# $\Delta\textsc{-related}$ functions and generalized inverse Limits

TINA SOVIČ

University of Maribor, Slovenia

ABSTRACT. For any continuous single-valued functions  $f, g: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  we define upper semicontinuous set-valued functions  $F, G: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  by their graphs as the unions of the diagonal  $\Delta$  and the graphs of set-valued inverses of f and g respectively. We introduce when two functions are  $\Delta$ -related and show that if f and g are  $\Delta$ -related, then the inverse limits  $\lim_{\alpha \to -\infty} F$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \to -\infty} G$  are homeomorphic. We also give conditions under which  $\lim_{\alpha \to -\infty} G$  is a quotient space of  $\lim_{\alpha \to -\infty} F$ .

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Given two inverse limits  $\lim_{G \to G} F$  and  $\lim_{G \to G} G$ , it is usually a very difficult problem to see whether  $\lim_{G \to G} F$  and  $\lim_{G \to G} G$  are homeomorphic. That is why there are many authors researching the properties of bonding functions F and G that guarantee the existence of a homeomorphism from  $\lim_{G \to G} F$  to  $\lim_{G \to G} G$ ; for examples see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. In present paper we give sufficient conditions on set-valued functions F and G from a large class of upper semicontinuous functions such that their inverse limits are homeomorphic.

Our motivation in defining this class of upper semicontinuous functions is Ingram's paper [8], where the inverse limits with upper semicontinuous functions whose graphs are unions of graphs of single-valued functions are studied. In particular, we start with any continuous function  $f: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  and the identity function  $id: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , and define the upper semicontinuous

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54F15, 54C60.

Key words and phrases. Inverse limits, upper semicontinuous functions, quotient maps.

<sup>463</sup> 

function  $F: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  by

$$\Gamma(F) = \{ (s,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] \mid (t,s) \in \Gamma(f) \cup \Gamma(id) \}.$$

Our main result says that if f and g are  $\Delta$ -related, then the inverse limits  $\lim_{\infty} F$  and  $\lim_{\infty} G$  (where F and G are defined as above) are homeomorphic.

We also give conditions under which  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  is a quotient space of  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} F$ .

We proceed as follows. In Section 2, the basic definitions and notation are given. Section 3 serves as an illustrative motivation for our results and in Section 4, our main results are presented.

## 2. Definitions and notation

In the paper  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of all nonnegative integers. A continuum is a nonempty compact and connected metric space.

For each  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ...)$  in the Hilbert cube  $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]$  we use the standard notation for the *i*-th projection, i.e.  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ . We always use Q to denote the Hilbert cube  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]$ .

 $2^{[0,1]}$  denotes the set of all nonempty closed subsets of [0,1]. A function  $F : [0,1] \rightarrow 2^{[0,1]}$  is called a *set-valued function* from [0,1] to [0,1]. We use  $F : [0,1] \multimap [0,1]$  to denote such functions.

A function  $F: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is upper semicontinuous at the point  $x \in [0,1]$ provided that if V is any open set in [0,1] containing F(x) then there is an open set U in [0,1] containing x such that  $F(t) \subseteq V$  for any  $t \in U$ . A function F is called upper semicontinuous if it is upper semicontinuous at each point of [0,1].

The graph  $\Gamma(F)$  of a function  $F : [0,1] \multimap [0,1]$  is the set of all points  $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  such that  $y \in F(x)$ .

The following theorem is a well-known characterization of upper semicontinuous functions ([2, Theorem 1.2]).

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $F : [0,1] \multimap [0,1]$  be a function. Then F is upper semicontinuous if and only if its graph  $\Gamma(F)$  is closed in  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ .

In this paper we always deal with *inverse sequences*  $\{X_i, F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $X_i = [0, 1]$  and  $F_i : [0, 1] \multimap [0, 1]$  is upper semicontinuous function for each *i*. We denote them by  $\{[0, 1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . The functions  $F_i$  are called the bonding functions.

