SMART EXERCISE APPLICATION TO IMPROVE LEG FUNCTION AND SHORT-TERM MEMORY THROUGH GAME-LIKE LUNGE EXERCISES: DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION
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Abstract:
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the functionality, accuracy, and usability of a novel smart exercise application (SEA). The functionality such as counting lunges, providing task-related auditory feedback, and testing short-term memory was examined while thirteen young adults (six men, age 25.4 ± 8.3 years) performed the lunge exercise with the SEA. The accuracy of logged motion data including angles and accelerations were also tested. Another twenty-five participants (11 men, age 23.2 ± 5.7 years) evaluated the usability of the SEA interest, motivation, convenience, and strength/cognitive benefit via a questionnaire. The SEA assessed the lunge motion correctly, provided auditory feedback, and tested users’ short-term memory as required. High correlations (r = 0.90 to 0.99) with low RMSE (4.85˚ for direction angle, 0.13 to 0.22 m/s² for acceleration) were observed between the sensor output and the reference output. Bland-Altman plot also showed a low discrepancy between each of the two measures. Most participants positively answered all questions about interest (60%), motivation (40%), convenience (80%), strength benefits (92%), and cognitive benefits (88%) of the SEA. The SEA demonstrated accurate kinematic assessment of accelerations and directions, assessed the lunge motion correctly, and created the appropriate auditory feedback on the short-term memory task. The high rate of positive responses suggested the potential of the application in future use.
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Introduction
The lunge is a popular and common movement for training and rehabilitation of the lower limbs (Heijne, et al., 2004; Jönhagen, Halvorsen, & Benoit, 2009). Despite the potential of a lunge exercise for home-based exercise, repeating a simple motion can get boring and make people sore. To overcome this limitation and promote self-guided lunge exercises, several Android and iOS applications such as “30 day lunge challenge (Creative Apps, Inc)”, “How to do a proper lunge (Abi apps)”, “Squats and lunges (Maplevine)”, “Great legs (Fitness22, LTD)”, and “Fast butt and legs (Olson applications, LTD)” have been recently introduced to the market. These applications provide tutorial pictures/audios/videos, count the number of lunge repetition, and allow monthly goals to be set to help users train by themselves. However, it is doubtful whether most applications are good enough to overcome the limitations mentioned above and draw users’ interests given the lower number of downloads (100-50,000). These applications might be enhanced by adding interactive and game-like functions using task-related multimodal feedback, which has been known to enhance performance significantly during motion tracking exercises (Rosati, Oscari, Spagnol, Avan-
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Recently, O’Reilly et al. (O’Reilly, Duffin, 
Ward, & Caulfield, 2017) developed a tablet appli-
cation which successfully automated the process 
of creating an individualized exercise biofeed-
back system. This system seems to be an excel-
rent solution for home-based exercise because it can 
be carried and still track and provide motion 
data. However, it is still expensive (> $5000) and 
lacks real-time task-related feedback on motion. More 
functional but still cost-effective solutions 
are needed. Our long-term goal is to develop an app-
based exercise application with a cheap wearable 
sensor (< $100) that still provides motion data therefore 
people can exercise by themselves whenever 
they want. In addition to physical training promo-
tion, another main goal of our application is to 
improve cognitive function, particularly short-term 
memory. Short-term memory is a type of memory 
used to retain information for a short time (15-30 
seconds) (Atkinson & Shiffrin, 1971). Previous 
studies revealed that a square stepping exercise, 
where the users were asked to memorize the number 
of blocks and step on each block in order, could 
improve cognitive functions (Kitazawa, et al., 2015; 
Teixeira, et al., 2013). To accomplish the above-
mentioned goals, it is necessary to have a user-
friendly and well-functioning application. Thus, the 
primary purpose of this study was to evaluate the 
functionality of a novel smart exercise application 
(SEA) while young adults performed a lunge exer-
cise. The second purpose was to evaluate usability 
of the SEA.

