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Abstract: Many problems of interfacial cracks are three-dimensional in nature. Three-dimensional cracks at an interface of the two materials are analyzed in this paper. For a crack at an interface, the stress intensity factors, load phase angle and energy release rate depend on the elastic characteristics of the two bonded materials and on geometry and the load conditions of a bimaterial sample. Influence of Dundurs’ parameters on stress intensity factors, load phase angle and energy release rate for different bi-material combinations and for the quarter-circular corner crack are discussed in this paper. Results show that elastic properties of materials constituting the interface have significant influence on behaviour of the 3D interface crack. Mode I stress intensity factor $K_I$ increases when the crack front approaches the free surface, while $K_II$ remains almost constant having the highest values between $10^\circ$ and $80^\circ$, what results in high values of the load phase angle. The $K_III$ stress intensity factor is zero in the symmetry plane, while its value increases as the crack front approaches free surfaces. The energy release rate diagrams show that the crack of a quarter circular front propagates faster closer to free surfaces than in the middle what means that the crack front would have the tendency of straightening.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The first papers on the subject of interface cracks were published by Williams [1], who studied the local stress field in the vicinity of semi-infinite interface crack whose faces were force-free. The interface crack lies between the two ideally joined elastic half-spaces. Williams noticed that, unlike for the homogeneous materials, stresses around the interface crack exhibit the oscillatory character. Rice and Shih [2] obtained expressions for the stress field around the interface crack tip and in accordance with those, the elastic stress fields distant from the tip for various problems. Works by Erdogan [3] and England [4] provided new contributions to further investigation of the two-dimensional singular model for one or several cracks in bimaterial systems. In recent times, the progress in investigating the interface static fracture was realized by fundamental work of Rice [5] and others. Hutchinson and Suo [6] and Nikolic and Djokovic [7]. Qu and Bassani [8] analysed the crack at the interface of the two anisotropic materials to define the stress intensity factors (SIFs) according to the stress fields at the crack tip. Nakamura and Kamath [9] analysed 3D crack growth and destruction of a thin film on a rigid substrate. Gosz et al. [10] introduced a new method for determination of mixed mode SIFs along the curved 3D bi-material interface crack. Ayhan et al. [11] developed an efficient computer model, using the enriched finite element at the crack tip, which provides correct results for the asymptotic behaviour at the crack tip. Nagai et al. [12] have determined the stress intensity factors for the 3D interface crack in various anisotropic materials. Koguchi and Yokoyama [13] investigated the singular stress field at interface vertex for 3D joints. Saputra et al. [14] calculated 3D parameters of fracture for the interfacial crack and notches using the scaled boundary finite element method.

Many problems of the interface crack are actually three-dimensional. The interface crack can have three forms: quarter circular, triangular and concave (Fig. 1).

Steel, as a traditional construction material, is in exploitation subjected to wear, corrosion and oxidation. One of the most effective ways of improving its properties is the application of ceramic coatings. The ceramic coatings are often used to protect the base alloys from hot corrosion and oxidation, as well as to reduce the wear damage. The second function is to reduce the temperature of the base metal in thermal insulation coatings. Among various ceramic materials used to improve steel properties, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is one of the most widely used, due to its high corrosion resistance, good wear resistance and low price. Coatings made of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ have been widely used in cutting tools, engines, turbines, space vehicles and biomedicine.

The problem of the 3D interface crack between steel and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ coating, for the 3D joint, shown in Fig. 2 was considered in this work.
2 PROBLEM FORMULATION

The stress field singularity appears due to differences in material characteristics at corners of the interface between the two different materials. If an external force acts on such a joint or the environment temperature changes, the crack would appear in the vicinity or at the interface due to this stress singularity. The stress and deformation fields at the interface crack tip have the form:

\[
(\sigma_{22} + i\sigma_{12})_{\theta = 0} = \frac{(K_1 + iK_2)\rho^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}, \quad (\sigma_{22})_{\theta = 0} = \frac{K_{III}}{\sqrt{2\pi r}},
\]

\[
\delta_2 + i\delta_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{4Kr^{1/2}}{E_s} \sqrt{\frac{2r}{\pi}},
\]

\[
\delta_3 = \frac{2K_{III}}{\mu_s} \sqrt{\frac{2r}{\pi}},
\]

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta} \right),
\]

respectively, where: \( r \) is the distance from the crack tip, \( \varepsilon \) is the mode mixity, \( \beta \) is one of the two Dundurs parameters, Dundurs [16], \( \mu_s \) is the shear modulus, \( \nu \) is the Poisson's ratio, \( E_s \) is Young's elasticity modulus, \( E_i = E_i/(1-\nu_i^2) \) is valid for the plane strain state and \( E_r = E_r \) for the plane stress state, while subscripts \( i = 1,2 \) refer to materials above and below the interface.

As can be seen from Eq. (1), the stress field in the vicinity of the interface crack tip is a linear combination of coupled oscillatory field, defined by the complex SIF, \( K = K_1 + iK_2 \), and non-oscillatory field, measured by the real SIF, \( K_{III} \). Unlike the case of a homogeneous material, where there exist two separate SIFs for Mode I and Mode II crack propagation conditions, \( K_1 \) and \( K_{III} \), respectively, for this case, there is one complex SIF for the planar modes; for an interface crack, planar modes are mutually coupled. The interface SIFs are defined in such a way that when materials across the interface are identical \( K_1 \rightarrow K_1 \) and \( K_2 \rightarrow K_{II} \).

When \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = 0 \), \( K_1 \) represents the measure of the normal component of the stress singularity, while \( K_2 \) measures the shear component, with usual definition of the stress intensity factors.

