THE EMOTIONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL BURDEN OF THE "BURNOUT" IN FAMILIES OF PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS

Francesco Franza

"Villa dei Pini" Psychiatric Rehabilitation Centre, Avellino, Italy Psychiatric and Psychological Studies Centre "Neamente", Mercogliano (AV), Italy

SUMMARY

People, who assist patients with chronic health problems for work, voluntary or for family reasons, may be affected by burnout. This is defined as an excessive reaction to stress caused by one's environment that may be characterized by feelings of emotional and physical exhaustion, coupled with a sense of frustration and failure. A person who assists a suffering person, beyond the professional role, is indicated generally by the term "caregiver". The definition of Burnout in families is fairly recent, because the psychology of trauma has ignored a large segment of traumatized and disabled subjects (family and other assistants of "suffering people") unwittingly, for a long time. The burnout of secondary stress is due to one's empathic ability, actions trough disengagement, and a sense of satisfaction from helping to relieve suffering. Figley (1995) claims that being a member of a family or other type of intimate or bonded interpersonal relationship, one feels the others' pain. Closely associated with the suffering of the family caregiver is the concept of compassion fatigue, defined as a state of exhaustion and disfunction-biologically, psychologically, and socially - as a result of prolonged exposure to compassion stress and all that it evokes. In families, this can be the cause of serious conflicts and problems, quarrels, verbal and physical aggression, and broken relationships. The intervention on families requires practice and effectiveness approaches performed by experienced professionals. Some approaches focus more specifically, such as those that adopt a cognitive/behavioural technique with direct exposure, implosion methods, various drug treatments and family group psychotherapy. One of the most common models of intervention is based on the principle that the observation unit for the understanding of the disorder is not the single individual but the relationship between individuals.

Key words: burnout - caregiver - family members - compassion fatigue

* * * * *

INTRODUCTION

The family care of a person affected by a chronic disabling disease can be characterized by a complex system of experiences, emotions, changes, opportunities, fatigue and stress (Stajduhar & Cohen 2009). Such experiences can occur in those ones who "assist" a series of events, sometimes unexpected, and they can generate several feelings and emotions, which can be simple and immediate, such as apprehension and alarm, or more complex and specific such as anger, despair, sense of abandonment and loneliness, impotence, inability. The consequences, often inevitable, on their current and future personal stories and on process of care were often poorly estimated and little valued. although they prove to be onerous and debilitating (Ursini & Nardini 2007). A person who assists a suffering person, beyond the professional role, is indicated generally by the term "caregiver". This term refers to a person who takes care of an addict and / or sick and / or disabled subject. The caregiver may be "a person who helps to meet the needs of a depending individual" (Johnson et al. 2016), or "a person who intervenes in diagnosing, preventing and treating illness and / or disability" (Nielsen et al. 2016). Caregivers can be divided into professional and non-professional subjects. The members of the families of the disabled person are included in this second group. "Conceptually, the term" burnout "in families may seem odd" observed Figley, in 1998 (Figley 1998). "After all, the dictionary definition of Burnout is a noun meaning "termination of the powered portion of a rocket's flight upon exhaustion of the propellant "(Random House Dictionary). Similarly, burnout families can be considered as a signal that a family member is "left without gas". The definition of burnout in families is fairly recent, because the psychology of trauma has ignored a large segment of traumatized and disabled subjects (family and other assistants of the "suffering people") unwittingly, for a long time (Franza et al. 2012). This resulted "in other words, that caregivers ... have been ignored, while suffering, being in the right, for the love of a traumatized person" (Figley 1998). According to the model of the Maslach "burnout does not only affect the parties engaged in specific social and health professions, but all those who care for people or working in close contact with people for long periods of time, it points out, however, the specificity for all the helping professions" (Maslach 1982).

BURNOUT IN THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURES

Several complex personal and business affairs can produce burnout. In any form of work and care there are potential sources of stress; some organizational structures can create more stress and tension, while others can provide more stimulation and offer the possibility of a greater personal involvement, resulting in a greater gratification (McTiernan & McDonald 2015). Organizational structures can be represented by business,

education and the family systems where personality, motivations, interlocutors of the subject, dynamics of the organizational structure, the hierarchy and the type of activity constantly interact. Business and families' organizational structures are subject to malfunctions that affect the development of inadequate lifestyles and burnout in their members. Among the several critical factors there overworking, total disorganization of the structure, ambiguity of the role of each component of the system, a dysfunctional hierarchical structure, the lack of attention to human resources and, finally, economic difficulties are listed. The traumatic factors can also cause high stress in family system. Therefore, the important difference is that they cannot escape from the "refuge" of their house while they are trying to have a close contact with their loved one sick daily. In all organizational structures, and particularly in health care structures, the customer is hosted, heard, cared, and finally, discharged for by professionals. At the end of the care pathway there is the customer's separation. The detachment stage cannot be in the family. The family system experiences a continuous cycle. For this reason, the family members are more exposed to high levels of stress.

