

What's the time? – numerical eponyms and brainstem syndromes

Denis Čerimagić¹, Ervina Bilić²

¹ General Hospital Dubrovnik, Department of Neurology

² Zagreb University Hospital Centre, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Clinical Department of Neurology

ABSTRACT:

Brainstem syndromes and their eponyms are well known and numerous, but represent only a drop in the ocean of approximately 450 neurological eponyms. Unlike these “human” eponyms dedicated to famous neurologists, “numerical” eponyms that describe various disorders at the anatomical level of the brainstem, are less known and are rarely used in clinical practice. In this short review, we will give an overview of “human” eponyms and present in more detail the “numerical” eponyms related to brainstem syndromes. The availability of sophisticated neuroradiological and other diagnostics methods cannot replace the importance of neurological examination nor diminish the joy of the neurologist when recognizing a set of signs named after an eponym, or to describe a new one. In any case, neurology is a joy and valuable opportunity for lifelong learning, but also for contribution to continuously growing knowledge about the hidden universe in our nervous system.

KEYWORDS: eponyms, brainstem, neurology, signs and symptoms

SAŽETAK:

KOLIKO JE SATI? BROJČANI EPONIMI I SINDROMI MOŽDANOG DEBLA

Sindromi moždanog debla i njihovi eponimi dobro su poznati i brojni, ali predstavljaju samo kap u moru od približno 450 neuroloških eponima. Za razliku od ovih „humanih” eponima posvećenih poznatim neurolozima, “numerički” eponimi koji opisuju razne poremećaje na anatomskej razini moždanog debla, manje su poznati i rijetko se koriste u kliničkoj praksi. U ovom kratkom osvrtu dati ćemo pregled “humanih” eponima i detaljnije prikazati “numeričke” eponime povezane sa sindromima moždanog debla. Dostupnost sofisticiranih neuroradioloških i drugih dijagnostičkih metoda ne može zamijeniti važnost neurološkog pregleda niti umanjiti radost neurologa kada prepoznaju bilo koji od ovih sindroma ili opišu novi. U svakom slučaju, neurologija je radost i dragocjena prilika za cjeloživotno učenje, ali i za doprinos kontinuirano rastućem znanju o skrivenom svemiru u našem živčanom sustavu.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: eponimi, moždano deblo, neurologija, znakovi i simptomi

OPEN ACCESS

Correspondence:

Denis Čerimagić
deniscerimagi@yahoo.com
orcid.org/0000-0003-0743-2618

This article was submitted to RAD
CASA - Medical Sciences
as the original article

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 1 April 2021

Accepted: 21 April 2021

Published: 15 June 2021

Citation:

Čerimagić D, Bilić E. What's the time?
– Numerical eponyms and brainstem
syndromes

RAD CASA - Medical Sciences.

547=54-55 (2021): 94-99

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21857/yk3jwhxzw9>

Copyright (C) 2021 Čerimagić D, Bilić E. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owners(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Brainstem syndromes and their eponyms are well known and numerous, but represent only a drop in the ocean of approximately 450 neurological eponyms. Their application throughout history is a consequence of the development of neurological propaedeutics and consequently topical diagnostics long before the development of neuroimaging techniques. A precise and focused anamnestic data joined with neurological examination, even before the era of modern diagnostic tools, results in the identification of various clinical symptoms and signs. The sum of these symptoms and signs leads to the precise localization of the nervous system damage or dysfunction.

Unlike these “human” eponyms dedicated to famous neurologists, “numerical” eponyms that describe various disorders at the anatomical level of the brainstem, are less known and are rarely used in clinical practice. In this short review, we will give an overview of “human” eponyms and present in more detail the “numerical” eponyms related to brainstem syndromes. In literature we can find 24 brainstem syndromes that carry „human“ eponyms and additional 4 that do not have eponyme. Among the syndromes that are named by eponyms are: 4 mesencephalic syndromes (Claude¹, Benedict², Nothnagel³, Weber⁴), 9 pontine (Brissaud-Sicard⁵, Gasperini⁶, Gellé⁷, Grenet⁸, Foville⁹, Marie-Foix¹⁰, Raymond¹¹, Raymond-Cestan¹², Millard-Gubler¹³⁻¹⁴) and 11 medulla oblongata related syndromes (Avellis¹⁵, Babinski-Nageotte¹⁶, Cestane-Chenais¹⁷, Reinhold¹⁸, Jackson¹⁹, Wallenberg²⁰, Dejerine²¹, Schmidt²², Spiller²³, Tapia²⁴, Vernet²⁵). Syndromes that do not carry eponyms are: “facial colliculus”²⁶, “locked-in”²⁷, “top of the basilar”²⁸ and Ondine curse²⁹.

