The assessment of bioactive potential and sensory acceptability of coffee and its byproducts - cascara and silverskin
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Abstract

Through the decades, coffee has been representing one of the most consumed beverages worldwide. During the coffee cherry processing, significant amount of coffee byproducts is generated, thus ending as an agro-industrial waste. Besides macro- and micronutrient content, coffee cascara and coffee silverskin are coffee byproducts which can have valuable bioactive potential. For that purpose, ethanol extracts and water infusions (beverages) of green coffee, roasted coffee, coffee cascara and coffee silverskin were analysed for total phenolic content, antioxidant capacity and individual identification of polyphenolic compounds by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Analysis paired with Photo-Diode Array detector (HPLC-PDA), with further sensory analysis of beverages. Results showed that beverages were generally evaluated with the highest bioactive potential than ethanol extracts, while roasted coffee beverages exhibited the highest content of TPC and antioxidant capacity in comparison to the ethanol extract. Among all samples, green coffee beverage was evaluated as the most valuable source of 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid (36.7 mg/g dw), while coffee cascara (9.2 mg/g dw) contained the highest content of caffeine. Although roasted beverage expectedly scored as the highest acceptable (6.2), silverskin and cascara were evaluated with relatively satisfactory results of the overall acceptability (4.6-5.2), thus showing the potential for the improvement in terms of preparation and, furthermore, greater consumer acceptance.

Keywords: coffee, coffee byproducts, bioactive compounds, beverages, sensory analysis

Introduction

Coffee represents one of the most favorable beverages worldwide arising from complex and distinctive taste and well-known stimulative effects (Gloess et al., 2013). It is internationally traded as coffee beans and is valued as the second most important commodity after oil (Esquivel and Jiménez, 2012). Containing more than 1000 bioactive compounds, regular coffee intake (3-4 cups daily) can contribute to the prevention of specific health diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, metabolic and neurological conditions (British Coffee Association, 2016; Poole et al., 2017). The coffee tree or shrub is a flowering plant, belonging to Rubiaceae family and containing more than 100 widely known coffee species, among which Coffea arabica L. and Coffea canephora P.(commonly known as “Arabica” and “Robusta”, respectively) are the most important economically (Davis et al., 2006). Strong and dynamic culture of coffee consumption is continuing to empower its economic importance, with expectations of Compound annual growth rate (CAGR) by 8.28% until 2025 (Statista, 2021).

Behind each cup of coffee, there is a complex and delicate process of processing and preparation. Coffee processing can be done in two distinct ways, namely dry or wet procedure. The former comprises of drying the coffee fruit and further mechanical removal of cascara, i.e. the dried fleshy part of the cherry consisting of pericarp (husk), mesocarp (pulp) and endocarp (parchment). This procedure is commonly used for Robusta beans (Mussatto et al., 2011). In wet processing, the distinctive step includes dehulling and depulping of fresh cherries, followed by fermentation of the green seeds covered with mucilage-pectin layer as the part of the pulp (Alves et al., 2011; Ferrão, 2009). This procedure is mostly conducted for Arabica coffee and it provides higher quality of the beans in terms of bioactive content and sensory profile. Further steps in both processing type include removal of the remained endocarp (parchment) and roasting of green coffee upon which the outer layer of the coffee bean-epiderma (silverskin) separates from the bean and is being removed as a byproduct (Cubero-Castillo et al., 2017). In accordance to the massive coffee production, a noticeable amount of byproducts, such as cascara, parchment and silverskin are being generated. Cascara comprise up to 45% of the coffee cherry (Del Castillo et al., 2019; Esquivel and Jiménez, 2012) while silverskin accounts for approximately 1% (Alves et al., 2017). Annually, this would represent at least 25 million tons in total of the mentioned waste, which are mostly inadequately disposed due to the lack of profitable uses (Pua et al., 2021) and as such pose an environmental hazard (Ateş and Elmaci, 2018). Within the concept of sustainable coffee production, alternative uses of coffee processing byproducts are increasingly being investigated. Except of rich macro- and micronutrients content which may, furthermore, find potential application in different industrial sectors, such as biorefinery (Hudeckova et al., 2018), agroindustry (Murthy and Naidu, 2012), pharmaceutical (Yoo et al., 2018), cosmetic industry (Riberio et al., 2018), food industry (Bertolino et al., 2019; Ateş and Elmaci, 2018), these byproducts also represent potential valuable source of bioactive compounds. Among them, hydroxyoimpanic acids, i.e. chlorogenic acid derivatives and methylxanthines, i.e. caffeine, are the most represented. Its positive effect on human health, as well as, stimulative related effects are well known (Das Neves et al., 2019). Valorization of cascara and silverskin in terms of extraction of bioactive compounds and its further implementation into various functional food products may have a noticeable potential on the market given the growing interest of consumers for polyphenol-rich “super foods” (Statista, 2020).

