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#### Abstract

We consider a class of parabolic free boundary problems with heterogeneous coefficients including, from a physical point of view, the evolutionary dam problem. We establish existence of a solution for this problem. We use a regularized problem for which we prove existence of a solution by applying the Tychonoff fixed point theorem. Then we pass to the limit to get a solution of our problem. We also give a regularity result of the solutions


## 1. Introduction and statement of the problem

A dam problem is a study of a fluid flow through a porous medium $\Omega$, which is a bounded locally Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$. We are interested in the motion of compressible and incompressible fluids in $\Omega$ and in a time interval $[0, T]$ when we shall interest us with the problem of finding the pressure $u$ of the fluid and the saturation $\chi$ of the wet part $W$ of $Q:=\Omega \times(0, T)$ which is unknown. The boundary $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$ is divided in two parts. The impervious part $\Gamma_{1}$, and the part in contact with air or covered by fluid $\Gamma_{2}$ (see Figure 1), where we assume that $\Gamma_{2}$ is a nonempty relatively open subset of $\Gamma$. Let $\phi$ be a nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function defined in $\bar{Q}$, and let us set $\Sigma_{1}=\Gamma_{1} \times(0, T), \Sigma_{2}=\Gamma_{2} \times(0, T), \Sigma_{3}=\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\phi>0\}$ and $\Sigma_{4}=\Sigma_{2} \cap\{\phi=0\}$, where $\phi$ represents the assigned pressure on $\Sigma_{2}$. The velocity $v$ and the pressure of the fluid in $W$ are related to Darcy's law by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-a(x) \nabla\left(u+x_{n}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right):=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $a(x)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix of regular functions, which represents the permeability of the porous medium.

Let us assume that the wet part $W=\{u>0\}$ is given by

$$
W=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, t\right) \in Q / x_{n}<\Phi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right\}
$$

where $\Phi$ is a regular function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.


Figure 1. Dam
If we combine (1.1) with the mass conservation equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u+x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } W, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive number, which refers to the state of the fluid, compressible $(\alpha>0)$ or incompressible $(\alpha=0)$. If we denote by $\nu$ the unit outward normal to $\Sigma_{1}$ and using the fact that no fluid flow can go through $\Sigma_{1}$, we obtain

$$
v \cdot \nu=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{1},
$$

which can be written using (1.1) as

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{a}}\left(u+x_{n}\right)=a(x) \nabla\left(u+x_{n}\right) \cdot \nu=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{1} .
$$

The flow of fluid through $\Sigma_{4}$ can be written as

$$
v \cdot \nu \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{4}
$$

or, by (1.1),

$$
a(x) \nabla\left(u+x_{n}\right) \cdot \nu \leq 0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{4} .
$$

The pressure on $\Sigma_{2}$ is represented by $\phi$, and thus

$$
u=\phi \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{2} .
$$

Let us assume that the free boundary is represented by the surface $\Sigma=\left\{x_{n}=\right.$ $\left.\Phi\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right\}=\partial(\{u>0\}) \cap Q$ and let us extend $u$ outside $Q \backslash W$ and still denote by $u$ this function which is supposed to be regular. Then, the outward unit normal to $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
\nu=\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)=-\frac{\left(\nabla_{x} u, u_{t}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\nabla_{x} u\right|^{2}+u_{t}^{2}}} .
$$

Since $\nu \cdot(v, 1)=0$ on $\Sigma$, we deduce from (1.1) that

$$
\nu_{t}=a(x) \nabla\left(u+x_{n}\right) \cdot \nu_{x} \quad \text { on } \Sigma .
$$

Thus we have, in the sense of distributions, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u), \xi\rangle & =-\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t \\
& =-\int_{\{u>0\}} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, thanks to (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\{u>0\}} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t=\int_{\Sigma} a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nu_{x} \xi d \sigma \\
& \quad-\int_{\{u>0\}} a(x) e \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t+\alpha \int_{\{u>0\}} u \xi_{t} d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Sigma} \nu_{t} \xi d \sigma-\int_{\{u>0\}} a(x) e \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t+\alpha \int_{\{u>0\}} u \xi_{t} d x d t  \tag{1.4}\\
& =\int_{\{u>0\}} \xi_{t} d x d t-\int_{\{u>0\}} a(x) e \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t+\alpha \int_{\{u>0\}} u \xi_{t} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $e=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Using (1.3)-(1.4) and if we denote by $\chi_{\{u>0\}}$ the characteristic function of the set $\{u>0\}$, we obtain

$$
\langle\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u), \xi\rangle=\int_{Q} \chi_{\{u>0\}} a(x) e \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t-\int_{Q}\left(\alpha u+\chi_{\{u>0\}}\right) \xi_{t} d x d t
$$

which leads to

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x)\left(\nabla u+\chi_{\{u>0\}} e\right)\right)-\left(\alpha u+\chi_{\{u>0\}}\right)_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q) .
$$

Now, if we add the initial condition and we consider a more general framework for the function $a(x) e$, which we denote by $H(x)$, we obtain the following
strong formulation of a class of parabolic free boundary problems with heterogeneous coefficients $a(x)$ and $H(x)$ : find $u, \chi: \bar{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u \geq 0,0 \leq \chi \leq 1, u(1-\chi) & =0 & & \text { in } Q  \tag{1.5}\\
\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x))-(\alpha u+\chi)_{t} & =0 & & \text { in } Q \\
u & =\phi & & \text { on } \Sigma_{2} \\
(\alpha u+\chi)(\cdot, 0) & =u_{0}+\chi_{0} & & \text { in } \Omega \\
(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nu & =0 & & \text { on } \Sigma_{1} \\
(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nu & \leq 0 & & \text { on } \Sigma_{4}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where, for a.e. $x \in \Omega, a(x)=\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)_{i j}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix satisfying for two positive constants $\lambda, \Lambda$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, & \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega: \quad \lambda|\xi|^{2} \leq a(x) \xi \cdot \xi, \\
\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, & \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega: \quad|a(x) . \xi| \leq \Lambda|\xi| \tag{1.7}
\end{array}
$$

and $H: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a vector function satisfying for a positive constant $\bar{H}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& |H(x)| \leq \bar{H} \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega  \tag{1.8}\\
& \operatorname{div}(H(x)) \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, $u_{0}$ and $\chi_{0}$ are functions of the variable $x$ such that we have for a positive constant $U_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq \chi_{0}(x) \leq 1 \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega  \tag{1.10}\\
& 0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq U_{0} \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

To derive the weak formulation corresponding to (1.5), let us consider a regular function $\xi$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q} & {\left[(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi-(\alpha u+\chi) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t-\int_{\Sigma_{2}}(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nu \xi d \sigma } \\
& +\int_{\Omega}(\alpha u+\chi)(x, T) \xi(x, T) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and if we assume that $\xi(\cdot, T)=0$ in $\Omega, \xi=0$ on $\Sigma_{3}$, and $\xi \geq 0$ on $\Sigma_{4}$, we obtain
$\int_{Q}\left[(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi-(\alpha u+\chi) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x$.

