# Nexus Between Stock Market Performance and Manufacturing Growth in Nigeria

### Awe, Isaac Tope

Department of Economics, School of Social and Management Science, Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology, Ikere – Ekiti, Nigeria awe.isaac@bouesti.edu.ng

### Abstract

This study examined the nexus between stock market performance and manufacturing growth in Nigeria using data spanning between 1985 and 2020. Vector Autoregression (VAR) model was employed to examine the complex interaction between the variables. The result of the stationarity test through Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillip Peron (PP) affirmed the use of VAR. the study concluded that stock market performance has a significant influence on manufacturing growth. Hence, the government should make a concerted effort by making appropriate monetary policy that will promote stock market performance that will lead to capacity growth of the manufacturing sub-sector.

Keywords: Stock Market Performance, Manufacturing Growth, All-share Index, Equity, Industrial Loan

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The studies on the stock market returns or performance have received considerable attention in recent times because stock market performance is considered to be one of the major determinants of macroeconomic performance in every country, Nigeria inclusive (Donatus, 2009 and Robert, 2008). Obadan (1998), opined that an active stock market contributes to changes in the general level of economic activities which can lead to sustainable economic growth. The majority of African countries are richly endowed with natural and mineral resources that ought to have exerted greater influence on their economic growth and if these resources are properly annexed with adequate capital needed, some African countries are supposed to be among the developed countries. Nazir, Nawaz & Gilani (2010) agreed that the stock market is an important pillar of the country's economy. Nigeria's Stock market has experienced remarkable progress since 1981 as evidenced by the major stock market performance indicators such as a number of listed companies, all-share price index, and market capitalization. More evidence from the Central Bank of Nigeria (2018) indicates that market capitalization for 1985 values at N6.6 billion and increase to N285.8 billion in 1996 but fell to N281.9 billion and N262.6 billion in 1997 and 1998 respectively. Stock market capitalization rose from N300 billion in 1999 to N13.18 trillion while another fall was witnessed between 2008 and 2009 with N9.56 trillion and N7.03 trillion respectively. Market capitalization then rose from ¥9.92 trillion in 2010 to ¥19.08 trillion in 2013 and later witness fluctuation. The stock market has played a vital role in Nigeria's economic development most especially in improving the private sector and proved to be an important source of capital or financial investment for the private sector. The bulk of the recapitalization of the banking sector was realized through the stock market. The manufacturing sector plays an important role as a driver of innovation, productivity growth, and technological change in the global economy. It is no doubt that the growth in the sector is the major factor that leads to the economic diversification of most economies of the developed countries of the world (Eze. Emeka and Ogbonna, 2019). Various measures have been taken by successive governments in Nigeria which led to the introduction of various reforms in the country. The major objective of these reforms was the diversification and restructuring of the productive base of the economy to enhance efficiency and reduce its dependence on oil exports. The Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) as a reform strategy, introduced in 1986 to bail the country out of its numerous challenges had a favorable effect on agriculture but a negative effect on manufacturing. The relative contribution of manufacturing production to GDP showed that SAP, indeed, triggered a shrinking growth of the manufacturing sector which contributed 8.7% to GDP in 1986. However, with the adoption of SAP, the manufacturing sector's relative share in output began to fall and reached 5.29% in 1989 and fell further to 4.96% in the 1990s. Despite these reform strategies, oil export is still expanding while the non-oil export is yet to improve appreciably (Awe, 2018). This shows that the reforms are not capable of diversifying the Nigerian economy which would have boosted manufacturing productivity to pave way for sustainable economic growth. The efforts of successive governments to promote manufacturing growth which has been identified as the engine room of economic growth and the major determinant in achieving the macroeconomic goal in the country have remained insignificant. This has generated a lot of debate among scholars. They partly attributed it to the lack of long-term funds that are needed to provide the impetus for inclusive growth and job creation in the sector. Kwode (2015) is of the view that long-term funding which is the bane of the manufacturing sector could be achieved through an active capital market that mobilizes long-term funds for the development of small and medium scale industries in Nigeria. While Offum and Ihuoma (2018) maintained that the performance of the capital market has not translated to remarkable growth of the Nigeria manufacturing growth. Ubesie & Ude (2019) also agreed that the stock market performed below expectation as a supplier of cheap and stable funds for manufacturers in Nigeria. Since there is a divergent view on the impact of the stock market on manufacturing growth, it is necessary to study the relationship among them from another angle. This critical issue has warranted a new frontier of research concerning the relationship that exists between stock market performance and manufacturing growth in Nigeria using the vector autoregressive (VAR) approach.

