The additional impact of simulation based medical training to traditional medical training alone in advanced cardiac life support: a scenario based evaluation
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ABSTRACT
Objectives. The principal aim of medical education is to provide medical student with the fundamental knowledge and required skills that can be specifically used in real-life conditions such as high-quality cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). Traditional medical training (TMT) is an effective method in Advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) training. Simulation-based medical training (SBMT), with the advancements in technology, is a relatively new, but a preferred ACLS training method since it implements a safe educational environment. We planned a scenario-based study to evaluate the additional impact of SBMT to TMT alone in ACLS training.

Methods. This before-after type, comparative, cohort study was performed in a simulation center. One hundred thirty-six 6th grade medical students who took ACLS training with TMT on their emergency medicine clerkship were enrolled in 34 teams. All students managed a specific ACLS scenario before and after SBMT with a high-fidelity manikin. All data regarding chest compression, airway management, defibrillation and drug administration were recorded by the sensors of the high-fidelity manikin.

Results. Median age was 23 and 51.5% were male. After SBMT, we found significant increases in the successful CPR cycle rate and successful scenario completion rate (60.3%; 61.8%, respectively). Median time to chest compression (Tcc) and defibrillation (Tdef) were significantly decreased after SBMT (1 sec., 1 sec., respectively). For the adequacy of chest compressions, compression depth, recoil, and frequency are all significantly increased after SBMT, 7.0 mm, 6.0 mm and 8.5/min, respectively.

Conclusion. SBMT in combination with TMT is a promising ACLS training method when compared to TMT alone.

Key words: simulation-based medical training, traditional medical training, high-fidelity manikin, CPR, ACLS

INTRODUCTION
The primary goal of medical training, especially in emergency medicine, is to provide medical student with the fundamental knowledge and required skills such as high-quality cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), that can be used accurately in real life situations. (1) The administration of CPR following the American Heart Association (AHA) Guidelines has been shown to reduce mortality in patients suffering from cardiac arrest. (2,3)

In recent years, there has been a search for an education method that establishes the utilization of knowledge and skills in Advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) without causing adverse clinical outcomes. Traditional (didactic) medical training (TMT) is an efficient and well-experienced training method; on the other hand, with the advances in technology, the use of simulation-based medical training (SBMT) is increasing since SBMT provides a safe and supportive educational setting, so that students can improve their performance without causing adverse clinical outcomes. (4-6)

Previous articles showed that SBMT for ACLS training is a more efficient method when compared to TMT alone. SBMT was studied not only on medical students but also on medical residents, nurses, respiratory therapists and dental students in ACLS training. (7-10) On the contrary, in 2002 Kim et al. stated TMT is a more efficient method when compared to SBMT in ACLS training for medical students. (4) In 2012, a paper from Korea found that after one month of ACLS training, there was no significant difference between SBMT and TMT groups. (11)

In this study, we aim to evaluate the additional impact of SBMT by comparing the efficacy for the combination of SBMT and TMT, with TMT alone in ACLS training via a standard ACLS scenario.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study design, period and place
This before-after type, comparative, cohort study was conducted between January 2017 and December 2017 at a simulation center. Simulation center was 800 square meters, consisting of two high fidelity SimMan Essential, six low fidelity mani-
could be a case of decompensated CHF due to a hypertensive emergency. According to the scenario, the patient’s dyspnea worsened, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) was initiated, but she could not tolerate the mask and suddenly arrested. The simulation started at this point at the bedside of the patient.

**Data collection**

All data regarding the scenario were recorded by the sensors of the high-fidelity manikin for every action conducted, following the institutional Ethics Committee’s approval (ID:09.2017.496). The data were sent to a central, dedicated server, where Laerdal Learning Application (LLEAP) was installed. Electronically collected data were as follows: time to chest compressions, the frequency of chest compressions, depth and recoil of chest compressions, the frequency of rescue breaths, time to defibrillation, defibrillation dose, drugs administered, and their doses. Demographics of the students and success at previously defined checkpoints of the scenario were evaluated by the educators and noted on a data sheet. Demographics of the students were then transferred to the same datasheet. Educators consisted of a simulation educator, an emergency medicine physician and a resident with BLS, and ACLS certifications. Then, all data were combined, refined, and simplified on a single electronic database. Demographics of the students were then transferred to the same datasheet.

