J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. 10(1) (2020) 41-47; http://dx.doi.org/10.5599/jese617



Open Access: ISSN 1847-9286 www.jESE-online.org

Original scientific paper

Recovery of nickel from spent NiCd batteries by regular and ultrasonic leaching followed by electrodeposition

Elumalai Selvamani Nivetha, Ramanujam Saravanathamizhan[⊠]

Department of Chemical Engineering, A.C.Tech Campus, Anna University, Chennai-600 025, India Corresponding author: [⊠]thamizhan79@rediffmail.com, rsthamizhan@gmail.com, Tel: +91 44 22359237 Received: September 6, 2018; Revised: July 17, 2019; Accepted: July 17, 2019

Abstract

This study focuses on the hydrometallurgical route of separating Ni metal from spent nickel cadmium (NiCd) batteries. The comparison of separation of nickel metal with the assistance of ultrasonic leaching and regular leaching was performed. Sulphuric acid (solvent) was used as the lixiviant to leach out the nickel metal ions from the spent battery and the parameters affecting the leaching with and without ultrasonication were optimized. The major parameters affecting the leaching process are volume of solvent, concentration of lixiviant and leaching time. The electrodeposition of nickel metal ions from ultrasonically assisted and regular leaching was carried out at an optimized current density of 8 A dm⁻², contact time of 4 hours and the concentration of lixiviant of 5 M. It was observed that the recovery efficiency for ultrasonically assisted leaching followed by electrodeposition is 98.5 %, while in regular leaching followed by electrodeposition is 90.8 %.

Keywords

Electrodeposition; leaching; spent battery; ultrasonication

Introduction

Rechargeable batteries such as nickel cadmium (NiCd), nickel metal hydride (NiMH) and lithium ion batteries are commonly used as the power sources in electronic devices like mobile phones, computers, digital cameras, and hybrid electric vehicles [1]. Generally, batteries are complex systems containing large number of different materials inside a small volume. All materials in a battery contribute to environmental pollution when discarded [2,3].

In NiCd battery, the anode is nickel oxy-hydroxide, the cathode is cadmium and the electrolyte is KOH. The nickel content of the cathodic part is 69.26 %, which represents a significant amount to be recovered. There are two major techniques carried out in the recovery of metals, namely the hydrometallurgical process and the pyrometallurgical process. Hydrometallurgical process includes solvent extraction, acid or base leaching, sequential leaching along with electrodeposition or selective precipitation, bio-leaching and ultrasonic assisted leaching [4-8].

Innocenzi and Veglio [9] described two sequential leaching steps followed by selective precipitation of rare earths from NiMH batteries. Leaching was carried out with sulphuric acid as the lixiviant and three major parameters were studied, *i.e.* concentration of sulphuric acid, temperature and concentration of citric acid. They showed that citric acid promotes efficient leaching of metals acting as a reducing agent. The selective precipitation of metals was carried out using 5 M NaOH. Rare earths were precipitated as sulphates and it was observed that 99 % of rare earths were recovered after selective precipitation. Tanong *et al.* [10] demonstrated a hydrometallurgical route to recover metals from a mixture of various spent battery samples. Box-Behnken design was used to optimize various factors that influence the metal removal process. Eight leaching reagents were used to leach the metals at various concentrations and temperatures and sulphuric acid was found the most effective. It is reported that to recover the maximum amount of metal, the optimum values are 1 M sulphuric acid concentration, fixed solid/liquid ratio of 18 % w/w and 30 min of leaching time.

One of the key technologies in the recovery of metals prevailing in the current scenario is ultrasonically assisted leaching. The resulting small particle size would increase the surface area and promote a higher rate of diffusion of solvent through convective motion [11,12]. Xin et al. [13] have reported the leaching kinetics of Zn with other metals using ultrasound and evaluated effects of major factors such as temperature, sulphuric acid concentration, solid/liquid ratio and ultrasound power. It was reported that 80 % of Zn was recovered using ultrasonic leaching. Li et al., [14] studied recovery of Co and Li from spent lithium-ion batteries using ultrasound assisted leaching. The author reported that more than 96 % Co and 100 % Li were recovered using ultrasound assisted citric acid leaching. A combined technique of electro-assisted leaching and electrodeposition of metals from spent NiCd batteries was investigated by Hazottee et al. [15]. In this investigation expanded platinized titanium was used as anode, and an aluminium plate as cathode. A cell voltage in the range of 6-10 V led to a highly selective deposition of cadmium from nickel and cobalt. Tudela et al. [16] studied the ultrasonic effect on electrodeposition of nickel and the effect of ultrasonic power on the characteristics of the thin coating. The ultrasonic assisted electrodeposition was carried out in the ultrasonicator bath with an operating frequency of 33 kHz. It was observed that the application of ultrasound led to the change in orientation of the Ni deposit. Santos et al. [17] studied chemical and electrochemical recycling methods for Ni, Co, Zn and Mn from the positive electrode of spent NiMH batteries.

