CCA - 142

547.458.6:5**35.3**24.2:5**41.24**:5**35.434**

A Remark on the Refractive Index Increment of Dextran for the Molecular Weight Determination by Light Scattering

M. Zebec, Gj. Deželić, J. Kratohvil and K. F. Schulz

Department of Physical Biochemistry, »Andrija Štampar« School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Rockefellerova 4, Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia

Croutiu, 1 ugostatiu

Received December 10, 1958

In the course of a study on the macromolecular properties of dextran of Yugoslav production, the need had arisen for information on the value of refractive index increment, dn/dc, of dextran in aqueous solutions for the determination of molecular weights by light scattering technique. A thorough search through the literature has revealed an astonishingly great dispersion of the values of the refractive index increment of dextran at 546 mµ as determined by numerous authors.^{1-10, 21-23} Moreover, in the data, given by Senti et al.⁶ and Mariani and Ciferri,⁷ we have noted an unusual change of refractive index increment with the wave length of the light used (larger dn/dc at longer wave-length). The values of refractive index increment of dextran as published by various authors are collected in Table I.

Attitions Itel. 436 mµ 546 mµ Price et al. 1 0.14 Arond and Frank 2 0.151 Sedlaček 3 0.147 Booth and Gold 4 0.148 Frömbling and Patat 5 0.15 Senti et al. 6 0.151 0.154 Mariani and Ciferri 7 0.1526* 0.1534* Graham et al. 8,9 0.151 0.1534* Wales et al. 10 0.151 0.149 Dogston and Woods 22 0.151 — 0.154 0.149	Authors	Ref	dn/dc (cm. ³ g. ⁻¹)			
Price et al. 1 0.14 Arond and Frank 2 0.151 Sedlaček 3 0.147 Booth and Gold 4 0.148 Frömbling and Patat 5 0.151 Senti et al. 6 0.151 0.154 Mariani and Ciferri 7 0.1526^* 0.1534^* Graham et al. 8,9 0.151^* 0.1534^* Wales et al. 10 0.151 0.153 Squire et al. 21 0.153 0.149 Boyen 22 $0.151 = 0.154$ 0.149	Authors	itel.	436 mµ	546 mµ		
20 0.101 0.101	Price et al. Arond and Frank Sedlaček Booth and Gold Frömbling and Patat Senti et al. Mariani and Ciferri Graham et al. Wales et al. Squire et al. Ogston and Woods Bovey	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8,9 10 21 22 23	$\begin{array}{c} 0.151\\ 0.1526*\\ 0.151*\\ 0.151\\ 0.153\\ 0.151-0.154\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.14\\ 0.151\\ 0.147\\ 0.148\\ 0.15\\ 0.154\\ 0.1534*\\ \end{array}$		

TABLE I

Refractive index increments of dextran

The use of the data for green mercury line in calculating the molecular weight from light scattering measurements may lead to very serious discrepancies since dn/dc enters as square term in the equation connecting the turbidity and molecular weight. As an illustrative example, we have calculated the weight-average molecular weight of a clinical dextran using the light

* calculated from the value of Debye light scattering constant H.

scattering results of one of our measurements and some of the values of refractive index increment from Table I (the highest, the lowest, and the mean value for 546 m μ ; Table II).

TABLE II

The evaluation of light scattering measurements on dextran for various refractive index increments (compare Table I).

 $\lambda = 5.46 \times 10^{-5}$ cm.; (c/t) $_{c} = _{0} = 3.85$ g. cm.⁻²; $H = 32 \pi^{3} n_{0}^{2} (dn/dc)^{2}/3 \lambda^{4} N$

dn/dc (cm. ³ g. ⁻¹)	$(\mathrm{d}n/\mathrm{d}c)^2$	$H imes 10^{6}$	$M imes 10^{-5}$		
0.154	0.0237	2.60	0.997		
0.149	0.0222	2.44	1.065		
0.140	0.0196	2.15	1.207		

Obviously, the results of the evaluation of light scattering data for dextran, using the values of dn/dc reported in the literature, cannot be accepted as satisfactory.

In a recent communication Jose and Biswas¹³ have noted a variation of $25^{0}/_{6}$ in dn/dc for polymethyl methacrylate in several solvents, which may produce a deviation in molecular weight by about $50^{0}/_{0}$. The authors emphasized the need that more experimental details of the determination of refractive index increment should be reported in communications than are usually made. It seems to us that many uncertainties in evaluation and comparison of light scattering data obtained on the same polymers in various laboratories can be partly accounted for by the use of unproper values of refractive index increment. Although this point is not so critical in evaluation of light scattering measurements, as are calibration and correction factors, its importance should not be underestimated.

