A practical approach to patient with Young-onset dementia
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Abstract:
"Young-onset dementia" (YOD) includes clinical presentations of dementia with the onset before age of 65. There are several important clinical differences between much more frequent late onset dementia (LOD) and YOD syndromes. First, YOD has much wider range of different aetiologies comparing to LOD. Among all possible aetiologies, treatable/potentially reversible causes are much more common in YOD then in LOD. There is also significantly higher prevalence of inherited dementias in younger age-groups. Furthermore, atypical cognitive (non-amnestic) presentations of common degenerative de-
ments (e. g. Alzheimer's disease) are more frequent in YOD. Therefore, routine cognitive evaluation using standard screening tests (e.g. MMSE) may be insufficient. YOD is more often accompanied by neurological and systemic features (dementia plus syndromes) and the identification of these features can aid the diagnosis.

Based on these specificities of YOD, diagnostic approach is always challenging. Therefore, a thorough neurocognitive assessment and a structured and rational diagnostic approach is mandatory to ensure early diagnosis and treatment.
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Sazetak:
Demencije s počekom u ranjoj životnoj dobi-praktični pristup oboljelom

Demencije s počekom u ranjoj životnoj dobi (engl. Young-onset dementia, YOD) označavaju pojavu demencije prije 65. godine života. Postoji nekoliko važnih kliničkih razlika između mnogo učestalijih demencija koje počinju iza 65. godine života (engl. Late-onset dementia, LOD) i YOD. Prvo, YOD ima mnogo viši raspon različitih uzroka u usporedbi s LOD-a. Među svim mogućim etiologijama, kod demencija ranog početka mnogo su češći izlječivi/potencijalno reverzibilni uzroci demencije. Također je znatno češća nasljedna komponenta u demencijama s početkom u mlađim dobim skupinama. Nalaze, atipične kognitivne (ne-amnestičke) prezentacije uobičajenih degenerativnih demencija (npr. Alzheimereve bolesti) češće su u YOD. Stoga kognitivna procjena pomoću standardnih screening testova (npr. MMSE testa) može biti neadekvatna. YOD je češće popraćen neurološkim i sistemskim simptomima i znakovima (demencija plus sindromi), a prepoznavanje tih značajki može pomoći u dijagnozi. Na temelju tih specifičnosti YOD-a, dijagnostički pristup uvijek predstavlja veliki izazov. Stoga je u pristupu oboljelom potrebna detaljna neurokognitivna procjena te strukturiran i racionalan dijagnostički pristup kako bi se osigurala rana dijagnoza i liječenje.

Ključne riječi: Alzheimerova boleste; demencija; presenilna demencija
**Introduction**

Decades ago, the term “presenile dementia” has been replaced with terms “young(ter) / early-onset dementia” (YOD) that include patients with early onset of dementia (before age of 65). The diagnosis of YOD presents a particular challenge for cognitive neurologist and, although not so common among all dementia cases (approximately 8% of all dementia cases), it is recognised as a growing socioeconomic problem, since it affects working population. While the main and predominant cause of dementia in older age is sporadic Alzheimer’s disease (AD), YODs have much wider range of different aetiologies with higher percentage of potentially curable causes and a higher prevalence of inheritance. Due to younger age, broad differential diagnosis, common non-amnestic cognitive presentations that can be missed by standard screening tests and frequent presence of other neurological and systemic symptoms, diagnostic approach is always challenging. Therefore, a structured and rational diagnostic approach is necessary to ensure early treatment and prevent progression of the disease if possible. The intent of this short review is to emphasize specific clinical features of YOD group and to provide current evidence for complex diagnostic approach to patient with YOD.

**Aetiology of YOD**

The aetiology of YODs is broad (Table 1.) (adapted from 5). AD, vascular cognitive impairment (VCI), frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) and diffuse Lewy body dementia (DLBD) are the most common causes of dementia both in elderly and in younger patients (Figure 1.). If the presentation of dementia is before age of 45, neurodegenerative dementia accounts for 30% of cases (with a rare presentation of AD), autoimmune and inflammatory causes account for 20%, and nearly in 20% of dementia cases the cause remains unknown.

