A NEW SEMISSIS OF THEODORIC MINTED IN ROME AT THE BEGINNING OF THE REIGN OF THE EMPEROR ANASTASIIUS: WHAT DOES IT UNCOVER?

A special place is occupied in the Ostrogothic monetary system by the semissis, the only gold denomination so far represented with only two examples. The first of them has been known for almost two hundred and thirty years (from 1791), but only in 1912 was it recognized as a probable Ostrogothic coin, and it was definitely attributed to the Ostrogothic monetary system in the early 1970s. The second one appeared in 2011 at a Munich auction, where it achieved a very high price but despite that did not attract adequate professional and scientific attention. Both these semisses are connected by the use of the same obverse die, hence the time and purpose of their creation and minting are closely related and seemingly took place in the same (fiscal) year. The reverse depictions, although both are from the Vota group, differ in numerous details, because of which it can be suggested that their differences, arrangement, and design marked the content of any possible numismatically interesting message.
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At the end of 2100, the auction house Bertolami Fine Art presented in what was then its Munich branch Art Coins Roma (further: Bertolami/ACR), a fourth numismatic auction where 1171 coins were offered for sale, including 3 Ostrogothic specimens: a decanummius of King Athalaric (no. 1172), a quarter siliqua of King Theodoric minted in the name of Emperor Justin I (no. 1171), and a well preserved semissis minted by Theodoric in the name of Emperor Anastasius (no. 1170), a denomination which in the previously published fundus of Ostrogothic coinage had long been represented by a single example known from as long ago as 1791, but seemingly numismatically verifiable not before 1887 (Fig. 1:1). The description of the semissis presented at the above auction in Munich reads: "Theodoric (493-526), Semissis in name of Anastasiius I

1 The semissis was described and the collection in which it was originally located was first mentioned by Gerolimo Tanini (Taninius 1791, 379). A decade later, the composition of the collection was published by Baron Friedrich Christian Heinrich Ludwig von Schellersheim (1752-1836), a passionate antiquities collector and its famous owner (1800, 238-239). Some eighty years later, Schellersheim's semissis was already part of the renowned collection of Gustav Ponton d'Amécourt (1825-1888), when it was sold in Paris in 1887 (Rollin-Feuardent 1887, 140 no. 870, Pl. XXXIII: 870) to the London lawyer Hyman M. Montagu (1844-1895). His collection, no less significant than the previous ones, some ten years later could also be found at an auction taking place in Paris (Rollin-Feuardent 1896, 149 no. 1089, Pl. XXXVIII: 1089). On that occasion, the semissis was purchased for his already well-known collection by the Russian politician, numismatist, and collector, Count Ivan Ivanovich Tolstoy (1858-1916) and was published in 1912 as Ostrogothic (p. 207 no. 124), in contrast to Warwick Wroth, who four years earlier still, it is true with caution, claimed that this product was "not of the imperial mint" (1908, 2 n. 3). The semissis together with the immense Tolstoy collection ended up at the beginning of the October Revolution in the Hermitage in Saint Petersburg (Spasski 1970, 198-199, 203), where it is located today.
A new semissis of Theodoric minted in Rome in AD 493-518, AV, g 2.20, mm 16, DN ANASTASIVS AVG, Diademed bust r., R/ VICTORIA AVGSTORVM, Victory seated r. writing VOTVMLX (sic) on shield; in the field at r., star; in ex. COMOB, point below. Cfr. Metlich -, per nominale 9 = Tolstoi 124. Extremely rare. Unpublish. Good extremely fine. The Ostrogoths’ reign of Theodoric started in 493 with the defeat of Odovacar, with emperor Zenon’s approval. The estimated value of this coin at the beginning of the auction was €2500, but this increased during to auction by as much as 80%, and the semissis was sold to the new owner for €4500. (Fig. 1:2) Some would say this was a lot of money for just a little gold, if different means of calculating value did not exist among numismatists and collectors.