# **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The issue of stock market performance and its impact on the growth and development of an economy has received considerable attention not only among academic researchers but policymakers who are not left out (Ifeoluwa and Motilewa, 2015). This is because stock market performance is one of the vital instruments of measuring the economic well-being of a nation. Given Obadan (1998), an active stock market contributes to changes in the general level of economic activities. It contributes to the economy directly or indirectly by mobilizing resources from the surplus sector of the economy for the benefit of those in need of funds. It mobilizes savings, creation of liquidity, risk diversification, acquisition and dissemination of financial information, and enhanced incentive for corporate control. The manufacturing industry has been one of the global development agenda as reflected in sustainable development goal is a key ingredient in the economic development process of developing nation, Nigeria inclusive. The manufacturing sector has the capacity of generating employment and reducing poverty increasing national productivity (Nyong, 2011; Ebong, Udoh, and Obafemi, 2014). Ly (2011) opined that the manufacturing sector can only strive through adequate capital formation which the stock market usually serves as one of the major mobilization of financial resources for its development. The stock market has the potential of mobilizes the long-term financial resources needed by the manufacturing firms (Ogunsakin and Awe, 2020). There has been a growing concern recently by various scholars on the role of the stock market on economic growth and how it can help in making appropriate policies that can lead to sustainable economic development. Okpara (2010) investigated the impact of capital market performance on the growth of the Nigerian economy. The results showed that there was a long-run interaction between the growth of the economy (gross domestic product) and capital market indicators. From the results, one period lag of market capitalization, new issues, the value of shares traded, and turnover ratio had a significant impact on the growth rate of the gross domestic product in the country.

In the same line of research, Olowo, Oluwatoyin & Fagbeminiyi (2011), critically analyzed the efficiency of the capital market on the Nigerian economy for the period between 1979 and 2008. The results indicated that the stock market indeed contributed to economic growth as all variables conformed to expectation. The major findings revealed a negative relationship between market capitalization and gross domestic product as well as a negative relationship between turnover ratio and gross domestic product while a positive relationship was observed between the all-share index and gross domestic product. Udoh & Ogbuagu (2012) used the total production framework and autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) co-integration technique for Nigerian time series data covering the period 1970-2009. It was found that both the long-run and short-run dynamic coefficients of financial sector development variables had a negative and statistically significant impact on industrial production. In another development, Idyu, Ajekwe, & Johnmark (2013) determined the impact of the Nigerian capital market on the industrial sector component of the Nigerian gross domestic product, ascertain the impact of the Nigerian capital market on industrial loans issued by the stock exchange and determine the impact of the Nigerian capital market on average capacity utilization rates of the Nigerian manufacturing sector. An ex-post facto research design was adopted using secondary data to determine the level of impact on the growth of the Nigerian industrial sector for the period 1990 – 2009. The results showed that market capitalization has a positive significant impact on the industrial sector component of the gross domestic product and average capacity utilization rates of the manufacturing sector. However, the result revealed a non-significant impact of market capitalization on industrial loans of the stock exchange.

