

## The structure of the algebra $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ for the groups $SU(n, 1)$ and $SO_e(n, 1)^*$

HRVOJE KRALJEVIĆ<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, HR-10 000 Zagreb,  
Croatia

Received March 11, 2021; accepted September 9, 2021

---

**Abstract.** The structure of the algebra of  $K$ -invariants in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$  is important for constructing  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules by means of algebraic Dirac induction as developed in [5] and its variants in [8] and [10]. We show that for the groups  $SU(n, 1)$  and  $SO_e(n, 1)$  this algebra is a free  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module of rank  $\dim C(\mathfrak{p}) = 2^{\dim \mathfrak{p}}$ . We also indicate a way of constructing a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -basis in  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ .

**AMS subject classifications:** Primary 20G05; Secondary 16S30

**Key words:** Universal enveloping algebra, Clifford algebra, Dirac operator,  $K$ -types,  $K$ -invariants

---

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the whole paper, for any group  $H$  and any  $H$ -module  $V$  we denote by  $V^H$  the subspace of  $H$ -invariant vectors in  $V$ . Furthermore, for a compact group  $K$  we denote by  $\hat{K}$  its unitary dual. The elements of  $\hat{K}$  are called  $K$ -types. The degree (dimension) of a  $K$ -type  $\delta$  will be denoted by  $d(\delta)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be a real simple Lie algebra of noncompact type. Denote by  $G$  its adjoint group and choose its maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$  be the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the complexifications of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  restricts to a nondegenerate  $K$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}$ . Denote by  $C(\mathfrak{p})$  the corresponding Clifford algebra over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . An important element of the algebra  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$  of  $K$ -invariants is the so-called **Dirac operator**  $D$  defined by

$$D = \sum_i b_i \otimes d_i,$$

where  $\{b_i\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\{d_i\}$  is the dual basis with respect to  $B|_{\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{p}}$ . Dirac operators were first introduced into representation theory in [6] as a tool for constructing discrete series representations. The above algebraic version of the Dirac operator has been investigated in [11]. For a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $X$ , Dirac operator  $D$

---

\*The author was supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project cofinanced by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0004).

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. *Email address:* hrk@math.hr (H. Kraljević)

acts on  $X \otimes S$ , where  $S$  is the spin–module over the Clifford algebra  $C(\mathfrak{p})$ . The Vogan–Dirac cohomology of  $X$  is defined in [11] by

$$H_V^D(X) = \text{Ker } D / \text{Im } D \cap \text{Ker } D.$$

Unfortunately, the Vogan–Dirac cohomology defined in this way is not a cohomology theory: it is a functor which is neither left nor right exact and admits no adjoints. Two alternative definitions were given in [5]: the Dirac cohomology which is left exact and admits a right adjoint, and the Dirac homology which is right exact and admits a left adjoint. Both functors coincide with the Vogan’s definition for unitary and for finite dimensional representations. In [5], certain ways to construct representations with prescribed Dirac (co)homology  $W$  are described. It is shown that in this way one obtains all holomorphic (and antiholomorphic) discrete series representations. The constructions are by tensoring (or taking Hom) of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$  with  $W$  over a subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$  containing the Dirac operator  $D$ . In [8], it is proved that non(anti)holomorphic discrete series representations of the group  $\text{SU}(2, 1)$  can also be obtained by choosing a slightly bigger subalgebra of  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ , and in [10], the same is done in the case of the group  $\text{SO}_e(4, 1)$ .

These results show the importance of investigating the structure of the algebra  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ . In this paper, we prove that in the case of groups  $\text{SU}(n, 1)$  and  $\text{SO}_e(n, 1)$  the algebra  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$  is a free  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ –module of finite rank  $\dim C(\mathfrak{p}) = 2^{\dim \mathfrak{p}}$ . In fact, we get more generally that for any finite dimensional  $K$ –module  $V$  the space of  $K$ –invariants  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V)^K$  is free  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ –module of rank  $\dim V$ . The proof will show how one can explicitly construct a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ –basis of  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ .

