

## SOME PROPERTIES OF THE EXTENDED ZERO-DIVISOR GRAPH OF THE RING OF GAUSSIAN INTEGERS MODULO $n$

BASEM ALKHAMAISEH

**ABSTRACT.** Recently, Bennis and others studied an extension of the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring  $R$ . They called this extension the extended zero-divisor graph of  $R$ , denoted by  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  has as set of vertices all the nonzero zero-divisors of  $R$ ,  $Z(R)^*$ , and two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if there are nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $x^n y^m = 0$  with  $x^n \neq 0$  and  $y^m \neq 0$ . In this paper, we study several properties of the extended zero-divisor graph of the ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ ). We characterize the positive integers  $n$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ . The diameter and girth, as well as the positive integers  $n$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is planar or outerplanar, are also determined.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let  $R$  be a commutative ring with nonzero identity. Beck in [7] originated the concept of the zero-divisor graph by discussing the coloring of a commutative ring. In his graph, Beck used  $R$  as the set of vertices. In 1999, D.F. Anderson and Livingston in [5] modified the concept of the zero-divisor graph originated by Beck by restricting the set of vertices to the nonzero zero-divisors of  $R$ . They used the notation  $\Gamma(R)$  to denote the zero-divisor graph of the ring  $R$ . The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring has been the focus of several researchers [1–4, 6, 10].

Recently, Bennis et al. in [8] studied an extension of the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring  $R$ . They called this extension the extended zero-divisor graph of  $R$ , denoted by  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$ . The graph  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  has as set of vertices all the nonzero zero-divisors of  $R$ ,  $Z(R)^*$ , and two distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if there are nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $x^n y^m = 0$  with  $x^n \neq 0$  and  $y^m \neq 0$ . The extended zero-divisor graph has also been studied in [6]. Abu Osba et al. in [1, 2] have studied some properties of the zero-divisor

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A99, 13B99; Secondary 05C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$ , extended zero-divisor graph, diameter and girth, planar graph.

graph of the ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$ ,  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ . Likewise in this paper, we will study some properties of the extended zero-divisor graph of the ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

In this paper, the set of zero-divisors of  $R$  is denoted by  $Z(R)$ . Also, we denote the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$  by  $Nil(R)$ . For any  $x \in R$ , the annihilator of  $x$  is  $Ann(x) = \{y \in R : xy = 0\}$ . For any set  $X$  that contains 0, we use the notation  $X^*$  to exclude 0 from the set  $X$ . In graph theory, the notation  $d(a, b)$  is used to express the distance between two distinct vertices  $a$  and  $b$ , where  $d(a, b)$  is the length of a shortest path joining  $a$  and  $b$  if such a path exists, otherwise  $d(a, b) = \infty$ . The diameter of a graph  $G$  is  $diam(G) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \text{ and } b \text{ are distinct vertices of } G\}$ . The girth of a graph  $G$ , denoted by  $gr(G)$ , is the length of a shortest circle in the graph  $G$ , if any. Otherwise,  $gr(G) = \infty$ . For undefined notations and terminology in ring theory and graph theory, consult [14] and [12], respectively.

## 2. WHEN IS $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ ?

In this section, we characterize the positive integers  $n$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

First, we provide some results concerning when  $\bar{\Gamma}(R) = \Gamma(R)$  for a commutative ring  $R$ . One can find the following propositions in [8].

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R) = \Gamma(R)$  if and only if  $R$  satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *If  $Nil(R) \neq \{0\}$ , then every nonzero nilpotent element has index 2,*
2. *For every  $x \in Z(R) \setminus Nil(R)$ ,  $Ann(x^2) = Ann(x)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring. Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R) = \Gamma(R)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $(R_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  be a finite family of rings with  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\prod_{i=1}^k R_i) = \Gamma(\prod_{i=1}^k R_i)$  if and only if  $R_i$  is reduced for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .*

Next, we use the previous propositions to characterize the positive integers  $n$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $n = 2^k$ .*

- (1) *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*
- (2) *If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*

**PROOF.** In [2], the authors proved that  $Z(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) = Nil(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) = \langle \bar{1} + \bar{1}i \rangle = \{\bar{a} + \bar{b}i : a \text{ and } b \text{ are both odd or even}\}$ . When  $k = 1$ ,  $Z(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1} + \bar{1}i\}$ . Then it is clear that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ , and this proves (1). For (2), since  $k \geq 2$ ,  $(\bar{1} + \bar{1}i)$  is a nonzero nilpotent element of index  $4 \neq 2$ . Hence by Proposition 2.1,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $n = q^k$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

- (1) *If  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*
- (2) *If  $k \geq 3$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*

PROOF. From [2], we see that  $Z(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]) = Nil(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]) = \langle \bar{q} \rangle$ .