This leads us to the following weak formulation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Find }(u, \chi) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{\infty}(Q) \text { such that: } \\
\text { (i) } u \geq 0,0 \leq \chi \leq 1, u \cdot(1-\chi)=0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q \\
\text { (ii) } u=\phi \text { on } \Sigma_{2}, \\
(i i i) \quad \int_{Q}\left[(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi-(\alpha u+\chi) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t  \tag{1.12}\\
\leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\chi_{0}(x)+\alpha u_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x \\
\forall \xi \in H^{1}(Q), \xi=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{3}, \xi \geq 0 \text { on } \Sigma_{4}, \\
\xi(x, T)=0 \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From a physical point of view, this class contains the evolutionary dam problem. Thus, if $H(x)=a(x) e$, then (1.12) is the weak formulation of the evolutionary dam problem (see [21,6,20] for the evolutionary dam problem with homogeneous coefficients).

This work studies an expanded form of a class of parabolic free boundary problems including the evolutionary dam problem. Indeed, an existence result for a weak formulation of the evolution dam problem (with homogeneous coefficients) for an incompressible flow where $a(x)=I_{n}$ and $H(x)=I_{n} e$, and a domain with general geometry was established in [21], which was then extended in [6] to the compressible case. In [20] existence of a solution was given by a different method, both for compressible and incompressible fluids. For the problem with Neumann boundary condition we refer to $[9,18,19,26$, 24]. For the problem with unified boundary condition and/or generalized nonlinear Darcy's law, we refer to [25] and [12] respectively for the stationary and evolutionary cases.

In [7] and [32], uniqueness was obtained by using the method of doubling variables respectively for a homogeneous porous medium with general geometry and for an incompressible fluid through a heterogeneous porous medium. Moreover, uniqueness has been proved in [20] and [28] by a different method for a rectangular dam wet at the bottom and dry near to the top, respectively, in homogeneous and heterogeneous domains. It is also difficult to adapt these methods to the general case.

In this paper, we establish an existence theorem of a solution for the class of parabolic free boundary problems (1.12) with heterogeneous coefficients $a(x)$ and $H(x)$, where $a(x)=\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)_{i j}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with variable coefficients satisfying (1.6)-(1.7) and $H(x)$ is a vector function satisfying (1.8)(1.9). The method adopted in this study combines techniques from [21] and [6] by using the assumptions (1.6)-(1.9). Indeed, we start with a regularized
problem (2.1) and we employ the uniform ellipticity of $a(x)$ and the boundedness of $a(x)$ and $H(x)$ for which we prove the comparison Lemma 2.1, and consequently, the uniqueness and that the solutions of (2.1) are uniformly bounded independently of $\epsilon$. Thus, by applying the Tychonoff fixed point theorem we get existence of a unique solution of (2.1), denoted $u_{\epsilon}$. Also, the hypotheses (1.6)-(1.9) leads to some a priori estimates as in Proposition 3.3, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 4.2. These a priori estimates and the boundedness of $u_{\epsilon}$ will play important role in the proof of existence of a solution of our problem (1.12) by passing to the limit in (2.1) (see Theorem 4.1), and for the regularity result of the solutions (last section) including the regularity of $u$ in $H_{l o c}^{1}(Q)$ (see Proposition 5.1) where $(u, \chi)$ is a solution of the problem (1.12) obtained as the limit of the regularized problem (2.1).

## 2. A Regularized Problem

In order to establish the existence of a solution, we introduce the following approximated problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } u_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(Q) \text { such that: } u_{\epsilon}=\phi \text { on } \Sigma_{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
\quad \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t \\
\quad+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi(x, T) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x \\
\quad \forall \xi \in H^{1}(Q), \xi=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
H_{\epsilon}(r)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } r \geq \epsilon \\ \frac{r}{\epsilon} & \text { if } 0 \leq r \leq \epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad G_{\epsilon}(r)=\alpha r+H_{\epsilon}(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & \text { if } r \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

For first, we establish the following lemma
Lemma 2.1. Let $v_{1}, v_{2} \in H^{1}(Q)$ such that $v_{1} \geq v_{2}$ on $\Sigma_{2}, \delta>0$, $f_{\delta}(s)=\frac{(s-\delta)^{+}}{s} \chi_{\{s>0\}}$, and $\xi_{\delta}=f_{\delta}\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)$. Assume that we have for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}\right)-H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}\right)\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\delta}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\epsilon\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t} \xi_{\delta t}-\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}\right)-G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}\right)\right) \xi_{\delta t}\right] d x d t  \tag{2.2}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}(x, T)\right)-G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}(x, T)\right)\right) \xi_{\delta t}(x, T) d x \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2} \leq v_{1} \quad \text { a.e. in } Q \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f_{\delta}$ is Lipschitz continuous, we have $\xi_{\delta} \in H^{1}(Q)$ and we have for $z=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \xi_{\delta}}{\partial z}=f_{\delta}^{\prime}\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right) \frac{\partial\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{\partial z}=\frac{\delta}{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{\partial z} \chi_{\left\{v_{2}-v_{1}>\delta\right\}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$
\delta \int_{\left\{v_{2}-v_{1}>\delta\right\}}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}\right)-H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}\right)\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \frac{\nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\epsilon\left|\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t}}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|^{2}-\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}\right)-G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}\right)\right) \frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t}}{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}}\right] d x d t+  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{2}(x, T)\right)-G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{1}(x, T)\right)\right) \frac{\left(v_{2}(x, T)-v_{1}(x, T)-\delta\right)^{+}}{v_{2}(x, T)-v_{1}(x, T)} d x \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G_{\epsilon}$ is nondecreasing, the last integral in the inequality (2.5) is nonnegative. Hence by $(1.6),(1.8)$ and the Lipschitz continuity of $H_{\epsilon}$, we get from (2.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{v_{2}-v_{1}>\delta\right\}} \lambda\left|\frac{\nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|^{2}+\epsilon\left|\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t}}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\left\{v_{2}-v_{1}>\delta\right\}} \frac{\bar{H}}{\epsilon} \cdot\left|\frac{\nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|+\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\left|\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t}}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right| d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads by Young's inequality for some positive constant $C$ independent of $\delta$ to

$$
\int_{\left\{v_{2}-v_{1}>\delta\right\}}\left|\frac{\nabla\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)_{t}}{v_{2}-v_{1}}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C
$$

which in turn can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left|\nabla \ln \left(1+\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}-\delta\right)^{+}}{\delta}\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad+\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln \left(1+\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}-\delta\right)^{+}}{\delta}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C
\end{align*}
$$