## 2. $K$ –types in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

To prove the results on the  $K$ –invariants in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$  we shall first investigate the  $K$ –structure of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  considered as a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ –module. Denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Furthermore, denote by  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $S(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})$  the symmetric algebras over  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ , and by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})$  the polynomial algebras over  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})$  can be identified with the symmetric algebras  $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  and  $S(\mathfrak{k}^*)$  over dual spaces  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{k}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ . The Killing form  $B$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  allows us to identify  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  with  $\mathfrak{k}^*$ . Thus the algebras  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})$  are identified with  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $S(\mathfrak{k})$ . Considering polynomials as complex functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ , the inclusion  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  is obtained via the projection  $pr : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$  along  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The group  $G$  acts by automorphisms on the algebras  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and the subgroup  $K$  also acts by automorphisms on the algebras  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ ,  $S(\mathfrak{k})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^G$  is the center  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})^K$  is the center  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{k})$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$ . Obviously, the multiplication defines algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K, \quad S(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes S(\mathfrak{k})^K \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})^K, \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K.$$

In [2], Knop proved the following highly nontrivial results:

**Theorem 1.**

- (a)  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{k}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  is an isomorphism onto the center of the algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ .
- (b) The algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  is commutative (i.e.  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K = \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{k})$ ) if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is either  $\mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$  or  $\mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$ . In these cases,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is free as a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module.

The symmetrization  $S(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces and of  $G$ -modules and (a) implies that the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K$$

is always injective and by (b), in the cases  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$ , this is an isomorphism; furthermore, the last sentence in (b) implies that in these two cases  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  is free as a  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module.

Let  $\partial : S(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the usual isomorphism of the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  onto the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$  of linear differential operators on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  with constant coefficients: for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$   $\partial(x)$  is the derivation in the direction  $x$ . Let  $S_+(\mathfrak{g})^K$  and  $\mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K$  denote the maximal ideals (of codimension 1) of the algebras of  $K$ -invariants  $S(\mathfrak{g})^K$  and  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  given by

$$S_+(\mathfrak{g})^K = \bigoplus_{k>0} S^k(\mathfrak{g})^K, \quad \mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K = \bigoplus_{k>0} \mathcal{P}^k(\mathfrak{g})^K = \{f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K; f(0) = 0\}.$$

Let us define the (graded) space of  $K$ -**harmonic polynomials** on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) = \{f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}); \partial(u)f = 0 \forall u \in S_+(\mathfrak{g})^K\}.$$

Now, Proposition 1 in [3], the last sentence in (b) in Theorem 1, and the obvious analogues of Propositions 3 and 4 in [3] imply immediately:

**Theorem 2.** For  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$  and for  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(n, 1)$  we have:

- (a)  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})\mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K \oplus \mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$ .
- (b) The multiplication defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes \mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the zero set in  $\mathfrak{g}$  of the ideal  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})\mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K$  generated by  $\mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$ :

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; f(x) = 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^K\}.$$

By Proposition 16 in [3] the zero set

$$\mathcal{N}_G = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; f(x) = 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{g})^G\}$$

is exactly the set of all nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Analogously,

$$\mathcal{N}_K = \{x \in \mathfrak{k}; f(x) = 0 \forall f \in \mathcal{P}_+(\mathfrak{k})^K\}$$

is the set of all nilpotent elements in the reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Now,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K$  by the Knop's theorem, so we get

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathcal{N}$  is the set of all nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose projection to  $\mathfrak{k}$  along  $\mathfrak{p}$  is nilpotent in the reductive Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  :

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; x \in \mathcal{N}_G, \text{ pr } x \in \mathcal{N}_K\}.$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{N}$  will be called  **$K$ -nilpotent elements** of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

By the Harish–Chandra isomorphism and by Chevalley’s theorem on Weyl group invariants we know that the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G$  is generated by  $\ell = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$  homogeneous algebraically independent  $G$ -invariant polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$  and the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K$  is generated by  $k = \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}$  homogeneous algebraically independent  $K$ -invariant polynomials  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ . Since

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{k})^K,$$

we conclude that the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  is generated by  $\ell + k$  homogeneous algebraically independent polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; f_1(x) = \dots = f_\ell(x) = \varphi_1(x) = \dots = \varphi_k(x) = 0\},$$

so  $\mathcal{N}$  is a Zariski closed subset of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{N} = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \ell - k.$$

More generally, for any  $(\xi, \eta) = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+k}$ , we define a  $K^\mathbb{C}$ -stable Zariski closed set ( $K^\mathbb{C}$  being the complexification of the group  $K$ ) :

$$\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; f_j(x) = \xi_j, j = 1, \dots, \ell, \varphi_i(x) = \eta_i, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Obviously,

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta) = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \ell - k \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+k}.$$

As in [3] and [4], we conclude from Theorem 2(a):

**Proposition 2.** For every  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+k}$  the restriction of polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the set  $\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)$  induces an isomorphism of  $K$ -modules

$$\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)).$$

Here for any subset  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  we denote  $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{f|S; f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})\}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  denotes the algebra of regular functions on any algebraic variety  $T$ .