(1) For  $k = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_q[i]$  is a field, so a reduced ring. Then by Proposition 2.2  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_q[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_q[i])$ . For  $k = 2$ , it is clear that every nonzero nilpotent element has index 2. Hence by Proposition 2.1,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}[i])$ .

(2) For  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\bar{q}$  is a nonzero nilpotent element of index greater than 2. Hence by Proposition 2.1,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i])$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $n = p^k$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

- (1) *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*
- (2) *If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*

PROOF. It was shown in [2] that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle (a+bi)^k \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle (a-bi)^k \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k},$$

where  $p = (a+bi)(a-bi)$ .

(1) If  $k = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_p[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence by Proposition 2.3,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_p[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p[i])$ .

(2) For  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$  is not a reduced ring for  $k \geq 2$ , we deduce from Proposition 2.3 that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i])$ .  $\square$

For a positive integer  $n$ , we can write its prime power factorization as  $n = 2^k \times \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{\alpha_j} \times \prod_{s=1}^l p_s^{\beta_s}$ , where  $q_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and  $p_s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  for  $1 \leq s \leq l$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]$  is never reduced, and  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i]$  are reduced only if  $k = 1$ .

Therefore, we can use Proposition 2.3 to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.7. *Suppose that  $n = 2^k \times \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{\alpha_j} \times \prod_{s=1}^l p_s^{\beta_s}$ . Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  if and only if  $n = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j \times \prod_{s=1}^l p_s$ . That is, if  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha_j \geq 2$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , or  $\beta_s \geq 2$  for some  $1 \leq s \leq l$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) \neq \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .*

### 3. DIAMETER OF $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$

In this section, we find the diameter of the graph  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

We start with some results from [8] that are useful to prove the main results in this section.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  is connected with  $diam(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) \leq 3$ .*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then there is a vertex  $x$  of  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  that is adjacent to every other vertex if and only if either  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D$ , where  $D$  is an integral domain, or  $Z(R) = \sqrt{\text{Ann}(x^{n_x-1})}$ .*

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $R$  be a ring such that  $\bar{\Gamma}(R) \neq \Gamma(R)$ . Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  is complete if and only if  $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$  and  $\bar{Z}(R)^2 = \{0\}$ , where  $\bar{Z}(R) = \{x^{n_x-1} : x \in \text{Nil}^*(R)\}$ .*

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let  $R$  be a ring with  $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) \leq 2$  and exactly one of the following three cases must occur.*

1.  $|Z(R)^*| = 1$ . Then  $R$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/\langle x^2 \rangle$  and  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 0$ .
2.  $|Z(R)^*| \geq 2$  and  $Z(R)^2 = \{0\}$ . Then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  is a complete graph and  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 1$ .
3.  $|Z(R)^*| \geq 2$  and  $Z(R)^2 \neq \{0\}$ . If  $\bar{Z}(R)^2 = \{0\}$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  is a complete graph and  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 1$ . If  $\bar{Z}(R)^2 \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 2$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ , where  $(R_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is a finite family of rings with  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .*

- (1) For  $n = 2$ , we have
  - (i)  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) = \text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 1$  if and only if  $R_1 \cong R_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .
  - (ii) If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are integral domains with  $|R_1| \geq 3$  or  $|R_2| \geq 3$ , then  $\Gamma(R) = \bar{\Gamma}(R)$  and  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) = 2$ . In this case  $\Gamma(R)$  is a complete bipartite graph.
  - (iii) If at least one of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  contains a nonnilpotent zero-divisor, then  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) = \text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 3$ .
  - (iv) If at least one of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is not an integral domain such that all zero-divisors are nilpotent in each ring with nonzero zero-divisors, then  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) = 3$  and  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 2$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\text{diam}(\Gamma(R)) = \text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) = 3$ .