Methods

Overview of the system
A Bluetooth sensor (Mbientlab, Portola, San 
Francisco, CA) including a tri-axial accelerom-
eter and a gyroscope was used to collect motion 
data on the Android platform. The application 
developed in Android Studio (Ver. 2.3, Google) 
using the Java programming language. The appli-
cation was set to track the lunge movements at a 
sampling frequency of 100 Hz. In addition to the 
data collection, PureData (https://puredata.info/ 
) patch was used to create auditory feedback about 
users’ motion (Institute of Electronic Music and 
Acoustics, 2016). Real time task-related auditory 
feedback is known to be more effective for learning/
performing motion (Rosati, et al., 2012). We call 
this function the “lunge piano” because users can 
play sounds by pressing virtual piano keys while 
performing a clock lunge (i.e., users rotate their 
body to face a direction to select a key of the virtual 
piano), and play a sound by performing a correct 
lunge motion (see Supplementary video and Figure 
1). We also added a game-like function, called 
“lunge memory” to motivate users and improve 
memory function as well. In this function users 
were given a sequence of notes with four sounds 
(e.g., “C-E-G-E” with graphical and text displays) 
and they must play each sound by changing their 
body direction and performing the lunges accurately 
in order.

Participants
Thirteen healthy young adults (six men, aged 
25.4 ± 8.3 years, body weight 68.8 ± 11.0 kg, body 
height 169.3 ± 8.5 cm) volunteered to participate 
in the validation test and 25 young adults (11 men, 
aged 23.2 ± 5.7 years) participated in the usability 
test. After reading all details of the study, partici-
ants gave their informed consent. This study was 
approved by the University Institutional Review 
Board.

Experimental setup and data acquisition
To validate the motion data, research quality 
motion analysis tools were used as a reference. A 
wireless accelerometer (ACC, Trigno wireless, 
Delsys, Boston, MA) was attached next to the 
sensor on a custom-made platform using cardboard.
and fixed on the abdomen of each participant (size: 90×90 mm) using a Velcro belt and double-sided adhesive tape before the experimental trial. Three directional accelerations were sampled at 150 Hz using the EMGworks program (Delsys, Boston, MA). Four reflective markers were also attached on the four corners of the platform surrounding the sensor. The positions of markers were sampled at 200 Hz using a three-dimensional motion capture (Mocap) system with eight cameras (OptiTrack Prime 13; Natural Point, Corvallis, OR).

Procedure

Participants were instructed to take a step forward, placing the right foot to a designated direction on the ground, flexing the knee until at a 90° angle, then subsequently extending the right knee back into their initial standing position (Alkjær, Henriksen, Dyhre-Poulsen, & Simonsen, 2009; Henriksen, Alkjær, Simonsen, & Bliddal, 2009) (Figure 1B). To guide the landing position of the right foot, seven directions were marked on the ground. Each direction was separated by 25° on the ground (Figure 1C). Each lunge motion was performed within 3-second duration, and the timing of motion was guided by a metronome. After a 5-minute practice, participants completed three sets of a barefoot forward lunge trial consisting of four lunges, in four different directions (12 lunges in total).

Data analysis

The best performance of the three sets was selected by two experimenters and all data during the same trials from different systems were analyzed respectively. The acceleration and angular velocity data from the sensor were passed through a Kalman filter (Kalman, 1960) to remove motion artifacts and electrical noise using the Kalman filter library (Sourceforge, 2016). After filtering, the relative angular displacement of the sensor from the initial position on the axial plane was used as an angular displacement. The calculated direction angle was then used to determine the note; for example, 0-25° zone selects the note C. The magnitude and duration of the sum of accelerations in two directions (Y and Z: up-down and forward-backward, respectively) were calculated to determine if a lunge had been performed or not. The sound selected based on the direction angle was produced as feedback when a certain magnitude level was maintained for a while the lunge motion. For participants’ different styles of the lunge motion, various combinations of the magnitude and duration were tested throughout the development process. Based on these preliminary results, the combination of >12 m/s² of acceleration and > 0.1 s of duration condition was used in this study (i.e., when the acceleration of 12 m/s² or greater acceleration is maintained for at least 0.1 s).