The dimensions and the ranks in our cases are the following:

$\mathfrak{g}_0$	$\dim \mathfrak{g}$	$\dim \mathfrak{k}$	$\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$	$\text{rank } \mathfrak{k}$
$\mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$	$n^2 + 2n$	$n^2$	$n$	$n$
$\mathfrak{so}(2n, 1)$	$2n^2 + n$	$2n^2 - n$	$n$	$n$
$\mathfrak{so}(2n + 1, 1)$	$2n^2 + 3n + 1$	$2n^2 + n$	$n + 1$	$n$

So we see that in each case we have the equality of dimensions:

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta) = \dim \mathfrak{k} = \dim K^\mathbb{C}. \quad (1)$$

Consider the action of the complex group  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  denote by  $\mathcal{O}_x$  its  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit. Then, of course,

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_x = \dim K^{\mathbb{C}}/K_x^{\mathbb{C}} = \dim K^{\mathbb{C}} - \dim K_x^{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $K_x^{\mathbb{C}}$  denotes the stabilizer of the point  $x$  in the group  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ . So, if  $K_x^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial, from (1) and (2) we get

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_x = \dim K^{\mathbb{C}} = \dim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta). \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 1.** *There exists  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that the stabilizer  $K_x^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial. In this case, let  $(\xi, \eta) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_\ell(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_k(x))$ . The orbit  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is open in  $\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)$ .*

**Proof.** By induction on  $n$  one directly verifies that the stabilizer is trivial, e.g. for the 3-diagonal matrix  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  with zeroes on diagonal, the upper parallel  $(1, \dots, 1, 1)$  and the lower parallel  $(-1, \dots, -1, 1)$ .  $\square$

Now, we can prove our main result referring to the structure of the  $K$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$ :

**Theorem 3.** *The  $K$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $K$ -harmonic polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent to the regular representation of  $K$ . In other words, the multiplicity of every  $K$ -type  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  in the  $K$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$  is equal to its degree  $d(\delta)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  be as in Lemma 1, i.e. such that its stabilizer in  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$  is trivial. Set

$$(\xi, \eta) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_\ell(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_k(x)) \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+k}.$$

The  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is contained in  $\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)$ , and by (3) it is open in  $\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)$ . Thus, the restriction to  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta)) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}(\xi, \eta))$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ . So we get the isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  as  $K$ -modules. Now,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_x) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_x) \simeq \mathcal{R}(K^{\mathbb{C}})$ . Using the Frobenius reciprocity we find that the multiplicity of any  $K$ -type  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  is equal to its degree  $d(\delta)$ . Since we do not know a priori that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_x) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ , we get only the inclusion of  $K$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(K^{\mathbb{C}})$ , and so if  $m(\delta)$  denotes the multiplicity of  $K$ -type  $\delta$  in  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$ , one has the inequalities:

$$m(\delta) \leq d(\delta), \quad \delta \in \hat{K}. \quad (4)$$

To prove the equalities we use the compact form  $K$  of the complex group  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(Kx)$  the restriction of the polynomial algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g})$  to the  $K$ -orbit  $Kx$ . Note that the fact that  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexification of  $K$  easily implies that the restriction  $\mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow Kx$  induces an isomorphism of  $K$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_x)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(Kx)$ . Thus, as a  $K$ -module we have

$$\mathcal{P}(Kx) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} m(\delta)\delta. \quad (5)$$

The subalgebra  $\mathcal{P}(Kx)$  of the algebra  $C(Kx)$  of all complex continuous functions on the compact space  $Kx$  evidently distinguishes the points of  $Kx$ . Furthermore, this subalgebra is closed under complex conjugation. This follows from the fact

that  $Kx$  is contained in the real form  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Finally, the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(Kx)$  obviously contains constants. Thus, by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, the subalgebra  $\mathcal{P}(Kx)$  is uniformly dense in  $C(Kx)$ . The Peter–Weyl theorem implies that in (4) we have the equalities  $m(\delta) = d(\delta)$  for all  $\delta \in \hat{K}$ . This proves Theorem 3.  $\square$

The symmetrization  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a  $K$ -module isomorphism. Let  $H_K$  be the image of  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . The immediate consequence of Theorems 2 and 3 is

**Theorem 4.** *The multiplication induces an isomorphism of  $K$ -modules  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes H_K \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . The multiplicity of every  $K$ -type  $\delta \in \hat{K}$  in the  $K$ -module  $H_K$  is equal to its degree  $d(\delta)$ .*

**Remark 1.** *We note that it is easy to see (as in [1], [3] or [4]) that  $H_K$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  spanned by all powers  $x^k$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .*