Owing to wide use of dextran for the preparation of colloidal infusion solutions (blood plasma volume expanders), its macromolecular properties are intensively studied. Light scattering measurements are very often used to determine the molecular weight of various fractions of dextran in clinical and non-clinical range. Moreover, some official specifications^{14, 15} for clinical dextran recommended the light scattering technique to be used in the control of the molecular weight. Having this in mind, we have decided to draw the attention of the workers interested in this field of research to the facts discussed above. At the same time, it seemed to us that a short report on the measurements of refractive index increment of dextran at various wave lengths performed in our laboratory might be warranted.

The difference in refractive index (Δn) between solution and solvent (or between two solutions of various concentrations) was measured by means of a Rayleigh-Haber-Löwe interferometer manufactured by Zeiss (ref. 16, p. 1226). The measurements were performed at 436, 546 and 578 mµ using AH-4 mercury vapour lamp and suitable Corning glass filters. The procedure followed in working with monochromatic light is described in ref. 16, p. 1230. The cell (two-compartment of 2.00 cm. thickness) with solutions and/or solvent (redistilled water) was thermostated to $\pm 0.02^{\circ}$ C by means of water circulation from

252

a constant-temperature bath. The constancy of the temperature was satisfactory only if the temperature of the water bath and of the laboratory did not differ more than 1°C. Accordingly, we had to adjust the temperature of water bath to be close to that of the laboratory (24-25°C). Since dn/dc did not change more than $0.2^{0}/_{0}$ for one degree and the precision of our measurements was about 1%. this procedure can be accepted as reliable. Under such conditions the steady fringes were obtained and their positions could be precisely located. When working with interferometer it is essential to avoid ambiguity in the identificaton of the correct fringe (because of the possibility of the shift of interference band due to the difference in the dispersion between solution and solvent¹⁷). For this reason we have used a series of aqueous solutions of dextran of various concentrations (about $0.2-1^{0/0}$), and Δn was measured not only between solutions and water, but also between two solutions with small difference in concentration. In the concentration range investigated no shift of interference bands was noted. The practical importance of this finding for the determination of dextran concentration in solutions from measured Δn values is obvious. The whole procedure was checked by measuring Δn of aqueous solutions of sodium chloride. Close agreement with the values listed by Kruis¹⁸ was found. The uncertainty in Δn was about $\pm 1 \times 10^{-6}$.

Dextran used was a sample of clinical dextran of Yugoslav production (M_w by light scattering about 100,000). Stock solutions were prepared by pouring the powdered dextran into boiling distilled water followed by a two-hours heating of the solution in a water bath at 100°C. In this way the complete dissolution of dextran was assured. This was proved by low dissymmetry of light scattered after pressure filtration through Selas 03 porous porcelain filter candles ($I_{45}/I_{135} \leq 1.10$ as measured in an Oster-Aminco light scattering photometer¹⁹ using semicotagonal cells). The concentrations of stock solutions were determined by evaporation of aliquot portions of the solution, and subsequent drying in a vacuum-oven over P_2O_5 at 110°C and 50 mm. Hg to constant weight. The agreement between duplicate determinations was better than 1°/. According to the results of Riddick et al.,¹⁴ the samples of dextran dried in similar fashion (without P_2O_5) retained about 0.3°/0 of water.

Our results, including five independent series of measurements, are collected in Table III.

	$\Lambda n imes 104$					
c imes 103 (g. cm. ⁻³)	436 mµ		546 mµ		578 mµ	
	a	ь	a	Ь	a	ь
2.00	2.96	2.97	2.89	2.89	2.88	2.88
3.92	5.85	5.89	5.73	5.74	5.73	5.72
5.88	8.70	8.76	8.53	8.54	8.51	8.51
7.76	11.62	11.72	11.42	11.43	11.40	11.39
9.60	14.58	14.60	14.24	14.23	14.20	14.19
dn/dc (cm. ³ g ⁻¹)	$0.1518 \stackrel{ }{\pm} 0.0012$		0.1481 ± 0.0013		0.1476 ± 0.0013	
% deviation	0.79		0.88		0.88	

TABLE III

Column a: Δn measured between solution of concentration c and water. Column b: Δn obtained by summation of the Δn values measured between a pair of solutions of successive concentrations. Errors of the results are estimated from the standard deviation of slopes of straight lines Δn versus c. 254 M. ZEBEC, GJ. DEŽELIĆ, J. KRATOHVIL AND K. F. SCHULZ

It is worthwhile to note that our values for dn/dc of dextran are very close to the mean values of the data quoted in Table I, the mean values being 0.1517 for 436 mµ and 0.1494 for 546 mµ. As regards the change of dn/dc with the wave length, we have obtained the results in accord with those for other polymers (normal dispersion). To avoid the numerous citations from the literature, we wish to draw the attention only to the results of Witnauer, Senti and Stern²⁰ for amylopectin, a polysaccharide similar to dextran (dn/dc being 0.156 and 0.154 for 436 and 546 mµ, respectively).