Also, Kwode, (2015) examined the role of the capital market in financing the manufacturing sector in Nigeria between 1970 - 2012. Using the ordinary least square method, co-integration test, and error correction method; the study reveals that there is a long-term relationship between capital market and the development of the manufacturing firms in Nigeria but the growth in capital market activities did not impact significantly on the manufacturing sector. The Nigerian manufacturing sector has been on the decline because of non-access to long-term funds from the capital market, high interest rates, volatile foreign exchange, and unstable electricity. Egbe, Joshua, Eja, & Uzezi, (2015) examined the relationship between capital market and industrial sector development in Nigeria, utilizing annual time series data covering the period from 1980 to 2012. The study adopted the co-integration test, Granger causality test, and the error correction mechanism (ECM) in the estimation of the relevant relationships among variables. The results of the short-run dynamics revealed that the capital market has a positive and significant impact on industrial output in Nigeria via market capitalization and many deals. However, the value of the transaction has a negative and significant impact on industrial output in Nigeria during the evaluation period. The results also showed that real gross domestic product has a positive and significant impact on industrial output in Nigeria, while exchange rate and gross domestic investment have a negative and significant relationship with industrial output in Nigeria. In the same view, Echekoba & Ananwude (2016) studied the nexus between index of industrial production and Nigeria stock market liquidity and the effect stock market liquidity has on industrial production from 1981 to 2015, through the applications of the Johansen cointegration test and its associated error correction model (ECM). The variables employed in the study were the index of industrial production and the value of stock traded ratio to gross domestic product. The result of the Johansen co-integration indicated that a long-run equilibrium relationship exists between the index of industrial production and stock market liquidity. The ordinary least square (OLS) revealed that stock market liquidity has a negative influence on the index of industrial production. Florence, Ogechi, Kingsley, Idika & Odili (2017) evaluated the impact of stock market liquidity and efficiency on the performance of the manufacturing sector in Nigeria. Applying unit root test and ARDL bounds test approach to co-integration for time series data ranging from 1985 to 2011. The study found that stock market efficiency and number deals were significant variables that explained the changes in the Nigerian manufacturing sector. Also, Salihu and Mohammed (2017), investigated the impact of the stock exchange on the manufacturing sector in Nigeria for the period 1980-2015, using the co-integration test and error correction model (ECM). The study found that there is a long-term relationship between the stock exchange and the development of the manufacturing sector in Nigeria, but the growth in stock exchange activities had an insignificant impact on the manufacturing sector in the economy. Owui, (2019) examined the impact of capital market indicators (industrial loan, equity, market capitalization) on industrial sector financing in Nigeria. He employed ordinary least squares of multiple regression statistical techniques based on the analysis. His findings revealed there is a significant impact between industrial loan and the growth of industrial sector financing in Nigeria, there is a significant impact between market capitalization and the growth of industrial sector financing in Nigeria, there is no significant impact between equity and the growth of industrial sector financing in Nigeria. Based on the available literature, it is crystal clear that scholars did not agree on the relationship that exists between stock market performance and manufacturing growth. Therefore, this work will re-examine the relationship that exists between stock market performance and manufacturing growth in Nigeria by studying complex interactions among the variables. This will shed more light on the issue and provided useful insights into the real relationship among them.

# 4. METHODOLOGY

The study adopts Vector Autoregressive (VAR) model with its components to measure the complexity between the stock market and manufacturing growth in Nigeria. Investigation of shocks transmission is imperative in ascertaining the sensitivity of these variables among one another which is the best measured by impulse response function and forecast error variance decomposition of VAR model (Gujarati and Sangeetha, 2007). The model for the study is hereby specified:

$$Z_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i Z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

Where  $Z_t$  is the vector of both dependent variable defined as MOT and explanatory variables (ASI, EQT, INDL and INTR)

Where: MOT = Manufacturing Output ASI = All Share Index. EQT = Equity. INDL = Industrial Loan. RINT = Real Interest Rate.

# **5. RESULTS**

4.1 Testing the Normality in the Distribution of the Data Set in the Study

	Table 1. Descriptive Statistics							
	MOT	ASI	EQT	INDL	INTR			
Mean	0.022867	-0.888681	0.349939	0.418056	18.26861			
Median	0.021985	-0.884067	0.382458	0.010000	17.77000			
Maximum	0.217971	-0.778202	1.870492	6.520000	29.80000			
Minimum	-0.175105	-0.965580	-0.316576	0.000000	9.250000			
Std. Dev.	0.098163	0.039255	0.439648	1.243499	4.058012			
Skewness	0.023259	0.332185	1.233796	3.891151	0.559292			
Kurtosis	2.591647	3.158629	5.790233	18.24228	4.337746			
Jarque-Bera	0.253374	0.699825	20.81161	439.3371	4.561193			
Probability	0.881009	0.704750	0.000030	0.000000	0.102223			
Sum	0.823211	-31.99250	12.59781	15.05000	657.6700			
Sum Sq. Dev.	0.337258	0.053933	6.765162	54.12016	576.3612			
Observations	36	36	36	36	36			

Source: Author computation (2021).