The inverse limit of an inverse sequence  $\{[0,1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is defined to be the subspace of the product space  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0,1]$  of all  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots) \in$  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [0,1]$ , such that  $x_i \in F_i(x_{i+1})$  for each *i*. The inverse limit is denoted by  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \{[0,1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . These inverse limits are a recent generalization (in-

troduced by T. W. Ingram and W. S. Mahavier) of inverse limits of inverse

464

sequences  $\{[0,1], f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $f_i : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  are continuous functions. Such inverse limits are usually denoted by  $\varprojlim \{[0,1], f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Obviously, for any inverse sequence  $\{[0,1], f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of compact metric spaces and continuous bonding functions,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \{[0,1], f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \{[0,1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

if  $F_i(x) = \{f_i(x)\}$  for each *i* and each  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

In the article we deal only with inverse sequences  $\{[0,1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  where all the bonding functions are the same. In the case where  $F_i = F$  for each *i*, the inverse limit  $\lim_{\infty} \{[0,1], F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  will be denoted by  $\lim_{\infty} F$ .

Next we introduce some notation that is used in the paper.

For each  $t \in [0, 1]$  let  $\overline{t} = (t, t, t, ...)$ . Next, let  $\Delta = \{(t, t) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  and  $I^{\infty} = \{\overline{t} \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ 

$$L^{\infty} = \{t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

For any continuous function  $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$  we define

$$f^* = \{(f(x), x) \mid x \in [0, 1]\},\$$

$$L_{(n_i)_{i=0}^k}(f) = \left\{ \left(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_0}, \underbrace{f(t), \dots, f(t)}_{n_1}, \dots, \underbrace{f^k(t), \dots, f^k(t)}_{n_k}, \overline{f^{k+1}(t)}\right) | t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$
for each  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $(h + 1)$  turbs  $(n - n - n - n) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$  and

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and for any (k+1)-tuple  $(n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1}$ , and

$$L_{(n_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}}(f) = \left\{ \left( \underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_0}, \underbrace{f(t), \dots, f(t)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{f^2(t), \dots, f^2(t)}_{n_2}, \dots \right) | t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

for any sequence  $(n_0, n_1, n_2, \ldots)$  of positive integers.

Next, for each  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote

$$\mathcal{L}^{n_0}(f) = \left\{ L_{(n_i)_{i=0}^k}(f) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ L_{(n_i)_{i=0}^\infty}(f) \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and

$$L^{n_0}(f) = \bigcup \mathcal{L}^{n_0}(f),$$

meaning that  $L^{n_0}(f)$  is the union of sets from  $\mathcal{L}^{n_0}(f)$ .

# 3. MOTIVATION EXAMPLES

In this section we take three simple u.s.c. functions from [0,1] to [0,1] and study relationships of their inverse limits. Those functions will serve as a motivation for our main results.

We define each of the three functions by defining their graphs. The graph of each function is the union of the diagonal  $\Delta$  and the set  $f^*$  for some continuous function  $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ . We define the three functions in such a T. SOVIČ

way that their inverse limits are not homeomorphic, but still, they are related in the sense that there is a quotient map from one to another. First we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a continuous function and  $F : [0,1] \multimap [0,1]$  the upper semicontinuous function defined by  $\Gamma(F) = \Delta \cup f^*$ . Then

$$\lim_{\infty} F = \operatorname{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(f)\right) = \left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(f)\right) \cup L^{\infty}.$$

PROOF. The equalities

$$\lim_{\infty} F = \left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(f)\right) \cup L^{\infty}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{n_0\in\mathbb{N}}L^{n_0}(f)\right) = \left(\bigcup_{n_0\in\mathbb{N}}L^{n_0}(f)\right) \cup L^{\infty}$$

Π

are obvious. We leave the details to a reader.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the piecewise linear function, whose graph is the union of two straight line segments connecting the points  $(0,1), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$  and (1,1). We define  $F : [0,1] \multimap [0,1]$  by  $\Gamma(F) = \Delta \cup f^*$ . See Figure 1.



FIGURE 1.  $\Gamma(F)$  (left) and a homeomorphic copy of  $\lim_{\circ} F$  (right)

Then by Proposition 3.1,

$$\lim_{\infty} F = \operatorname{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(f)\right) = \left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(f)\right) \cup L^{\infty}.$$

For a geometrical interpretation of the inverse limit, let  $n_0$  be arbitrarily chosen. One can easily see that each element of  $\mathcal{L}^{n_0}(f)$  is an arc with endpoints  $(0, 0, \ldots, 0, \overline{1})$  and  $\overline{1}$ , and that  $L^{n_0}(f) \cap (\{t_0\} \times Q)$  is a Cantor set for

 $n_0$ each  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ .

Also, note that  $L^{n_0}(f) \cap L^{n'_0}(f) = \{\overline{1}\}$  if and only if  $n_0 \neq n'_0, L^{n_0}(f) \cap L^{\infty} = \{\overline{1}\}$  for each  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n_0 \to \infty} L^{n_0}(f) = L^{\infty}$ ; see Figure 1.

Next we define the second function of the example.

Let  $g: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the piecewise linear function, whose graph is the union of four straight line segments connecting the points  $(0, 1), (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{8}), (\frac{1}{2}, 1),$  $(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{7}{8})$  and (1, 1). We define  $G: [0, 1] \multimap [0, 1]$  by  $\Gamma(G) = \Delta \cup g^*$ . See Figure 2.



FIGURE 2.  $\Gamma(G)$  (left) and a homeomorphic copy of  $\lim_{G \to G} G(right)$ 

Then by Proposition 3.1,

$$\lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} G = \operatorname{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(g)\right) = \left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(g)\right) \cup L^{\infty}.$$

Let  $\varphi: \lim_{\circ \dots} F \to \lim_{\circ \dots} G$  be defined by

$$\varphi\left(\underbrace{t,t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{f(t),f(t),\ldots,f(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{f^2(t),f^2(t),\ldots,f^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right) = \left(\underbrace{t,t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{g(t),g(t),\ldots,g(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{g^2(t),g^2(t),\ldots,g^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right).$$

#### T. SOVIČ

It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is well defined and surjective. Since g is continuous,  $\varphi$  is also a continuous function. This means that  $\varphi$  is a quotient map from  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} F \text{ to the } \lim_{\longrightarrow} G.$ 0-

Note that  $\varphi$  is not injective. For instance, let

$$\mathbf{x}_n = (\frac{1}{2}, \underbrace{f(\frac{1}{2}), f(\frac{1}{2}), \dots, f(\frac{1}{2})}_n, \overline{f^2(\frac{1}{2})}) \in L_{(1,n)}(f)$$

for each positive integer n. Recall that  $L_{(1,n)}(f)$  are arcs with

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}L_{(1,n)}(f)=\left\{(0,\overline{1}),\overline{1}\right\},\,$$

and therefore  $\mathbf{x}_n \neq \mathbf{x}_m$  for each  $n \neq m$ . But obviously  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_n) = (\frac{1}{2}, \overline{1})$  for each positive integer n. See Figure 2, where  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  is presented.

Finally, the last function of the example is defined.

Let  $h: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the piecewise linear function, whose graph is the union of four straight line segments connecting the points  $(0, 1), (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{8}), (\frac{1}{2}, 1),$  $(\frac{3}{4},\frac{7}{8})$  and (1,1). We define  $H:[0,1]\multimap [0,1]$  by  $\Gamma(H)=\Delta\cup h^*.$  See Figure 3.



FIGURE 3.  $\Gamma(H)$ 

Then by Proposition 3.1,

$$\lim_{\infty} H = \operatorname{Cl}\left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(h)\right) = \left(\bigcup_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} L^{n_0}(h)\right) \cup L^{\infty}.$$

As before, one can easily see that

$$\psi\left(\underbrace{t,t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{g(t),g(t),\ldots,g(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{g^2(t),g^2(t),\ldots,g^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right) \\ = \left(\underbrace{t,t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{h(t),h(t),\ldots,h(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{h^2(t),h^2(t),\ldots,h^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right)$$

defines a quotient map  $\lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} G \to \lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} H$ .