In order to explore the bioactive potential of selected coffee byproducts - cascara and silverskin, along with green coffee and roasted coffee, ethanol extracts and beverages were analysed for TPC and antioxidant capacity, with further quantification of present bioactive compounds by HPLC. Furthermore, sensory analysis was also conducted for the estimation of general consumer acceptability of prepared beverages. Such obtained results will be useful indicators for the possibility of valorizing them as potential commercialize food products.
Materials and methods

Materials and chemicals

Coffee silverskin, roasted and green coffee beans (Coffea arabica) were obtained from Brasil (region Coletivo) while coffee cascara (Coffea arabica, var. typica) was provided from Dominican Republic (region Barahona). (S)-6-Methoxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylexohromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2′-Azino-bis(3 ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), gallic acid (>97%), caffeine (>99%), 3-4 dihydroxybenzoic acid (>98%), theobromine (>99%), 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid (>98%), 4-O-cafeoylquinic acid (98 %), 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid (>99%) and epicatechine galate (>99%) were purchased from Kemika d.o.o., Zagreb. Methanol was supplied from Panreac (Barcelona, Spain), while ethanol, formic acid and acetonitrile were purchased from Carlo Erba (Val de Reuil, France). All chemicals used for experimental procedures were of analytical or HPLC grade.

Preparation of samples

All samples were firstly milled in electric grinder and then sieved in a stainless-steel sieve (450 μm). Solely the fraction less than 450 μm were used for further analysis.

Extraction of bioactive compounds

All four samples were double extracted with 70 % ethanol (v/v) using 1:20 mL solid-to-solvent ratio. Extraction was performed on 80°C for 20 minutes using reflux condenser with constant stirring (SMHS-6, Witeg Labortechnik GmbH). After cooling down at room temperature, obtained beverages were centrifuged at a speed of 10000 rpm for 10 minutes (SL-8R centrifuge, ThermoScientific) and at a temperature of 5°C, and supernatants were collected. Solid residues underwent second extraction using the same parameters as previously. At the end, filtrates for each sample were combined and filtered under the vacuum using Büchner funnel. All analyses were performed in triplicate (n=3).

Preparation of beverages

2 g of grounded cascara and silverskin samples with roasted and green coffee beans were infused in 100 mL of distilled water (95°C) during 10 minutes with periodically mixing. Such prepared beverages were sensory evaluated and bioactive composition was characterized using spectrophotometric and HPLC methodology.

Physico-chemical analysis

Determination of dry matter

The dry matter (dw) content is determined according to the modified AOAC method (AOAC 930.15, 1990). 0.5 g (to within ± 0.0001) of grounded and well-homogenized green and roasted coffee beans, as well as, cascara and silverskins samples were weighed and placed in a cooled and previously weighed aluminum cup with a lid. The uncovered sample cup and cover are dried in an oven at 105°C for 2 hours. After the drying time has elapsed, the aluminum cup is covered with the lid and weighed as soon as it has cooled to room temperature. The procedure is repeated until a constant mass of the sample is reached (with an accuracy of ± 0.0003g). All analyses were performed in triplicate (n=3).

