

¹Department of Perinatology, Division of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia,

²Department of Ethnology and Cultural Anthropology, Faculty of Arts, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia,

³Medical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

PERINATAL OUTCOMES OF PREGNANT REFUGEES/ASYLUM SEEKERS IN SLOVENIA DURING THE 2015–2016 HUMANITARIAN CORRIDOR

Lea Bombač¹, Tanja Premru-Sršen^{1,3}, Miha Lučovnik^{1,3}, Uršula Lipovec Čebroň²

Original research article

Key words: pregnant women, perinatal outcome, health care, migration, refugees, asylum seekers, Slovenia.

SUMMARY. *Introduction.* During 2015 and 2016, a large number of refugees, including women, travelling along the so-called Balkan route crossed Slovenia. Studies increasingly show that women who migrate have different perinatal health outcomes compared to citizens. *Aim.* To review perinatal outcomes in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers giving birth in Slovenia during the 2015–2016 “humanitarian corridor”. *Methods.* Questionnaires on numbers of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers giving birth in Slovenia during 2015–2016, their perinatal outcomes and their perinatal care were sent to state institutions (Ministry of Health, Ministry of Internal Affairs, and National Institute for Public Health) and all 14 country’s maternity hospitals. *Results.* Data on perinatal outcomes in refugees/asylum seekers were available only at maternity hospitals, suggesting there is no national governmental system for collecting information on health of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia. Twelve refugees/asylum seekers who delivered in Slovenia during the “humanitarian corridor” in 2015–2016 were identified. Three (25%) of these deliveries were preterm births (<37 weeks of gestation). There were two (16%) emergency cesarean deliveries and no stillbirths or neonatal deaths. Average neonatal birth weight was 3130 g. *Discussion.* A very high (25%) preterm birth rate and a high emergency cesarean rate (16%) in the population of refugees/asylum seekers delivering in Slovenia during 2015–2016 “humanitarian corridor” was found. This study also identified several inadequacies in perinatal data collection in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia. *Conclusions.* Given the potentially higher incidence of perinatal complications, such as preterm birth or need for emergency cesarean delivery, seen in the present study, it is important to develop systems of data collection in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers.

Introduction

During 2015 and 2016, a large number of refugees, including women, travelling along the so-called Balkan route crossed Slovenia. In the period between mid-October 2015 and the end of January 2016, more than 422.000 people passed through Slovenian territory to reach other EU countries, coming mostly from Syria (45%), Afghanistan (30%) and Iraq (17%) (1). Only 1585 of them applied for international protection in Slovenia: 1316 (83%) males and 269 (17%) females (2). In the EU approximately one third (34%) of asylum seekers** were women in 2017 (3). This is far below the global average proportion of women and girls among refugees/asylum seekers, which ranges around 50 per cent (4). While overall indicators of perinatal outcomes have progressively improved over the last decades, in some disadvantaged groups, such as refugee/asylum seeker women, this improvement has been less marked than in the general population (5). Studies increasingly show that women who migrate have different perinatal health outcomes compared to citizens (6–10). Perinatal and infant mortality rates have been consistently higher in foreign-born groups than in the rest of population. Literature also shows higher levels of maternal mortality (11), premature births (7, 9) as well as mental health

problems, e.g. postpartum depression (7, 12) in migrant segments of populations compared to residents both in Western and non-Western countries. In addition, some evidence shows disparities in cesarean section rates observed between migrant and non-migrant women. Meta-analysis of seventy-six studies revealed consistently higher overall cesarean rates and higher emergency cesarean rates in foreign-born women. (13). On the other hand, there are also some studies from high-income countries that have shown better perinatal outcomes in migrant compared to host country mothers (14–16). Such conflicting results could be explained by the fact that studies differed by maternal country of origin, receiving country and specific outcomes analyzed (6). Bollini et al., who made a synthesis of the available evidence of assembled data on over 18 million women from several Western European host countries, confirmed the overall alarming notion that migrant women are clearly disadvantaged as compared to native women. They found significantly higher rates of unfavourable perinatal outcomes. As concerns preterm delivery, the risk was 47% higher ($p < 0.001$) for immigrant women in countries with a weak integration policy, while in countries with a strong integration policy the increase in risk for migrant women, though still significant, was only 16% ($p < 0.001$) (5). The literature on health aspects

of migration emphasizes that pregnant migrants come to the first examination later than nationals, numerous only in the last third trimester (17). Pregnant migrants, even those who are living longer periods in a certain territory but without legalized status, come to the first prenatal visit later than other women and many of them do not seek prenatal care until the third trimester (8, 16). Similarly, Doctors without borders report a very high percentage (58.4%) of pregnant migrants across eleven different EU countries, including Slovenia, that had not accessed prenatal care at all (16).