FIGURE 4. A homeomorphic copy of  $\lim_{\circ} H$ 

We show that  $\psi$  is not injective. From the definition of the function h it follows that there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $t_1 \neq t_2$  and  $h(t_1) = h(t_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let

$$\mathbf{x}_n = (t_1, g(t_1), \underbrace{g^2(t_1), g^2(t_1), \dots, g^2(t_1)}_n, \overline{g^3(t_1)})$$

and

$$\mathbf{y}_n = (t_2, g(t_2), \underbrace{g^2(t_2), g^2(t_2), \dots, g^2(t_2)}_n, \overline{g^3(t_2)})$$

Since  $\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n \in L_{(1,1,n)}(g)$  and

$$\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} L_{(1,1,n)}(g) = \left\{ (0,0,\overline{1}),\overline{1} \right\},\,$$

it holds that  $\mathbf{x}_n \neq \mathbf{x}_m$  and  $\mathbf{y}_n \neq \mathbf{y}_m$  for each  $n \neq m$ . But  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_n) = (t_1, \frac{1}{2}, \overline{1})$ and  $\psi(\mathbf{y}_n) = (t_2, \frac{1}{2}, \overline{1})$  for each positive integer n.

See Figure 4, where  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} H$  is presented. On the Figure some special points of  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} H$  which are not special for  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  may be seen; i.e., within the upper loops, sets of ramification points appear in  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} H$ : one set associated with  $t_1$  (the ramification points on the left hand part of the upper loops) and the other set associated with  $t_2$  (the ramification points on the right hand part of the upper loops).

We can define a quotient map  $\lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} F \to \lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} H$  by using the same formula as before.

Note that the harmonic fan (which is homeomorphic to the inverse limit  $\lim_{\circ} \Lambda$  where  $\Gamma(\Lambda) = \Delta \cup (\{1\} \times [0, 1])$ , see [2, p. 31] for instance) is a quotient space of each inverse limit from the example. To see this, a reader may follow a similar arguing as above.

## 4. Main results

In the present section we give our main results. In particular, for continuous functions  $f, g: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  and the upper semicontinuous functions  $F, G: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , where

$$\Gamma(F) = \Delta \cup f^*$$
 and  $\Gamma(G) = \Delta \cup g^*$ .

we give sufficient conditions on f and g under which inverse limits  $\lim_{\circ} F$  and  $\lim_{\circ} G$  are homeomorphic. We introduce some notation first.

For a continuous function  $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$  let

$$A_0(f) = \{x \in [0,1] \mid f(x) = x\},\$$
  
$$A_i(f) = \{x \in [0,1] \mid f^{i+1}(x) = f^i(x)\} \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N},\$$

and let

$$A(f) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i(f) \ .$$

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $f : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  and  $g : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be continuous functions. We say that f and g are  $\Delta$ -related, if there is an increasing homeomorphism  $\alpha : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  such that  $\alpha(A_i(f)) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer i.

470

Note that the condition " $\alpha(A_i(f)) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer i" in Definition 4.1 is equivalent to " $\alpha(A_{i+1}(f) \setminus A_i(f)) = A_{i+1}(g) \setminus A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer i" as well as to " $\alpha|_{A_i(f)} : A_i(f) \to A_i(g)$  is an increasing homeomorphism for each nonnegative integer i".

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $f, g : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$  be  $\Delta$ -related continuous functions and let  $F, G : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$  be defined by their graphs:

$$(F) = \Delta \cup f^*, \ \Gamma(G) = \Delta \cup g^*$$

Then  $\lim_{\infty} F$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim_{\infty} G$ .

PROOF. Let  $\alpha : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be an increasing homeomorphism, such that  $\alpha(A_i(f)) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer *i*.

We define  $\varphi : \lim_{\longrightarrow} F \to \lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  by

$$\varphi\left(\underbrace{t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{f(t),\ldots,f(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{f^2(t),\ldots,f^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right)$$
$$=\left(\underbrace{\alpha(t),\ldots,\alpha(t)}_{n_0},\underbrace{g(\alpha(t)),\ldots,g(\alpha(t))}_{n_1},\underbrace{g^2(\alpha(t)),\ldots,g^2(\alpha(t))}_{n_2},\ldots\right)$$

and show that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism. Note that  $n_0 > 0$ .