Descriptive statistics result in table 1 helps to predict the nature and behavior of the data distribution. The arithmetic mean value and median value of world MOT, ASI and INTR are symmetrical while those of EQT and INDL are asymmetrical in their distribution. From the results, it was revealed that MOT and ASI, mirror normal skewness, while EQT, INDL, and INTR are positively skewness. Kurtosis result in table 1 shows that ASI is mesokurtic which depicts normal distribution, EQT, INDL, and INTR are leptokurtic which depicts a peak curve, MOT on the other hand are platykurtic which depicts a flatted curve. Jarque-Bera statistics confirmed that MOT, ASI, and INTR are normally distributed while EQT and INDL are not normally distributed.

4.2 Testing the Correlation among the Series using Correlation Matrix

Before proceeding to other estimations in the study, it is essential to carry out a test to ascertain if there is an interplay among the variable of interest. This is done through a correlation matrix.

		Tuble 2						
	MOT	ASI	EQT	INDL	INTR			
MOT	1	-0.2350	-0.3743	-0.2304	-0.0136			
ASI	-0.2350	1	0.8780	0.0423	0.2711			
EQT	-0.3743	0.8780	1	0.0863	0.1933			
INDL	-0.23048	0.0423	0.0863	1	0.0301			
INTR	-0.0136	0.2711	0.1933	0.0301	1			

Table 2

Source: Author computation (2021).

The result in Table 2 gives us a preliminary idea of the relationship existing among the series. The result indicates that all the variables were negatively correlated with MOT.

4.3 Time Series Properties of the Variable.

			Tabl	e 3. Unit root	tes
	Level				
Variables	P.P	ADF	5% critical	Order of	
	Statistics	Statistics	Value	Integration	
MOT	-4.8226	-4.8114	-2.9484	I(0)	
ASI	-3.7643	-3.6785	-2.9484	I(0)	
EQT	-5.0796	-5.0797	-2.9484	I(0)	
INDL	-4.5647	-4.5711	-2.9484	I(0)	
INR	-4.0622	-4.0648	-2.9484	I(0)	

Source: Author computation (2021).

The results of both Phillip Peron (PP) and Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test presented in Table 3 confirm that all variables are stationary at level. The results revealed that all the variables are all order zero, this indicates that the condition for cointegration is not met. Hence, the best estimation technique as suggested by Gujarati and Sangeetha (2007) is to result in the short-run dynamic estimation using Vector Autoregression (VAR) since the long-run equilibrium relationship is not achievable. This justifies the use of VAR for the analysis in this study.

4.4. Selection of Appropriate Lag Length

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-225.6375	NA	0.078097	14.47734	14.75217	14.56844
1	-146.7014	123.3377	0.005570	11.79383	13.71761	12.43151
2	-109.7705	43.85544	0.006783	11.73565	15.30838	12.91991
3	-42.68922	54.50351	0.002117	9.793076	15.01476	11.52392
4	81.39369	54.28627*	7.25e-05*	4.287894*	11.15853*	6.565316*

Table 4. Selection Criteria

Source: Author computation (2021).

The result in table 4 indicates that all the criteria suggest four lag for the model. Therefore, four lag variable was selected.

# 4.5 Vector Autoregression Estimate

		T	able 5		
	МОТ	ASI	EQT	INDL	INTR
MOT(-1)	-0.258158	-0.022939	-0.104919	5.586078	-18.61852
	(0.28080)	(0.11857)	(1.39807)	(5.35409)	(10.3065)
	[-0.91938]	[-0.19346]	[-0.07505]	[ 1.04333]	[-1.80648]
MOT(-2)	0.018007	-0.012352	-1.356625	-4.282346	13.46411
	(0.31171)	(0.13163)	(1.55198)	(5.94351)	(11.4411)
	[ 0.05777]	[-0.09384]	[-0.87413]	[-0.72051]	[ 1.17682]
MOT(-3)	-0.030114	-0.048041	-0.490433	3.519894	-18.35117
	(0.25753)	(0.10875)	(1.28224)	(4.91050)	(9.45262)
	[-0.11693]	[-0.44176]	[-0.38248]	[ 0.71681]	[-1.94138]
MOT(-4)	0.083938	0.070857	0.305419	-0.151633	13.08303
	(0.26603)	(0.11234)	(1.32455)	(5.07256)	(9.76459)
	[ 0.31552]	[ 0.63074]	[ 0.23058]	[-0.02989]	[ 1.33985]
ASI(-1)	1.153456	0.501144	5.614634	-38.75117	135.9314
	(1.91849)	(0.81014)	(9.55205)	(36.5809)	(70.4175)
	[ 0.60123]	[ 0.61859]	[ 0.58779]	[-1.05933]	[ 1.93036]
ASI(-2)	-1.121488	-0.776358	-1.725774	42.00151	84.93540
	(2.44838)	(1.03390)	(12.1903)	(46.6846)	(89.8670)
	[-0.45805]	[-0.75090]	[-0.14157]	[ 0.89969]	[ 0.94512]
ASI(-3)	-3.239875	0.917711	11.02987	-17.52936	-98.89917
	(1.80684)	(0.76299)	(8.99619)	(34.4522)	(66.3197)
	[-1.79311]	[ 1.20278]	[ 1.22606]	[-0.50880]	[-1.49125]
ASI(-4)	-2.779760	0.173765	2.402187	-2.958936	4.092100
	(1.33890)	(0.56539)	(6.66633)	(25.5296)	(49.1440)
	[-2.07615]	[ 0.30734]	[ 0.36035]	[-0.11590]	[ 0.08327]
EQT(-1)	-0.055316	-0.013745	-0.414320	3.568129	-12.12325