An obvious relationship between  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  and  $\Gamma(R)$  is  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(R)) \leq \text{diam}(\Gamma(R))$ . It was shown in [1, 2] that  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2k}})$ . This result is also true over  $\bar{\Gamma}$  (that is,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) \cong \bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2k}})$ ). To prove this, we will use some results of [1] and the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $n = 2^k$ .*

- (1) If  $k = 1$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph with only one vertex, so  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 0$ .
- (2) If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph with  $\text{diam}(\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 1$ .

The proof of part (1) of Theorem 3.6 is trivial. To prove part (2) we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.7. *If  $x$  is a zero-divisor of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]$ , then  $x = (\bar{1} + i)^m \alpha$  for some positive integer  $m$ , and  $\alpha$  is a unit element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]$ . Moreover,  $x$  and  $(\bar{1} + i)^m$  have the same nilpotency index.*

PROOF. From [2],  $Z(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) = Nil(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]) = \langle \bar{1} + i \rangle$ . Let  $x \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i])$ . If  $x = \bar{0}$ , then  $x = (\bar{1} + i)^{2^k}$ . Hence, suppose that  $x \neq \bar{0}$ . Thus,  $x = (\bar{1} + i)\alpha_1$ . If  $\alpha_1$  is a unit, then we done while if  $\alpha_1$  is a zero-divisor, then  $\alpha_1 = (\bar{1} + i)\alpha_2$ . Similarly, If  $\alpha_2$  is unit, then we done while if  $\alpha_2$  is a zero-divisor, then we can continue in the same manner until we collect all zero-divisors that appeared and put them in a set  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . It is clear that  $S$  is a finite set and  $\alpha_s \neq \alpha_t$  for any distinct  $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . To prove this, let  $\alpha_s = \alpha_t$ , for  $s < t$ . Then  $(\bar{1} + i)^s \alpha_s = x = (\bar{1} + i)^t \alpha_t$ . So,  $(\bar{1} + i)^t \alpha_t (\bar{1} - (\bar{1} + i)^{t-s}) = \bar{0}$ . But  $(\bar{1} - (\bar{1} + i)^{t-s})$  is a unit since  $(\bar{1} + i)^{t-s}$  is nilpotent. Hence,  $x = (\bar{1} + i)^t \alpha_t = \bar{0}$ , which is a contradiction. So,  $\alpha_n = (\bar{1} + i)^n \alpha_{n+1}$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} \notin S$  (that is,  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is a unit). Therefore,  $x = (\bar{1} + i)^{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}$  as required. Note that  $x$  and  $(\bar{1} + i)^{n+1}$  have the same nilpotency index.  $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove part (2) of Theorem 3.6.

PROOF. In [2], it was shown that  $diam(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i])) = 2$ . Therefore,  $(Z(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]))^2 \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $x, y$  be nonzero nilpotent elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]$ , that is,  $x = (\bar{1} + i)^{m_1} \alpha$ ,  $y = (\bar{1} + i)^{m_2} \beta$ , for some  $\alpha, \beta \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i])$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $m_1 \geq m_2$ . Hence,  $(n_x - 1)m_1 + (n_y - 1)m_2 \geq m_1 + (n_y - 1)m_2 \geq n_y m_2$ . Since  $y$  and  $(\bar{1} + i)^{m_2}$  have the same nilpotency index  $n_y$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n_x-1} y^{n_y-1} &= (\bar{1} + i)^{(n_x-1)m_1 + (n_y-1)m_2} \alpha^{n_x-1} \beta^{n_y-1} \\ &= \bar{0} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\bar{Z}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i]))^2 = \{0\}$ . So, from Proposition 3.4,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i])$  is a complete graph with  $diam(\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}[i])) = 1$ .  $\square$

To find the diameter of  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i])$ , one can use the result,  $Z(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]) = Nil(\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]) = \langle \bar{q} \rangle$ , that appears in [2], and the following lemma (we omit the proof of this lemma, since its proof is analogous to that in Lemma 3.7).