The acceleration signals from the ACC were low-pass Butterworth filtered with a cutoff frequency...
of 10 Hz using the EMGworks analysis program (Delsys, Inc., Boston, MA). The position data of each marker from the Mocap system were low-pass Butterworth filtered with a cutoff frequency of 10 Hz. The direction angle of the platform on the axial plane was calculated using the cross-product of two vectors formed by three different markers.

The time of peak acceleration and direction angle during a standing jump was used to synchronize all systems (Acceleration: SEA vs. ACC; Direction angle: SEA vs. Mocap) prior to the start of each trial. All data were normalized to the lunge movement cycle using the interpolation function in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Signals from IMU sensor were converted to acceleration (m/s²) and angle (degrees) to compare with those from ACC and Mocap, respectively. Root-mean-square error (RMSE) was used to evaluate differences between the values from SEA and the values from the reference system.

Usability of the SEA

After a ~10-minute demonstration of the application, participants tested functions by themselves for 5–10 min and completed an anonymous modified survey which was validated by others (Brooke, 1996). It included a total of 11 questions about exercise frequency, experience with exercise applications, usability, interest, motivation, convenience, and strength/cognitive benefits of the SEA. Participants were asked to answer the questions along a 5-level Likert scale (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree).

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean ± SD. Agreement between the 3-axes accelerations by the IMU and ACC and direction angle by the IMU and the Mocap system were evaluated by Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r-value). A Bland-Altman plot was also used to evaluate the discrepancy between measurements obtained from the two different systems. Mean bias and 95% limits of agreement (LOA) were calculated for each of the acceleration and direction angles. The analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics 22. Values of p<.05 were considered as statistically significant results.

Results

Angle

Measurements of the direction angles by the application and the Mocap demonstrated a mean RMSE of 4.85±1.34°. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.99±0.00 (p<.001) comparing the two measurements during forward lunges for all participants. Single participant data of direction angle is illustrated in Figure 2A. A Bland-Altman plot of the difference between the IMU and Mocap measurements demonstrated a low discrepancy. The mean bias for direction angle was 0.61±3.34° with 95% of LOA (Figure 3A).

Accelerations

Measurements of the 3-axes accelerations by the IMU and ACC resulted in a mean RMSE of 0.13±0.04 m/s², 0.21±0.06 m/s², and 0.22±0.03 m/s², respectively. Single participant data of acceleration is shown in Figure 2B-D. For x, y, and z-axes accelerations, the Pearson’s correlation coefficients between two systems were .90±.08, .93±.05, and .93±.04 (p<.001, respectively). The Bland-Altman plot also showed a low discrepancy between the two systems. The mean bias across all axes was –0.01±0.01 m/s² with 95% of LOA (Figure 3B-D).

Functionality

All participants understood how to create sounds after 5 min of practice and our application created sounds 100% (total 156 lunge motions: 4 sounds × 3 times × 13 participants) accurately. The sequences of note and all data from accelerometer and gyroscope were logged correctly and could be delivered to a designated email.
Figure 3. (A) Bland-Altman Plot representing the direction angle of the IMU comparisons to reference system (Mocap). (B-D) Bland-Altman Plot representing the 3-axes accelerations of the IMU comparison to reference system (ACC). Mean bias and error lines of 95% LOA are included. The bias between two measurements is uniform over the range of measurements.

Figure 4. Usability results by the questionnaire.
Usability of the SEA

Most participants positively answered to all questions about interest, motivation, convenience, strength and cognitive benefits (>60%) of the SEA. A summary of usability results can be found in Figure 4.

Discussion

This study evaluated a new smart exercise application which would be included into an integrated exercise application in the future. The application was validated with a commercial accelerometer and a motion analysis system, and its usability was evaluated by a questionnaire. We found that: (1) the IMU showed a relatively high agreement with the reference systems in both direction angle and 3-axes accelerations; (2) SEA functioned well with assessing the lunge motion and short-term memory, and providing visual/auditory feedback; (3) most of the participants positively answered the questions related to interest, convenience to use, and effectiveness on physical/cognitive functions related to exercise with our application. These findings are discussed in detail next.

