CBT/DBT SKILLS TRAINING FOR ADULTS WITH ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER (ADHD)
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SUMMARY

Background: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is associated with marked impairments in familial, social, and professional functioning. Although stimulant treatments can be effective in adult ADHD, some patients will respond poorly or not at all to medication. Previous studies demonstrated that cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) oriented interventions are effective in reducing the burden of the disease, which is mainly marked by depression, interpersonal difficulties, low self-esteem, and low quality of life. In order to determine the effectiveness of this intervention, we assessed the benefits of a CBT/DBT programme to reduce residual symptoms and help patients improve their quality of life.

Subjects and methods: 49 ADHD-patients, poor responders to medication, were enrolled in a one-year programme where they received individual therapy, associated with weekly sessions of group therapy with different modules: Mindfulness, Emotion Regulation, Interpersonal Effectiveness and Distress Tolerance, Impulsivity/Hyperactivity and Attention. Each subject was assessed at baseline, at months 3 and 6, and at the end of the treatment for ADHD severity (ASRS v1.1), depression severity (BDI-II), hopelessness (BHS), mindfulness skills (KIMS), anger expression and control (STAXI), impulsivity (BIS-11), quality of life (WHOQOL-BREF), and social functioning (QFS). The 49 ADHD patients were compared with 13 ADHD subjects on a waiting list. Linear mixed models were used to measure response to treatment.

Results: Overall, the psychotherapeutic treatment was associated with significant improvements in almost all dimensions. The most significant changes were observed for BDI-II (b=-0.30; p<0.0001), ASRS total score (b=-0.16; p<0.0001), and KIMS AwA (b=0.21; p=0.001), with moderate to large effect sizes. Compared with the waiting list controls, ADHD patients showed a better, albeit non-significant, pattern of response.

Conclusions: Individual and structured psycho-educational DBT/CBT groups support existing data suggesting that a structured psychotherapeutic approach is useful for patients who respond partially or not at all to drug therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is characterized by difficulties in sustaining attention, and by impulsiveness and hyperactivity, leading to poor outcomes and impairments in professional, familial, and social functioning (Bush et al. 2010). ADHD is associated with reduced life expectancy and significant morbidity (Dalsgaard et al. 2015).

Despite being effective in reducing ADHD symptoms in adults, many patients will still respond poorly or not at all to medication. In addition, some of them refuse to take psycho-pharmaceutical agents and other approaches are required (Wigal et al. 2009). Even for ADHD patients whose symptoms are in remission, having to cope with problems that have accumulated over the years and affect parts of their social, family, and professional lives can be problematic. Therefore, helping ADHD patients cope with dysfunctional behaviours and teaching them new skills and strategies to manage their lives is as important as psychopharmacological interventions. In this perspective, most international guidelines recommend psychotherapies for adult ADHD sufferers, whenever possible and in addition to the psychopharmacological treatment (National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health 2009). For this purpose, several psychotherapeutic treatments for adult ADHD have been developed. The ones that have given rise to the greatest interest are individual or group cognitive behavioural therapy- (CBT) oriented programmes, which have been shown to be efficient in reducing ADHD symptoms, with medium to high effect sizes (Stevenson 2003, Safren et al. 2010). More recently, mindfulness-based programmes and dialectical behaviour therapy- (DBT) based programmes have been developed for adults suffering from ADHD, with effect sizes comparable to the ones found for CBT programmes (Philippens et al. 2007, Hirvikoski et al. 2011, Mitchell et al. 2013, Edel et al. 2014, Shoenberg et al. 2014, Hepark et al. 2014, Bueno et al. 2015, Jansen et al. 2015, Fleming et al. 2015). Initially designed for borderline personality disorder, DBT include an individual therapy and a skills training group that features four modules aimed at balancing...
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