By the Poincaré inequality, we obtain from (2.6) for another constant $C^{\prime}$ independent of $\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left|\ln \left(1+\frac{\left(v_{2}-v_{1}-\delta\right)^{+}}{\delta}\right)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C^{\prime} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7), we obtain (2.3).
A first consequence of Lemma 2.1 is the uniqueness of the solution of (2.1).

Proposition 2.2. There is at most one solution to problem (2.1).

Proof. Let us denote by $u_{\epsilon 1}$ and $u_{\epsilon 2}$ two solutions of (2.1). If we use $f_{\delta}\left(u_{\epsilon 2}-u_{\epsilon 1}\right)$ as a test function for both solutions and subtract one equation from another, we see that (2.2) is satisfied with equality. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $u_{\epsilon 2} \leq u_{\epsilon 1}$ a.e. in $Q$. Similarly, we obtain $u_{\epsilon 1} \leq u_{\epsilon 2}$ a.e. in $Q$. Therefore we have $u_{\epsilon 1}=u_{\epsilon 2}$ a.e. in $Q$.

A second consequence of Lemma 2.1 is that any solution of (2.1) is uniformly bounded independently of $\epsilon$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be a solution of (2.1). Then we have for some positive constant $M$ independently of $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u_{\epsilon} \leq M \quad \text { a.e. in } Q \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. i) $u_{\epsilon} \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q$ : We denote by $(\cdot)^{-}$the negative part of a function. Since $\xi_{\epsilon}=\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)^{-}$is a test function for (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q} & {\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\epsilon}+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\epsilon t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{\epsilon t}\right] d x d t }  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, T) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi_{\epsilon} d x d t=-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq 0\right\}} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\epsilon t} d x d t=-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq 0\right\}} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $H_{\epsilon}(r)=0$ if $r \leq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\epsilon} d x d t=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, integrating on t and using the fact that $H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(r)=0$ if $r<0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{\epsilon t} d x d t+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, T) d x \\
& \quad=-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) \leq 0\right\}} \alpha u_{\epsilon}^{2}(x, 0) d x+\int_{Q} \alpha u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\epsilon} d x d t \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q} \alpha u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\epsilon} d x d t=\int_{\Omega} \alpha u_{\epsilon}(x, T) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, T) d x \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} \alpha u_{\epsilon}(x, 0) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, 0) d x-\int_{Q} \alpha u_{\epsilon} \xi_{\epsilon t} d x d t \\
& =-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, T) \leq 0\right\}} \alpha u^{2}(x, T) d x+\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) \leq 0\right\}} \alpha u^{2}(x, 0) d x-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq 0\right\}} \alpha u_{\epsilon t} u_{\epsilon} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we deduce

$$
\int_{Q} \alpha u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\epsilon} d x d t=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, T) \leq 0\right\}} u^{2}(x, T) d x-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) \leq 0\right\}} u^{2}(x, 0) d x\right\}
$$

Then by (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{\epsilon t} d x d t+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi_{\epsilon}(x, T) d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, T) \leq 0\right\}} u^{2}(x, T) d x+\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) \leq 0\right\}} u^{2}(x, 0) d x\right\} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.10)-(2.12), (2.14) and the fact that $\alpha u_{0}+\chi_{0} \geq 0, \xi_{\epsilon}(\cdot, 0) \geq 0 \quad$ a.e. in $\Omega$, we obtain from (2.9)

$$
\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq 0\right\}} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon}+\epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq 0 .
$$

Hence (1.6) leads to

$$
\min (\lambda, \epsilon) \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq 0\right\}}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq 0 .
$$

Then we deduce that $u_{\epsilon} \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q$.
ii) $\underline{u_{\epsilon} \leq M \text { a.e. in } Q}$ : Let $v$ be the unique solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \text { such that: } \\
v=1 \text { on } \Gamma_{2}, \\
\int_{\Omega}(a(x) \nabla v+H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi d x=0, \\
\forall \xi \in H^{1}(\Omega), \xi=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Applying Theorem 3 of [15] to $\pm v$, we obtain for two constants $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ depending on the data that

$$
M_{1} \leq v \leq M_{2} \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad \Omega
$$

Setting $w=v-M_{1}+\max \left(|\phi|_{L^{\infty}(Q)},\left|u_{0}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, 1\right)$, we see that $w \geq 1$ a.e. in $\Omega$, and consequently $\forall \epsilon \in(0,1], H_{\epsilon}(w)=1$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Moreover we have $w \geq|\phi|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \geq u_{\epsilon}$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. It follows that for each $\delta>0$, the function
$\xi_{\delta}=f_{\delta}\left(u_{\epsilon}-w\right)$ vanishes on $\Sigma_{2}$. We deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q}[ & \left.\left(a(x) \nabla w+H_{\epsilon}(w) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\delta}+\epsilon w_{t} \xi_{\delta t}-G_{\epsilon}(w) \xi_{\delta t}\right] d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}(w) \xi_{\delta}(x, T) d x \\
= & \int_{Q}\left[(a(x) \nabla w+H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\delta}-(\alpha w+1) \xi_{\delta t}\right] d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}(\alpha w+1) \xi_{\delta}(x, T) d x  \tag{2.15}\\
= & \int_{Q}-(\alpha w+1) \xi_{\delta t} d x d t+\int_{\Omega}(\alpha w+1) \xi_{\delta}(x, T) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}(\alpha w+1) \xi_{\delta}(x, 0) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $\xi_{\delta}$ is a test function for (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q} & {\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\delta}+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{\delta t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{\delta t}\right] d x d t }  \tag{2.16}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi_{\delta}(x, T) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi_{\delta}(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (2.15) from and (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-w\right)+\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-H_{\epsilon}(w)\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi_{\delta}+\epsilon\left(u_{\epsilon}-w\right)_{t} \xi_{\delta t}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad-\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)-G_{\epsilon}(w)\right) \xi_{\delta t}\right] d x d t+\int_{\Omega}\left(G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right)-G_{\epsilon}(w)\right) \xi_{\delta}(x, T) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha\left(u_{0}(x)-w\right)+\left(\chi_{0}(x)-1\right)\right) \xi_{\delta}(x, 0) d x \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $w \geq\left|u_{0}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, 0 \leq \chi_{0} \leq 1$ and $\xi_{\delta}(\cdot, 0) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain $u_{\epsilon} \leq w$ a.e. in $Q$. In particular, we have $u_{\epsilon} \leq|w|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=M$ a.e. in $Q$.