Obviously,  $\varphi$  is well defined and since  $\alpha$  and g are both continuous,  $\varphi$  is also a continuous function. Since for each

$$\mathbf{y} = (\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_0}, \underbrace{g(t), \dots, g(t)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{g^2(t), \dots, g^2(t)}_{n_2}, \dots) \in \varprojlim_{n_2} G$$

there is

$$\mathbf{x} = (\underbrace{\alpha^{-1}(t), \dots, \alpha^{-1}(t)}_{n_0}, \underbrace{f(\alpha^{-1}(t)), \dots, f(\alpha^{-1}(t))}_{n_1}}_{f_1}$$

$$\underbrace{f^2(\alpha^{-1}(t)), \dots, f^2(\alpha^{-1}(t))}_{n_2}, \dots) \in \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} F$$

such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$  it follows that  $\varphi$  is surjective. To show that  $\varphi$  is injective let  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}_1) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}_2)$ . We already know that there exist  $t, s \in [0, 1]$  and  $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  for each nonnegative integer i such that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_1) = \varphi(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_0}, \underbrace{f(t), \dots, f(t)}_{n_1}, \dots)$$
$$= (\underbrace{\alpha(t), \dots, \alpha(t)}_{n_0}, \underbrace{g(\alpha(t)), \dots, g(\alpha(t))}_{n_1}, \dots)$$

and

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_2) = \varphi(\underbrace{s, \dots, s}_{m_0}, \underbrace{f(s), \dots, f(s)}_{m_1}, \dots)$$
$$= (\underbrace{\alpha(s), \dots, \alpha(s)}_{m_0}, \underbrace{g(\alpha(s)), \dots, g(\alpha(s))}_{m_1}, \dots).$$

It follows that  $\alpha(t) = \alpha(s)$  and therefore t = s since  $\alpha$  is bijective. We have

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}_1) = (\underbrace{\alpha(t), \dots, \alpha(t)}_{n_0}, \underbrace{g(\alpha(t)), \dots, g(\alpha(t))}_{n_1}, \underbrace{g^2(\alpha(t)), \dots, g^2(\alpha(t))}_{n_2}, \dots)$$
$$= (\underbrace{\alpha(t), \dots, \alpha(t)}_{m_0}, \underbrace{g(\alpha(t)), \dots, g(\alpha(t))}_{m_1}, \underbrace{g^2(\alpha(t)), \dots, g^2(\alpha(t))}_{m_2}, \dots)$$
$$= \varphi(\mathbf{x}_2).$$

Suppose that  $n_0 \neq m_0$ . Then  $g(\alpha(t)) = \alpha(t)$  and therefore  $\alpha(t) \in A_0(g)$ . It follows that  $t \in A_0(f)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \overline{t} = \mathbf{x}_2$ .

Next, suppose that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_i = m_i$  for each i < k and  $n_k \neq m_k$ .

Then  $g^{k+1}(\alpha(t)) = g^k(\alpha(t))$  and therefore  $\alpha(t) \in A_k(g)$  and  $t \in A_k(f)$ . Thus  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) = t = \pi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)$  for each  $i \ge k$  and since (by the assumption)  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) = \pi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)$  for each i < k, it follows that  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism.

Next we interpret the relation "to be  $\Delta$ -related functions" for the class of continuous functions  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ , such that A(f) is finite. This interpretation gives an easy tool to detect  $\Delta$ -related functions.

Note that if A(f) is finite, then also  $A_i(f)$  is finite for each i and since  $A_i(f) \subseteq A_{i+1}(f)$  for each i, there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_i(f) = A_{i+1}(f)$  for each  $i \ge k$ .

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $f, g: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be continuous functions such that A(f) and A(g) are finite and let for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $A_i(f) = \{a_1^i, a_2^i, \ldots, a_{n_i}^i\}$ , where  $a_j^i < a_{j+1}^i$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_i - 1\}$ , and  $A_i(g) = \{b_1^i, b_2^i, \ldots, b_{m_i}^i\}$ , where  $b_j^i < b_{j+1}^i$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m_i - 1\}$ . Then f and g are  $\Delta$ -related if and only if the following hold true

- 1.  $|A_i(f)| = |A_i(g)|$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- 2.  $0 \in A_i(f)$  if and only if  $0 \in A_i(g)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- $1 \in A_i(f)$  if and only if  $1 \in A_i(g)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- 3.  $|A_{i+1}(f) \cap [0, a_j^i]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [0, b_j^i]|$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$ .