Solution for the problem of interface crack is complete either if SIFs \( K_1, K_{II} \) and \( K_{III} \) are known, or if the energy release rate \( G \) and the phase angles \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are known. The energy release rate for an interface crack is:

\[
G = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 (\pi \varepsilon)} \frac{K_1^2}{E_s} + \frac{K_{III}^2}{2\mu_s}. \tag{2}
\]

Since \( \varepsilon = 0 \) for the interface crack, influences of tension and shear in the vicinity of crack tip are not separated. To estimate the relative dependence of shear on normal forces, characteristic length \( L \) should be known. Now, one can write for the oscillatory field:

\[
\tan \psi = \frac{Im (KL^{1/2})}{Re (KL^{1/2})}, \quad \cos \phi = \frac{K_{III}}{\sqrt{K_{I}^2 + K_{III}^2}}. \tag{3}
\]

where \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) denote the mixed mode in the \( K \) space. The length \( L \) is arbitrarily chosen, but for one bimaterial combination it can be invariant, i.e. \( L \) may not be dependent on the size and type of the sample. A reasonable choice for value of \( L \) is size of the elastic area, depending on the size of a sample. For instance, \( L = 100 \mu m \) is the usual value for majority of brittle bimaterial samples for laboratory research, Shih [17].

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The normalized SIFs values variations along the crack front are shown in Fig. 3, for the case of a 3D crack of the quarter circular form at the interface Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/steel, with the following material characteristics \( E_1 = 375 \) GPa, \( \nu_1 = 0.27 \), \( E_2 = 216 \) GPa, \( \nu_2 = 0.28 \). The SIFs variation along angle \( \theta \), measured from the symmetry axis, and normalized by the solution for the Mode I SIF of a penny-shaped crack

\[
K_{IR} = (2/\pi)\sigma_0\sqrt{\pi a}.
\]

\[
\text{Fig. 3} \quad \text{Normalized SIFs variation in terms of angle }\theta \text{ for the 3D crack of the quarter circular form at the Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{steel interface.}
\]

Fig. 3 indicates that \( K_1 \) and \( K_{II} \) are symmetrical with respect to the \( \theta = 45^\circ \) plane, while \( K_{III} \) is asymmetrical. Mode I SIF increases as the crack front is closer to the free surface. The Mode II SIF remains almost constant along the whole front. The Mode III SIF is zero in the symmetry plane, and then it increases as the front is getting closer to the free surface, but it also has a negative value.

From Fig. 3 one can notice that all the three SIFs contribute to the energy release rate \( G \), since they are of the same order of magnitude. It can also be seen that the Mode II SIF, although almost constant, has the highest values between 10° and 80°, what has, as a consequence, high values of the load phase angle.

The normalized phase angle variation along the crack front is shown in Fig. 4, for the case of the 3D crack of a quarter circular form at the interface Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)/steel, in terms of angle \( \theta \).

In Fig. 4 one can notice symmetry of results with respect to the \( \theta = 45^\circ \) plane.
Energy release rate (normalized with $G_{IR} = K_{IR}^2 / E_s$) variation along the crack front is shown in Fig. 5, for 3D crack of a quarter circular form at the interface Al$_2$O$_3$/steel. Fig. 5 indicates symmetry of results with respect to the $\theta = 45^\circ$ plane, and the fact that the quarter circular front crack propagates faster near free surfaces than in the centre.
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**Figure 4** Normalized phase angle variation in terms of angle $\theta$ for the 3D crack of a quarter circular form at the Al$_2$O$_3$/steel interface
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**Figure 5** Normalized energy release rate variation in terms of angle $\theta$ for the 3D crack of a quarter circular form at the Al$_2$O$_3$/steel interface
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**Figure 6** Normalized load phase angle variation in terms of angle $\theta$ for 3D crack of a quarter circular form for two arbitrary bimaterial combinations

Considering that for the interface crack, the SIFs, load phase angle and energy release rate depend on the material characteristics of two materials constituting interface, the normalized load phase angle and energy release rate are shown in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively, for two bimaterial combinations and for the sake of comparison. Two bimaterial combinations, with Dandurs parameters $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 0.0625$ and $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 0.125$, were considered.

From variation of the normalized load phase angle in Fig. 6 (about the symmetry plane $\theta = 45^\circ$) one can see that it is significantly affected by material characteristics.

Fig. 7 indicates that the normalized values of the energy release rate increase in the central region with increase in the material elastic characteristics.

![Figure 7](image4.png)

**Figure 7** Normalized energy release rate variation along angle $\theta$ for 3D crack of a quarter circular form for two arbitrary bimaterial combinations

4 CONCLUSION

Based on presented results, it can be concluded that all three SIFs are contributing to energy release rate $G$ since they are all of the same order of magnitude. In addition, it can be noticed that $K_I$ and $K_{II}$ are symmetrical with respect to the $\theta = 45^\circ$ plane, while $K_{III}$ is asymmetric. The Mode I SIF increases as the crack approaches the free surface. The Mode II SIF remains almost constant along the whole front, but it has the highest values between $10^\circ$ and $80^\circ$, resulting in high values of the load phase angle. The Mode III SIF is zero in the symmetry plane, with increasing value as the front approaches to free surfaces, although its value can be of the negative sign, as well.

Those results also show existence of significant differences in the Mode III SIFs, what has not been taken into account in planar problems.

Based on the energy release rate diagrams, one can see that the crack with the quarter circular front propagates faster closer to free surfaces than in the center. This means that the crack front has the tendency of straightening.

It is also shown that elastic properties of materials constituting the interface have significant influence on behaviour of the 3D interface crack.
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