Remark 2.4. Let us define a truncation function of $G_{\epsilon}$ as follows

$$
\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(r)= \begin{cases}G_{\epsilon}(M) & \text { if } \quad r \geq M \\ G_{\epsilon}(r) & \text { if } \quad 0 \leq r \leq M \\ 0 & \text { if } \quad r \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that if $u_{\epsilon}$ is a solution of (2.1), then it also satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q}[ & \left.\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t}-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) \xi(x, T) d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x  \tag{2.17}\\
\forall \xi \in & H^{1}(Q), \xi=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, if there exists a function $v_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(Q)$ such that $v_{\epsilon}=\phi$ on $\Sigma_{2}$ and satisfies (2.17), then by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, we obtain $0 \leq v_{\epsilon} \leq M$, with the same positive constant $M$ in Proposition 2.2. Hence $v_{\epsilon}$ is a solution of (2.1), and by uniqueness we have $v_{\epsilon}=u_{\epsilon}$.

Now, we shall deal with the question of existence of a solution to $\left(P_{\epsilon}\right)$.
THEOREM 2.5. The problem (2.1) has a solution.
Proof. We observe that if we take into account Remark 2.4, then it is enough to prove the existence of a function $u_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}(Q)$ such that $u_{\epsilon}=\phi$ on $\Sigma_{2}$ and satisfies (2.17). We will give the proof in three steps

Step 1: We define

$$
W=\left\{v \in H^{1}(Q) / v=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{2}\right\}, \quad K=\left\{v \in H^{1}(Q) / v=\phi \text { on } \Sigma_{2}\right\},
$$

and the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
A: H^{1}(Q) \times H^{1}(Q) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\
\quad(u, v) & \longmapsto A(u, v)=\int_{Q}\left(a(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v+\epsilon u_{t} v_{t}\right) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H^{1}(Q)$. It is obvious from (1.7) that $A$ is a bilinear continuous form on $H^{1}(Q)$. Thus, let us define $B: K \rightarrow\left(H^{1}(Q)\right)^{\prime}$ such that $\langle B u, v\rangle=A(u, v)$ for all $v \in H^{1}(Q)$. Using (1.6) and the Poincaré inequality, we obtain for a positive constant $C>0$

$$
\langle B u-B v, u-v\rangle \geq C|u-v|_{1,2}^{2}>0 \quad \forall u, v \in K, u \neq v,
$$

which implies that $B$ is strictly monotone and coercive on $K$ in the sense that there exists $v_{0}=\phi \in K$ such that

$$
\frac{\langle B u-B \phi, u-\phi\rangle}{|u-\phi|_{1,2}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { when } \quad u \in K, \quad|u|_{1,2} \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Now for $v \in H^{1}(Q)$, we consider the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{v}: H^{1}(Q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \xi \longmapsto \int_{Q} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v) \xi_{t}-H_{\epsilon}(v) H(x) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t \\
&+\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x-\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v(x, T)) \xi(x, T) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $f_{v}$ is a linear form on $H^{1}(Q)$. Moreover, using (1.8), the continuity of the trace operator $H^{1}(Q) \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}(\Omega)$, and the fact that $H_{\epsilon}$, $\bar{G}_{\epsilon}, u_{0}$ and $\chi_{0}$ are bounded, it is not difficult to see that $f_{v}$ is continuous on $H^{1}(Q)$. We conclude from [16, Theorem 1.10] that for each $v \in H^{1}(Q)$, there exists a unique $u_{\epsilon} \in K$ solution of the variational inequality

$$
\left\langle B u_{\epsilon}, w-u_{\epsilon}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle f_{v}, w-u_{\epsilon}\right\rangle \quad \forall w \in K
$$

If we choose in the above inequality $w=u_{\epsilon} \pm \xi$ with $\xi \in W$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(u_{\epsilon}, \xi\right)=\left\langle f_{v}, \xi\right\rangle \quad \forall \xi \in W \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: We consider the mapping $F_{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
F_{\epsilon}: H^{1}(Q) \longrightarrow K, \quad v \longmapsto u_{\epsilon} .
$$

If we denote by $\bar{B}(0, R)$ the closed ball in $H^{1}(Q)$ of center 0 and radius $R$, then we have the following statement.

Lemma 2.6. There exists $R_{\epsilon}>0$ such that
i) $\exists R_{\epsilon}>0: F_{\epsilon}\left(\bar{B}\left(0, R_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \subset \bar{B}\left(0, R_{\epsilon}\right)$,
ii) $F_{\epsilon}: \bar{B}\left(0, R_{\epsilon}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{B}\left(0, R_{\epsilon}\right)$ is weakly continuous.