Table 1. Etiology of young-onset dementia (according to Sampson et al.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary neurodegenerations</th>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>Neuroinflammatory</th>
<th>Paraneoplastic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>Tuberculosis/fungal/viral meningitis*</td>
<td>Vasculitis associated with systemic disorders*</td>
<td>Limbic encephalitis*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontotemporal lobar degeneration</td>
<td>HIV*</td>
<td>Primary angiitis of central nervous system*</td>
<td>‘‘Steroid-responsive’’ encephalopathies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia with Lewy bodies</td>
<td>Whipple’s disease</td>
<td>Neurosarcoidosis*</td>
<td>Hashimoto’s encephalopathy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple system atrophy</td>
<td>Neuroborreliosis*</td>
<td>Behçet’s disease*</td>
<td>Obstructive sleep apnea*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticobasal degeneration</td>
<td>Neurosyphilis*</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
<td>Hydrocephalus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>Subacute sclerosing panencephalitis</td>
<td>*potentially treatable cause</td>
<td>Chronic subdural haematoma*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy</td>
<td>Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Post-irradiation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* denotes potentially treatable cause
A practical approach

The clinical assessment of a patient with dementia should be the same regardless of age. However, having a wide range of potentially curable causes, a structured and more detailed approach is essential. The first step is to obtain a detailed history (the onset and course of the disease, initial symptoms, personality and mood changes, changes in everyday activities and lifestyle, former and present psychiatric diseases). That information should best be gathered from an informant who knows the patient well, because the information given by the patient is often not reliable. The following steps include a thorough general and neurological examination and neurocognitive assessment that may narrow the differential diagnosis. Red flag clinical presentations that should alert neurologist to perform cognitive screening in middle-aged people are: treatment-resistant depression or anxiety, especially if there are subjective cognitive complaints; patients presenting with memory or other cognitive problems, especially with positive family history of young onset dementia; concern about the patient’s cognition from close family member; behaviour changes inconsistent with the premorbid personality; 5 or more years of heavy alcohol or substance abuse; HIV-positive status; progression of neurological problems; family history of YOD and chronic systemic disease known to cause dementia.

Pattern of the cognitive deficit

YOD may often initially be presented with non-amnestic deficits, which can be missed with routine screening tests (e.g. Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), already validated for Croatian population); therefore, a thorough cognitive assessment with evaluation of each cognitive domain (i.e. memory, speech, executive and perceptual functions, praxia and other) is essential for detecting cognitive deficits and determining the exact pattern of cognitive dysfunction. Moreover, it is important to recognise different types of cognitive impairment within cognitive domain, e.g. in the memory domain, impairment of episodic memory, distinctive for AD, should be distinguished from semantic memory impairment, characteristic for semantic dementia (SD). The patient’s history (both acquired from the patient himself and people close to him) about everyday activities, changes in lifestyle and personality can direct to the pattern of cognitive deficit.

A useful distinction between cortical and subcortical dementias can be made at the beginning of the evaluation. Patients with cortical dementias can differ in the pattern of cognitive impairment early at the onset of symptoms (e.g. effortful, non-fluent speech in progressive non-fluent aphasia (PNFA), visuoperceptual and visuospatial deficits in posterior cortical atrophy (PCA) or loss of semantic knowledge in SD) with relative preservation.
of psychomotor speed. By contrast, subcortical dementias (e.g. brain small vessel disease, dementia involving basal ganglia) is characterised by profound slowing of psychomotor speed, executive dysfunction, and impairment of memory retrieval (free recall) with preservation of guided memory retrieval (cued recall) and is commonly accompanied by additional neurological and/or systematic features (motor weakness, sensory loss, changes in myotatic reflexes etc.). Low score on verbal fluency test (the patient is asked to name as many words starting with an assigned letter in given time) might be a useful non-specific indicator of cognitive dysfunction and can additionally narrow the differential diagnosis. Episodic memory impairment, besides in AD, can be a dominant feature of temporal lobe epilepsy, limbic encephalitis, paraneoplastic syndrome, autoimmune connective tissue diseases etc. Parietal (visuospatial or visuoperceptual deficits, dyslexia, dysgraphia, dyscalculia, or apraxia) or occipital (visual disorientation and impaired early visual processing) dysfunction is typical of PCA, but can also occur in patients suffering from DLBD, corticobasal degeneration (CBD), or prion disease. Prominent frontal deficits (executive dysfunction, personality change, disinhibition, apathy, lack of empathy, rigid thinking, obsessive-compulsive behaviour and development of sweet cravings) are characteristic features of frontotemporal variant of frontotemporal lobar degeneration (fvFTLD), but may also occur in AD, VCI, progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), Huntington’s disease (HD) and in some rare metabolic and inherited diseases which affect frontal white matter or basal ganglia. Problems with speech production (with phonemic and grammatical errors) typically occur in PNFA, but can also be seen in CBD, PSP and AD, while trouble with naming and understanding meaning of spoken words is suggestive of SD.