Fig. 1 - Ostrogothic semisses minted for Theodoric in Rome at the beginning of the reign of the emperor Anastasius (ca. 3:1): 1 - Semissis from the collection of Count I.I. Tolstoy, the Hermitage, Saint Petersburg (Grierson 1985); 2 - Semissis sold at the auction Bertolami/ACR 4 in Munich in 2011 (no. 1170).

The “new” Ostrogothic semissis shares, in addition to its exceptional rarity, an identical obverse with the “old” Schellersheim - Ponton d’Amercourt - Montagu - Tolstoy semissis from the Hermitage in Saint Petersburg, given that both were minted with the same obverse die. The reverses were produced from different dies, although they both bear the same uninterrupted reverse legend VICTORIA AGVSTORVM (without one V), which on the semissis from the Hermitage ends in a six-pointed star. Below the legend is a depiction of a sitting Victoria turned to the right, with a shield on a base (cippus) and a legend of the vota type: in three lines VOT/PÊ/X on the semissis from the Hermitage, and in an uninterrupted single line along the edge of the shield VOT V ML X (= votis quinquenalibus multis decenalibus) on the semissis from the Bertolami/ACR auction. On both semisses, Victoria is sitting on armour, but the example from the

\(^2\) ACR (Bertolami) 4, München 2011, no. 1070.
Hermitage has an added winged genius,\(^3\) winged figure,\(^4\) Cupid,\(^5\) or putto,\(^6\) and a six-pointed star in the field right, while on the semissis from the Bertolami/ACR auction the genius is missing and the six-pointed star was replaced by an eight-pointed star placed in the field to the left of Victoria. Additionally, in the exergue of the semissis from the Bertolami/ACR auction a COMOB with a dot between the vertical hashes of the letter M can be clearly distinguished, while the semissis from the Hermitage does not have one.

**Fig. 2** - Main types of Western Roman semisses from the period of the emperor Valentinian III (425-455) to near the end of the 5th century (ca. 2:1): 1 - the Vota type of emperor Valentinian III (Nomos, 19/2019, no 390); 2 - the Chi-ro type of Galla Placidia with the legend SALVS REI PVBLICA\(\text{E}\) (NAC 42/2007, no. 245); 3 - The type with an inverse Chi-ro and the legend VICTORI-A AVCCC, minted during the reign of Odoacer (Bertolami/ACR 4/2011, no 1169 = NAC 78/2014, no. 1280).

---

\(^3\) Taninius 1791, 379 ("cum Genio alato"); Sauley 1836, 2-3 ("un génie ailé"); Sabiatier 1862 ("un génie ailé"); Tolstoj 1912, 207 no. 124 ("крылатымъ генiемъ").

\(^4\) Kent 1971, 70 ("winged figure").

\(^5\) Grierson-Blackburn 1986, 35 ("a winged Cupid").

\(^6\) Metlich 2004, 19, 85 no. 9 ("a putto").
Further, both reverse depictions appear, each in its own manner, as interestingly arranged anachronisms. In that sense, the appearance of the *Vota* type with a seated Victoria and a winged genius on the semissis from the Hermitage minted in Rome in the name of the emperor Anastasius was depicted with an iconographic concept utilized for western Roman semisses for the last time on the occasion of the tenth anniversary (VOT/X/MVLT/XX) of the reign of the emperor Valentinian III in 435.\(^7\) (Fig. 2:1) This type was soon replaced on the semisses of Valentinian III, Galla Placidia, and Justa Grata Honoria by the type *Chi-ro* in a wreath with the legend SALVS REI PVBLICAE,\(^8\) (Fig. 2:2) which as a celebratory minting in the West was renewed and became standard, as it now seems, only in the period of the reign of Libius Severus (461-465),\(^9\) and his heir Antemius (467-472).\(^10\) After them, the same type of reverse was used by Odoaker (476-491) on semisses minted in the name of the emperor Zeno in Rome with the legend SALVS REI PVBLICE,\(^11\) and later in Ravenna, where the classic *Chi-ro*\(^12\) and inverse *Chi-ro* were accompanied by the legend VICTORI-A AVCCC.\(^13\)