**Outcomes**

Each team was evaluated with the ACLS scenario detailed above. ACLS scenario was divided into four cycles to ease the evaluation. Each student in the team was randomly assigned to a specific duty at each cycle and they changed their duties in consecutive cycles. Those duties were: providing chest compressions, giving rescue breaths, defibrillation and drug administration. During the simulation, no intervention, guiding or redirecting was performed by the educators. Simulation educators evaluated the success of each team by assessing specific checkpoints at each cycle. Those checkpoints were determined by correct brilitation and drug administration. Defibrillation frequency of 10 to 12 was determined by correct airway positioning and scenario completion rates before and after SBMT. In the first cycle, the team was supposed to recognize asystole, initiate chest compressions that meet previously defined high-quality criteria and successfully manage the airway. The time to chest compression (TCC) and metrics regarding the quality of CPR were the specific checkpoints in this step. In the second cycle, the rhythm was changed to ventricular fibrillation (VF) and the team was supposed to check the rhythm, recognize VF, defibrillate correctly, maintain high-quality chest compressions, and administer the proper dose of epinephrine. In the third cycle, the rhythm was still VF, and the team was supposed to check the rhythm, recognize ongoing VF, defibrillate correctly, administer high-quality chest compressions, and proper doses of epinephrine and amiodarone. In the last cycle, the same criteria as the third cycle were sought. At the end of the 4th cycle, if all cycles had been assessed as successful, the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) was announced; otherwise scenario was ended with the death of the simulated case.

**Definitions and measurements**

The primary endpoint of a successful cycle was determined if airway management was correct, minimum requirements for a high-quality chest compression were met, criteria for correct defibrillation and drug administration were satisfied. If all cycles were assessed as successful, a successful scenario completion was determined. A high-quality chest compression (CC) was defined as compression depth and recoil of 50 mm to 60 mm, and a compression frequency of 100 to 120 bpm. Successful airway positioning was determined by the educator according to AHA ACLS criteria. Presence of a successful airway positioning with a ventilation frequency of 10 to 12 was defined as successful airway management. Correct defibrillation was determined by correct positioning and defibrillating with 200 J. Correct drug administration was defined as the proper use of epinephrine, 1 mg IV at 3-5 min intervals, and amiodarone, 300 mg push and 150 mg repeat dose, at appropriate cycles. Time to chest compression (TCC) was defined as the time in seconds from the start of the simulation to the first compression by the team at the first
cycle. In other cycles, TCC was defined as the time from the end of defibrillation to the first compression and numbered consecutively. According to the scenario, CC was expected to be initiated at all four cycles. Time to defibrillation (TDEF) was defined as the time in seconds from the moment chest compression was stopped to the moment of defibrillation by the team. According to the scenario, the team was supposed to perform defibrillation at the 2nd, 3rd and 4th cycles.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were reported with medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) since non-normality was observed by Shapiro-Wilk’s test. Wilcoxon test was used to compare median values of the variables in before and after groups. Categorical variables were reported as proportions and counts. The differences of proportions, 95% confidence interval of the difference of proportions and absolute change in the proportions were compared using Monte-Carlo simulation for Bayesian inferential statistics, and p values were calculated using z-statistics. In this study, the accepted Type 1 error was 5%. Given the 25 comparisons made in this study we corrected the type 1 error to accept as 0.002. MedCalc Statistical Software version 17.9.7 was used for statistical analysis (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium; http://www.medcalc.org; 2017).