The objective of the present study is to recover nickel from spent NiCd batteries using ultrasonic leaching followed by electrodeposition. NiCd batteries were manually dismantled to identify the various components and the cathode was characterized using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). The major factors that affect the leaching and electrodeposition such as concentration of sulphuric acid, amount of solvent required, leaching time and current densities were optimized. Selective electrodeposition of Ni was performed at a constant cell potential of 2.6 V.

Materials and methods

Dismantling of spent batteries

Four samples of NiCd rechargeable battery with specifications of 700 mAh, AA size and 1.2 V were collected from various electronic devices and toys. The spent batteries were manually dismantled using a saw and knife to identify various components present. These components presented in wt.% were: a paper insulation (15 wt.%), black mass (cathode) (17 wt.%), anode (29.23 wt.%), cathode cap and metal outer casing (34.54 wt.%), washer and a plastic outer cover (3.95 wt.%). Material balance was done to account for the amount of each component present.

Characterization of cathode part

The cathode part of the spent battery was characterized with the aid of AAS. The metal components present in the cathode part and their wt.% contents are shown in the Table 1. Obviously, Ni is present at about 70 wt.% of the total cathode part of the sample.

Sample No	Metal component	Content, wt.%
1	Ni	69.26
2	Cd	22.14
3	Со	0.62
4	Fe	0.61
5	Zn	0.49
6	Mg	0.002
7	Other metals	6.82

Table 1. Characterization	n of cathode	part of the spent	NiCd battery
---------------------------	--------------	-------------------	--------------

Leaching of black mass

In the bath type ultrasonic generator a standard electricity line of 50 Hz AC supply is converted to an ultrasonic frequency through in-built piezoelectric transducer. The sonicator generates a constant frequency of 33 kHz for the constant power input. It consists from a rectangular bath type with cooling water circulation to maintain the temperate in the bath. About 0.5 g of the cathode part of battery sample was taken for the leaching. In regular leaching, the mixture of sulfuric acid and battery powder was kept suspended with the aid of magnetic stirrer. The leaching was performed with different volumes of sulfuric acid as the lixiviant under various operating conditions. Regular leaching and ultrasonic assisted leaching were carried out for 4 hours at various concentrations of sulfuric acid (3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 M).

Electrodeposition of nickel

Electrodepostion was carried out using stainless steel electrodes as anode and cathode, each having dimensions of 12.5×6 cm in a setup shown schematically in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of electrode position setup: 1-regulated power supply, 2-glass vessel with leachate solution, 3-anode, 4-cathode and 5-magnetic stirrer

The electrodes were kept 2 cm apart. The electrolysis was carried out with the leachate from the regular and ultrasonically assisted leaching as the electrolyte at a current density of 8 A dm⁻² through a time period of 4 hours.

The recovery efficiency is calculated by the Equation (1):

Recovery, % = $((C_0 - C_t) / C_0) \cdot 100$

(1)

In Eq. (1), C_0 is initial concentration of nickel (ppm) and C_t is concentration of nickel (ppm) present in leachate after time (t).

Results and discussion

Leaching

The effect of sulfuric acid concentration on regular and ultrasonically assisted leaching is shown in Figure 2. The increase in acid concentration increases the dissolved concentration of nickel metal ions in the medium. This is because higher is the concentration of sulfuric acid, higher will be the generation of protons by acid dissociation, facilitating thus production of metal ions to the solution. The maximum dissolution concentration of nickel metal ions was achieved at about 5 M of sulfuric acid. By keeping the concentration constant at 5 M, the leaching was performed at various time intervals from one hour to 5 hours at room temperature (32 °C) as shown in Figure 3. The increase in the time period increases the contact time of the solution and the battery sample so that the dissolution takes place effectively. The maximum dissolution concentration of nickel metal ions is obtained for a time period of 4 hours.



Figure 2. Effect of sulfuric acid concentration on Ni dissolution. Solvent volume 60 ml, leaching time 4 h

As shown in Figure 4, the amount of required lixiviant is obviously a major factor that affects the dissolution of nickel metal ions.

Initially 15 ml of sulfuric acid was taken to leach out the metal ion which was sufficient enough to submerge the battery sample. Doubling the sulfuric acid produced an increase in the concentration of nickel ions, and maximum is attained at 60 ml of sulfuric acid, which was used in the remaining work.



Figure 3. Effect of leaching time on Ni dissolution. Sulfuric acid concentration 5 M, solvent volume 60 ml.



Figure 4. Effect of solvent volume on Ni dissolution. Sulfuric acid concentration 5 M, leaching time 4h

Comparing of dissolution concentrations of nickel metal ions at regular and ultrasonically assisted leaching shows that ultrasonically assisted leaching of spent battery enhanced the recovery of nickel metal ions. This can be due to its advantages such as the local temperature and pressure produced during the cavitation, improved convective motion and provided energy, what all facilitate dissolution of metals. The major reason for incorporation of ultrasounds during leaching is the cavitation, since the ultrasound causes formation of microscopic bubbles and subsequent implosion what reduces the size of particles. The reduced particle size provides larger surface area for an improved diffusion to occur.