In response to this information, we reviewed perinatal outcomes in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers giving birth in Slovenia during the 2015–2016 “humanitarian corridor”.

Note: The term asylum seeker denotes a displaced person who has applied for but has not yet been given international protection. When an asylum seeker is granted international protection, he or she ceases to be an asylum seeker and becomes a refugee. However, colloquially, the term refugee typically refers to everyone fleeing unfavorable conditions in their homeland, regardless of whether such a person seeks an asylum, is granted or denied an asylum. Since the line between the terms asylum seeker and refugee is often unclear and many authors are using these terms interchangeably, both terms will be used in the present paper.

Materials and methods

We used two questionnaires to collect information on numbers of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia during the period 2015–2016. We were also inter-

Questionnaire 1. Questionnaire used to collect data from state authorities on numbers of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers and organization of their prenatal care in Slovenia in 2015–2016.

-
- State authority.
 - a. Ministry of Health
 - b. Ministry of Internal Affairs
 - c. National Institute of Health
 - Number of female refugees/asylum seekers that entered Slovenia in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers that entered Slovenia in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers that received prenatal care in Slovenia in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers that delivered in Slovenia in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - How was prenatal care for refugees/ asylum seekers organized in Slovenia during the »mass migration« in 2015/2016?
 - a. We had a protocol for prenatal care for pregnant refugees/asylum seekers.
 - b. We did not have a special protocol, pregnant refugees/asylum seekers were included in regular prenatal care locally.
 - c. We did not have a special protocol, prenatal care of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers depended on local initiative.
-

Questionnaire 2. Questionnaire used to collect data from maternity hospitals on perinatal outcomes in refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia in 2015–2016.

-
- Number of all deliveries at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - Number of refugees/ asylum seekers that delivered at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of neonates born to refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–16.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of preterm deliveries (<completed 37 weeks) in refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of cesarean section in refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of fetal deaths in refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of early neonatal deaths in refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Number of neonates with birth weight <10th percentile for gestational age born to refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Number:
 - b. We do not have the data.
 - Average birth weight of neonates born at gestational ages between 39 weeks 0 days and 40 weeks 6 days to refugees/ asylum seekers at your hospital in 2015–2016.
 - a. Average birth weight:
 - b. We do not have the data.
-

ested in how perinatal care was organized for these women during this period, how many of them delivered in Slovenia, and what were their perinatal outcomes. The first questionnaire (*Questionnaire 1*) was sent by e-mail to state authorities: Ministry of Health, Ministry of Internal Affairs, and National Institute for Public Health. The second questionnaire (*Questionnaire 2*) was sent by e-mail to all fourteen maternity hospitals in the country.

Results

We received no answers from state authorities. On the other hand, all 14 maternity hospitals responded. Overall, 12 pregnant refugees/asylum seekers delivered in Slovenia during the 2015–2016 “humanitarian corridor” period. Three (25%) of these deliveries were premature deliveries (<completed 37 weeks). Two women (16%) delivered by cesarean section. No stillbirths, neonates with birth weight <10th percentile for gestational age or early neonatal deaths were reported. Average birth weight was 3130 g. *Table 1* presents perinatal data for each maternity hospital separately. Number of all deliveries in Slovenia during 2015–2016 was 39799, average birth weight of neonates born at gestational ages between 39 weeks 0 days and 40 weeks 6 days in Slovenia was 3340 g and average cesarean section rate

Table 1. Number of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia and their perinatal outcome during the period 2015–2016.

	No. of deliveries	No. of neonates	No. of preterm deliveries	No. of cesarean deliveries	Average birth weight of neonates born at gestational ages between 39 weeks 0 days and 40 weeks 6 days (g)
Ljubljana	4	4	2	2	3320
Maribor	2	2	0		3130
Celje	0				
Postojna	0				
Novo mesto	0				
Kranj	0				
Jesenice	2	2	0	0	3000
Izola	0				
Nova Gorica	0				
Brežice	3	3	0	0	3525
Trbovlje	0				
Ptuj	1	1	1	0	
Murska Sobota	0				
Slovenj Gradec	0				
In total	12	12	3	2	3130

was 17.5% (emergency cesarean section rate 8.6%) (National Perinatal Information System data).