The ambivalence of the display and epigraphic content, along with the anachronisms already mentioned, represent another dividing line between the two semisses mentioned above. Hence on the reverse of the “new” semissis, i.e. the one from the Bertolami/ACR auction, not merely is there no genius, but there is also no legend written in three rows along the entire surface of the shield, as the quite visible and legible uninterrupted legend in a single row VOT V ML X was engraved to follow the rim of the shield. Such a position of the letters written on the shield is characteristic for the Eastern Roman semisses from the period of the emperor Marcianus (450-457) onwards.\(^14\) (Fig. 4) On the contrary, the base on which the shield leans on the semisses belongs to the Western Roman tradition, while the abbreviation ML (=MVLT), despite being understandable and clearly carved is so far unique in the numismatic production of late antiquity and early Byzantium. The eight-pointed star in the field left of the seated Victoria is yet

\(^7\) Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 372 no. 2048-2050.
\(^8\) Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 371-372 no. 2051-2055, 2057 (Pl. 51:2051-2055, 2057).
\(^9\) Lacam 1983, 358 Pl. XCVI, 359-360, Pl. XCVI, Pl. 18:54-61 (Rome); Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 189, 407 no. 2707-2708, Pl. 61:2708 (Rome).
\(^10\) Lacam 1983, 505-506, 507 Pl. CXXXI, Pl. 30:110-117 (Rome); Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 197, 414 no. 2836-2840, Pl. 64:2836-2840 (Rome).
\(^11\) Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 448 no. 3658 (Rome), Pl. 75:3658 (Naples). For the example from the Stift Schotten Collection in Vienna: Hübl 1910, 191 no. 3692; Metlich 2004, 12 Fig. 4 (Rome). For the example from Budapest: Metlich 2004, 13 Fig. 6 Pl. 1:1. — For the example from Paris: Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 448 no. 3659 (Rome), Pl. 75:3659 = Taninius 1791, 374, Tab. IX = Lacam 1983, 678-679 Fig. 27, Pl. 44:137 (Nepos in the name of Zeno; Ravenna) = Deperyot 1996, 163 no. 89/2 (Nepos, second reign; Rome).
\(^13\) For the inverse *chi-ro*, see Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 446 no. 3636 = Ercolani Cocchi 1983, 110-111 no. 298/2257 (in the exergue ICONOI) = Ranieri 2006, 70 no. 216 (in the exergue ICONOI). In addition, it is necessary to note a new so far unknown example of this group different that the previous ones, Bertolami (ACR) 4/2011, no. 1169 = NAC 78/2014, no. 1280. (Fig. 2:3)

\(^14\) The numerical insignia on the shield began with the semisses of Marcianus, and later would differ increasingly from the actual years of the reign of individual eastern Roman and Early Byzantine rulers. For a brief overview of these issues, see Boyce 1965, 88-89.
another characteristic of the eastern Roman semisses of the Constantinople mint, which in combination with the brief and uninterrupted legend VICTORIA AVCCC appeared on the semisses minted in the name of the emperors Arcadius and Honorius as early as the year 403, and since then it was a constant and as a rule applied to the semisses of all later Eastern Roman (but not for female members of the imperial family!) and early Byzantine rulers.

![Image of a semissis of emperor Marcianus (450-457) minted in Constantinople, (ca 2:1), CNG 450e/2019, no. 405.](image1)

![Image of a solidus of king Theodoric minted in the name of emperor Anastasius in Rome (ca. 2:1), RomNum XI/2016, no. 944.](image2)

Although the reverses of both semisses of Theodoric differ in numerous details, the uninterrupted reverse legend VICTORIA AVSTORVM with a missing (second) V was present on both semisses. The dropping of a letter as an omission was done on a coin considered to be a ceremonial minting, and was attributed to the arrival of Anastasius on the imperial throne, but this does not appear likely particularly since it appears

---

15 Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 243 no. 33-33a, Pl. 2:33-33a. On the previous series of semisses minted according to the model of the semisses of Theodosius I with a long and uninterrupted legend VICTORIA AVGSTORVM, the star in the field to the left of Victoria is still missing, Kent 1994 (=RIC X), 241 no. 16-17, Pl. 2:16-17.