For the purpose of this study, we recruited patients suffering from ADHD who are being treated in our specialized centre for the treatment of adult ADHD. The diagnosis was established according to DSM-V criteria by trained psychiatrists and based on a semi-structured interview (DIVA 2.0). It also included a detailed investigation of childhood ADHD and its persistence into adulthood (Kooij et al. 2008). 49 ADHD patients (36.6 age, DS =10.02, 23 women; 46.9%), following a pharmacological treatment or not, were enrolled in the psychotherapeutic programme of our study. Patients were referred to psychotherapeutic interventions if they were found to be poor responders to medication (residual symptoms despite appropriate dosage of medication) or if they failed to respond altogether (non-response despite high dosage of medication (>100mg of equivalent of methylphenidate) or if the patient suffered from side-effects preventing him/her from taking the medication). As described previously, patients followed weekly individual psychotherapy sessions and group sessions (Perroud et al. 2015). To the four traditional DBT modules (Mindfulness, Emotion Regulation, Interpersonal Effectiveness, and Distress Tolerance), we added two modules inspired from CBT interventions (Impulsivity/Hyperactivity and Attention). The treatment was given over a 12-month period. Homework assignments are given to the participants. The first hour of the group session is dedicated to reviewing the homework given at the end of the previous session. Participants are encouraged to participate and share their difficulties or strategies with the group. The second hour of the group session is dedicated to psycho-education and mindfulness training. Weekly individual sessions aim at helping patients generalize acquired skills outside the sessions and reviewing tasks that were given in group sessions. Whether in individual therapy or in group skills training, the search for balance between acceptance and change is always the preferred objective of our therapeutic strategies. The therapists are nurses, psychologists or psychiatrists, all trained in DBT and CBT. All of them attended weekly team meetings to discuss the evolution of patients and difficulties encountered during group and individual sessions. Furthermore, these weekly consultation meetings help ensure adherence to treatment by the therapists. Each subject was assessed at baseline, at months 3 and 6, and at the end of treatment for ADHD severity (ASRS v1.1), depression severity (BDI-II), hopelessness (BHS), mindfulness skills (KIMS), and anger expression and control (STAXI). The control group included 13 ADHD patients (38.9 age, DS =13.47, 6 women; 46.1%) registered on a waiting list. Patients on the waiting list had a monthly medical follow-up to assess the evolution of symptoms and their response to treatment. No specific psychotherapeutic interventions were provided and only some elements of ADHD-oriented psycho-education were given to the patients. Waiting list controls were assessed at baseline and at the one-year follow-up. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University Hospitals of Geneva and complies with the Helsinki Declaration.

Statistical Analyses

Linear mixed models with fixed treatment time effect and random individual effect, fitted with maximum likelihood, as described previously (Uher et al. 2009), were used to measure response to treatment among ADHD patients following psychotherapeutic group sessions and individual skills training. These models, refitted with additional fixed effects of gender, age and baseline levels of each of the scales used to assess response to treatment, were then used to compare ADHD patients undergoing the psychotherapeutic intervention with ADHD patients on the waiting list, and to analyse predictors of response.
### Table 1. Comparing ADHD treated versus waiting list control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADHD treated Mean</th>
<th>ADHD treated SD</th>
<th>ADHD waiting list controls Mean</th>
<th>ADHD waiting list controls SD</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>38.92</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.94</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attentional</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyp./Impul.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>73.47</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently working (YES)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>55.10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84.62</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or living couple (YES)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.94</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological treatment for ADHD</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>61.22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76.92</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pharmacological treatment (benzo.; antid.; antipsych.; mood stab.)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current psychiatric comorbidity (MDD; BD; Anx. Dis.; Subst. Use Dis.; BPD)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>46.94</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.54</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Evolution ADHD treated versus waiting list control
RESULTS

Controls were more likely to be sufferers of ADHD attention type than participants undergoing the psychotherapeutic treatment. They were also more likely to receive other pharmacological treatments, such as benzodiazepine; antidepressants; antipsychotics and/or mood stabilizers. At baseline, controls and treated patients did not differ in any of the other clinical and demographic characteristics. 7 of the ADHD patients following the psychotherapeutic treatment dropped out during the one-year programme (14.29%). None of the clinical and demographic baseline characteristics were associated with dropping-out (Table 1).