Discussion

We found a high (25%) preterm birth rate and no perinatal deaths among refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia during the 2015–2016 “humanitarian corridor” period. While the observed 16% cesarean section rate is lower than the country’s general cesarean rate of approximately 20%, it has to be noted that the incidence cesarean sections in labor in Slovenia (excluding planned cesarean deliveries) is lower than 10% (18). Since it is safe to assume that the majority of cesarean deliveries in refugees/asylum seekers included in the study were performed in women presenting in labor, the 16% emergency cesarean rate should be considered high for Slovenian perinatal clinical practice.

High preterm birth and high cesarean section rates found in our study are in line with previously published data on worse perinatal outcomes in migrant mothers compared to non-migrant population in Western countries (13, 14, 19–22). Higher rates of perinatal complications are most probably due to the net effect of a number of social and biological factors. Among biological factors, earlier maturity of the fetoplacental unit in certain ethnic groups and differences in vaginal microbial flora leading to preterm birth in certain migrant populations have been proposed (23, 24). Among socio-economic and environmental factors, lack of social support and increased stress experienced by pregnant refugees/asylum seekers seem to contribute the most to increased incidence of complications in pregnancy (5). In addition, our previous studies showed that refugees/asylum seekers experience many difficulties when seeking health care (25–28). For example, non-insured pregnant asylum seekers have legally no right to choose a personal gynecologist in Slovenia. This means they cannot

be provided high-quality prenatal care despite the fact that legislation grants equal health rights to pregnant asylum seekers as it does to its nationals (29). Moreover, several linguistic and cultural barriers to health care for migrant population have also been identified in previous research (29–34). The consequences of these barriers are multifold: from avoiding or delaying the visit to the doctor, to numerous misunderstandings, unsatisfactory or even traumatic experiences in medical settings. All of this can lead to an inadequate access to quality healthcare services and insufficient healthcare treatment.

Small number of deliveries included is the study’s major limitation. Results should, therefore, not be over-interpreted as they could simply be due to chance. However, the fact that only 12 deliveries in refugees/asylum seekers could be identified during a two-year period which saw more than 420.000 refugees crossing the county is, in our opinion, a very important finding by itself. It emphasizes the fact that there is no systematically collected information on women with migrant background in Slovenia. Lack of response from state authorities to our questionnaire further corroborates this. Epidemiological data on migrant population can also not be extracted from country’s existing databases of health services due to the so called Healthcare Databases Act (zzPPz), which does not require health providers to collect data on nationality or country of origin of a patient (34, 35). Slovenian Institute of Public Health’s data include numbers of foreigners visiting health institutions at the primary and secondary level and numbers of hospitalizations of foreign nationals. These data could, however, also not be used to analyze healthcare provided to refugees/asylum seekers since foreigners are defined as all persons who have permanent residence abroad (34). More detailed data on foreigners in the context of health care are collected at the

Health Insurance Institute of Slovenia, for example permanent or temporary residence and citizenship. Nevertheless, Health Insurance Institute only keeps records of persons included in the mandatory health insurance, which again make these data inadequate for analysis of healthcare provided to pregnant refugees/asylum seekers (34). Seeking data directly from maternity hospital has, therefore, turned out to be the most reliable method to analyze perinatal care and outcomes of refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia. This method too, however, has many drawbacks. Since there are two legally defined possibilities how medical expenses of a refugee/migrant in Slovenia are covered, i.e. by the national health insurance (refugees) or directly by the Ministry of Health (asylum seekers), it is possible that only those cases financed by the Ministry of Health were recognized as cases of refugees/migrants as refugees covered by the national health insurance could not be identified as such on the basis of their nationality alone. This could have led to many deliveries in refugee/asylum seeker population that have not been included in the present study explaining the small numbers analyzed.

In conclusion, prospectively collected epidemiological data on pregnant refugees/asylum seekers, their perinatal outcomes or medical treatment in Slovenian health institutions are not available. Given the potentially higher incidence of perinatal complications, such as preterm birth or need for emergency cesarean delivery, seen in the present study, it is important to develop systems of data collection in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers. Such systems should not serve as a mean of greater bio-political control over migrant populations but should help to better understand effects of migration on perinatal health and needs of migrant pregnant women (36–38). Collection and meaningful analysis of such data is crucial for developing evidence-based strategies to improve perinatal care and, consequently, outcomes of pregnant refugees/asylum seekers.