FACTORS OF VULNERABILITY IN HOUSEHOLD

Several family vulnerability stress factors in relative caregiver have been described. Among the main factors of vulnerability in family members there are anxiety and depression (Sander et al. 2003, Davis et al. 2009, Sady et al. 2010). There is a significant relation between patient and caregiver emotional status, not only for patients. Patient and caregiver's anxiety and depression develop in concordance and they were found to be higher in family caregivers than in a non-caregiving population (Yan et al. 2019, Haun et al. 2014). Patients with depression highly impact on the caregiver burden, while burden is also discussed to influence caregivers' depression (Krug et al. 2016). Younger families have increased risk of burnout (Stancin et al. 2010), and when financial problems and the poor social support, and poor social network (Aitken et al. 2009, Taylor et al. 2002) are added, the usual daily life is greatly impaired. Other risk factors in household are the low socioeconomic status (Bøe et al. 2018, Anderson et al. 2005, Urbach & Culbert 1991) and the conflict within the care team (Ganesalingam et al. 2008).

COPING STRATEGIES IN THE FAMILY

When the traumatized family's components have high levels of stress, the whole family environment remains involved. This family can be described as "family with burnout". Because of a low self-esteem, the family's member begins to think not to be able to provide the necessary assistance: he/she is not able to do his/her homework and solve any daily difficulties. The family members are no longer able to control the space,

they lose control of their role, and feel be "invaded" by their work (Tsaras et al. 2018). The stress response is coping. The individual chooses a specific way in which to deal with the stressful stimulus. Each family develops its own coping strategy to manage the "family turmoil". When this attempt to restore a balance fails, the family develops the crisis. For, example, a highly disabling disorder child constitutes a major violation to the family homeostasis. However, the family seem to possess a greater degree of protection because it tends to be more prone and motivated to tolerate a higher level of stress. The family system acts also as an osmotic system. A set of different coping behaviours is known as "coping strategy". The most common coping strategies in families focused on the emotions described in the literature as the refusal and the removal or the avoidance, these families often seek refuge in forms of abuse (alcohol and drugs). The use of anxiolytics and hypnotics drugs in the "traumatized family " is very high, more than double than the general population (Stevens et al. 2015, Enoch 2011, Hall et al. 1994). Family members suspend the relationships with the surrounding world avoiding to give explanations. Divorce can be seen with a sense of escape from the situation and a coping mechanism focused on emotions. The decision to divorce can be, in turn, a source of guilt and anxiety to social rejection (Rajani et al. 2019, Shrubb 2013). Also, the faith is a coping mechanism oriented on emotions and is often the basis for an effective coping. A possible explanation is that the aid with prayer leads to a state of calm, emotional rest, that makes family members to think more clearly about how to adapt and solve their problems (Superdock et al. 2018, Krägeloh et al. 2015) The interruption and abandonment of the workplace have been studied in literature as a coping strategy centred on the problem. But this technique rather than reduce, increases stress. A family member who leaves the job becomes more isolated socially and with the deteriorating economic situation. The search of social support, often charities, is a coping strategy of problems frequently used and which has a positive impact on our own stressful life (McGilton et al. 2018, Burton et al. 2016, Claxton-Oldfield 2016).

THE HOPE COPING STRATEGY

While demoralization is a common form of "normal suffering" for people, and their family, who have chronic medical illnesses or disabling psychological diseases, the hope is an important coping strategy for family members because it helps them to make sense of life and cope with their current situation (Smith et al. 2019). Its definition is difficult as it is complex, multifaceted, multi-dimensional and prone to oversimplification. Hope is described as an emotion, an experience, a need, a characteristic, a state or a dynamic process with affective, functional, contextual, temporal, and relational dimensions. However, hope can be a good coping strategy to help family members to fight the burnout. In

this context the psychotherapy (for example, individual, group psychotherapy) can help family members to recognize and reach the awareness of their suffering (Griffith & Dsouza 2012). Thus, the family and its members give meaning to their work and cooperate with each other. It is the crucial part of the experience of the family and a prominent appearance in each story, regardless of the clinical situation, the relationship with the patient and the personality of the family member (Sampson et al. 2014, Milberg & Strang 2011).