Response to treatment

Overall, the psychotherapeutic treatment was associated with significant improvements in all the dimensions, except for KIMS Obs. (b=0.02; p=0.628) and STAXI anger out (b=0.09; p=0.069). The most significant changes over time were observed for BDI-II (b=-0.30; p<0.0001), with a large effect size (Cohen’s d =-0.85), followed by ASRS total score (b=-0.16; p<0.0001) and KIMS AwA (b=0.21; p<0.0001), with intermediate effect sizes (Cohen’s d =-0.63 and 0.61 respectively). Other variables showing intermediate effect sizes were BHS (b=-0.15; p=0.01; Cohen’s d=-0.52), ASRS attentional (b=-0.16; p=0.0001; Cohen’s d=-0.59) and ASRS hyp./imp. (b=-0.13; p=0.0002; Cohen’s d=-0.46). Overall during the year spent on the waiting list, controls suffered a worsening of their attentional symptoms as measured by the ASRS v1.1, with a large effect size (b=0.32; p=0.031; Cohen’s d=1.58), of their hyperactive/impulsive symptoms, with a large effect size (b=0.32; p=0.042; Cohen’s d=1.17), and of their ASRS total score (b=0.36; p=0.03; Cohen’s d=1.52). They showed a decrease in KIMS Obs (b=0.18; p=0.015; Cohen’s d=-0.58), but paradoxically reported a significant increase in KIMS AwA (b=0.27; p<0.0001; Cohen’s d=1.24). Finally their control of anger worsened, with an intermediate effect size (b=-0.15; p=0.014; Cohen’s d=-0.60). Comparing the two groups for evolution during follow-up, no significant differences emerged in terms of response to treatment. Some small to moderate effect sizes, specifically for ASRS attentional, ASRS total score, KIMS Obs, KIMS Des and STAXI anger in should also be mentioned here (Figure 1).

Predictors of treatment response

Considering level of depression measured by the BDI-II as the dependent variable indicative of treatment response, good response to treatment was associated, unsurprisingly, with a higher baseline level of depression (b=0.81; p=0.0001); a higher baseline severity of ADHD (b=0.35; p=0.012); a higher level of hopelessness (b=0.59; p<0.0001); a poor baseline KIMS AWJ (b=-0.39; p=0.002); a higher baseline level of state anger (b=0.41; p<0.0001); a higher baseline STAXI anger in score (b=0.34; p<0.001); a higher baseline STAXI anger out score (b=0.38; p=0.003) and a higher level of education (b=-0.23; p=0.05).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study are consistent with the literature on the subject and show a positive impact of structured skills training on ADHD symptomatology, depression, hopelessness, and anger expression, with roughly medium to large effect sizes in a group of adult ADHD patients (Philipsen et al. 2007, Mitchell et al. 2013, Bueno et al. 2015). The low rate of drop-out emphasizes the patients’ interest for, and satisfaction with, this kind of structured programme. This programme addresses the growing expectation of patients that a structured program will help improve ADHD symptoms and the associated disease. The effectiveness of this skills training programme in a population of adult ADHD sufferers might be explained by its focus on emotion regulation and mindfulness skills, indirectly highlighted by the improvement on STAXI subscales, with better anger control and expression among ADHD subjects after the one-year intervention and with improved mindfulness skills, as shown by the evolution of the KIMS score. As in previous studies (Philipsen et al. 2007, Hirvikoski et al. 2011, Edel et al. 2014, Philipsen et al. 2014, Fleming et al. 2015), improving these parameters has a positive effect on the ADHD symptoms. Further supporting the relevance of our psychotherapeutic intervention for adult ADHD is the fact that patients on a waiting list tend to worsen their ADHD symptoms (increase of ASRS scores), both on the attentional and hyperactive/impulsive dimensions. This suggests that monthly assessments of adult ADHD sufferers who respond poorly to pharmaceutical agents are insufficient (National Collaborating centre for mental health 2009). This study has limitations, the main one being the small sample size, especially for the waiting-list controls; it might help explain the absence of significant differences between the groups. We are therefore unable to exclude the idea that observed improvements in ADHD patients undergoing the psychotherapeutic intervention can be better explained by a phenomenon such as regression to the mean. Nevertheless, our results are in line with previous studies in the field. Furthermore, the fact that controls worsen on most of the assessed dimensions during the year spent on the waiting list supports the idea that our approach is a useful intervention for adult ADHD. In addition, patients were only monitored over a one-year period, and we cannot state whether our intervention is associated with a long-term improvement of ADHD symptoms. Finally, this study was a non-randomized study on a small sample of patients. The design of the study probably leads to a recruitment bias among patients enrolled in the programme or placed on the waiting list. Further studies are clearly needed in this field in order to answer these questions.
CONCLUSIONS

Individual and structured psycho-educational groups of dialectical/behavioural cognitive therapy focused on mindfulness, regulation of emotions, interpersonal effectiveness, distress tolerance, impulsivity, and attention have been shown to improve ADHD symptoms, depression, decreased expression of anxiety and impulsivity (Philipsen et al. 2007, Hirvikoski et al. 2011, Edel et al. 2014, Fleming et al. 2015). Our study supports existing data that suggests that these structured psychotherapeutic approaches are useful to patients who respond partially or not at all to drug therapy.
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