The first described brainstem syndrome with a “human” eponym dates from 1856 (Millard and Gubler)¹³⁻¹⁴, and the last from 1922 (Marie, Foix, Alajouanine)¹⁰. This period of the second half of the 19th and the beginning of the 20th century is also the time of the renaissance of European, primarily French neurology. The causes of these syndromes are most often vascular (ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes), demyelinating disease, and much less common brainstem neoplasms or inflammatory processes (bacterial, viral, fungal, or granulomatous inflammation). Brainstem syndromes with „numerical eponyms“

Clinical features of these syndromes are shown in [Table 1](#).

“HALF AND HALF” SYNDROME

Randhawa et al.³⁰ described a patient with left-side internuclear ophthalmoplegia (INO) (the “half”) and moderate underaction of abduction (the “half”) in the left eye caused by focal haemorrhagic lesion in the floor of the aqueduct in the region of the dorsal pons with consequent lesion of the left medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF) and the CN VI fasciculus (sparing the CN VI nucleus). The result is “half and half” syndrome (0,5+0,5).

ONE-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

The “one-and-a-half” syndrome is disturbance of horizontal eye movements in which patients have lateral gaze palsy in one direc-

tion, and INO in the other direction. This syndrome is caused by damage of the pontine tegmentum: the MLF, the ipsilateral paramedian pontine reticular formation (RF) or the ipsilateral CN VI nucleus. Multiple sclerosis, brainstem infarction or tumors are the most common causes. It means that one eye cannot move laterally at all (the „one“), and the other can move only in outward direction (the „half“). This is a combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other³¹. The result is “one-and-a-half” syndrome (1+0,5=1,5).

SEVEN-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Sadaka et al.³² described a case of a patient with right INO (the „half“) and ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the „seven“) caused by small localized right hemipons infarct involving CN VII motor nucleus and facial genu as well as the right MLF. They introduced „seven-and-a-half“ syndrome as a new clinico-radiologic syndrome. The result is “seven-and-a-half” syndrome (0,5+7=7,5).

EIGHT-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Duffy et al.³³ described patient with “one-and-a-half” syndrome (combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other) (the „one-and-a-half“) and ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”). This clinical findings are called “eight-and-a-half” syndrome. It is caused by damage of the dorsal tegmentum of the caudal pons in the region of the facial colliculus. The lesion is unilateral at the midpontine level with damage to both the paramedian pontine RF and MLF. These structures are intimately related to the CN VII nucleus and intraaxial fasciculus of the CN VII. Brainstem infarction, and hemorrhage or demyelination lesion are the most common causes. When this occurs, a “one-and-a-half” syndrome plus a CN VII palsy, it is termed an “eight-and-a-half” syndrome. The result is “eight-and-a-half” syndrome (1,5+7=8,5).

NINE SYNDROME

Mahale et al.³⁴ described two patients with „nine“ syndrome. They present clinical features of the „eight-and-a-half“ syndrome: “One-and-a-half” syndrome (combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other) (the „one-and-a-half“) and ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”) associated with hemiataxia (the “half”). The first patient had left “eight-and-a-half” syndrome due to caudal pontine tegmental demyelinating lesion with involvement of inferior cerebellar peduncle responsible for left hemiataxia, and the second patient had right “eight-and-a-half” syndrome due to bleeding in the right caudal pontine tegmentum with extension into midbrain tegmentum/red nucleus responsible for contralateral hemiataxia. The result is “nine” syndrome (1,5+7+0,5=9).

Table 1. Summary of the brainstem syndromes („numerical eponyms“)