Proof. i) Since $u_{\epsilon}-\phi$ is a suitable test function for (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}(v) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)_{t}-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)_{t}\right] d x d t+\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v(x, T))\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, T) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, 0) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{Q} a & a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon}+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t=\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}(v) H(x) \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}(v) H(x) \cdot \nabla \phi d x d t \\
\quad & +\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \phi_{t} d x d t+\int_{Q} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v) u_{\epsilon t} d x d t-\int_{Q} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v) \phi_{t} d x d t  \tag{2.19}\\
\quad & -\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v(x, T))\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, T) d x \\
\quad & +\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, 0) d x
\end{array}
$$

Using (1.6)-(1.8), (1.10)-(1.11), Hölder's inequality, the fact that $u_{\epsilon}, H_{\epsilon}(v)$ and $\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v)$ are bounded, and that $\phi \in C^{0,1}(\bar{Q})$, and the continuity of the trace
operator, we obtain from (2.19) the following estimate

$$
\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2}^{2} \leq c_{1}(\epsilon)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2}+c_{2}(\epsilon),
$$

which implies that we have for some positive constant $R_{\epsilon}$ depending on $\epsilon$

$$
\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2} \leq R_{\epsilon} \quad \text { or } \quad\left|F_{\epsilon}(v)\right|_{1,2} \leq R_{\epsilon} .
$$

Hence we have proved that $F_{\epsilon}(\bar{B}(0, R(\epsilon))) \subset \bar{B}(0, R(\epsilon))$.
ii) Let $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a generalized sequence in $C=\bar{B}(0, R(\epsilon))$ weakly converging to $v$ in $C$ for the weak topology of $H^{1}(Q)$. Set $u_{\epsilon}^{i}=F_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $u_{\epsilon}=F_{\epsilon}(v)$, and let us prove that $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ converges to $u_{\epsilon}$ weakly in $C$. Since $C$ is compact with respect to the weak topology, it is enough to show that $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ has $u_{\epsilon}$ as unique limit point for that topology. So let $u$ be a weak limit point for $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $C$. Using the following compact imbeddings $H^{1}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(Q)$ and $H^{1 / 2}(\Omega \times\{T\}) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega \times\{T\})$, one can construct two sequences $\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
v_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}} \rightarrow v & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}(Q), \\
v_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}(\cdot, T) \rightarrow v(\cdot, T) & \text { strongly in } & L^{2}(\Omega \times\{T\}), \\
u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}} \rightharpoonup u & \text { weakly in } & H^{1}(Q) . \tag{2.22}
\end{array}
$$

Writing (2.18) for $u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}$ and $u_{\epsilon}$ with $\xi=u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}$ and subtracting the two inequalities from each other, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)+\epsilon\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t}^{2} d x d t \\
&= \int_{Q}\left(\bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t} d x d t  \tag{2.23}\\
&-\int_{Q}\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)-H_{\epsilon}(v)\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
&-\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}(x, T)\right)-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v(x, T))\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)(x, T) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Lipschitz continuity of $\bar{G}_{\epsilon}$ and $H_{\epsilon}$, (1.8), Hölder's inequality, and
Lemma 2.6 i), and the imbeddings $H^{1}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{2}(Q)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{Q}\left(\bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t} d x d t\right|  \tag{2.24}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\left|v_{i_{k}}-v\right|_{2}\left|u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2} \leq 2 R_{\epsilon}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\left|v_{i_{k}}-v\right|_{1,2}, \\
& \quad\left|\int_{Q}\left(H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}\right)-H_{\epsilon}(v)\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{H}\left|v_{i_{k}}-v\right|_{2} \cdot\left|u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2} \leq \frac{2 R_{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \bar{H}\left|v_{i_{k}}-v\right|_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly we get by the Lipschitz continuity of $\bar{G}_{\epsilon}$, Hölder's inequality, and the continuity of the trace operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\Omega}\left(\bar{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{i_{k}}(x, T)\right)-\bar{G}_{\epsilon}(v(x, T))\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)(x, T) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\left|\left(v_{i_{k}}-v\right)(x, T)\right|_{2, \Omega}\left|\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right)(x, T)\right|_{2, \Omega}  \tag{2.26}\\
& \quad \leq c R_{\epsilon}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\left|\left(v_{i_{k}}-v\right)(x, T)\right|_{2, \Omega} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.24)-(2.26) and (1.6), we deduce from (2.23)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}}-u_{\epsilon}\right|_{1,2} \leq c_{\epsilon}\left(\left|v_{i_{k}}-v\right|_{2}+\left|\left(v_{i_{k}}-v\right)(x, T)\right|_{2, \Omega}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.20)-(2.21) and (2.27), we get

$$
u_{\epsilon}^{i_{k}} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon} \quad \text { strongly in } H^{1}(Q)
$$

Taking into account (2.22), we obtain $u_{\epsilon}=u$ and therefore $u_{\epsilon}$ is the unique weak limit point of $\left(u_{\epsilon}^{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $C$. Thus we have

$$
u_{\epsilon}^{i}=F_{\epsilon}\left(v^{i}\right) \rightharpoonup u_{\epsilon}=F_{\epsilon}(v) \quad \text { weakly in } C,
$$

and the weak continuity of $F_{\epsilon}$ holds.
Step 3: At this point, we can apply the Tychonoff fixed point theorem [30] to conclude that $F_{\epsilon}$ has a fixed point, which thanks to Remark 2.4 is a solution of problem (2.1).

## 3. Regularity of the approximated solution

Proposition 3.1. Assume that $\Gamma_{2}$ is of class $C^{1,1}, \phi \in H^{2}(Q)$ and $a_{i j} \in$ $C^{1,1}\left(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{2}\right)$. Then we have $u_{\epsilon} \in H_{l o c}^{2}\left(Q \cup \Sigma_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$. Using $\pm \xi$ as test functions for (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right)+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from (3.1) and the fact that $u_{\epsilon}=\phi$ on $\Sigma_{2}$ [22, Lemma 9.16 p. 241].

Remark 3.2. If we use $\xi=\varphi \psi$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \psi \in C^{1}(0, T]$, and $\psi(T)=1$, as test functions for (2.1) and take into account (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon t}(x, T)=0 \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.3. Assume that $a(x)$ is symmetric with $a_{i j} \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Let $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$ be a nonempty open subset of $\Omega$ and $\delta \in(0, T)$. Then there exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small enough such that we have for some positive constant $C\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \delta\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right): \quad \int_{\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)}\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq C\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \delta\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need the following lemma which will be useful for the existence proof of the solution.