16 Grierson 1985, 21.

17 Metlich 2004, 19.
on two differently formed reverses of the Ostrogothic semisses. Hence the question of whether this was coincidental or did a purpose actually exist in fact is not a dilemma, as the repetition implies a reason and probably even more than that. The letter V was not merely the 22nd letter in the classic Roman alphabet, but also denoted the number 5 in the Roman period, because of which it can be hypothesized that the omission of the letter V in the reverse legend was not caused by the “ignorance” of the die cutter or even irresponsibility on the part of the procurator monetae, who did not spot the mistake by the cutter in time and demand correction of the error or re-carving of the die but rather an intentional omission of the letter V to note or emphasize its possible (22) or even actual numerical meaning and value (5).

Other than the reverse legends, on the Ostrogothic semisses a legend was also located on the shield being held by Victoria. On the semissis from the Hermitage, the legend VOT/PÈ/[**(six-pointed star)*] written in three lines was variously interpreted in the 19th century when the coin was considered to be Byzantine (“vota populi Constantinopolitani”), differently at the beginning of the 20th century (“vota publica civum”), and again in the third quarter of the 20th century, when certain quite different interpretations of the legend were offered (“Vota patrum conscriptorum” and “vota principis”). This was when the attribution of these semisses to the mint in Rome was finally affirmed, but the dilemma about the period of minting, which was determined only approximately in 1912 (“во время Θеодорика / during the time of Theodoric”) appeared only after 1971, when the minting of the semisses was attributed for the first time to the beginning of the reign of Anastasius (“to an early date in Anastasius’ reign ... the beginning of the reign”). Dilemmas about placing the minting of these semisses in 493, 500, or even 509 were denied then and later by the typological characteristics of the obverse busts, close to those on the coinage of Anastasius’ predecessor the emperor Zeno (474-491), because of which the connection of the semissis from the Hermitage to the period of Anastasius’ (491-518) accession to the throne, as suggested in 2004, seems the only correct solution. (Table 1)

In contrast to the reverse of the semissis from the Hermitage, which still retains elements based on the Western Roman tradition for the design of the reverse depiction, on the “new” Ostrogothic semissis the single-row, uninterrupted legend placed along the edge of the shield of the Vota type, i.e. VOT V ML X, the eight-pointed star in the field to the left of Victoria, the outstretched right leg of Victoria, and the removal of the genius more than clearly indicate a desire to align further the design of the reverse depiction engraved in Rome to that engraved in Constantinople. The increased presence of Constantinople elements on the semissis from the Bertolami/AC auction is an indirect indicator of its later minting in relation to the minting period of the semissis from the Hermitage, which is also not contradicted by the reverse legend of the VOT V ML X (= vot v mvlt x) type, which could have been used as early as on issues minted not

---

18 At present, the reasoning remains questionable and unanswered according to which the cited numerical meaning could be classified among administrative marks (such as the design, content, and appearance of legends, busts, diadems, etc.), and be considered a constituent part of a lustrum-related identification system, as was the case with certain other artistic contents and markings on early-Byzantine coinage.