Syndrome	Signs and symptoms
Half and half syndrome	internuclear ophthalmoplegia (INO) (the “half”) and ipsilateral CN VI palsy (the “half”): $0,5+0,5$
One-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate gaze palsy (the “one”) and INO (the “half”): $1+0,5=1,5$
Seventh-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral INO (the „half“); and peripheral CN VII palsy (the „seven“): $0,5+7=7,5$
Eight-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate horizontal gaze palsy (the “one”); and ipsilateral (INO) (the “half”); and ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”): $1+0,5+7=8,5$
Nine syndrome	one and a half syndrome (the „one and a half“); and an ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy („the seven“); and contralateral hemiparesis, hemihypesthesia or hemiataxia (the „half“): $1,5+7+0,5=9$
Thirteen-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate horizontal gaze palsy (the “one”); and an ipsilateral INO (the “half”); and an ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”); and an ipsilateral CN V palsy (the “five”): $1+0,5+7+5=13,5$
Fifteen-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate gaze palsy (the “one”); and an INO (the “half”); and bilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”): $1,5+(2\times 7)=15,5$.
Sixteen syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate gaze palsy (the “one”); and an INO (the “half”); and bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”); and partial hemiparesis (the “half”): $1+0,5+(2\times 7)+0,5=16$
Sixteen-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate horizontal gaze palsy (the “one”); and an ipsilateral INO (the “half”); and an ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”); and an impaired ipsilateral auditory nerve (CN VIII) (the “eight”): $1+0,5+7+8=16,5$
Twenty-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate gaze palsy (the “one”); and an INO (the “half”); and bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”); and an unilateral CN V palsy (the “fifth”): $1+0,5+(2\times 7)+5=20,5$
Twenty-four syndrome	bilateral facial palsy (the “fourteen”); and bilateral horizontal gaze palsy (the “two”); and contralateral sensorineural hearing loss (CN VIII) (the “eight”): $(2\times 7)+(2\times 1)+8=24$
Twenty-four-and-a-half syndrome	ipsilateral conjugate gaze palsy (the “one”); and an INO (the “half”); and an ipsilateral CN VII palsy (the “seven”); and bilateral CN VIII palsy (the “sixteen”): $1+0,5+7+(2\times 8)=24,5$
Abbreviations: INO – internuclear ophthalmoplegia; CN – cranial nerve	

THIRTEEN-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Allbon et al.³⁵ described patient with “eight-and-a-half” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome - combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the „one-and-a-half“) and ipsilateral peripheral CN VII palsy (the “seven”) associated with an ipsilateral CN V palsy (the “five”) because of a post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder. When this occurs, a “one-and-a-half” syndrome plus CN VII palsy, and ipsilateral CN V palsy it is termed as “thirteen-and-a-half” syndrome. The result is “thirteen-and-a-half” syndrome ($1,5+7+5=13,5$).

FIFTEEN-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Bae et Song³⁶ first described “fifteen-and-a-half” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome - combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the „one-and-a-half“) and bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”). The dorsal tegmentum of the caudal pons including the MLF, the paramedian pontine RF, CN VI nucleus, and the adjacent CN VII is the anatomical basis of this rare syndrome. The result is “fifteen-and-a-half” syndrome ($1,5+(2\times 7)=15,5$).

SIXTEEN SYNDROME

Li et al.³⁷ first described “sixteen” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome – combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the “one-and-a-half”), bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”), and partial hemiparesis (the “half”). In this case, the axons of internuclear neurons from the CN VI nucleus were affected nearly as they passed through the midline in the MLF rising up to the level of the CN III subnucleus that controls the medial rectus. The result is “sixteen” syndrome ($1,5+(2\times 7)+0,5=16$).

SIXTEEN-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Borgman and Jackson³⁸ described a case of “sixteen-and-a-half” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome – combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the “one-and-a-half”), ipsilateral CN VII palsy (the “seven”), and sensorineural hearing impairment consistent with CN VIII involvement (the “eight”). The syndrome is caused by ischemic lesion of the dorsal tegmentum of the caudal pons. The result is “sixteen-and-a-half” syndrome ($1,5+7+8=16,5$).

TWENTY-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Dube et al.³⁹ described a case of “twenty-and-a-half” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome – combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the “one-and-a-half”), bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”) and CN V palsy (the “five”). The syndrome is caused by ischemic lesion of right posterolateral aspect of pons and medulla. The result is “twenty-and-a-half” syndrome ($1,5+(2\times 7)+5=20,5$).

TWENTY-FOUR SYNDROME

Karadan et al.⁴⁰ described a case of “twenty-four” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome – combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the “one-and-a-half”), bilateral CN VII palsy (the “fourteen”), contralateral sensorineural hearing loss (CN VIII) (the “eight”) and hemiparesis (the “half”). The syndrome is caused by pontine hemorrhage. The result is “twenty-four” syndrome ($1,5+(2\times 7)+8+0,5=24$).