Lemma 3.4. There exists $\epsilon_{0}>0$ small enough such that for any $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q}\left(\lambda\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \leq C \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $\epsilon$.
Proof. Using $u_{\epsilon}-\phi$ as a test function for (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t}\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)_{t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)_{t}\right] d x d t \\
\quad+\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, T) d x \\
=\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, 0) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

from which we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q} a(x) & \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon}+\epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t=\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \phi_{t} d x d t-\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon t} d x d t+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla \phi d x d t  \tag{3.5}\\
& +\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \phi_{t} d x d t-\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, T) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}-\phi\right)(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1.7), (1.8), and the fact that $H_{\epsilon}$ is bounded, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid & \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi d x d t \mid \leq \Lambda\left(\int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q}|\nabla \phi|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left|\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \phi_{t} d x d t\right| \leq \epsilon_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q} \epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\int_{Q}\left|\phi_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left|\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t\right| \leq \bar{H}|Q|^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\widetilde{F}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} F(s) d s$ for any real function $F$ of the real variable, integrating by parts, and using the fact that $u_{\epsilon}$ is bounded, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon t} d x d t=\int_{Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, 0)\right) d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\left(\alpha u_{\epsilon}(x, T)+1\right) d x \leq|\Omega| M(\alpha M+1)=: c .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.5)-(3.9), (1.10)-(1.11), the fact that $H_{\epsilon}$ and $u_{\epsilon}$ are bounded, and $\phi \in C^{0,1}(\bar{Q})$, we obtain for some positive constant $c_{1}$,

$$
\int_{Q} \lambda\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq c_{1}\left\{1+\left(\int_{Q} \lambda\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{Q} \epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

which leads to $0 \leq U_{\epsilon} \leq C\left(1+U_{\epsilon}^{1 / 2}\right)$, where $U_{\epsilon}=\int_{Q} \lambda\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\epsilon\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right|^{2} d x d t$. Hence (3.4) holds.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $\Omega^{\prime}$ be as in the proposition. Let $\delta>0$ and $\xi=\varphi \psi$ where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in C_{0}^{1}(0, T]$ such that $\varphi=1$ in $\Omega^{\prime}, \psi=1$ in ( $\delta, T]$. Multiplying (3.1) by $u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2}$ and integrating over $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t=\int_{Q} \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x) u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \operatorname{div}(H(x)) u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad-\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t+\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t t} u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the symmetry of $a(x)$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} \operatorname{div}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot u_{\epsilon \epsilon} \xi^{2} d x d t=-\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla\left(u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2}\right) d x d t \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)_{t} \xi^{2} d x d t-2 \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi u_{\epsilon t} \xi d x d t  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t-2 \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi u_{\epsilon t} \xi d x d t \\
& \quad \quad-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x, T) \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon}(x, T) \xi^{2}(x, T) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover we have by taking into account (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t t} u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} \epsilon\left(u_{\epsilon t}^{2}\right)_{t} \xi^{2} d x d t=-\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.11)-(3.12) and (1.6), we deduce from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \leq \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad-2 \int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi u_{\epsilon t} \xi d x d t-\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x) u_{\epsilon t}-u_{\epsilon t}^{2}\right) d x d t  \tag{3.13}\\
& \quad+\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \operatorname{div}(H(x)) u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Next we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x) u_{\epsilon t}-u_{\epsilon t}^{2}\right) d x d t \leq c(\xi) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (3.1) by $v_{\epsilon} \xi^{2}$ with $v_{\epsilon}=\min \left(u_{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right)$ and integrating over $Q$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q} & \xi^{2} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t=-2 \int_{Q} v_{\epsilon} \xi a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q} \xi^{2} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t-2 \int_{Q} \xi v_{\epsilon} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} v_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t-2 \int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} v_{\epsilon} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t  \tag{3.15}\\
& +\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t+2 \int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon} \xi \xi_{t} d x d t \\
& -\int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) v_{\epsilon}(x, T) \xi^{2}(x, T) d x
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t} v_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t=-\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}<\epsilon\right\}} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \leq 0, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q} & G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) v_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t=\int_{Q} \xi^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& =-2 \int_{Q} \xi \xi_{t} \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t+\int_{\Omega} \xi^{2}(x, T) \widetilde{G}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) d x \\
& \leq 2 \int_{Q}\left|\xi \xi_{t}\right| v_{\epsilon} G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) d x d t+\int_{\Omega} \xi^{2}(x, T) v_{\epsilon}(x, T) G_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}(x, T)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\int_{Q} \xi^{2} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t \\
&=-\int_{Q} \xi^{2} H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t \\
&=-\int_{Q} \xi^{2} H(x) \cdot \nabla\left(\widetilde{H}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right) d x d t  \tag{3.18}\\
&=\int_{Q} \widetilde{H}_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(\xi^{2} H(x)\right) d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{Q} v_{\epsilon} H_{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\left|\operatorname{div}\left(\xi^{2} H(x)\right)\right| d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Using (1.7)-(1.9), (3.4), (3.16)-(3.18) and the fact that $H_{\epsilon}, G_{\epsilon}$ are bounded, we deduce from (3.15) for a constant $c_{1}(\xi)$ independent of $\epsilon$ and for any $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \xi^{2} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t \leq \epsilon c_{1}(\xi) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (1.6) and (1.8), the fact that $H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \geq 0$ and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x) u_{\epsilon t} \xi^{2} d x d t-\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x)\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad-\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x)\right|^{2} d x d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t  \tag{3.20}\\
& \leq \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot H(x)\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \bar{H}^{2} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{\bar{H}^{2}}{\lambda} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi^{2} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\epsilon} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{\bar{H}^{2}}{\lambda \epsilon} \int_{Q} \xi^{2} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{\epsilon} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.19) and (3.20), we get (3.14).

Now, using (1.7), (3.4) and (3.14), we get from (3.13)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \leq \Lambda|\xi|_{\infty}\left|\xi_{t}\right|_{\infty} \int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+2 \Lambda|\nabla \xi|_{\infty} \int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right| \xi d x d t \\
&+|\xi|_{\infty}\left|\xi_{t}\right|_{\infty} \int_{Q} \epsilon u_{\epsilon t}^{2} d x d t+c(\xi)+\int_{Q} \xi|\operatorname{div}(H(x))| \cdot\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right| \xi d x d t  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leq 2 \Lambda|\nabla \xi|_{\infty} \int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right| \xi d x d t+\int_{Q} \xi|\operatorname{div}(H(x))| \cdot\left|u_{\epsilon t}\right| \xi d x d t \\
& \quad+|\xi|_{\infty}\left|\xi_{t}\right|_{\infty} C\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}+1\right)+c(\xi) .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Young's inequality and taking into account (1.9) and (3.4), we obtain from (3.21)
$\alpha \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t+2 \frac{\Lambda^{2}}{\alpha}|\nabla \xi|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \cdot \xi^{2} d x d t$

$$
+\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q} \xi^{2}|\operatorname{div}(H(x))|^{2} d x d t+|\xi|_{\infty}\left|\xi_{t}\right|_{\infty} C\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}+1\right)+c(\xi)
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{Q} u_{\epsilon t}^{2} \xi^{2} d x d t \leq 4 \frac{\Lambda^{2} C}{\lambda \alpha^{2}}|\nabla \xi|_{\infty}^{2}|\xi|_{\infty}^{2}+\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}}|\xi|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{Q}|\operatorname{div}(H(x))|^{2} d x d t \\
\quad+|\xi|_{\infty}\left|\xi_{t}\right|_{\infty} \frac{2 C}{\alpha}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}+1\right)+\frac{2 c(\xi)}{\alpha}=: C(\xi)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\xi=1$ in $\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)$, the estimate (3.3) holds from the last inequality.