INTERVENTION STRATEGIES IN FAMILIES

Psychoeducational interventions are techniques that provide information about the disease, help the individual to learn and develop problem-solving techniques; they do acquire strategies and coping skills, improve communication, deal with conflicts, reduce hypercriticism, hyper-emotional, and hostility towards the patient (Chi et al. 2015, Fiorillo et al. 2011, Magliano et al. 2006). Furthermore, they reduce sick family member's expectations, increase the social support networks, and reduce the family burden. In this area, it is inserted the role of the health care workers and, in particular, psychotherapist. They may, in fact, provide the information and the basis on the strategies and techniques to improve patient management. They can identify the primary and support caregiver and, finally, use a communicative and flexible approach especially in the family where compliance and acceptance of the disease is poor (Sin et al. 2017). In a recent study the authors assessed the effectiveness of a psychoeducational intervention in young people who had a family member with a mental health disorder such as depression, anxiety, and/or substance abuse. The program was based on a series of literacy and coping programs. The research concluded that over 90% of the youth reported an improved use of positive coping strategies from pre-to post intervention (Riebschleger et al. 2019). Some specific interventions aim to foster all the goals that are essential to improve the living conditions, including defining and planning goal, emotional perception, effective communication for negotiation, practical interpersonal and intrapersonal problem-solving (for example, structured psychoeducational and salutogenetic approach) (InteGRO) (Veltro et al. 2018). The intervention on families requires family psychotherapeutic techniques performed by experienced professionals. One of the most common models of intervention is based on the principle that the observation unit for the understanding of the disorder is not the single individual but the relationship between individuals. As a general principle for health professionals we can show that when a family member has a post-traumatic reaction, social support among family members can prevent the post-traumatic stress disorder and the secondary disorders to the stressors. Unfortunately, many family members have difficulty in providing mutual aid and may engage in an endless battle in search of strategies to solve a crisis.

There is not "a culture that is committed to consider the patient, the operator and their needs and pay attention to family relationships, social and organizational" (Anastasiadou et al. 2015). Furthermore, there are numerous evidences that the administration of self-management interventions improves outcomes for people with severe mental illness and that this intervention can help family members to manage the care burden of their sick family (Lean et al. 2019). Healthcare professionals must be aware that with his work, he/she is part of a system with rules and balances and he/she has to test the changes imposed by the disease. He must learn to hone the skills of listening and observing, before acting, in order to capture the necessary information to identify the mode of intervention more suitable and effective. He must learn not to make judgments, because it is unnecessary to determine "who is wrong or who is right" and "who is good or bad," since the story and the balance of the family system are not known. It's essential to "photograph" the situation, to understand the problems and strengths of the system. The achievement of this purpose is to make it necessary to build an efficient system of support, formed by people aware of the importance of their role and adequately training, that can allow to exploit the individual skills and able to understand the peculiarities of discomfort working. Therefore, the operator has to learn to observe and listen not only the patient, the family, but also himself, in order to grab his potentialities, but also his limits, to prevent or at least reduce the risk of burnout. Verhaeghe STL et al. (2007) in a review have concluded that families' members do not receive the indispensable attention and that health workers often meet the needs of family members in an inadequate and superficial manner, although there is a general consensus that the involvement of "traumatized" families is important and have a positive effect on families, patients and the entire healthcare system and perhaps pharmacotherapy for those termed unsuccessful with psychotherapy. Finally, it must be pointed out, that the presence of psychiatric symptoms, such as depressive or anxious symptoms, that meets the criteria of the international diagnostic classifications (DSM and ICD) requires a pharmacological intervention.

CONCLUSIONS

The research conducted on the psychological reactions of the family members of "traumatized" patients has been precious and valuable. The scale of problems is clearer. Research has shown that family members are particularly vulnerable: partners, children, families with economic problems and doctors. A support from health experts reduces stress by encouraging the members to work together effectively. Conflicts with health caregivers should be avoided as they cause stress. Work on families becomes part of a rehabilitation process. Support patterns and long-term care that can alleviate the burden in family members are urgently needed. Future research should be directed to the mode of reaction of

the family system and addressed to each member of the family. It may also be important to investigate the typical ambivalence among family members on the patient trauma; e.g., the associated hope and despair, factors that influence each other. The research on the genesis and evolution of the reaction of the family members to trauma and the onset of the next steps appear to be necessary. Certainly, not all the aspects of the problem were evaluated and further research is needed to allow the creation of intervention programs more effective and targeted.

Acknowledgements: None.

Conflict of interest: None to declare.