Accuracy

This application was programmed to calculate angular displacement of the body and determine how accurately the users face their body to the direction required during a lunge exercise. Thus, direction angle was one of the main kinematic variables to validate in this study. Our application showed a low RMSE value (<5 degrees) and a high correlation coefficient ($r=0.99$) of angle displacement data, compared to the reference system. Low bias from Mocap measurement and uniform bias over the full range of motion shown in the Bland-Altman plot also support these results. A previous study compared inertial measurement units with a motion capture system to validate their system. The results have shown that Pearson’s correlation coefficients were between 0.80 and 0.94 and RMSE was in the range of 1.7 to 4.8 degrees during the lunge (Tang, et al., 2015). Another study evaluating the performance of the lunge exercise also indicated 83-90% accuracy using inertial measurement sensors (Whelan, et al., 2016). Compared to these previous studies, the accuracy of angle measurement of our application is similar or slightly higher. The data from the accelerometer are the key variables to assess correct movements and to count the number of forward lunges. Our results were found to have high correlations and the lowest error sizes, especially y- and z-axes accelerations to assess correct movements. The accelerations during forward lunges were similar to those of Mocap and the ACC with minimal bias (accelerations: $-0.02$ to $0.01$ and LOAs of $-0.21$ to $0.18$ m/s$^2$).

Previous studies have reported that Pearson’s correlation coefficients were between .75 and .99 of 3-axes accelerations (Lee, Sutter, Askew, & Burkett, 2010; Winter, Lee, Leadbetter, & Gordon, 2016). Our results are equivalent to previous research that reported similar outcomes comparing 3-axes accelerations.

Functionality

All participants understood how to create sounds by performing the lunges within a 5-minute practice. Based on the accurate kinematic data from the IMU sensor, our application created the sound as supposed (100%, 164 of 164 trials) which could improve motivation (Rosati, et al., 2012). Several popular game systems such as Wii, Xbox, and Play-Station included similar functions (i.e., creating task-related audio/video feedback). However, all these systems require their own specific sensors and have limitations in mobility, and it is rare with a smart application with wearable sensors. In addition, providing feedback if the users memorized the order of four notes correctly would be helpful to improve motivation and short-term memory function (Kitazawa, et al., 2015; Teixeira, et al., 2013). Even though a 4-note task only was tested in this test, longer sequence (up to 8-note task) will be more challenging and would be useful in the long-term training study with a special population who want to improve their physical and cognitive function.

Usability of the SEA

As shown in the previous studies (Sale, Jacobs, MacDougall, & Garner, 1990; Stone & Coulter, 1994), our participants have positive perception about the effect of the lunge exercise. Ninety two percent of participants felt that the SEA could help strengthen their leg muscles. In addition, our SEA was developed to improve cognitive function as well, particularly short-term memory which is essential for functioning in daily life. Even though participants in the present study were asked to memorize a short sequence (four sounds) only, approximately 90% of participants believed that our SEA could improve their cognitive function, which is a positive sign for the success of our SEA. However, further research is needed to investigate cognitive functions after using the SEA for an intervention purpose because it was not directly measured in this study.

About 80% of participants answered that our application was easy to use. Even though only 24% of them had experience with SEA, they are all young adults and most of them are already familiar with smartphones. Future tests should include diverse populations including middle and older aged individuals who have relatively limited experience with
smart devices. About 60% of participants answered that our application can cause interest to work out, which is a somewhat positive response. However, only 40% of participants responded that our application would improve motivation to exercise. We might need to improve functionality (or exercise enhancement) or add different functions to improve motivation to exercise, considering the answers from the questionnaire. Further study is warranted.

Overall, our SEA demonstrated accurate kinematic assessment of accelerations and directions, assessed the lunge motion correctly, and created the appropriate auditory feedback on the short-term memory task. In addition, the high rate of positive response suggested the success of the application in future use. However, the low positive response on motivation suggested that our application needs to be improved. It may be useful in long-term interventions such as home-based training aimed at increasing physical activity levels and cognitive functions with a healthy or a clinical population.
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