R-squared Adj. R-squared	0.689354 0.124542	0.708828 0.179424	0.671076 0.073032	0.401519 -0.686629	0.717472 0.203786
	[-2.07786]	[-0.23897]	[ 1.17656]	[-0.35009]	[ 1.35574]
	(2.66759)	(1.12647)	(13.2818)	(50.8645)	(97.9131)
С	-5.542887	-0.269187	15.62686	-17.80732	132.7443
	[-0.49624]	[-0.314/1]	[-0.23/99]	[-0.23/41]	[-1.32/96]
	(0.00612)	(0.00258)	(0.03045)	(0.11662)	(0.22449)
INTR(-4)	-0.003035	-0.000813	-0.007856	-0.030019	-0.298118
	_	_	_	_	-
	[ 0.07807]	[ 1.12116]	[ 1.06986]	[-0.01818]	[-0.26887]
	(0.00694)	(0.00293)	(0.03455)	(0.13231)	(0.25469)
INTR(-3)	0.000542	0.003285	0.036962	-0.002406	-0.068478
	[ 0.98984]	[-0.01292]	[-0.69363]	[ 0.0/369]	[-0.20437]
	(0.00681)	(0.00287)	(0.03390)	(0.12981)	(0.24988)
INTR(-2)	0.006739	-3.72E-05	-0.023512	0.009565	-0.051067
			0.000		0 0
	[-0.15430]	[ 1.65717]	[ 1.08770]	[ 0.85002]	[ 1.83238]
- *	(0.00684)	(0.00289)	(0.03403)	(0.13033)	(0.25088)
INTR(-1)	-0.001055	0.004783	0.037016	0.110781	0.459703
	[-0.20700]	[ 0.99140]	[ 1.000.01]	[ 0.00300]	[ 1.11012]
	(0.01878) [-0.26786]	(0.00793) [ 0.991401	(0.09550) [ 1 80851]	(0.33803) [ 0.865001	(0.08925) [ 1 11612]
INDL(-4)	-0.005030	0.007861	0.169088	0.309716	0.769284
	0.007030	0.0070/1	0.1(0000	0 20071 (	0.7(0004
	[ 0.31308]	[ 0.09347]	[ 0.37372]	[-0.47865]	[ 0.00281]
	(0.01882)	(0.00795)	(0.09369)	(0.35879)	(0.69066)
INDL(-3)	0.005891	0.000743	0.035012	-0.171733	0.001940
		ι - J		r	
	[ 0.19146]	[-0.51348]	[ 0.01124]	[-0.52790]	[ 0.65583]
	(0.01658)	(0.00700)	(0.08256)	(0.31616)	(0.60860)
INDL(-2)	0.003175	-0.003595	0.000928	-0.166900	0.399135
	[-0.90114]	[-0.33297]	[ 0.4139/]	[ 1.18348]	[-0.20320]
	(0.01722)	(0.00727)	(0.08574)	(0.32836) [ 1 19549]	(0.63208)
INDL(-1)	-0.015518	-0.002421	0.035495	0.389261	-0.166363
	[ 2.48627]	[-0.99137]	[-1.04561]	[ 0.22139]	[ 0.99485]
/	(0.11213)	(0.04735)	(0.55828)	(2.13802)	(4.11565)
EQT(-4)	0.278782	-0.046941	-0.583746	0.473328	4.094455
	[1./0524]	[-0.75507]	[-0.90201]	[ 0.55507]	[1.54552]
	(0.12908) [ 1 78324]	(0.03431) [-0.75567]	(0.04208) [-0.90261]	(2.40123) [ 0 55560]	(4./3/83) [13 <u>4</u> 352]
EQT(-3)	0.230179	-0.041190	-0.580089	1.367686	6.365374 (1 72792)
				1 <b>2</b> ( <b>-</b> (0 (	< a < = a = 1
	[ 0.47851]	[ 0.12021]	[-0.54620]	[-0.70162]	[-0.95272]
	(0.19987)	(0.08440)	(0.99516)	(3.81111)	(7.33632)
EQT(-2)	0.095642	0.010146	-0.543561	-2.673944	-6.989484
	[-0.55415]	[-0.19002]	[-0.30208]	[1.15041]	[-1.99521]
	(0.16554)	(0.06991)	(0.82423)	(3.15649)	(6.07618) [ 1.00521]
	(0.1(554)	(0.0(001)	(0.00.100)	(2, 1, 5, (1, 0))	(( 05(10)