References

- 1. Aitken ME, McCarthy ML, Slomine BS, Ding R, Durbin DR, Jaffe KM, et al.: Family burden after traumatic brain injury in children. Pediatrics 2009; 123:199-206
- 2. Anastasiadou D, Cuellar-Flores I, Sepulveda AR, Parks M, Graell M: The Relationship between Dysfunctional Family Patterns and Symptom Severity among Adolescent Patients with Eating Disorders: A Gender-Specific Approach. Women Health 2016; 56:695-712
- 3. Anderson VA, Catroppa C, Haritou F, Morse S, Rosenfeld JV: Identifying factors contributing to child and family outcome 30 months after traumatic brain injury in children. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005; 76:401-8
- 4. Burton AE, Gibson JM, Shaw RL: How do older people with sight loss manage their general health? A qualitative study. Disabil Rehabil 2016; 14:1-9
- 5. Bøe T, Serlachius AS, Sivertsen B, Petrie KJ, Hysing M: Cumulative effects of negative life events and family stress on children's mental health: the Bergen Child Study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2018; 53:1-9
- 6. Chi NC, Demiris G, Lewis FM, Walker AJ, Langer S: Behavioral and Educational Interventions to Support Family Caregivers in End-of-Life Care: A Systematic Review. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 2015; 7
- 7. Claxton-Oldfield S: Hospice Palliative Care Volunteers: A Review of Commonly Encountered Stressors, How They Cope with them, and Implications for Volunteer Training/Management. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 2016; 33:201-4
- 8. Davis LC, Sander AM, Struchen MA, Sherer M, Nakase-Richardson R, Malec JF: Medical and psychosocial predictors of caregiver distress and perceived burden following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2009; 24:145-54
- Enoch MA: The role of early life stress as a predictor for alcohol and drug dependence. Psychopharmacology 2011; 214:17-31
- 10. Figley CR: Burnout in Families, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, 1988
- 11. Fiorillo A, Bassi M, de Girolamo G, Catapano F, Romeo F: The impact of a psychoeducational intervention on family members' views about schizophrenia: results from the OASIS Italian multi- centre study. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2011; 57:596-603

- 12. Franza F, Bellucci A, Barletta M: Il Burnout nelle famiglie. Il carico emotivo delle famiglie "traumatizzate". Prospettive in psicologia 2012; 1:9-17
- 13. Ganesalingam K, Yeates KO, Ginn MS, Taylor HG, Dietrich A, Nuss K. Et al.: Family burden and parental distress following mild traumatic brain injury in children and its relationship to post-concussive symptoms. J Pediatr Psychol 2008;33:621-9
- 14. Griffith JL & Dsouza AD: Demoralization and hope in clinical psychiatry and psychotherapy. In: The psychotherapy of hope (Alarcón R, Frank JB, eds). The Johns Hopkins Universitary Press, Baltimore, 2012
- 15. Haun MW, Sklenarova H, Villalobos M, Thomas M, Brechtel A, Lowe B, et al: Depression, anxiety and disease-related distress in couples affected by advanced lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2014; 86:274–80
- Hall KM, Karzmark P, Stevens M, Englander J, O'Hare P, Wright J: Family stressors in traumatic brain injury: a two-year follow-up. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1994; 75:876-84
- 17. Krägeloh CU, Billington DR, Henning MA, Chai PP: Spiritual quality of life and spiritual coping: evidence for a two-factor structure of the WHOQOL spirituality, religiousness, and personal beliefs module. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2015; 25:26
- 18. Johnson MJ, Allgar V, Macleod U, Jones A, Oliver S, Currow D: Family Caregivers Who Would Be Unwilling to Provide Care at the End of Life Again: Findings from the Health Survey for England Population Survey. PLoS One 2016; 11:e0146960
- 19. Lean M, Fornells-Ambrojo M, Milton A, Lloyd-Evans B, Harrison-Stewart B, Yesufu-Udechuku A, et al.: Self-management interventions for people with severe mental illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry 2019; 214:260-268
- 20. Magliano L, Fiorillo A, Malangone C, De Rosa C, Maj M: Patient functioning and family burden in a controlled, real-world trial of family psychoeducation for schizophrenia. Psychiatr Serv 2006; 57:1784-91
- 21. Maslach C: Burnout: The cost of caring. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1982
- 22. McGilton KS, Vellani S, Yeung L, Chishtie J, Commisso E, Ploeg J, et al.: Identifying and understanding the health and social care needs of older adults with multiple chronic conditions and their caregivers: a scoping review. BMC Geriatr 2018; 18:231
- 23. McTiernan K & McDonald N: Occupational stressors, Burnout and coping strategies between hospital and community psychiatric nurses in a Dublin region. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 2015; 22:208-18
- 24. Milberg A & Strang P: Protection against perceptions of powerlessness and helplessness during palliative care: the family members' perspective. Palliat Support Care 2011; 9:251-62
- 25. Nielsen MK, Neergaard MA, Jensen AB, Bro F, Guldin MB: Do we need to change our understanding of anticipatory grief in caregivers? A systematic review of caregiver studies during end- of-life caregiving and bereavement. Clin Psychol Rev 2016; 44:75-93
- 26. Rajani NB, Skianis V, Filippidis FT: Association of environmental and sociodemographic factors with life satisfaction in 27 European countries. BMC Public Health 2019; 19:534