Conclusion

The study found a very high preterm birth rate and a high emergency cesarean rate and identified several inadequacies in perinatal data collection in pregnant refugees/asylum seekers in Slovenia. The results of this study expose worse perinatal outcomes in migrant mothers compared to non-migrant population, therefore large follow up studies are needed to assess the underlying reasons.

Literature

1. Vlada RS, Migration in numbers. Accessed Dec 6, 2018 at: http://www.vlada.si/fileadmin/dokumenti/si/projekti/2015/begunci/160202_migranti_ang.pdf.

2. Republika Slovenija Ministrstvo za notranje zadeve, Število prosilcev za mednarodno zaščito 2015 in 2016. Accessed Dec 6, 2018 at: http://www.mnz.gov.si/si/mnz_zavaj/tujci_vsloveniji/statistika/.

3. Eurostat Statistics explained, Asylum statistics. Accessed 3 Oct, 2018 at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Asylum_statistics#undefined.

4. UNHCR The UN Refugee Agency, Global trends. Accessed 3 Oct, 2018 at: <http://www.unhcr.org/576408cd7.pdf>.

5. Bollini P, Pampallona S, Wanner P, Kupelnick B. Pregnancy outcome of migrant women and integration policy: a systematic review of the international literature. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009;68(Suppl 3):452–6. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.10.018.

6. Racape J, Schoenborn C, Sow M, Alexander S, De Spiegelaere M. Are all immigrant mothers really at risk of low birth weight and perinatal mortality? The crucial role of socio-economic status. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:75. doi: 10.1186/s12884-016-0860-9.

7. Almeida LM, Caldas J, Ayres-de-Campos D, Salcedo-Barrientos D, Dias S. Maternal Healthcare in Migrants: A Systematic Review. *Matern Child Health J*. 2013;17(Suppl 8):1346–54. doi: 10.1007/s10995-012-1149-x.

8. Castañeda H. Illegal Migration, Gender and Health Care: Perspectives from Germany and the United States. In: Schrover M, Van der Leun J, Lucassen L, Quispel C, editors. *Illegal Migration and Gender in Global and Historical Perspective*. Amsterdam: IMISCOE Research Series, 2008:171–88.

9. Carballo M, Nerukar A. Migration, Refugees, and Health Risks. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2001;7(Suppl 3):556–60. doi: 10.3201/eid0707.010733

10. Schulpen TW. Migration and child health: The Dutch experience. *Eur J Pediatr*. 1996;155(Suppl 5):351–6.

11. Razum O, Jahn A, Blettner M, Reitmaier P. Trends in maternal mortality ratio among women of German and non-German nationality in West Germany, 1980–1996. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1999;28(Suppl 5):919–24.

12. Wittkowski A, Patel S, Fox JR. The Experience of Postnatal Depression in Immigrant Mothers Living in Western Countries: A Meta-Synthesis. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2017;24(Suppl 2):411–27. doi: 10.1002/cpp.2010.

13. Merry L, Small R, Blondel B, Gagnon AJ. International migration and caesarean birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-13-27.

14. Gagnon AJ, Zimbeck M, Zeitlin J, Alexander S, Blondel B, Buitendijk S, et al. Migration to western industrialised countries and perinatal health: a systematic review. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009;69(Suppl 6):934–46. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.06.027.

15. Urquia ML, Glazier RH, Blondel B, Zeitlin J, Gissler M, Macfarlane A, et al. International migration and adverse birth outcomes: role of ethnicity, region of origin and destination. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2010;64(Suppl 3):243–51. doi: 10.1136/jech.2008.083535.

16. Gissler M, Alexander S, Macfarlane A, Small R, Stray-Pedersen B, Zeitlin J, et al. Stillbirths and infant deaths among migrants in industrialized countries. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2009;88(Suppl 2):134–48.

17. 2017 Observatory Report, Falling through the Cracks: The Failure of Universal Healthcare Coverage in Europe. Accessed Dec 6, 2018 at: http://www.globalprogressiveforum.org/sites/default/files/document/observatory_report_2017.pdf.

18. Rossen J, Lučovnik M, Eggebø TM, Tul N, Murphy M, Vistad I, et al. A method to assess obstetric outcomes using the 10-Group Classification System: a quantitative descriptive study. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(Suppl 7):e016192. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016192.

