat{h}$ denotes the canonical height and $h$ is an appropriately normalized logarithmic height on J. Let

$$
\mu_{2}=\max \{\sqrt{\hat{h}(w)}: w \in W\}
$$

Let $M$ be the height-pairing matrix for the Mordell-Weil basis $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ and let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ be its eigenvalues. Let

$$
\mu_{3}=\min \left\{\sqrt{\lambda_{j}}: j=1, \ldots, r\right\} .
$$

Let $m(L)$ be the Euclidean norm of the shortest non-zero vector of $L$. Then, for any $P \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, either $\jmath(P) \in W$ or

$$
h(\jmath(P)) \geq\left(\mu_{3} m(L)-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1} .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To obtain an upper bound for the size of the $S$-integral points we use the following model

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}: y^{2}=F(x):=x^{6}+20 x^{4}+12 x^{3}+25 x^{2}+24 x+16,
$$

which is isomorphic to the curve $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{7}\right]$, hence they have the same $S$ integral points. As an application of his theory of lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms, Baker ([1]) gave an explicit upper bound for the size of integral solutions of hyperelliptic curves. This result has been improved by many authors (see e.g. [4, 10, 18, 22]). In [11] an improved completely explicit upper bound for integral points were proved combining ideas from [10, $12,15-17,22]$ and in $[13,14]$ for $S$-integral points, the main results stated in Section 2. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $F$. We have that

$$
x-\alpha=\kappa \xi^{2}
$$

where $\kappa, \xi \in K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\kappa$ comes from a finite set. An appropriate finite set can be determined using Lemma 2.1. Using MAGMA ([2]) we get that $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is free of rank 2 with Mordell-Weil basis given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=<x^{2}-2 x+8,7 x-28> \\
& D_{2}=<x^{2}+1 / 2 x+2,7 / 4 x+7>
\end{aligned}
$$

in Mumford representation, the torsion subgroup is trivial. The MAGMA procedures used to compute these data are based on Stoll's papers [19-21]. We obtain that
$\mathcal{E}=\left\{1, \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+8,256 \alpha^{2}+32 \alpha+32,256 \alpha^{4}-480 \alpha^{3}+2016 \alpha^{2}+192 \alpha+256\right\}$, the discriminant of $F$ is $-2^{24} 7^{8}$ and the primes dividing the norms of the elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are $\{2,7,59,8839\}$.

According to the Remark at page 42 in [13] we only need to compute bounds for some of these possible values. In our case only 4 values remain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{1}= & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 8839 \\
\kappa_{2}= & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 8839 \cdot\left(\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+8\right), \\
\kappa_{3}= & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 8839 \cdot\left(256 \alpha^{2}+32 \alpha+32\right), \\
\kappa_{4}= & 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 8839 . \\
& \cdot\left(256 \alpha^{4}-480 \alpha^{3}+2016 \alpha^{2}+192 \alpha+256\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For these values we have the following bounds

| $\kappa$ | $\kappa_{1}$ | $\kappa_{2}$ | $\kappa_{3}$ | $\kappa_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bound for the S-regulator | $3.102 \times 10^{123}$ | $3.102 \times 10^{123}$ | $1.001 \times 10^{292}$ | $9.457 \times 10^{292}$ |
| S-unit rank | 64 | 64 | 113 | 113 |
| bound for $h(x)$ | $1.741 \times 10^{1792}$ | $1.741 \times 10^{1792}$ | $3.449 \times 10^{4165}$ | $3.449 \times 10^{4165}$ |

It means that if $(x, y)$ is an $S$-integral point on the curve $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ with $x=$ $x_{1} / x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1$, then Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$
\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|\right\} \leq \exp \left(3.449 \times 10^{4165}\right)
$$

here we used the MAGMA code upperbounds.m written by Gallegos-Ruiz to obtain bounds for the solutions. We note that the total running time of the calculations was 30.6 hours on an Intel Core i $7-6700 \mathrm{HQ} 2.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ PC.

Let $W$ be the image of the set of these known rational points in $J(\mathbb{Q})$, that is $W=\left\{0 \cdot D_{1}+0 \cdot D_{2},-4 \cdot D_{1}+3 \cdot D_{2},-5 \cdot D_{1}+0 \cdot D_{2},-2 \cdot D_{1}+1 \cdot D_{2},-1\right.$. $\left.D_{1}-1 \cdot D_{2},-3 \cdot D_{1}-1 \cdot D_{2},-4 \cdot D_{1}+1 \cdot D_{2},-1 \cdot D_{1}-3 \cdot D_{2}\right\}$. Applying the Mordell-Weil sieve explained in [11] we obtain that $\jmath(C(\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq W+B J(\mathbb{Q})$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & 2^{4} \cdot 3^{4} \cdot 5^{3} \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 41 \\
& \cdot 43 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 61 \cdot 67 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 179 \cdot 181 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this computation, we used information modulo good primes $p<50000$ such that $\# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is 300 -smooth. The total running time of this calculations was 34 minutes on an Intel Core i7-6700HQ 2.6 GHz PC. We have that to 3 decimal places

$$
\mu_{1}=-7.873, \quad \mu_{2}=1.921, \quad \mu_{3}=0.283
$$

We apply Lemma 2.3 successively to primes of good reduction that satisfy the conditions of the lemma and Criteria (I)(IV) ([11, p. 878]). Using the first 50000 primes we obtain that a lower bound for the size of $\jmath(P)$ for $P$ in the set of unknown rational points is

$$
3.483 \times 10^{672}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}= & 75631701145170013376999268729339294555 \\
& 381746849775503749673996288673221978757 \\
& 263659897853256662351158883713692667920 \\
& 793326000000
\end{aligned}
$$

We replace $B$ by $B_{1}$ and start to sieve using primes that did not satisfied the criteria in the first application. After the second turn we have that the bound is

$$
6.945 \times 10^{2510}
$$

and the new value of $B$ is of size $4.87 \times 10^{567}$. By applying the Mordell-Weil sieve using the first 50000 primes two more times we get that

$$
h(\jmath(P)) \geq 2.157 \times 10^{9124}
$$

for an unknown rational point $P$. Hence

$$
h(x) \geq 1.079 \times 10^{9124}
$$

The total running time of this calculations was 21.8 hours on an Intel Core i $7-6700 \mathrm{HQ} 2.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ PC. It contradicts the bound obtained earlier, hence the only $S$-integral points with $S=\{2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19\}$ on the hyperelliptic curve $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ are given by

$$
(X, Y)=(0, \pm 49),(-1, \pm 38),(-3, \pm 32),(-7, \pm 196)
$$
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