RESULTS

In this study, 136 students were evaluated in 34 teams before and after SBMT. Median age was 23, and 51.5% were male. The primary outcomes of successfully completed CPR cycles and scenarios were analyzed by Wilcoxon test and presented in table 1. A statistically significant 60.3% \((p<0.0001)\) increase in the success rate of CPR cycles and 61.8% \((p=0.0007)\) increase in successful scenario completion rate was observed after SBMT sessions. The increase in success rates originated primarily from the increase in the rate of adequate chest compression depth \((46.3\%, \ p<0.0001)\), recoil \((46.4\%, \ p<0.0001)\), and frequency \((52.2\%, \ p<0.0001)\), the increase in the rate of adequate breath frequency \((34.5\%, \ p<0.0001)\) and accurate positioning of the airway \((30.1\%, \ p<0.0001)\). The secondary outcomes of this study were analyzed by Wilcoxon test and presented in table 2. The change in the median individual data was compared from the 1st cycle to the 4th cycle before and after SBMT. Median time to chest compression (TCC) \((1\ sec., \ p<0.0001)\) and median time to defibrillation \((TDEF)\) \((1\ sec., \ p<0.0001)\) decreased significantly after SBMT but the difference was prominent at the first attempts \((1\ st\ cycle\ for\ TCC\ and\ the\ 2nd\ cycle\ for\ TDEF)\). The adequacy of chest compressions, compression depth, recoil, and frequency significantly increased after SBMT; 7.0 mm \((p=0.0001)\), 6.0 mm \((p=0.0001)\), and 8.5/min \((p=0.0001)\), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Simulation-based medical training (SBMT) is documented to be an effective medical training method. \((12,13)\) Researches have shown that SBMT is a more efficient method when compared with TMT method. \((10,14,15)\) In this study, we compared the efficacy for the combination of SBMT and TMT, with TMT alone in ACLS training in an ACLS scenario.

We examined three critical components of chest compression: compression depth, compression recoil depth, and compression frequency. According to our scenario, only if all three components were performed adequately, the chest compression was accepted as successful since the viability of the heart and other vital organs depend on the CPR quality. Insufficient compression depth, recoil and/or lower rates of compression frequency may cause a decrease in coronary perfusion and aortic peak pressure resulting in poor systemic blood circulation. Nolan et al. and Idris et al., in two different studies, showed that improving chest compression rates is associated with a higher chance for ROSC. \((16,17)\) Our results indicate that medical students improved all three components resulting in a significant increase from 26.5% to 86.8% in successful chest compressions after SBMT \((p<0.0001)\). We evaluated airway management in two parts: adequate breath frequency and correct airway positioning. Successful airway management was achieved when both parts were carried out accurately. In 2016 Breuer

<p>| Table 1. Comparison of the Success Rate Parameters Before and After SBMT |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Successful Chest Compressions, n (%)</th>
<th>Before SBMT</th>
<th>After SBMT</th>
<th>Difference in proportions (95% CI)</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successful Airway management, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful Drug application, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug administration time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successfully completed CPR cycles, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successfully completed scenarios, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI, Confidence Interval; CPR, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation; SBMT, Simulation Based Medical Training. *p&lt;0.002 is set as significant. All defibrillation attempts were correct for placement and dose for both before and after sessions, therefore omitted from the table. All n=136 except successfully completed scenario rate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TMT. shows the additional impact of SBMT over ft 23.5% to 85.3% after SBMT (Table 1) which fully completed scenarios increased from Successfully completed CPR cycles in CPR quality after SBMT was documented. In this study, a significant improvement in the results of two studies. may be the origin of the difference between group and we, as authors, think that this not previously take TMT unlike our SBMT. The SBMT group in their study did SBMT.

(18) significant difference in Tcc and Tdef after SBMT (table 2). In a previous paper from USA, Ko et al. observed Tcc and Tdef in 3rd-year medical students in an ACLS course using high fidelity manikins. (18) They found no significant difference in Tcc and Tdef between TMT group and SBMT. The SBMT group in their study did not previously take TMT unlike our SBMT group and we, as authors, think that this may be the origin of the difference between the results of two studies.

In this study, a significant improvement in CPR quality after SBMT was documented. Successfully completed CPR cycles increased from 26.5% to 86.8% and successfully completed scenarios increased from 23.5% to 85.3% after SBMT (Table 1) which shows the additional impact of SBMT over TMT.