## 4. Existence of a solution

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $\phi$ is a nonnegative Lipschitz continuous function, that (1.6)-(1.11) hold. Then there exists a solution ( $u, \chi$ ) to problem (1.12).

The proof will consist in passing to the limit, when $\epsilon$ goes to 0 , in (2.1). To do that we shall need a few preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. Let $u_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of (2.1). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon}+H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi+\epsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t}-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad \forall \xi \in H^{1}(Q), \xi=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{3}, \xi \geq 0 \text { on } \Sigma_{4}, \xi(x, T)=0 \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in H^{1}(Q), \xi=0$ on $\Sigma_{3}, \xi \geq 0$ on $\Sigma_{4}, \xi(x, T)=0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Using for $\delta>0, \min \left(\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\delta}, \xi\right)$ as a test function for (2.1) and taking into account the fact that $\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x) \geq 0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and (1.6), we obtain (4.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \geq \delta \xi\right\}} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi+\varepsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t} d x d t \\
& \quad \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left[H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla\left(\min \left(\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\delta}, \xi\right)\right)-G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)\left(\min \left(\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\delta}, \xi\right)\right)_{t}\right] d x d t \\
& \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as in [26], we can verify that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \geq \delta \xi\right\}} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi+\varepsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t} d x d t  \tag{4.3}\\
=\int_{Q} a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla \xi+\varepsilon u_{\epsilon t} \xi_{t} d x d t,
\end{gather*}
$$

(4.4) $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla \min \left(\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\delta}, \xi\right) d x d t=\int_{Q} H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) H(x) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \min \left(\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\delta}, \xi\right)_{t} d x d t=\int_{Q} G_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right) \xi_{t} d x d t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (4.2) and using (4.3)-(4.5), we obtain (4.1).
Lemma 4.3. There exists a subsequence $\epsilon_{k}$ and $u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right), \chi \in$ $L^{2}(Q)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\epsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup u & \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{4.6}\\
H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) \rightharpoonup \chi & \text { weakly in } L^{2}(Q) . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\phi \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{2}, \quad u \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q,  \tag{4.8}\\
& 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q,  \tag{4.9}\\
& u \cdot(1-\chi)=0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, (4.6)-(4.7) hold since $H_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ is uniformly bounded in $Q$ and $u_{\epsilon}$ is bounded independently of $\epsilon$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ by (2.8) and (3.4). Next, the set

$$
K_{1}=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) / v \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q, \quad v=\phi \quad \text { on } \Sigma_{2}\right\}
$$

is weakly closed in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, since it is closed and convex. Since $u_{\epsilon_{k}} \in$ $K_{1}, u$ is also in this set. So (4.8) holds. In the same way, the set

$$
K_{2}=\left\{v \in L^{2}(Q) / 0 \leq v \leq 1 \quad \text { a.e. in } Q\right\}
$$

being closed and convex, it is weakly closed in $L^{2}(Q)$. Thus, since $H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) \in$ $K_{2}, \chi$ is in this set and (4.9) holds.

To prove (4.10), we need the following lemma.

## Lemma 4.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) \longrightarrow \alpha u+\chi \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) ; v=0\right.$ on $\left.\Gamma_{2}\right\}$.
Proof. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\epsilon_{k}}=-\epsilon_{k} u_{\epsilon_{k} t}+G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, from (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{k} u_{\epsilon_{k} t} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(Q) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\int_{Q}\left|\epsilon_{k} u_{\epsilon_{k} t}\right|^{2} d x d t=\epsilon_{k} \int_{Q} \epsilon_{k}\left|u_{\epsilon_{k} t}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq \epsilon_{k} C
$$

Then from (4.6)-(4.7) and (4.12)-(4.13) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\epsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup \alpha u+\chi \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\epsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup \alpha u+\chi \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T ; V)$ as a test function for $\left(P_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{Q}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon_{k}}+H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi+\epsilon u_{\epsilon_{k} t} \xi_{t}-G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) \xi_{t} d x d t=0
$$

which can be written as

$$
\int_{Q} w_{\epsilon_{k}} \xi_{t} d x d t=\int_{Q}\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon_{k}}+H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi d x d t
$$

and which leads by (1.7)-(1.8), (3.4) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{Q} w_{\epsilon_{k}} \xi_{t} d x d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \Lambda\left(\left(\int_{Q}\left|\nabla u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}+\bar{H}|Q|^{1 / 2}\right) \cdot\left(\int_{Q}|\nabla \xi|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leq C|\xi|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since (4.16) holds for any $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T ; V)$, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{\epsilon_{k} t}\right|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)} \leq C \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. that $w_{\epsilon_{k} t}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. At this point, we introduce the Banach vector space

$$
Z=\left\{v \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) / v_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

under the norm

$$
|v|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left|v_{t}\right|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)}
$$

As explained in [26] the imbedding $Z \hookrightarrow L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ is compact. Since by (2.8), (3.4) and (4.17), the sequence $w_{\epsilon_{k}}$ is bounded in $Z$, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\epsilon_{k}$, such that by (4.15),

$$
w_{\epsilon_{k}} \rightarrow \alpha u+\chi \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) .
$$

which leads by (4.12)-(4.13) to (4.11).
We now return to the proof of (4.10). We first observe that
$0 \leq \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t=\int_{Q \cap\left\{0 \leq u_{\epsilon_{k}} \leq \epsilon_{k}\right\}} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t \leq \epsilon_{k}|Q|$
which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases

* $\alpha=0$ : Using (4.6) and (4.11), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} & \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t \\
= & \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}-\phi\right)\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& \quad+\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} \phi\left(1-H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right) d x d t  \tag{4.19}\\
= & \int_{Q}(u-\phi)(1-\chi) d x d t+\int_{Q} \phi(1-\chi) d x d t \\
= & \int_{Q} u(1-\chi) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.18)-(4.19) that we have

$$
\int_{Q} u \cdot(1-\chi) d x d t=0
$$

Since $u \cdot(1-\chi) \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q$, we obtain $u \cdot(1-\chi)=0$ a.e. in $Q$.

