Are Multiple Primary Melanomas a Rare Entity?
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ABSTRACT:
Multiple primary melanomas are described in literature as a relatively rare, but nevertheless well known entity. The incidence varies from 0.2 to 23 % worldwide. Many risk factors for the development of multiple primary melanomas have been observed, including multiple dysplastic nevi, positive family history, over 60 years of age at diagnosis of first melanoma, male sex and white race. The first primary melanoma in patients with multiple primary melanomas has the greatest tumour thickness, while subsequent melanomas are usually significantly less invasive, most probably due to strict follow-up schedules and regular self-examinations. We will report of two patients with multiple primary melanomas and follow-up methods for early detection of other primary melanomas.
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INTRODUCTION
Multiple primary melanomas are described in the literature as a relatively rare, however well-known entity. Incidence varies from 0.2 to 8.6% in Europe, while in populations with high melanoma incidence, such as Queensland, Australia, multiple primary melanoma incidence is as high as 23% \(^1,2\). Multiple primary melanomas were first described in the literature by Pack and colleagues in 1952 \(^3\), and the highest number of primary melanomas observed in one patient is 48 \(^4\). Patients with a personal history of melanoma have a 9 to 25 times higher risk of developing another melanoma than the risk of developing melanoma in the general population \(^5\). People with multiple dysplastic nevi and/or positive family history have the highest risk of developing multiple primary melanomas \(^6,9\). Additionally, more than 60 years of age at diagnosis of first melanoma, male sex and white race have also been observed as risk factors \(^10\). As much as 18 to 36% of multiple primary melanoma patients have a positive family history for melanoma, while 38 to 46% of patients with multiple primary melanomas have dysplastic nevi \(^6,11,12\).

The risk of developing a second primary melanoma is greatest within the first year, however, remains increased for more than 20 years after the first primary melanoma diagnosis \(^7,13-15\). In patients with multiple primary melanoma the first melanoma has the greatest tumor thickness, while subsequent melanomas are usually significantly less invasive. Ferrone and colleagues reported in situ melanoma accounting for 21% of first primary melanomas, 50% of second, 55% of third and 70% of fourth primary melanomas, confirming the observation that in situ melanoma diagnosis is more frequent in subsequent melanomas \(^5,7,14,16-19\). Lower incidence of vertical growth phase and nodular histotype have also been reported in invasive subsequent melanomas \(^7,16\). These observations are most probably associated with strict follow-up schedules and regular self-examinations, and therefore earlier detection of new melanomas. Less aggressive tumor biology and development of sinecomitant immunity have also been previously suggested \(^7,20\). However, unlike earlier research results, several recent studies have shown that patients with multiple primary melanomas have a poorer survival compared to patients with a single primary melanoma \(^10,21,22\).

CASE REPORTS
An 84-year-old male patient with dysplastic nevus syndrome (Figure 1, 2) had a nodular melanoma Breslow thickness of 2 mm removed from the left side of the chest in 1996. Since then, he has had more than 30 new primary melanomas (3 lentigo maligna melanoma, 1 nodular melanoma, 21 superficial spreading melanomas (the thickest being 0.49 mm), 9 melanomas in situ) removed up to date. The most recent melanoma was found on the palpebral conjunctiva, 3.15 mm thick. The patient had also had a basal cell carcinoma, SAMPUS (superficial atypical melanocytic proliferations of uncertain significance) lesion, and many dysplastic nevi removed. Patient history revealed the patient had worked in a paint factory for many years, and had several blistering sunburns when younger. Family history is positive for melanoma, three sisters and a brother also had melanoma, while his father died of leukemia. Gene mutation analysis performed in 2011 of the p16 gene (melanoma susceptibility gene) was negative. The patient was regularly monitored every six months (since the removal of melanoma of the palpabra once more every three months) and his evaluation included dermatologic and ophthalmologic examination, LDH, S100B, basic laboratory tests, ultrasonography of regional lymph nodes, postoperative scars, and when necessary, excision of atypical lesions on the skin.

A 45-year-old male patient is regularly monitored due to melanoma excised from the chest four years ago (CI III, Br II, Breslow thickness of 0.9 mm). Given the patient has dysplastic
nevus syndrome (Figure 3), apart from regular dermatologic examination, the patient is also monitored with digital dermoscopy (total-body photography and sequential digital dermoscopy imaging) and, when necessary excision of atypical lesions is performed. Four years after the first primary melanoma was removed, a newly discovered dark pigmented macula of about 5 mm in size was observed at the upper edge of a congenital nevus located on the skin in the right supraclavicular area during digital total-body photography follow-up (Figure 4). The lesion was excised and histopathological analysis confirmed a second primary melanoma; superficial spreading melanoma (Cl II, Br I, 0.38 mm thick).

Discussion
Follow-up of patients with multiple primary melanomas does not differ from follow-up of patients with a single primary melanoma. Patients are monitored according to current guidelines, and thickness of the most invasive melanoma. The most significant methods of monitoring these patients are regular full-body dermatologic examinations (including scalp, genital area, oral mucous membrane and nail examination) with digital dermoscopy follow-up (total body photography and sequential digital dermoscopy imaging), as well as ophthalmologic and gynecologic examination when necessary.

Digital dermoscopy helps record the dynamic changes of pigmented skin lesions. Therefore, discrete morphological changes in existing or newly diagnosed lesions observed in digital dermoscopy, for example asymmetric growth of a nevus, may indicate development of melanoma despite the absence of other specific dermoscopic and clinical criteria for melanoma. Salerni and colleagues carried out a meta-analysis of 14 studies (5787 patients) investigating the impact of digital dermoscopy on the monitoring of melanocytic lesions in people with increased risk for melanoma development. Results of the study showed that digital dermoscopy follow-up significantly increased the detection of early melanoma in high-risk patients, thus reducing the number of unnecessary excisions of benign lesions.

In addition to carrying out diagnostic procedures according to guidelines, it is extremely important to educate multiple primary melanoma patients about the significance of regular skin self-examinations, use of sun-protective measures and dermatologic examination of other family members.

Conclusion
Although multiple primary melanomas are referred to as a relatively rare entity in the literature, we believe the number of patients with multiple primary melanomas is higher than previously recognized. All patients diagnosed with a primary melanoma have a high risk of developing a second or more primary melanomas, therefore regular dermatologic follow-up with a thorough examination of the skin and visible mucous membranes is essential. For individuals with a large number of atypical nevi and history of melanoma, the most effective method for early detection of other primary melanomas is digital dermoscopy follow-up — total-body photography and sequential digital dermoscopy imaging. This enables detection of melanoma at the earliest stage, prior to the development of classic dermoscopic and clinical characteristics of melanoma.
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