Table 2. Comparison of the Success Rates of Secondary Parameters Before and After SBMT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Before SBMT Median (IQR)</th>
<th>After SBMT Median (IQR)</th>
<th>Median Difference</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCC (sec), n=136</td>
<td>8.0 (7.0, 11.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (6.0, 10.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st cycle, n=34</td>
<td>13.0 (10.0, 17.0)</td>
<td>10.5 (8.0, 13.0)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd cycle, n=34</td>
<td>8.0 (7.0, 10.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (6.0, 9.0)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd cycle, n=34</td>
<td>7.5 (6.0, 9.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (6.0, 8.0)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th cycle, n=34</td>
<td>8.0 (6.0, 9.0)</td>
<td>7.0 (5.0, 8.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEF (sec), n=102</td>
<td>8.0 (6.0, 14.0)</td>
<td>8.0 (6.0, 13.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd cycle, n=34</td>
<td>14.0 (11.0, 17.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (10.0, 14.0)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd cycle, n=34</td>
<td>7.0 (5.0, 9.0)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.0, 9.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th cycle, n=34</td>
<td>6.0 (5.0, 8.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.0, 7.0)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression depth (mm), n=136</td>
<td>45.5 (38.0, 52.0)</td>
<td>54.5 (51.0, 57.0)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoil depth (mm), n=136</td>
<td>44.0 (36.0, 51.0)</td>
<td>53.0 (50.0, 55.0)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression frequency (/min), n=136</td>
<td>94.0 (88.0, 107.5)</td>
<td>108.0 (103.0, 110.0)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breath frequency (/bpm), n=136</td>
<td>10.0 (8.0, 11.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (10.0, 12.0)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, Interquartile range; SBMT, Simulation-based medical training; TCC, Time to chest compression; TDEF, Time to defibrillation. p<0.002 is set as significant

et al. reported an 86.7% insufficient airway positioning and 80% ineffective ventilation in dental students trained only with TMT and underlined the need for SBMT in ACLS training. (8) We found a significant increase in successful airway management rates after SBMT (47.8%, 91.2% p<0.0001) (tables 1,2). There was no significant increase in correct defibrillation and correct drug application rates after SBMT (table 1).

The 2015 guidelines of American Heart Association (AHA) recommends that defibrillation and chest compressions should start immediately and interruptions between chest compressions should be minimized. In this study, we evaluated the Tcc and Tdef in every CPR cycle before and after SBMT. We observed a significant decrease in Tcc and Tdef after SBMT (table 2). In a previous paper from USA, Ko et al. observed Tcc and Tdef in 3rd-year medical students in an ACLS course using high fidelity manikins. (18) They found no significant difference in Tcc and Tdef between TMT group and SBMT. The SBMT group in their study did not previously take TMT unlike our SBMT group and we, as authors, think that this may be the origin of the difference between the results of two studies.

LIMITATIONS

All medical students in this study managed the same ACLS scenario before and after SBMT. Students may have improved or worsened their scenario management in their second attempt (after SBMT) which can be judged as a limitation. Instructors gave no feedbacks or debriefings between the scenarios, in order not to contribute to the effect of this limitation on the results. All simulation trainings and scenario evaluations were performed on the same high fidelity manikin since all high fidelity manikins in the simulation center were the same in brand and model. This seems to be a limitation because the students’ CPR performing skills may have been affected by the elongated manikin experience. However, depending on our results, the improvement in CPR quality after SBMT is so apparent, that we, as authors, think the influence of these limitations on the results is negligible.

CONCLUSION

Simulation-based medical training (SBMT) has the potential to advance medical education with the notable developments in simulation technologies. (9) SBMT has proved its effectiveness in recent years. On the other hand, TMT is an efficient medical training method, which has been well-experienced over previous decades. Our results suggest that combining SBMT with TMT improves CPR quality significantly and provides medical students with the fundamental knowledge and required skills that can be used accurately in real life situations. Combining SBMT and TMT appears to be an effective training method in ACLS courses since SBMT has an additional impact on improving CPR quality.