LEMMA 3.8. *If  $x$  is a zero-divisor of  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]$ , then  $x = q^m \alpha$  for some positive integer  $m$ , and  $\alpha$  is a unit element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^k}[i]$ .*

THEOREM 3.9. *Let  $n = q^k$ , where  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .*

- (1) *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is the null graph.*
- (2) *If  $k = 2$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph. So,  $diam(\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 1$*
- (3) *If  $k \geq 3$ , then  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph with  $diam(\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 1$ .*

PROOF. (1) Because  $\mathbb{Z}_q[i] \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_q[x]}{\langle x^2+1 \rangle}$  which is a field,  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is the null graph.

(2) From Lemma 2.5,  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}[i])$ . But in [2],  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}[i])$  is a complete graph. Hence,  $\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 1$ .

(3) The proof is similar to the proof of part (2) of Theorem 3.6 .  $\square$

From [11],  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ . Hence, we have

THEOREM 3.10. *Let  $n = p^k$ , where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

(1) *If  $k = 1$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete bipartite graph with  $\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 2$ .*

(2) *If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 2$ .*

PROOF. Apply Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

For the general case. Consider the prime power factorization of  $n$  as  $n = 2^k \times \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{\alpha_j} \times \prod_{s=1}^l p_s^{\beta_s}$ , where  $q_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and  $p_s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq l$ . From Proposition 3.5, Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.9, and Theorem 3.10 we deduce the theorem

THEOREM 3.11. *Let  $n = 2^k \times \prod_{j=1}^m q_j^{\alpha_j} \times \prod_{i=1}^l p_s^{\beta_s}$ , where  $q_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , and  $p_s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq l$ .*

(1)  *$\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 3$ , if*

(i)  *$l \geq 2$ , or*

(ii)  *$l = 1$ , and either  $k = 0$  or  $m = 0$ , but not both, or*

(iii)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and  $m \geq 2$ , or*

(iv)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k = 0$ , and  $m \geq 3$ .*

(2)  *$\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 2$ , if*

(i)  *$l = 1$ ,  $k = 0$ , and  $m = 0$ , or*

(ii)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and  $m = 1$ , or*

(iii)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k = 0$ , and  $m = 2$ .*

(3)  *$\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 1$ , if*

(i)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k = 0$ ,  $m = 1$ , and  $\alpha_j \geq 2$ , or*

(ii)  *$l = 0$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and  $m = 0$ .*

(4)  *$\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 0$ , if  $l = 0$ ,  $k = 1$ , and  $m = 0$ .*

(5)  *$\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]))$  is not defined if  $l = 0$ ,  $k = 0$ ,  $m = 1$ , and  $\alpha_j = 1$ .*

#### 4. GIRTH OF $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$

In this section, we study the girth of  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ . First, we introduce some propositions from [8] concerning  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R))$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1.  *$gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R)) \leq gr(\Gamma(R)) \in \{3, 4, \infty\}$ . If  $\overline{\Gamma}(R) \neq \Gamma(R)$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}(R)$  contains a cycle with  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R)) \in \{3, 4\}$ .*

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let  $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ , where  $(R_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is a finite family of rings with  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

- (1) For  $n = 2$ , the following hold
  - (i)  $gr(\Gamma(R)) = gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R)) = \infty$  if and only if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are integral domains and at least one is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
  - (ii) If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are integral domains with  $|R_1| \geq 3$  or  $|R_2| \geq 3$ , then  $\Gamma(R) = \overline{\Gamma}(R)$  and  $gr(\Gamma(R)) = 4$ .
  - (iii) If at least one of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  is not an integral domain, then  $gr(\Gamma(R)) = gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R)) = 3$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $gr(\Gamma(R)) = gr(\overline{\Gamma}(R)) = 3$ .

A reader of [2] can deduce the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.3. For a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following statements are true:

1. If  $n \neq 2$ ,  $q, p, q_1 \times q_2, 2 \times q$ , then  $gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 3$ .
2.  $gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p[i])) = gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{q_1 \times q_2}[i])) = gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{2 \times q}[i])) = 4$ .
3.  $gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_2[i])) = \infty$ .
4.  $gr(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_q[i]))$  is not defined.