- 27. Riebschleger J, Costello S, Cavanaugh DL, Grové C: Mental Health Literacy of Youth That Have a Family Member With a Mental Illness: Outcomes From a New Program and Scale. Front Psychiatry 2019; 4:2
- 28. Sady MD, Sander AM, Clark AN, Sherer M, Nakase-Richardson R, Malec JF: Relationship of preinjury caregiver and family functioning to community integration in adults with traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2010; 91:1542-50
- 29. Sander AM, Sherer M, Malec JF, High WM Jr, Thompson RN, Moessner AM et al.: Preinjury emotional and family functioning in caregivers of persons with traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2003; 84:197-203
- 30. Sampson C, Finlay I, Byrne A, Snow V, Nelson A: The practice of palliative care from the perspective of patients and carers. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2014; 4:291-8
- 31. Shrubb R: The difficulty of maintaining a marriage with or without mental health issues. Ment Health Today 2013; 23
- 32. Sin J, Jordan CD, Barley EA, Henderson C, Norman I: Psychoeducation for siblings of people with severe mental illness. Issues Ment Health Nurs 2017; 38:283-284
- 33. Smith VA, Lindquist J, Miller KEM, Shepherd-Banigan M, Olsen M, Campbell-Kotler M, et al.: Comprehensive Family Caregiver Support and Caregiver Well-Being: Preliminary Evidence From a Pre-post-survey Study With a Non-equivalent Control Group. Front Public Health 2019; 7:122
- 34. Superdock AK, Barfield RC, Brandon DH, Docherty SL: Exploring the vagueness of Religion & Spirituality in complex pediatric decision-making: a qualitative study. BMC Palliat Care 2018; 12:107
- 35. Stancin T, Wade SL, Walz NC, Yeates KO, Taylor HG: Family adaptation 18 months after traumatic brain injury in early childhood. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2010; 31:317-25

- 36. Stajduhar K & Cohen R: Family caregiving in the home. Family Cares and Paliative Care. Oxford University Press, 2009
- 37. Stevens LF, Pickett TC, Wilder Schaaf KP, Taylor BC, Gravely A, Van Houtven CH, et al.: The Relationship between Training and Mental Health among Caregivers of Individuals with Polytrauma. Behav Neurol 2016; 10:185941
- 38. Taylor HG, Yeates KO, Wade SL, Drotar D, Stancin T, Minich M: A prospective study of short- and long-term outcomes after traumatic brain injury in children: Behavior and achievement. Neuropsychology 2002; 16:15-27
- 39. Tsaras K, Daglas A, Mitsi D, Papathanasiou IV, Tzavella F, Zyga S et al: A cross-sectional study for the impact of coping strategies on mental health disorders among psychiatric nurses. Health Psychol Res 2018; 6:7466
- 40. Urbach JR & Culbert JP: Head-injured parents and their children. Psychosocial consequences of a traumatic syndrome. Psychosomatics 1991; 32:24-33
- 41. Ursini G & Nardini M: Lo stress dei caregiver in corso di malattie psichiatriche. Edit Symposia Psichiatria. Special Edition, 2007
- 42. Veltro F, Nicchiniello I, Pica A, Pontarelli I, Iannone C, Pontarelli C, et al.: Description and impact of a structured psychoeducational and salutogenetic approach (InteGRO) to support the recovery of people with severe mental disorders. Riv Psichiatr 2018; 53:205-213
- 43. Verhaeghe STL, van Zuuren FJ, Defloor T, Duijnstee MSH, Grypdonck MHF: The process and the meaning of hope for family members of traumatic coma patients in intensive care. Qual Health Res 2007; 17:730-743
- 44. Yan X, Chen X, Li M, Zhang P: Prevalence and risk factors of anxiety and depression in Chinese patients with lung cancer; a cross-sectional study Cancer Manag Res 2019; 11:4347-4356

Correspondence:

Francesco Franza, MD, PhD, Head Physician Psychiatrist NeuroPsychiatric Centre "Villa dei Pini" Via Pennini 86/a, 83100 Avellino, Italy E-mail: franza.francesco@virgilio.it