Sum sq. resids	0.087928	0.015679	2.179732	31.96823	118.4599	
S.E. equation	0.089406	0.037754	0.445149	1.704759	3.281627	
F-statistic	1.220502	1.338918	1.122118	0.368993	1.396713	
Log likelihood	48.94554	76.53236	-2.421487	-45.39014	-66.34744	
Akaike AIC	-1.746596	-3.470772	1.463843	4.149384	5.459215	
Schwarz SC	-0.784707	-2.508883	2.425732	5.111273	6.421104	
Mean dependent	0.016816	-0.888809	0.367074	0.467500	18.87250	
S.D. dependent	0.095554	0.041678	0.462352	1.312663	3.677683	
Determinant resid co	ovariance (dof ad	j.) 2.65E-06				
Determinant resid co	ovariance	1.27E-08				
Log-likelihood		63.86662				
Akaike information	criterion	2.570836				
Schwarz criterion		7.380282				
Number of coefficients		105				

Source: Author computation (2021).

From the VAR result in table 5, the lag of ASI and EQT strongly predict MOT, while other variables (INDL and INTR) do not significantly impact MOT.

# 4.5.1 Impulse Response Analysis among Variables





From impulse response function analysis result presented in figure 1 shows that the response of manufacturing output to a standard deviation shock (innovation) to other variables has a noticeable weak impact. Also, all other selected variables respond poorly to a standard deviation shock (innovation) to one another.



### 4.5.2. The Forecast Error Variance Decomposition Analysis

It is observed from figure 2 that shock (variance) in manufacturing output is mostly caused by the shocks to all share index and feedback shocks from its lag, while the shocks from others are insignificant. Also, the shocks in all share indexes are influenced by interest rate and slightly by Equity while shocks in Equity are caused by the all-share index. In addition, industrial loan shock is only caused by feedback from its own lag. Finally, shock in interest rate is caused by the shock to Equity and feedback from its lag.

# 4.6 Diagnostic Test

Table 6: VAR Residual Serial Correlation LM Tests

LRE* stat	df	Prob.	Rao F-stat	df	Prob.
34.74021	25	0.0930	1.599001	(25, 8.9)	0.2366
15.15824	25	0.9376	0.392963	(25, 8.9)	0.9683
42.27898	25	0.0168	2.471991	(25, 8.9)	0.0801
44.07579	25	0.0106	2.732072	(25, 8.9)	0.0601
	LRE* stat 34.74021 15.15824 42.27898 44.07579	LRE* statdf34.740212515.158242542.278982544.0757925	LRE* statdfProb.34.74021250.093015.15824250.937642.27898250.016844.07579250.0106	LRE* statdfProb.Rao F-stat34.74021250.09301.59900115.15824250.93760.39296342.27898250.01682.47199144.07579250.01062.732072	LRE* statdfProb.Rao F-statdf34.74021250.09301.599001(25, 8.9)15.15824250.93760.392963(25, 8.9)42.27898250.01682.471991(25, 8.9)44.07579250.01062.732072(25, 8.9)

Source: Author computation (2021).