The following theorem characterizes the girth of  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

THEOREM 4.4. For a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following statements are true:

1. If  $n \notin \{2, q, p, q_1 \times q_2\}$ , then  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) = 3$ .
2.  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_p[i])) = gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q_1 \times q_2}[i])) = 4$ .
3.  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_2[i])) = \infty$ .
4.  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_q[i]))$  is not defined.

PROOF. From Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3, it is enough to prove  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{2 \times q}[i])) = 3$  and  $gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_p[i])) = gr(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_{q_1 \times q_2}[i])) = 4$ . We can do that based on Proposition 4.2 and the facts  $\mathbb{Z}_{2 \times q}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[i] \times \mathbb{Z}_q[i]$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1 \times q_2}[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q_1}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2}[i]$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_p[i] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

## 5. WHEN IS $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ COMPLETE, COMPLETE BIPARTITE, OR BIPARTITE ?

In this section, we study when is  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  complete, complete bipartite, or bipartite

THEOREM 5.1. The graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is complete if and only if  $n = 2^k$  for  $1 \leq k$  or  $n = q^k$  for  $2 \leq k$ .

PROOF. From Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, if  $n = 2^k$  for  $1 \leq k$  or  $n = q^k$  for  $2 \leq k$ , then  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph. To prove the other direction, suppose that  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete graph with  $n \neq 2^k$  for  $1 \leq k$

and  $n \neq q^k$  for  $2 \leq k$ . Then by Theorem 3.11  $\text{diam}(\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])) \neq 1$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is complete bipartite if and only if  $n = p$  or  $n = q_1q_2$ .*

**PROOF.** In [2], the authors proved that  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is complete bipartite if and only if  $n = p$  or  $n = q_1q_2$ . Thus, if  $n = p$  or  $n = q_1q_2$ , then from Theorem 2.7,  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is a complete bipartite graph. The other direction can be proved by contradiction. Let  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  be a complete bipartite graph with  $n \neq p$  and  $n \neq q_1q_2$ . Then from Theorem 4.4 we deduce a contradiction. Because any complete bipartite graph is of girth 4, the possible values of  $n$  is  $n = p$  or  $n = q_1q_2$ .  $\square$

To answer the question “when is  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  bipartite?”, the following proposition from [12, Proposition 1.6.1] will be used.

**PROPOSITION 5.3.** *A graph is bipartite if and only if it contains no odd cycle.*

**THEOREM 5.4.** *The graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is bipartite if and only if  $n = p$  or  $n = q_1q_2$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is bipartite graph with  $n \neq p$  or  $n \neq q_1q_2$ . Then the result is obtained directly using Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 5.3. the other direction is obtained from Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

## 6. WHEN IS $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ PLANAR OR OUTERPLANAR?

A graph  $G$  is called planar if it can be embedded in the plane. A planar graph  $G$  is called outerplanar if it can be embedded in the plane such that all vertices of  $G$  lie on the same exterior face. In this section, we discuss and characterize the planarity and the outerplanarity of the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ .

The following propositions are attributed respectively to Kuratowski [15] and Chartrand and Harary [9, 13]. These propositions are very important to characterize planar and outerplanar graphs.

**PROPOSITION 6.1.** *A graph  $G$  is planar if and only if it does not have a subgraph homeomorphic to the graphs  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$ .*

**PROPOSITION 6.2.** *A graph  $G$  is outerplanar if and only if it does not have a subgraph homeomorphic to the graphs  $K_4$  or  $K_{2,3}$ , except  $K_4 - x$ , where  $x$  denotes an edge of  $K_4$ .*

The graph  $\Gamma(R)$  is a subgraph of the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(R)$ . Since the graphs  $\Gamma(R)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}(R)$  share the same set of vertices and the graph  $\overline{\Gamma}(R)$  is produced by adding some edges to the graph  $\Gamma(R)$ , one can deduce the following lemma.

**LEMMA 6.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\Gamma(R)$  is planar if  $\overline{\Gamma}(R)$  is planar.*

We now consider an example in which the converse of Lemma 6.3 is not true.