19. Sosta E, Tomasoni LR, Frusca T, Triglia M, Piralì F, El-Hamad I, et al. Preterm Delivery Risk in Migrants in Italy: An Observational Prospective Study. *J Travel Med.* 2008;15(Suppl 4): 243–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1708-8305.2008.00215.x.
20. Rasmussen, F, Oldenburg CE, Ericson A, Gunnarskog J. Preterm birth and low birthweight among children of Swedish and immigrant women between 1978 and 1990. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 1995;9(Suppl 4):441–54.
21. Verkerk PH, Zaadstra BM, Reerink JD, Hengreen WP, Verloove-Vanhorick SP. Social class, ethnicity and other risk factors for small for gestational age and preterm delivery in The Netherlands. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 1994;53 (Suppl 2):129–34.
22. Merry L, Vangen S, Small R. Caesarean births among migrant women in high-income countries. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2016;32:88–99. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2015.09.002.
23. Aveyard P, Cheng KK, Manaseki S, Gardosi J. The risk of preterm delivery in women from different ethnic groups. *BJOG.* 2002;109(Suppl 8):894–9.
24. Hay PE, Lamont RF, Taylor-Robinson D, Morgan DJ, Ison C, Pearson J. Abnormal bacterial colonisation of the genital tract and subsequent preterm delivery and late miscarriage. *BMJ.* 1994;308(6924):295–8.
25. Bombač L, Lipovec Čebtron U, Pistotnik S, Turk Šverko A, Trojar A, Repar Bornšek S, et al. Zdravstvena obravnava prosilcev in prosilk za mednarodno zaščito v Sloveniji. In: Erika Z, editor. *Družinska medicina. Moravske Toplice, Združenje zdravnikov družinske medicine*, 2017;15(Suppl 6):32–40.
26. Lipovec Čebtron U, Pistotnik S. Iluzija o univerzalnem dostopu do zdravstvenega zavarovanja: nedržavljeni, prekarni, revni kot zdravstveno nezavarovani prebivalci. *Etnolog.* 2015; 25:89–111.
27. Lipovec Čebtron U, Pistotnik S, Jazbinšek S, Farkaš Lainščak J. Evaluation of the implementation of intercultural mediation in preventive healthcare programs in Slovenia. *Public Health Panorama.* 2017;2(Suppl 1):114–9.
28. Lipovec Čebtron U, Pistotnik S. (Im)mobile populations and health rights: accessing the healthcare system in Slovenia. In: Vindriola-Padros C, Johnson GA, Pfister AE, editors. *Health and care (im)mobilities.* 1st edition. New York, Oxford: Berg-hahn, 2018:53–73.
29. Zakon o mednarodni zaščiti (ZMZ-1). Uradni list RS, št. 16/17 – uradno prečiščeno besedilo. Pravilnik o pravicah prosilcev za mednarodno zaščito. Uradni list RS 2017; 67/08, 40/10 in 68/11.
30. Gosenca K. Medkulturna mediacija: Priložnost za boljše zdravstveno oskrbo: master theses. Ljubljana: Filozofska fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani, 2017.
31. Kocijančič Pokorn N. Reševanje jezikovnih zaprek v slovenskem zdravstvu: analiza stanja. In: Kocijančič Pokorn N, Lipovec Čebtron U, editors. *Večjezično zdravje: Komunikacijske strategije in večkulturni stiki s tujezičnimi bolniki v slovenskem zdravstvenem sistemu.* Ljubljana: Filozofska fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani, 2018: in print.
32. Milavec Kapun M, Rotar-Pavlič D, Lipovec Čebtron U, Kocijančič Pokorn N, Pistotnik S, Milavec Kapun M, et al. Strategije premagovanja jezikovnih ovir med medicinskimi sestrami. In: Majcen Dvrošak S, Štemberger Kolnik T, Kvasa-Pre-doslje A, editors. *11. kongres zdravstvene in babiške nege Slovenije: Medicinske sestre in babice – ključne za zdravstveni sistem.* Ljubljana: Zbornica zdravstvene in babiške nege Slovenije, 2017:564–73.
33. Rotar-Pavlič D, Lipovec Čebtron U, Kocijančič Pokorn N, Pistotnik S, Milavec Kapun M, Hirci N, Jelenc A, Zelko E. Jezikovne ovire in kako jih presepati. *Družinska medicina* 2017; 15(Suppl 2):12–5.
34. Medvešek M, Bešter. Državljeni tretjih držav ali tretjerazredni državljani? In: Medvešek M, Bešter R, editors. *Integracija državljanov tretjih držav v Sloveniji.* Ljubljana: Inštitut za narodnostna vprašanja, 2010:270–311.
35. Zakon o zbirkah podatkov s področja zdravstvenega varstva (ZZPPZV). Uradni list RS 2000; št. 65/00 in 47/15.
36. Lipovec Čebtron U. Slepa pega evropskega zdravstva: Analiza nekaterih vidikov zdravja migrantov. In: Medica K, Lukič G, Bufon M, editors. *Migranti v Sloveniji. Med integracijo in alienacijo.* Koper: Univerza na Primorskem, Znanstvenoraziskovalno središče. 2010:57–81.
37. Kalm S. Global Migration Management and Biopolitics. In: *Mapping Biopolitics Workshop*, ECPR Granada, 2005.
38. Rechel B, Mladovsky P, Devillé W. Monitoring the health of migrants. In: Rechel B, Mladovsky P, Devillé W, Rijks B, Petrova-Benedict R, McKee M, editors. *Migration and health in the European Union.* New York: World Health Organization, 2011:81–100.