* $\alpha>0$ : Since $H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)=G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)-\alpha u_{\epsilon_{k}}$, we deduce from (4.18) that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(1-G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)+\alpha u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) d x d t=0
$$

which can be written, using (4.6) and (4.11), as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}^{2} d x d t=\frac{1}{\alpha} \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)-1\right) d x d t \\
&=- \frac{1}{\alpha} \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}} d x d t+\frac{1}{\alpha} \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}-\phi\right) G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) d x d t \\
&+\frac{1}{\alpha} \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} \phi G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) d x d t  \tag{4.20}\\
&=- \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q} u d x d t+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q}(u-\phi)(\alpha u+\chi) d x d t+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q} \phi(\alpha u+\chi) d x d t \\
&=- \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q} u(1-\chi) d x d t+\int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Given that $u_{\epsilon_{k}}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $L^{2}(Q)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}^{2} d x d t \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.20)-(4.21) that we have

$$
\int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t \leq-\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q} u(1-\chi) d x d t+\int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t \leq \int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t
$$

which leads to $\int_{Q} u(1-\chi) d x d t=0$. Since $u \cdot(1-\chi) \geq 0$ a.e. in $Q$, we obtain $u \cdot(1-\chi)=0$ a.e. in $Q$.

Remark 4.5. We deduce from (4.20)-(4.21) that we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} u_{\epsilon_{k}}^{2} d x d t=\int_{Q} u^{2} d x d t
$$

which leads to

$$
u_{\epsilon_{k}} \longrightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{2}(Q)
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It is clear that (1.12)(i) and (1.12) (ii) follow from Lemma 4.3. Let us establish (1.12)(iii). Let $\xi \in H^{1}(Q), \xi=0$ on $\Sigma_{3}, \xi \geq 0$ on $\Sigma_{4}$ and $\xi(x, T)=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Then we have by (4.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left[\left(a(x) \nabla u_{\epsilon_{k}}+H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) H(x)\right) \cdot \nabla \xi+\epsilon_{k} u_{\epsilon_{k} t} \xi_{t}-G_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t  \tag{4.22}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $k$ go to $\infty$ in (4.22) and using (4.6)-(4.7), (4.11) and (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q} & {\left[(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla \xi-(\alpha u+\chi) \xi_{t}\right] d x d t } \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\alpha u_{0}(x)+\chi_{0}(x)\right) \xi(x, 0) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which achieves the proof of Theorem 4.1

## 5. Regularity of the solution

In this section, we give two regularity results of the solutions of the problem (1.12). First, we have a restricted result.

Proposition 5.1. Assume that $\alpha>0$ and $a(x)$ is symmetric with $a_{i j} \in$ $C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there exists a solution ( $u, \chi$ ) of the problem (1.12) such that for any open set $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$ of $\Omega$ and any $T>\delta>0$, we have $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)\right)$.

Proof. Let $(u, \chi)$ be a solution of the problem (1.12) obtained as a limit of the sequence $\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}, H_{\epsilon_{k}}\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)\right)$, where $u_{\epsilon_{k}}$ is the solution of the problem (2.1) introduced in Sect. 2. Using the estimates (3.3)-(3.4), we see that $u_{\epsilon_{k}}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)\right)$. Therefore there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{\epsilon_{k}}\right)_{k}$ such that

$$
u_{\epsilon_{k}} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } H^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)\right) .
$$

Now we have a second regularity result of the solutions.
Proposition 5.2. Let $(u, \chi)$ be a solution of problem (1.12). Then we have

$$
\alpha u+\chi \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right) .
$$

We need a lemma.
Lemma 5.3. We have $(\alpha u+\chi)_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{D}(0, T ; V)$. Since $\pm v$ are test functions for (1.12), we have

$$
\int_{Q}(\alpha u+\chi) v_{t} d x d t=\int_{Q}(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla v d x d t
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{Q}(\alpha u+\chi) v_{t} d x d t\right|=\left|\int_{Q}(a(x) \nabla u+\chi H(x)) \cdot \nabla v d x d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{Q}(\Lambda|\nabla u|+\bar{H}) \cdot|\nabla v| d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \max (\Lambda, \bar{H})\left|\int_{Q}(|\nabla u|+1) \cdot\right| \nabla v|d x d t|  \tag{5.1}\\
& \quad \leq \sqrt{2} \max (\Lambda, \bar{H})\left(\int_{Q}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+1\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\int_{Q}|\nabla v|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leq K|v|_{L^{2}(0, T ; V)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K=\sqrt{2} \max (\Lambda, \bar{H})\left(\int_{Q}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+1\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. We deduce from (5.1) that the linear form

$$
v \rightarrow \int_{Q}(\alpha u+\chi) v_{t} d x d t
$$

is continuous on the dense subspace $\mathcal{D}(0, T ; V)$ of the vector space $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ under its natural norm. It follows that it can be extended to a continuous linear form $F$ up to $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$. Since the distribution $(\alpha u+\chi)_{t}$ coincides with $F$ on $\mathcal{D}(0, T ; V)$, they coincide on $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$. Hence $(\alpha u+\chi)_{t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. As a consequence of Lemma 5.3, we have $\alpha u+\chi \in H^{1}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$, which leads to the result by the Sobolev imbedding $H^{1}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \subset C^{0}\left([0, T] ; V^{\prime}\right)$.

REMARK 5.4. If $\alpha>0$ and $a(x)$ is symmetric and $a_{i j} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$, then there exists a solution $(u, \chi)$ of the problem (1.12) such that $\chi \in$ $C^{0}\left((0, T] ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for any nonempty open set $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega$. Indeed, from Proposition 5.1, there exists a solution $(u, \chi)$ of the problem (1.12) such that for each $T>\delta>0, \Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega, u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega^{\prime} \times(\delta, T)\right)$. We deduce from Lemma 5.3 that

$$
\chi_{t}=(\chi+\alpha u)_{t}-\alpha u_{t} \in L^{2}\left(\delta, T ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence $\chi \in C^{0}\left((\delta, T] ; H^{-1}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
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