Ultrasonic leaching followed by electrodeposition of metal

The leachate from regular and ultrasonically assisted leaching was used to recover nickel metal by electrodeposition. The current density was varied from 2 A dm⁻² to 8 A dm⁻². The effect of an

increase in current density on metal recovery is shown in Figure 5. The sample of electrolyte was analyzed with the aid of AAS to identify the concentration of nickel metal ions. The concentration of nickel metal ions decreases as the electrolysis is performed, thus indicating the deposition of nickel on the surface of cathode. Maximum recovery efficiency is observed at the current density of 8 A dm⁻².



Figure 5. Effect of current density on percentage recovery of Ni of regular leaching leachate. Sulfuric acid concentration 5 M; solvent volume 60 ml; leaching time 4 h; electrolysis time 4 h

The effect of electrolysis time on percentage recovery of Ni is shown in Figure 6. The current density was maintained at 8 A dm⁻² for the maximum recovery of nickel. It is observed from Figure 6 that as the electrolysis time increases, Ni recovery increases up to 4 h, beyond which no further recovery of Ni is observed. The recovery efficiency with ultrasonically assisted leaching and electrodeposition is 98.50 % compared to regular leaching at 90.80 %. It is concluded that the ultrasonically assisted leaching followed by the electrodeposition has higher recovery efficiency than the regular leaching.



Figure 6. Effect of electrolysis time on percentage recovery of Ni. Sulfuric acid concentration 5 M, solvent volume 60 ml, leaching time 4 h; current density 8 A dm⁻²

Conclusion

This research is focused on the effects of process conditions of the regular and ultrasonically assisted leaching of Ni metal ions from spent NiCd batteries. The parameters describing process conditions, *i.e.* concentration of lixiviant, amount of lixiviant and leaching time were optimized. For both types of leaching, the optimized values were 5 M for the concentration of sulfuric acid, 60 ml for the amount of lixiviant, and 4 h for leaching time. The incorporation of ultrasound at 33 kHz during the leaching process showed a certain advantage due to size reduction of particles, what resulted in more effective diffusion. The electrodeposition of nickel metal from ultrasonically assisted leachates showed the maximum recovery of nickel metal of 98.50 %, what is higher than 90.80 % found for electrodeposition of nickel from regular leaching.

References

- [1] L. E. O. C Rodrigues, M. B. Mansur, *Journal of Power Sources* **195** (2010) 3735-3741.
- [2] C. C. B. Martha de Souza, D. Corrêa de Oliviera, J. A. S. Tenório, *Journal of Power Sources* 103 (2001) 120–126.
- [3] L. C. Ferracin, A. E. Chácon-Sanhueza, R. A. Davoglio, L. O. Rocha, D. J. Caffeu, A. R. Fontanetti, R. C. Rocha Filho, S. R. Biaggio, N. Bocchi, *Hydrometallurgy* 65 (2002) 137–144.
- [4] D. A. Bertuol, A. M. Bernardes, J. A. S. Tenório, *Journal of Power Sources* **193** (2009) 914-923.
- [5] I. C. Nnorom, O. Osibanjo, International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 6 (2009) 641-650.
- [6] Y. Pranolo, W. Zhang, C. Y. Cheng, *Hydrometallurgy* **102** (2010) 37-42.
- [7] P. Meshram, B. D. Pandey, T. R. Mankhand, *Hydrometallurgy* **158** (2015) 172-179.
- [8] R. Oza, N. Shah, S. Patel, Society of Chemical Industries 86 (2011) 1276-1281.
- [9] V. Innocenzi, F.Veglio, Journal of Power Sources **211** (2012) 184-191.
- [10] K. Tanong, L. Coudert, G. Mercier, J.-F. Blais, *Journal of Environmental Management* **181** (2016) 95-107.
- [11] M. Marafi, A. Stanislaus, *Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research* **50** (2011) 9495-9501.
- [12] B. Avvaru, S. B. Roy, S. Chowdhury, K. N. Hareendran, A. B. Pandit, *Industrial Engineering Chemistry Research* **45** (2006) 7639-7648.
- [13] X. Wang, C. Srinivasakannan, X.-H. Duan, J.-H Peng, D.-J., Yang, S.-H. Ju, *Separation and Purification Technology* **115** (2013) 66–72.
- [14] L.Li, L.Zhai, X. Zhang, J. Lu, R. Chen, F. Wu, K. Amine, Journal of *Power Sources* **262** (2014) 380-385.
- [15] C. Hazotte, E. Meux, N. Leclerc, F. Lapicque, *Chemical Engineering and Processing* **96** (2015) 83-93.
- [16] I. Tudela, Y. Zhang, M. Pal, I. Kerr, A. J. Cobley, *Surface and Coatings Technology* **276** (2015) 89–105.
- [17] V. E. O. Santos, V. G. Celante, M. F. F. Lelis, M. B. J. G. Freitas, *Journal of Power Sources* **218** (2012) 435-444.

©2019 by the authors; licensee IAPC, Zagreb, Croatia. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>)