EXAMPLE 6.4. It was shown in [2] that  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_4[i])$  is planar, but we proved earlier in Theorem 3.6 that  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_4[i])$  is a complete graph with 7 vertices. Hence,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_4[i])$  has a subgraph homeomorphic to  $K_5$ . From Proposition 6.1,  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_4[i])$  is not planar.

To characterize when is  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  planar or outerplanar, one can use the following result from [2, Theorem 22].

PROPOSITION 6.5.  $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is planar if and only if  $n$  is either 2 or 4.

From Example 6.4 and Proposition 6.5 one can obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.6. *The following statements are equivalent for the graph  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ :*

1.  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is planar.
2.  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  is outerplanar.
3.  $n = 2$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to thank the referee for the useful and constructive comments, which substantially helped improving the quality of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abu Osba, S. Al-Addasi and B. Al-Khamaiseh, *Some properties of the zero-divisor graph for the ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$* , *Glasg. Math. J.* **53** (2011), 391–399.
- [2] E. Abu Osba, S. Al-Addasi and N. Jaradeh, *Zero divisor graph for the ring of Gaussian integers modulo  $n$* , *Comm. Algebra* **36** (2008), 3865–3877.
- [3] D. F. Anderson, *On the diameter and girth of a zero divisor graph II*, *Houston J. Math.* **34** (2008), 361–371.
- [4] D. F. Anderson, M. C. Axtel, and J. A. Stickles, *Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings*, in: *Commutative Algebra – Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives* (M. Fontana, S.-E. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swanson, Eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011, pp. 23–45.
- [5] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, *J. Algebra* **217** (1999), 3073–3093.
- [6] D. F. Anderson and G. McClurkin, *Generalizations of the zero-divisor graph*, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **27** (2020), 237–262.
- [7] I. Beck, *Coloring of commutative rings*, *J. Algebra* **116** (1988), 208–226.
- [8] D. Bennis, J. Mikram and F. Taraza, *On the extended zero divisor graph of commutative rings*, *Turkish J. Math.* **40** (2016), 376–388.
- [9] G. Chartrand and F. Harary, *Planar permutation graphs*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)* **3** (1967), 433–438.
- [10] H.-J. Chiang-Hsieh, N. O. Smith and H.-J. Wang, *Commutative rings with toroidal zero-divisor graphs*, *Houston J. Math.* **36** (2010), 1–31.

- [11] G. Dresden and W. M. Dymàček, *Finding factors of factor rings over the Gaussian integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **112** (2005), 602–611.
- [12] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, 4th edn., Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [13] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1969.
- [14] J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [15] C. Kuratowski, *Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie*, Fund. Math. **15** (1930), 271–283.

## Neka svojstva proširenog grafa djelitelja nule u prstenu Gaussovih cijelih brojeva modulo $n$

*Basem Alkhamaiseh*

SAŽETAK. Nedavno su Bennis i drugi autori proučavali proširenje grafa djelitelja nule u komutativnom prstenu  $R$ . To proširenje su nazvali prošireni graf djelitelja nule u  $R$ , i označili s  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$ . Graf  $\bar{\Gamma}(R)$  ima za vrhove sve djelitelje nule, u oznaci  $Z(R)^*$ , u  $R$ , a dva različita vrha  $x$  i  $y$  su susjedna ako postoje nenegativni cijeli brojevi  $n$  i  $m$  takvi da je  $x^n y^m = 0$ , gdje je  $x^n \neq 0$  i  $y^m \neq 0$ . U ovom članku proučavaju se svojstva proširenog grafa djelitelja nule u prstenu Gaussovih cijelih brojeva modulo  $n$  ( $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ ). Karakteriziraju se prirodni brojevi  $n$  takvi da je  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i]) = \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$ . Također su određeni dijametar i struk, te prirodni brojevi  $n$  takvi da je  $\bar{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_n[i])$  planaran.

Basem Alkhamaiseh  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Yarmouk university  
 Irbid, Jordan  
*E-mail:* basem.m@yu.edu.jo

*Received:* 11.3.2020.

*Revised:* 3.7.2020.; 28.7.2020.

*Accepted:* 15.9.2020.