Address for correspondence: Lea Bombač, MD. Department of Perinatology, Division of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia; *e-mail:* bombac.lea@gmail.com

Paper received: October, 26th, 2019; *paper accepted:* January, 16th, 2020

¹Zavod za perinatalni medicinu, Klinika za ginekologiju i opstetriciju,
Univerzitetnog medicinskog centra Ljubljana, Slovenija;

²Zavod za etnologiju i antropologiju, Fakultet za umjetnost, Univerziteta u Ljubljani, Slovenija;

³Medicinski fakultet, Univerziteta u Ljubljani, Slovenija

PERINATALNI ISHOD TRUDNICA IZBJEGLICA/TRAŽITELJICA AZILA U SLOVENIJI TIJEKOM HUMANITARNOG KORIDORA 2015–2016

Lea Bombač¹, Tanja Premru-Sršen^{1,3}, Miha Lučovnik^{1,3}, Uršula Lipovec Čebrov²

Izvorni znanstveni članak

Ključne riječi: trudnoća, perinatalni ishod, zdravstvena skrb, migracija, izbjeglica, tražiteljica azila, Slovenija

SAŽETAK. Tijekom 2015. i 2016. godine velik broj izbjeglica, uključujući žene, putovale su takozvanom balkanskom rutom. Studije pokazuju da žene koje migriraju imaju lošiji perinatalni ishod. *Cilj* istraživanja je analiza perinatalnog ishoda trudnica azilantica koje su rodile u Sloveniji tijekom „humanitarnog koridora“. *Metode.* Prikazani su upitnici u kojima se traži broj trudnica koje traže azil, a koje su rodile u Sloveniji tijekom 2015.–2016. Upitnici o perinatalnim ishodima i perinatalnoj skrbi poslani su državnim institucijama (Ministarstvo zdravstva, Ministarstvo unutarnjih poslova i Nacionalni institut za javno zdravstvo) i svim 14 rodilištima u državi. *Rezultati.* Podaci o perinatalnim ishodima tražiteljica azila bili su dostupni samo u rodilištima, što potvrđuje da ne postoji nacionalni vladin sustav za prikupljanje podataka o zdravlju trudnica izbjeglica/azilantica u Sloveniji. Identificirano je dvanaest izbjeglica/azilantica koje su došle u Sloveniju tijekom „humanitarnog koridora“ u razdoblju 2015–2016. Tri (25%) je bilo prijevremenih porođaja (<37 tjedana). Dva (16%) porođaja su dovršena hitnim carskim rezom. Prosječna novorođenačka masa bila je 3130g. *Rasprava.* Nademe je visok postotak (25%) prijevremenih porođaja i hitnog carskog reza (16%) u populaciji izbjeglica/azilantica koje su tijekom 2015. i 2016. došli u Sloveniju. Ova studija je otkrila nedostatke u prikupljanju podataka o trudnicama koje traže azil u Sloveniji. *Zaključak.* S obzirom na potencijalno veću učestalost perinatalnih komplikacija, poput prijevremenog rađanja ili potrebe za hitnim carskim rezom, što je prikazano u ovoj studiji, važno bi bilo organizirati sustav prikupljanja podataka o trudnicama tražiteljicama azila.