Determination of bioactive potential

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)

The TPC was determined according to the method by Singleton and Rossi (1965). 3.95 mL of distilled water, 50 μL of diluted extract, 250 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 750 μL of sodium carbonate were mixed in a test tube. The samples were left to stand for 2 hours at room temperature, after which the absorbance of the developed blue color was measured at 765 nm, relative to blank sample. The results were expressed in mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of dry weight of sample (mg GAE/g dw). All analyses were performed in triplicate (n=3).

Determination of antioxidant capacity by ABTS and DPPH assays

To determine the antioxidant capacity by ABTS method, the sample (20 μL) was homogenized with 2 mL of ABTS. After 6 min, the absorbance of 734 nm was determined (Genesys 10S, ThermoScientific). The results were calculated as mg Trolox equivalents per gram of sample dry weight (mmol Trolox/E/g dw) by using the Trolox standard calibration curve (Re et al., 1999). The second method for determining antioxidant capacity included measurement of DPPH radical scavenging activity in the presence of antioxidants in the samples, by mixing the 100 μL of the sample with 3.9 mL of DPPH solution in a test tube. After the reaction, the absorbance was determined at 515 nm. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was expressed as mg of Trolox equivalents per gram of dry weight (mmol Trolox/E/g dw) (Brand-Williams et al., 1995). All analyses were performed in triplicate (n=3).

High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis of phenolic compounds and methylxanthines

The HPLC analysis of ethanol extracts and beverages was employed on an Agilent Series 1200 chromatographic system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled with a Photo-Diode Array detector (PAD) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and using a Zorbax Extend C18 (4.6 x 250 mm, 5 μm i.d.) chromatographic column (Agilent Technologies, USA). Firstly, all samples were filtered through a 0.20 μm membrane filter (regenerated cellulose filter, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) prior to the analysis. Gradient elution included two-component mobile phase consisting of (A) 1% (v/v) formic acid solution in water and (B) 1% (v/v) formic acid solution in acetonitrile, according to the following order: 0 min – 93% A, 7% B; 5 min – 93% A, 7% B; 45 min – 60% A, 40% B; 47 min – 30% A, 70%; 52 min – 30% A, 70% B. The flow was 1 mL/min, the injection volume 5 μL and the column temperature 25 °C. The chromatograms were recorded at 260, 278, 320 and 350 nm. Identification and quantification of phenolic compounds and methylxanthines was conducted by comparing the retention times with commercially available standards and using calibration curves for each identified compound (20-100 μg/mL). The analysis was performed in a triplicate (n=3).

Sensory analysis

Sensory properties of cascara, silverskin, green and roasted coffee beverages were analysed by quantitative descriptive analysis method and corresponding literature (Martinez-Saez et al., 2014). The sensory evaluation was carried out in one session involving 10 untrained panellists, but with the previous experience in descriptive sensory analysis. All prepared beverages were graded for: color, aroma, taste, aftertaste and their overall acceptability and for each sensory attribute. 9-point hedonic scale with scoring 1 (the lowest acceptable) - 9 (the highest acceptable) was employed. The average point number was calculated for each of the attributes.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of all samples was performed with one-way ANOVA analysis of variance with post-hoc analysis using Tukey test at significance level of p< 0.05 in SPSS Statistics Software 17.0 (IBM).

Results and discussion

Bioactive potential determination of analysed samples

In order to quantitatively assess the total bioactive content of analysed ethanol extracts and prepared beverages, TPC and antioxidant capacity were determined. According to the Figure 1, TPC values were significantly higher (p<0.05) for green and roasted coffee beverages (49.65 mg GAE/g dw- 48.09 mg GAE/g dw) in compare to ethanol extracts (34.65 mg GAE/g dw- 31.02 mg GAE/g dw), except of cascara and silverskin sample. It has been reported that extractability of compounds depends on many factors, such as powder/solvent ratio, temperature and time of extraction, coffee grinding degree, as well as, coffee variety type and roasting conditions (Alves et al., 2007; Alves et al., 2010).