TWENTY-FOUR-AND-A-HALF SYNDROME

Man et al.⁴¹ described a case of “twenty-four-and-a-half” syndrome, including “one-and-a-half” syndrome – combination of conjugate horizontal gaze palsy in one direction and INO in the other (the “one-and-a-half”), ipsilateral CN VII palsy (the “seven”), contralateral hemifacial spasm and ataxia, and bilateral hearing loss (CN VIII) (the “sixteen”). The syndrome is caused by pontine cavernoma with perilesional oedema. The result is “twenty-four-and-a-half” syndrome ($1,5+7+(2\times 8)=24,5$).

Theoretical knowledge and clinical experience in neurological propaedeutics and its neuroanatomical background is crucial in recognizing the localization of a brainstem lesion and diagnosing these “human” or “numerical” brainstem syndromes. Personally, we prefer “human” eponyms of brainstem syndrome because behind each of them lies an interesting story related to their origin and the work of top neurologists who described them based on their clinical observations, without the use of, at that time, unavailable supportive diagnostic methods. If we take into account all possible numerous combinations of clinical signs and symptoms caused by brainstem lesions still not described in clinical practice, we could expect future reports and papers describing new “numerical” syndromes. Perhaps, someone in the future, will describe the case of „seventy-eight“ syndrome with unilateral affection of all 12 CN (result: $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 10 + 11 + 12 = 78$) caused by Garcin’s (hemibase) syndrome. Eponyms in neurology are the result of skilful neurological examination and knowledge about the neuroanatomical basis of neurological symptoms and signs. The eponyms are a valuable thread that begins in the past and leads us through the present to the future. The availability of sophisticated neuroradiological and other diagnostics methods cannot replace the importance of neurological examination nor diminish the joy of the neurologist when recognizing a set of signs named after an eponym, or to describe a new one. In any case, neurology is a joy and valuable opportunity for lifelong learning, but also for contribution to continuously growing knowledge about the hidden universe in our nervous system.

REFERENCES:

1. Claude H, Loyez M. Ramollissement du noyau rouge. Rev Neurol (Paris). 1912;24: 49-51.
2. Benedikt M. Tremblement avec paralysie croisee du moteur oculaire commun. Bull Med Paris. 1889;3:547-8.
3. Nothnagel H. Corpora quadrigemina. In: Topische Diagnostik der Gehirnkrankheiten. AHirschwald, 1879;204-20.
4. Weber HD. "A contribution to the pathology of the crura cerebri". *Medico-Chirurgical Transactions*. 1863;46:121-39.
5. Brissaud E, Sicard J. A L'hémispasme facial alterne. Le Presse médicale. 1908;16:234-6.
6. Gasperini U. Di un caso di emorragia protuberanziale. Contributo clinico allo studio delle sindromi pontine inferiori. Rif Med (Napoli). 1912;28:880-98.
7. Gellé M-E. Paralysie alterne de l'acoustique, lésion protubérantielle. C R Soc Biol (Paris). 1901;53:997-1000.
8. Krasnianski M, Neudecker S, Zierz Z. Classical crossed pontine syndromes. Fortschr Neurol Psychiatr. 2004;72(8):460-8.
9. Foville, ALF. "Note sur une paralysie peu connue de certains muscles de l'oeil, et sa liaison avec quelques points de l'anatomie de la physiologie de la protubérance annulaire". *Gazette Hebdomadaire de Médecine et de Chirurgie*. 1859;6:146.
10. Marie P, Foix C, Alajouanine T. De l'atrophie cerebelleuse tardive a predominance corticale. Rev Neurol (Paris). 1922;29:1082-111.
11. Raymond F. Concerning a special type of alternating hemiplegia; in *Leçons Sur Les Maladies Nerveuses*. 1894-1895, Ire ser. Paris, Ricklin & Soques, 1896, pp 365-83.
12. Raymond, F, Cestan, R. Trois observations de paralysie des mouvements associés des globes oculaires. La Revue Neurologique. 1901;9:70-7.
13. Millard A. Extrait du rapport de M. Millard sur les observations précédentes. Bull Soc Anat Paris. 1856;31:217-21.
14. Gubler A. De l'hémiplégie alterne envisagée comme signe de lésion de la protubérance annulaire. Gaz Hebd Sci Med. 1856;5:7-21.
15. Avellis G. Klinische Beiträge zur halbseitigen Kehlkopffähmung. Berliner Klinik. 1891;40:1-26.
16. Babinski JJFF, Nageotte J. *Hémiasynergie, latéropulsion et miosis bulbaire*. Nouvelle iconographie de la Salpêtrière. 1902:492.
17. Cestan EJMR, Chenais LJ. *Du myosis dans certaines lésions bulbaires en foyer (hémiplégie du type Avellis associée au syndrome oculaire sympathique)*. Gazette des hôpitaux, Paris. 1903;76:1229-33.
18. Reinhold H. Beiträge zur Pathologie der acuten Erweichungen des Pons und der Oblongata. Journal of Neurology. 1894;5(4):351-74.
19. Jackson JH. *On a case of paralysis of the tongue from hemorrhage in the medulla oblongata*. In: Clinical Lectures and Reports by the Medical and Surgical Staff of the London Hospital. London 1864;1:368.
20. Wallenberg A. *Acute Bulbär affection (Embolie der Arteria cerebelli posterior inferior sinistra?)*. Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten, Berlin. 1895;27:504-40.
21. Dejerine J. Semiologie des affections du système nerveux. The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease. 1915;42(11):780.
22. Schmidt A. *Casuistische Beiträge zur Nervenpathologie. II. Doppelseitige Accesoriuslähmung bei syringomyelie*. Deutsche medicinische Wochenschrift, Berlin; 1892;18:606-8.
23. Spiller WG. *Epidural ascending spinal paralysis*. Review of neurology and psychiatry. 1911;9:494-8.
24. Tapia AG. *Un caso de parálisis del lado derecho de la laringe y de la lengua, con parálisis del esterno-cleido-mastoidea y trapecio del mismo lado; acompañado de hemiplejia total temporal de lado izquierdo del cuerpo*. El siglo médico, Madrid. 1905, 52:211-13.
25. Vernet M. Syndrome du trou dechire posterieur (paralysie des IX, X, XI). Rev Neurol. 1918;34:117-48.
26. Jacobs DA, Galetta SL. Neuro-ophthalmology for neuro-radiologists. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2007;28(1):3-8.
27. Smith E, Delargy M. Locked-in syndrome. BMJ. 2005;330(7488):406-9.
28. Caplan LR. "Top of the basilar" syndrome. Neurology. 1980;30(1):72-9.
29. Orrego-González E, Medina-Rincón GJ, Martínez-Gil S, Botero-Meneses JS. Ondine's curse: the origin of the myth. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2020;78(4):238-40.
30. Randhawa S, Shah VA, Kardon RH, Lee AG. Neurological picture. An internuclear ophthalmoplegia with ipsilateral abduction deficit: half and half syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2007;78(3):309. Autor para correspondencia
31. Newton HB, Miner ME. "One-and-a-half" syndrome after a resection of a midline cerebellar astrocytoma: case report and discussion of the literature. Neurosurgery. 1991;29(5):768-72.
32. Sadaka A, Berry S, Lee AG. Seventh-and-a-Half Syndrome. Ophthalmol Ophthalmic Surg. 2017;1(1):112.
33. Duffy A, Yi Shen P, Verro P, Nidecker AE, Chow M. Eight-and-a-half syndrome. Neurol Clin Pract. 2014;4(6):526-7.
34. Mahale RR, Mehta A, John AA, Javali M, Abbas MM, Rangasetty S. "Nine" syndrome: A new neuro-ophthalmologic syndrome: Report of two cases. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2015;18(3):335-7.

35. Allbon DS, La Hood B. Thirteen-And-A-Half Syndrome. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2016;36(2):191-2.
36. Bae JS, Song HK. One-and-a-half syndrome with facial diplegia: the 15 1/2 syndrome? *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2005;25(1):52-3.
37. Li B, Song J, Zhang Y, Qi C. "Sixteen syndrome" - a new pontine ophthalmic-neurological syndrome within the one-and-a-half syndrome spectrum of disorders: case report and literature review. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* 2020;10(2):518-21.
38. Borgman CJ, Jackson AM. Sixteen-and-a-half syndrome: a variant in the spectrum of Fisher's one-and-a-half syndrome. *Clin Exp Optom.* 2019;102(1):94-6.
39. Dube M, Dani R, Dubey A, Chouksey D. Twenty-and-a-half syndrome: a case report. *J Med Case Rep.* 2019;13(1):35.
40. Karadan U, Supreeth RN, Manappallil RG, Jayakrishnan C. Twenty-Four Syndrome: An Untold Presentation of Pontine Hemorrhage. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2018;27(5):e73-e74.
41. Man BL, Fu YP. Twenty-four-and-a-half' syndrome and contralateral hemifacial spasm due to pontine cavernoma. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2014;23;2014:bcr2013203268.