It ought to be remarked that a similar situation is very probable to be found for other polymer-solvent systems. The need for a thorough comparison of the published data of dn/dc and a study of the possible sources of discrepancies is quite obvious.

This work has been supported by the Commission for Medical Research (KOMNIS), Beograd.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. P. Price, W. D. Bellamy and E. J. Lawton, J. Phys. Chem. 58 (1954) 821.
- 2. L. H. Arond and H. P. Frank, J. Phys. Chem. 58 (1954) 953.
- 3. B. Sedlaček, Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Communs. 20 (1955) 278.
- 4. G. C. Booth and V. Gold, J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 3380.
- 5. K. Frömbling and F. Patat, Makromol. Chem. 25 (1957) 41.
- 6. F. R. Senti, N. N. Hellman, N. H. Ludwig, G. E. Babcock, R. Tobin,
- C. A. Glass and B. L. Lamberts, J. Polymer Sci. 17 (1955) 527.
- 7. E. Mariani and A. Ciferri, Ann. chim. (Roma) 46 (1956) 1001.
- 8. W. D. Graham, Can. J. Technol. 34 (1956) 83.
- 9. W. D. Graham, O. Patry and E. H. Jackman, Can. J. Chem. 34 (1956) 445.
- 10. M. Wales, P. A. Marshall and S. G. Weissberg, Natl. Bur. Standards (U.S. A.) Report 1713 (1952); cit. in ref. 11 and 12.
- 11, K. A. Granath, J. Colloid Sci. 13 (1958) 308.
- 12. S. V. R. Mastrangelo, B. Clay, M. M. Fishman, A. G. Hagan, A. Lazrus and W. Zagar, Anal. Chem. 27 (1955) 262.
- 13. C. I. Jose and A. B. Biswas, J. Polymer Sci. 27 (1958) 575.
- 14. J. A. Riddick, E. E. Toops, Jr., R. L. Wieman and R. H. Cundiff, Anal. Chem. 26 (1954) 1149.
- 15. A. Grönwall, Dextran and Its Use in Colloidal Infusion Solutions. Stockholm —New York—Oxford 1957.
- 16. N. Bauer and K. Fajans, Refractometry; in: A. Weissberger (ed.), Physical Methods of Organic Chemistry, 2nd ed., II. New York 1949.
- 17. G. Karagunis, A. Hawkinson and G. Damköhler, Z. physik. Chem. A151 (1930) 433.
- 18. A. Kruis, Z. physik. Chem. B34 (1936) 13.
- 19. G. Oster, Anal. Chem. 25 (1953) 1165.
- 20. L. P. Witnauer, F. R. Senti and M. D. Stern, J. Polymer Sci. 16 (1955) 1.
- 21. J. R. Squire, J. P. Bull, W. d'A. Maycock and C. R. Ricketts, Dextran: Its Properties and Use in Medicine. Oxford 1955. p. 79.
- 22. A. G. Ogston and E. F. Wood, Trans. Faraday Soc. 50 (1954) 635.
- 23. F. A. Bovey, J. Polymer Sci. 35 (1959) 167.

IZVOD

Inkrement indeksa loma dekstrana za određivanje molekularne težine pomoću rasipanja svijetla

M. Zebec, Gj. Deželić, J. Kratohvil i K. F. Schulz

Usporedbom podataka iz literature za inkrement indeksa loma, dn/dc, dekstrana u vodi (Tablica I), ustanovljene su znatne razlike za valnu duljinu od 546 mµ. Budući da dn/dc ulazi kao kvadratni član u jednadžbu, koja povezuje turbiditet i molekularnu težinu, ove razlike mogu izazvati velike pogreške prilikom izračunavanja molekularnu težine (Tablica II). Neki su autori k tome našli i anomalnu disperziju dekstrana (veći dn/dc za veću valnu duljinu). Zbog velike važnosti određivanja molekularne težine dekstrana metodom rasipanja svjetlosti prilikom pripravljanja i kontroliranja koloidnih otopina za infuziju (nadomjestak plazme), autori su u ovoj bilješci prikazali, držeći na umu gore navedene činjenice, rezultate vlastitih određivanja inkrementa indeksa loma dekstrana (Tablica III). Pritom su dobivene ove vrijednosti za dn/dc (u cm³ g⁻¹): 0.1518 ± 0.0012 za 436 mµ; 0.1481 ± 0.0013 za 546 mµ; 0.1476 ± 0.0013 za 578 mµ. Disperzija je, kako se vidi, normalna. Pogreška kod mjerenja manja je od 1%. Ove vrijednosti neznatno se razlikuju od srednjih vrijednosti podataka iz literature.

ZAVOD ZA FIZIKALNU BIOKEMIJU SKOLA NARODNOG ZDRAVLJA »ANDRIJA ŠTAMPAR« MEDICINSKI FAKULTET ZAGREB

Primljeno 10. prosinca 1958.