Table 6 result indicates that there is no serial autocorrelation in the series

Table 7. VAR Residual Serial Correlation LM Tests

Component	Skewness	Chi-sq	df	Prob.*
1	-0.371275	0.735173	1	0.3912
2	-0.304803	0.495493	1	0.4815
3	-0.204738	0.223560	1	0.6363
4	0.874137	4.075286	1	0.0435
5	0.274106	0.400715	1	0.5267
Joint		5.930227	5	0.3131
Component	Kurtosis	Chi-sq	df	Prob.
1	1.966688	1.423644	1	0.2328
2	3.018880	0.000475	1	0.9826
3	4.109360	1.640907	1	0.2002
4	6.209465	13.73422	1	0.0002
5	3.172770	0.039799	1	0.8419
Joint		16.83905	5	0.0048
Component	Jarque-Ber	a df	Prob.	_
1	2.158817	2	0.3398	
2	0.495969	2	0.7804	
3	1.864467	2	0.3937	
4	17.80951	2	0.0001	
5	0.440514	2	0.8023	
Joint	22.76928	10	0.0116	=

Source: Author computation (2021).

Table & shows that there is no problem of multicollinearity. Therefore, the result obtained can be used for effective prediction.

# 4.7. Testing for Structural Stability

To test for the stability of the model used in this paper, the cumulative sum of the recursive residuals (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of squares is applied. The test finds parameters instability if the plots of the cumulative sum of the recursive residuals (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of squares go outside the area between the two critical lines. The plots are shown in figures 3 and 4below:



Figure 3. CUSUM Test for Structural Stability of the Parameters



Figure 4. CUSUM of Squares Test for Structural Stability of the Parameters

As shown in fig 3 and fig.4, the results are suggestive of coefficient stability since the plots did not move outside the 5% critical bound. This confirms the existence of coefficient stability for the estimated parameters for the short-run dynamics and long-run of all share index function over the sample periods as the results indicate a tendency of further coefficients stability.

# 5. CONCLUSION

The study reveals that only all share indexes and equity that have strong predictive power over manufacturing output. Similarly, industrial loans and interest rates do not exhibit a significant impact on manufacturing output. It was observed that both equity and interest rates influence the all-share index. The finding implies that positive change in both all share index and equity will cause sustainable growth in the manufacturing subsector. Hence, the government should make concerted efforts in promoting stock market activities in the economy, to bring needed investments required by investors, thereby leading to improve capacity and promotion of manufacturing growth.

# LITERATURE:

- 1. Awe, I .T. (2018). Total Factor Productivity and Manufactured Export in Nigeria. 44th International Academic Conference, Vienna
- 2. Donatas Pilinkus (2009). Stock Market and Macroeconomic Variables: Evidences from Lithuania. *Economics & Management*, 14.
- 3. Eze, O. M; Emeka, A and Ogbonna, B (2019). Stock Market Liquidity and Manufacturing Sector Performance: Evidence from Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 24(4). 13 24.
- 4. Gujarati, D.N. and Sangeetha (2007) Basic Econometrics, New Delhi, Tata McGraw-Hill publishing company 4th Edition.
- 5. Ifeoluwa, I. O., & Motilewa, B. D. (2015). Stock market liquidity and economic growth in Nigeria. Journal of Economics and International Business Management, 3(6), 1-13.
- 6. Kwode, E.I. (2015). Capital market and the performance of the manufacturing industries in Nigeria. European Journal of Business and Management, 7(13), 12-21.
- 7. Nazir, M.S., Nawaz, M.M. and Gilani, U.J. (2010). Relationship between Economic Growth and Stock Market Development, *African Journal of Business Management*, 3473-3479.
- 8. Obadan, M.I. (1998), Presidential Address presented on the "Capital Market and Nigeria's Economic Development" at one day seminar organized by Nigeria Economic Society at the Institute of International Affairs, Lagos.
- 9. Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R.J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16, 289–326.
- 10. Robert D. G. (2008). Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Returns for Four Emerging Economies: Brazil, Russia, India, and China. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 7(3)
- 11. Ubesie, M.C & Ude, M.E (2019) Responsiveness of Capital Market on the Output of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. *International Finance and Banking*, 6 (1) 17-26