Note that (3) of Theorem 4.3 is equivalent to (3') and (3") below (3')  $|A_{i+1}(f) \cap [a_j^i, 1]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [b_j^i, 1]|$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n_i\}$ .

472

 $(3") |A_{i+1}(f) \cap [0, a_1^i]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [0, b_1^i]|, |A_{i+1}(f) \cap [a_{n_i}^i, 1]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [b_{n_i}^i, 1]| \text{ and } |A_{i+1}(f) \cap [a_j^i, a_{j+1}^i]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [b_j^i, b_{j+1}^i]| \text{ for each } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_i - 1\}.$ 

PROOF. First we prove that if f and g are  $\Delta$ -related, then (1), (2) and (3) follows. Let  $\alpha : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be an increasing homeomorphism with  $\alpha(A_i(f)) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer i. Since  $0 \le a_1^i < a_2^i < \ldots < a_{n_i}^i \le 1$  for each nonnegative integer i, it follows that  $\alpha(0) = 0, \alpha(1) = 1$  and  $\alpha(a_j^i) = b_j^i$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_i\}$  and therefore (1) and (2) obviously hold true. Suppose that  $A_{i+1}(f) \cap [0, a_j^i] = \{a_1^{i+1}, a_2^{i+1}, \ldots, a_k^{i+1}\}$  for some  $k \le n_{i+1}$ . Since  $\alpha([0, a_j^i]) = [0, b_j^i]$  and  $\alpha(A_{i+1}(f)) = A_{i+1}(g)$  it follows that (note that  $\alpha$  is an increasing homeomorphism and  $A_{i+1}(f), A_{i+1}(g)$  are finite)  $\alpha(A_{i+1}(f) \cap [0, a_i^i]) = A_{i+1}(g) \cap [0, b_j^i]$  and therefore (3) follows.

To prove the other implication, suppose that (1), (2) and (3) hold true. Let  $\alpha : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the increasing piecewise linear function, such that  $\alpha(0) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(1) = 1$  and  $\alpha(a_j^i) = b_j^i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and each  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_i\}$ . Obviously  $\alpha$  is an increasing homeomorphism with  $\alpha(A_i(f)) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer i and therefore f and g are  $\Delta$ -related.

The following is an easy corollary of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3.

COROLLARY 4.4. Let  $f, g : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be continuous functions such that A(f) and A(g) are finite. Further, suppose that the following hold true

- 1.  $|A_i(f)| = |A_i(g)|$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- 2.  $0 \in A_i(f)$  if and only if  $0 \in A_i(g)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- $1 \in A_i(f)$  if and only if  $1 \in A_i(g)$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,
- 3.  $|A_{i+1}(f) \cap [0, a_i^i]| = |A_{i+1}(g) \cap [0, b_i^i]|$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$ .

Then  $\lim F$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim G$ .

EXAMPLE 4.5. Let  $F, G : [0, 1] \multimap [0, 1]$  be defined by their graphs  $\Gamma(F)$  and  $\Gamma(G)$ , as shown on Figure 5. Then by Corollary 4.4  $\lim_{o \to \infty} F$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim_{o \to \infty} G$ .

In Theorem 4.6, conditions on f and g are presented, under which the existence of a quotient map (and not necessarily a homeomorphism) from lim F to lim G is accomplished.

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $f, g: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be continuous functions such that  $A_i(f) \subseteq A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer *i*. Further, let  $F, G: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be defined by  $\Gamma(F) = \Delta \cup f^*$ ,  $\Gamma(G) = \Delta \cup g^*$ . Then  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  is a quotient space of  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} F$ .



FIGURE 5.  $\Gamma(F)$  (left) and  $\Gamma(G)$  (right)

PROOF. We define  $\varphi : \lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} F \to \lim_{\circ \longrightarrow} G$  by

$$\varphi\left(\underbrace{t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{f(t),\ldots,f(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{f^2(t),\ldots,f^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right)$$
$$=\left(\underbrace{t,\ldots,t}_{n_0},\underbrace{g(t),\ldots,g(t)}_{n_1},\underbrace{g^2(t),\ldots,g^2(t)}_{n_2},\ldots\right)$$

and show that  $\varphi$  is a quotient map. It is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is well defined and surjective since  $A_i(f) \subseteq A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer *i*. Since *g* is continuous,  $\varphi$  is also a continuous function.