As expected, green coffee (49.56 mg GAE/g dw) and roasted coffee (48.09 mg GAE/g dw) beverages were richer in TPC than evaluated by products- coffee cascara (19.53 mg GAE/g dw) and silverskin (10.62 mg GAE/g dw). Similar results for cascara were also obtained in Nguyen Phuong et al. (2019) while measured value for TPC in silverskin was lower than that reported by Mesías et al. (2014) and Iriondo- DeHond et al. (2019). Such differences are notably influenced by different factors, such as variety of coffee, fermentation process, applied extraction method, extraction conditions etc.

Besides of determination of bioactive potential by spectrophotometric methods, individual quantification of bioactive polyphenols and methylxanthines was conducted by HPLC- PDA analysis (Table 1). Regarding green coffee, similar results for ethanol extract and beverage were observed. Slightly smaller content of caffeine (1.9 mg/g dw) was analysed in ethanol extract in comparison to the prepared beverage (2.2 mg/g dw), as well as, the content of chlorogenic acid derivatives, with 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid (33.7 mg/g dw) (Table 1) as the most dominant phenolic compound (Budryn et al., 2013). Notable variations in the caffeine and quantitative profile of phenolic acids are influenced by many conditions, e.g. geographical location, climate conditions, growth conditions, humidity during the storage etc. (Farah et al., 2006). In ethanol extract of roasted coffee, theobromine (0.3 mg/g dw), caffeine (2.2 mg/g dw), 3-O-cafeoylquinic acid (2.2 mg/g dw), 4-O-cafeoylquinic acid (4.2 mg/g dw) and 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid (8.1 mg/g dw) were detected. Similar results were obtained for prepared roasted coffee beverage, resulting in 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid (8.1 mg/g dw) in the highest content (Kröl et al., 2020).

Figure 1. Results of total phenolic content for analysed samples.

Figure 2. Antioxidant capacity of analysed samples determined by ABTS assay.

Figure 3. Antioxidant capacity of analysed samples determined by DPPH assay.

HPLC analysis of phenolic compounds and methylxanthines

With a higher antioxidant capacity (ABTS: 0.141 mmol Trolox E/g dw; DPPH: 0.111 mmol Trolox E/g dw), in compare to the lower values of antioxidant capacity determined in silverskin (ABTS: 0.069 mmol Trolox E/g dw; DPPH: 0.041 mmol Trolox E/g dw). Furthermore, Ateş and Elmaci (2018) reported similar results for water extract silverskin in the amount of 0.019 mmol Trolox E/g dw, determined by DPPH assay.
Table 1. Composition of polyphenols and methylxanthines in analysed samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*mg/g dw= expressed as mg/g of dry weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| lower content of caffeine and chlorogenic acid derivatives in roasted coffee can be ascribed to many factors, such as humidity of the green coffee beans, applied roasting temperature, roasting time and low velocity of roasting air etc., which notably affect on decrease of its final content due to the decomposition caused by non-enzymatic reaction, thus forming Maillard-reaction compounds (Summa et al., 2007; Budryn et al., 2013). Concerning coffee byproducts, beverages also exhibited slightly higher values of extracted bioactive compounds than ethanol extracts which is in the agreement with TPC results. The distinct content in certain polyphenols between beverages and ethanol extracts in all analysed samples may be explained by the use of a different solvent. Indeed, Huaman- Castillo et al. (2019) demonstrated that 5-O-coffeoylquinic acid content decreases when the content of ethanol increases. Cascara beverage showed to be richer in the caffeine content (9.2 mg/g dw) than silverskin (5.3 mg/g dw), while the content of 5-O-coffeoylquinic acid (mg/g dw) was greatly lower in cascara (1.5 mg/g dw) and silverskin (0.4 mg/g dw) compare to the previously mentioned green or roasted coffee beverage. Similar results were reported by Heeger et al. (2017). Moreover, in coffee pulp which is part of cascara, three major phenolic compounds have been quantified, such as 5-O-coffeoylquinic acid, caffeine and theobromine (Manasa, 2021), which is in accordance to this study. However, the presence of 3-O-coffeoylquinic acid and 4-O-coffeoylquinic acid was not detected, neither in cascara or silverskin.