**Psychiatric evaluation**

Every patient with cognitive impairment should undergo a thorough evaluation of psychiatric symptoms (changes in behaviour, delusions and hallucinations, mood changes, neurovegetative disorders or personality changes). Behavioural symptoms are a characteristic initial sign of fvFTLD when the MMSE score may be normal. Some dementias can initially be presented with delusions (false belief that is against the reality) and hallucinations (DLBD). Depressive mood swings as well as depression are common in patients suffering from dementia. Other significant psychiatric disorders are sleep disturbances (hyposomnia, hypersomnia, the day-night cycle reversal, REM sleep behaviour disorder in patients with DLBD etc.) and changes in sexual behaviour. A craving for sweets is a typical sign of fvFTLD. Observing the patient’s behaviour during the examination can also be very useful, e.g. psychomotor slowing in patients with subcortical syndrome, impulsive behaviour and disinhibition in patients with frontal cortical syndrome. Additionally, patients with AD preserve the social appearance, but, during the interview, often they tend to turn to their partner to answer the questions (the “head turning” sign).

**Neurological examination**

Many of the YODs are accompanied with additional neurological and/or systemic features (dementia plus syndromes). Therefore, careful neurological examination is essential in YOD (compared to late onset forms) to narrow possible differential diagnosis. Although isolated cognitive decline is characteristic for AD and FTLD, in YOD the early stage of AD can be accompanied with fine myoclonus, while FTLD can be presented with fasciculations mostly restricted to the deltoid and triceps muscles as well as positive primitive reflexes. The presence of parkinsonian symptoms may suggest Parkinson’s disease (PD), DLBD, but also other aetiologies such as VCI, PSP, CBD, prion disease, HD, Wilson’s disease, neuroacanthocytosis, neurosyphilis, spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA) and other. Ataxia may occur in VCI, vitamin B12 or vitamin E deficiency, exposure to toxins, especially alcohol, paraneoplastic syndrome, multiple sclerosis (MS), coeliac disease, Wilson’s disease, prion disease, SCA and other. Pyramidal signs may suggest VCI, MS, vitamin B12 deficiency, SCA, mitochondrial or prion disease, neurosyphilis, and other. Dystonia and choreoathetosis may suggest HD, CBD, Wilson’s disease, prion disease, SCA, dentatorubral-pallidolysian atrophy (DRPLA), and other. “Alien limb” phenomenon is characteristic for CBD, but can also occur in neurosyphilis. Various different ophthalmologic manifestations may occur: retinitis pigmentosa suggests mitochondrial disorders; optic atrophy or macular degeneration occurs in MS, neuroacanthocytosis, vitamin B12 deficiency; retinal microangiopathy is common in diabetes mellitus and hypertension (which are risk factors for developing VCI) and in vasculitis; supranuclear palsy or gaze palsy suggest PSP, CBD, mitochondrial disorders; Kayser-Fleischer ring is a pathognomonic sign for Wilson’s disease; infection and dryness of the eyes suggest autoimmune connective tissue disease. Seizures may occur in AD and VCI or may be a result of a former stroke. Marche a petit pas, i.e., parkinsonism affecting lower limbs accompanied with parkinsonian gait (small shuffling steps), with upright stance and normal arm swing, is a characteristic of cerebral small vessel disease. The classic Hakim’s triad (gait disturbance, urinary incontinence, and cognitive decline) is typical for normal pressure hydrocephalus.

**General examination**

YOD are more often accompanied with additional systemic features (dementia plus syndromes). Certain findings, signs, symptoms, and information from patient’s history can indicate the aetiology of YOD. Thus, examination of the fundi and blood pressure are essential for recognition of vascular disease and vascular risk factors. When suspecting paraneoplastic syndrome,
breasts, testes, and other potential cancer sites should be examined. Skin findings may suggest an underlying vasculitis or connective tissue disorder. Cardiac patients (especially patients with arrhythmias or patients who have recovered from a heart attack) have a higher risk for developing VCI. Some conditions like Whipple’s disease or coeliac disease manifest with gastrointestinal disturbance. Liver disease can also lead to cognitive decline (subacute hepatic encephalopathy).

**Neuropsychological assessment**

Neuropsychological evaluation is essential in majority of patients with YOD. Validated psychological tests enable an objective and quantitative insight of the extent and pattern of cognitive impairment in an affected subject. Due to their high sensitivity, neuropsychological tests enable recognition of the cognitive decline in the early stages of the disease when screening tests are still deficient. Furthermore, neuropsychological tests can evaluate the patient’s premorbid functioning, while repeated assessments can help monitor the progression/recovery of cognitive deficits.