REMARK 4.7. Let  $\varphi$  be the function from the proof of Theorem 4.6 and suppose that A(f) and A(g) are finite. The quotient map  $\varphi$  helps picturing the inverse limit  $\lim_{\infty} G$  by gluing some points from  $\lim_{\infty} F$  together. We continue by listing such points.

We show that  $\varphi$  is injective if and only  $A_i(f) = A_i(g)$  for each nonnegative integer *i*. If  $A_i(f) = A_i(g)$  for each *i*, then by taking  $\alpha : [0, 1] \to [0, 1], \alpha(t) = t$ we can see that *f* and *g* are  $\Delta$ -related and  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism. Suppose

475

that there exists  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $A_i(f)$  is a proper subset of  $A_i(g)$  and let

$$B_{i}(f) = \left\{ \left(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_{0}}, \underbrace{f(t), \dots, f(t)}_{n_{1}}, \dots, \underbrace{f^{i}(t), \dots, f^{i}(t)}_{n_{i}}, f^{i+1}(t), \dots \right) \\ \left| t \in A_{i}(g) \setminus A_{i}(f) \right\} \subseteq \lim_{\infty} F, \\ B_{i}(g) = \left\{ \left(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n_{0}}, \underbrace{g(t), \dots, g(t)}_{n_{1}}, \dots, \underbrace{g^{i}(t), \dots, g^{i}(t)}_{n_{i}}, g^{i+1}(t), \dots \right) \\ \left| t \in A_{i}(g) \setminus A_{i}(f) \right\} \subseteq \lim_{\infty} G. \right\}$$

Then  $\varphi(B_i(f)) = B_i(g)$  and since  $f^{i+1}(t) \neq f^i(t)$  and  $g^{i+1}(t) = g^i(t)$  it holds that  $|B_i(f)| > |B_i(g)|$ , since both,  $B_i(f)$  and  $B_i(g)$  are finite. Therefore  $\varphi$  is not injective.

We conclude the paper with the following illustrative example.

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let  $F, G : [0, 1] \multimap [0, 1]$  be defined by their graphs  $\Gamma(F)$  and  $\Gamma(G)$ , as shown on Figure 6. Then by Theorem 4.6  $\lim G$  is a quotient



FIGURE 6.  $\Gamma(F)$  (left) and  $\Gamma(G)$  (right)

space of  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} F$ . Note that  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} F$  is a Cantor fan (see [2, p. 22]), while a homeomorphic copy of the quotient space  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  is seen on Figure 7.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author thanks Iztok Banič and Uroš Milutinović for helpful suggestions and discussions. The author also thanks the anonymous referees for useful remarks.



FIGURE 7. A homeomorphic copy of  $\lim_{\longrightarrow} G$  from Example 4.8

### References

- A. Illanes and S. B. Nadler, Hyperspaces. Fundamentals and recent advances, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [2] W. T. Ingram, An introduction to inverse limits with set-valued functions, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] S. E. Holte, Inverse limits of Markov interval maps, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 421–427.
- [4] I. Banič and T. Lunder, Inverse limits with generalized Markov interval functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 39 (2016), 839–848.
- [5] I. Banič and M. Črepnjak, Markov pairs, quasi Markov functions and inverse limits, Houston J. Math. 44 (2018), 695–707.
- M. Črepnjak and T. Lunder, Inverse limits with countably Markov interval functions, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 51(71) (2016), 491–501.
- [7] L. Alvin and J. P. Kelly, Markov set-valued functions and their inverse limits, Topology Appl. 241 (2018), 102–114.
- [8] W. T. Ingram, Inverse limits of upper semi-continuous functions that are unions of mappings, Topology Proc. 34 (2009), 17–26.

#### T. Sovič

Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transportation Engineering and Architecture University of Maribor 2000 Maribor Slovenia *E-mail*: tina.sovic@um.si *Received*: 2.8.2018.

Revised: 22.1.2019.