The content of analysed bioactive compounds in silverskin highly depends, among other factors, on the variety of coffee. Mesías et al. (2014) found that caffeine content was significantly higher in Robusta than in Arabica silverskin coffee. Furthermore, Martinez-Saez et al. (2014) stated that the presence of 5-O-coffeoylquinic acid would amount to 3-4% of the total antioxidant capacity of such byproducts but decrease trend occurs as the result of specific parameters during the roasting process. It seems of great importance to take this factor into consideration when selecting the different roasting parameters in order to prevent any large loss in 5-O-coffeoylquinic acid in the final product.

### Sensory analysis

In order to estimate consumer acceptability of prepared coffee and coffee byproducts beverages, sensory analysis was performed (Figure 4.). All four analysed samples, i.e. green coffee, roasted coffee, cascara and silverskin demonstrated variable profile in terms of evaluated sensory characteristics (Figure 4.). Colour for roasted coffee was evaluated with the highest score (7).
Table 2. Profile of sensory attributes- aroma and taste for prepared beverages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>beverage</th>
<th>aroma</th>
<th>taste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>silverskin</td>
<td>roasted nuts, citrus, green tea, earthy, dried hay, fruity, honey, barley, forage</td>
<td>herbal, nuts, bitter, sour, caramel, hazelnut, fruity, tasty, coffee, sweet, unpleasant, cereals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cascara</td>
<td>roasted, ash, sweet, strong, fruity, rose hip, citrus, coffee, honey, acacia</td>
<td>nuts, bitter, sour, astringent, sweet, rose hip, fruity, sweet, tasty, full flavour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green</td>
<td>earthy, grassy, herbal, strong, woody, dried hay</td>
<td>herbal, citrus, sour, brussels sprout, bitter, earthy, dried hay, strong, spicy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roasted</td>
<td>coffee, smoky, chocolate, nutty, roasted coffee, caramel, cocoa, chocolate</td>
<td>strong, coffee, chocolate, nutty, sweet, tasty, vain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generally, roasted coffee was the one with the highest overall acceptability (6.2) which is certainly due to the fact that its characteristics, being the same as regular coffee, were familiar to the panelists and consequently preferred. Regarding byproducts, silverskin beverage was slightly preferred than cascara (5.2 against 4.6). In terms of qualitative sensorial attributes related to each beverage, roasted coffee exhibited familiar aroma and taste profile described as nutty, chocolate and caramel while green coffee was evaluated with different terms, reminded on herbs, earthy, dried hay and woody. Cascara and silverskin beverage were marked with similar terms, related to fruity, sweet, citrus and roasted nuts aroma and taste (Table 2.).

Moreover, Martinez-Saez et al. (2014) conducted the research on silverskin beverage and reported that only 10% of respondents would drink it the way it was served in the analysis (that is to say in beverages). Nevertheless, 85% would consume it combined with another ingredient such as milk, sugar, lemon or honey. Das Neves et al. (2019) demonstrated that the addition of concentration pineapple juice in coffee husk beverage as a supplementary ingredient would lead to a higher acceptance due to an increase in flavour and aroma.

Further research can be directed on preparing the same beverages but with byproducts from Robusta and with different content. As an illustration, Martinez-Saez et al. (2014) also conducted a comparison of silverskin from Arabica and Robusta (with a content of 2.5 and 10 mg/mL for each variety) through a similar sensory analysis. They found out that such differences in preparation led in distinct perceptions of the attributes studied. This would be explained by the fact that Arabica would have a fine and more aromatic flavour whereas Robusta would be characterized by a stronger and less aromatic one.

Conclusions

Successful HPLC and spectrophotometric evaluation of green coffee, commonly consumed roasted coffee and coffee byproducts- cascara and silverskin was conducted, indicating that green coffee beverage can be a rich source of 5-O-cafeoylquinic acid and its derivatives. Furthermore, cascara beverage showed to be a higher potential source of caffeine than silverskin beverage, with satisfactory antioxidant capacity. Further research can be directed into developing functional cascara and silverskin beverages as value-added food products, since of certain potential showed by sensory assessment given the satisfactory taste and relatively good overall acceptability.
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