19 In the mid 1960s this interpretation was still represented in the numismatic literature as well as the concept that these semisses were part of early Byzantine monetary production, cf. Boyce 1965, 89.
Table 1 - Numismatic evaluations and interpretations of the Ostrogothic semissis from the Hermitage in Saint Petersburg in the period from 1791-2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mint</th>
<th>VOT P C (interpretation)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. TANINIUS 1791, 379</td>
<td>(COMOB)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(Anastasius)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SAULCY 1836, 2-3</td>
<td>(COMOB)</td>
<td>“vota populi Constantinopolitani?” [p. 3]</td>
<td>(Anastasius)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. SABATIER 1862, no. 6</td>
<td>(COMOB)</td>
<td>“vota populi Constantinopolitani” [p. 153]</td>
<td>(Anastasius)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. WROTH 1908, 2 (bilj. 3)</td>
<td>“not of imperial mint”</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(Anastasius)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. KRAUS 1928</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. ARSLAN 1989, no. 4</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>“immediatamente dopo l’eliminazione di Odoacre (493)” [p. 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. METLICH 2004, no. 9</td>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>“at least seven years earlier than 507” [p.19]; “493” [Tabla]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
long after the ruler’s (Anastasius) accession to the imperial throne, serving as a kind of announcement of the emperor’s first consulate, which as a rule would have followed in the next year.\(^{20}\) That this could truly be the case is indicated by yet another segment of the “new” semissis, i.e. the legend \textit{COMOB} in the exergue, where a clearly visible dot is located between the vertical hashes of the letter M. A similarly positioned dot is also visible on individual only slightly later minted Ostrogothic solidi of the Roman mint, with the Greek letter Θ at the end of the reverse legend,\(^ {21}\) as well as on the Roman tremisses (chronologically very close to these solidi) with an eight-pointed star in each field to the left and right of Victoria, as has already been noted elsewhere.\(^ {22}\)

The above details are interesting only in relative chronological terms, as for the true chronological position of the Ostrogothc semisses, the design of the imperial bust on the obverse is “most relevant”. Both semisses, as was noted earlier, were produced using the same obverse die, and in that sense no difference between them exists, but a full comparative similarity of their obverse depiction can be found on two equally rare Ostrogothic tremisses of the Victoria-palm-to the right, no globe, which were dated some fifteen years ago to the period between 491 and 493 (\textbf{Fig. 5:1-3}).\(^ {23}\) The suggested chronological span seems too long for these quite rare examples of tremisses, and they, like both Ostrogothic semisses, should be dated to a shorter chronological period, in the same year, and that year is 491, the first year of the reign of emperor Anastasius.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{tremisses.png}
\caption{Tremisses of king Theodoric (Viktoria-palm-to the right, no globe) minted in the mane of emperor Anastasius in Rome in 491 (ca. 2:1): 1 - Naples (Hahn 1981=\textit{MIB} III, no. V3\(^1\)); 2 - Verona (Metlich 2004, no. 10a1); 3 - London (Wroth 1911=\textit{BMCGerm}, no. 71).}
\end{figure}

\(^{20}\) Burgess 1988, 79 and n. 10, 91.

\(^{21}\) Arslan 1978, 35 no. 2. For a more recent example of this rare group, RomNum XI/2016, no. 944. (\textbf{Fig. 4}).

\(^{22}\) Demo 1994, 77 no. 9,10, 127.

\(^{23}\) Additionally, these two Ostrogothic tremisses should be repositioned chronologically as the tremissis from Naples (Hahn 1981=\textit{MIB} III, no. V3\(^1\) = Metlich 2004, no. 10b) was definitely minted before the tremissis from Verona (Schmidt-Dick 1995 = \textit{TNRB} 9, no. 18884 = Metlich 2004, no. 10a1). Only after these would come the type Metlich 2004, no. 10a2 (= Wroth 1911=\textit{BMCGerm}, no. 71 = Kent 1971, no. 15 = Hahn 1981=\textit{MIB} III, no. V3\(^2\)), which was recently joined by an example from the auction NAC 93/2016, 1153.
Bibliography

ACR – Art Coins Roma, München.


Bertolami - Bertolami Fine Arts, Roma.


CNG - Classical Numismatic Group, Lancaster (PA), London.


NAC - Numismatica Ars Classica, Zürich.

Nomos - Nomos AG, Zürich.


RomNum - Roma Numismatics Limited, London


**Tolstoi, Ivan Ivanovič**, 1912. Византійські монети / Monnaies Byzantines 2, Sankt Peterburg, 1912.