**Further investigations**

The approach to patients suffering from dementia, regardless of age, should at the beginning be directed towards recognising treatable causes (marked with asterisks in Table 1). In YOD, this is of the utmost importance because, in this age group potentially reversible causes are more frequent. Furthermore, wider differential diagnosis in younger patients requires more detailed evaluation. As it is impossible to define an unique diagnostic schema, every patient should be approached with an individual plan based on the patient’s history, symptoms and findings (e.g. evident inherited component requires genotyping early in assessment; rapidly progressive dementia or signs of systemic disease urge a serum antibody screen, i.e., a screen for autoantibodies, antineuronal antibodies or antibodies associated with limbic encephalitis). According to the American Academy of Neurology and European Federation of Neurological Societies every patient with YOD should undergo neuroimaging (preferably brain MRI) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) examination. Additional not frequently used tests (e.g. genetic testing, metabolic profiling, testing the activity of white cell enzymes and tissue biopsy) should be considered only in specific cases. Despite extensive evaluation, the aetiology in YOD often remains unknown even in specialized memory clinics. Those patients should be monitored and frequently re-evaluated.

MRI is the first line diagnostic procedure in YOD. In primary neurodegenerative dementias it can show specific localized distribution of cortical atrophy (e.g. left temporal lobe atrophy in SD, posterior lobe atrophy in PCA, frontal lobe atrophy in frontotemporal dementias).
atrophy in fvFTLD etc.). It may also enable quantitative (using volumetric MRI) and semiquantitative (using different scales, such as Scheltens scale) assessment of degree of atrophy (e.g. assessment of hippocampal atrophy in AD patients) with the possibility of monitoring of progression. Genetic testing can be done to confirm primary neurodegenerative dementias (e.g. mutations in amyloid precursor protein gene (APP) and presenilin genes (PSEN1 and PSEN2) in AD or mutations in MAPT, GRN and C9orf72 genes in FTLD syndrome). Nowadays, genetic testing for most primary neurodegenerative diseases are available in Croatia. Due to high costs of genotyping and presence of many mutations, for some aetiologies, it is still preferable to establish the diagnosis on the basis of a metabolic profile (e.g. increased copper excretion and low ceruloplasmin in Wilson’s disease) or direct enzyme assay in leukocytes in metabolic disorders presented in adulthood.

Metabolic and molecular brain imaging (especially positron emission tomography (PET) using different ligands such as fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) or Pittsburgh B compound (PiB)) have an important role in the assessment of highly selected patients with YOD, and it is available only in highly specialized centres. In patients with AD temporo-parietal hypometabolism (and hypoperfusion) can be found on FDG PET (and SPECT) imaging of the brain, but this is rarely of greater ancillary value comparing to MRI finding of hippocampal atrophy alone. By contrast, hypometabolism on FDG PET may be seen in patients with fvFTLD even before MRI shows signs of atrophy. PET imaging with PiB can show in vivo the presence of cerebral amyloid depositions in patients with AD and amyloid angiopathy. Currently, all these methods are available in Croatia. Costs of PET imaging with PiB tracer is covered by health insurance, but only for selected patients with YOD.

In suspicion of paraneoplastic syndromes, the whole-body PET scan might be needed in order to detect primary tumour. Electroencephalography (EEG) is of value in various encephalopathies, prion diseases and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (showing characteristic changes of periodic complexes). Early slowing or loss of alpha rhythm is a feature of AD, in contrast to FTLD, where the alpha rhythm is relatively preserved.

Examination of the CSF can exclude inflammatory and infectious processes in the central nervous system. In the last decade lots of biomarkers emerged that are valuable ancillary tests for confirmation of the diagnosis of dementia (e.g. the presence of 14-3-3 protein with very high concentrations of tau protein is supportive of a diagnosis of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD); decreased amyloid $\beta_{1-42}$ and increased phosphorylated and total tau proteins have good sensitivity and specificity for AD and are also predictive for conversion of mild cognitive impairment to AD). Thus, lumbar puncture and measuring three aforementioned CSF biomarkers (amyloid $\beta_{1-42}$, phosphorylated and total tau proteins) is included in recommendations for diagnosing of all stages of AD (presymptomatic, mild cognitive impairment and overt dementia) from the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association workgroup. Currently, CSF biomarkers for AD are available in Croatia. Biomarkers for other neurodegenerative diseases are still in the research phase.

In suspicion of paraneoplastic syndromes, the whole-body PET scan might be needed in order to detect primary tumour. Electroencephalography (EEG) is of value in various encephalopathies, prion diseases and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (showing characteristic changes of periodic complexes). Early slowing or loss of alpha rhythm is a feature of AD, in contrast to FTLD, where the alpha rhythm is relatively preserved.

Conclusion

YODs have much wider range of different aetiologies. In contrast to LODs, treatable causes and positive inherited background are more common in YOD. Atypical presentations of common degenerative dementias are more frequent then in LOD. Therefore, routine cognitive evaluation using standard screening tests (e.g. MMSE) is usually insufficient, since non-amnestic deficits, more often found in these patients can easily be missed. Therefore, a thorough neurocognitive assessment and a structured and rational diagnostic approach is mandatory to ensure early treatment and prevent progression of the disease.
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