**Remark 2.**  *$H_K$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C})$  as a  $K$ -module. The Ad-action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  restricted to  $H_K$  corresponds to the left regular action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C})$ . But  $\mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C})$  also carries the right regular action of  $K$  commuting with the left one. In fact,  $\mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C})$  is a multiplicity free  $K \times K$ -module. The right regular action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C})$  by isomorphism  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes \mathcal{R}(K^\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  gives rise to an action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  which commutes with both the Ad-action of  $K$  and the  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . We note that this other  $K$ -action is not independent of the choice of  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  with the property from Lemma 1 that its Ad-stabilizer in  $K^\mathbb{C}$  is trivial. Furthermore, this other  $K$ -action on  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is not by automorphisms – we only get automorphisms on the localization  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \setminus \{0\})^{-1} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  considered as an algebra over the quotient field of the ring  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ . In a subsequent paper, we will investigate this other  $K$ -action in the simplest nontrivial case  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ .*

### 3. Freeness of $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ as a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -module. Then the space of  $K$ -invariants  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V)^K$  is a free  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module of finite rank  $\dim V$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 4 we have

$$(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V)^K \simeq (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes H_K \otimes V)^K = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes (H_K \otimes V)^K.$$

Thus,  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V)^K$  is a free  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -module of rank  $\dim(H_K \otimes V)^K$ . If  $n(\varepsilon)$  denotes the multiplicity of a  $K$ -type  $\varepsilon \in \hat{K}$  in  $V$ , we have

$$(H_K \otimes V)^K \simeq \left( \left( \bigoplus_{\delta \in \hat{K}} d(\delta) \delta \right) \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in \hat{K}} n(\varepsilon) \varepsilon \right) \right)^K = \bigoplus_{\delta, \varepsilon \in \hat{K}} d(\delta) n(\varepsilon) (\delta \otimes \varepsilon)^K.$$

Thus,

$$\dim(H_K \otimes V)^K = \sum_{\delta, \varepsilon \in \hat{K}} d(\delta) n(\varepsilon) \dim(\delta \otimes \varepsilon)^K.$$

By Schur's Lemma  $\dim(\delta \otimes \varepsilon)^K$  is 1 if  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are contragredient to each other and 0 otherwise. Since the degrees of contragredient representations are equal, we finish the proof:

$$\dim(H_K \otimes V)^K = \sum_{\delta \in \hat{K}} n(\delta)d(\delta) = \dim V.$$

□

This proof also gives a way to find a  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ -basis in  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V)^K$  if the  $K$ -structure of  $V$  is not too complicated and well known. This is the case for  $V = C(\mathfrak{p})$ , which is as a  $K$ -module isomorphic to the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ . The isomorphism is given by the Chevalley map  $\tau : \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{p})$ , which is obtained by composing the antisymmetrisation map

$$v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k \mapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(k)}, \quad v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{p},$$

from  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$  into the tensor algebra  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p})$  with the canonical epimorphism  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{p})$ . For  $\text{SO}_e(n, 1)$  the  $K$ -module  $\mathfrak{p}$  is irreducible, and for  $\text{SU}(n, 1)$  it is a direct sum of two mutually contragredient irreducible  $K$ -types. The  $K$ -module  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$  is multiplicity free and for small values of  $n$  we can rather easily write down some canonical bases (e.g. Gelfand–Zeitlin's bases, or bases obtained from the highest weight vectors) for  $K$ -types  $\delta$  appearing in  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$  (see calculations in [7] for  $\text{SU}(2, 1)$  and in [9] for  $\text{SO}_e(4, 1)$ ). Now one has to find the canonical bases in the contragredient  $K$ -types in  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g})$  by solving systems of linear differential equations with constant coefficients. Finally, one has to combine these bases to write down the  $K$ -invariants in  $\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ , thus obtaining the basis of  $(\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ . The basis of  $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$  over  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$  is obtained by the isomorphism  $(\mathcal{H}_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}))^K \rightarrow (H_K \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ . The second step is somewhat more complicated than the first one but the complete computations in the cases  $\text{SU}(2, 1)$  and  $\text{SO}_e(4, 1)$  seem to be considerably shorter than those in [7] and [9].

## References

- [1] S. HELGASON, *Some results in invariant theory*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **68**(1962), 367–371.
- [2] F. KNOP, *Der Zentralisator einer Liealgebra in einer einhüllenden Algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **406**(1990), 5–9.
- [3] B. KOSTANT, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85**(1963), 327–404.
- [4] B. KOSTANT, S. RALLIS, *Orbits and representations associated with symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **93**(1971), 753–809.
- [5] P. PANDŽIĆ, D. RENARD, *Dirac induction for Harish–Chandra modules*, J. Lie Theory **20**(2010) 617–641.
- [6] R. PARTHASARATHY, *Dirac operator and the discrete series*, Ann. of Math. **96**(1972), 1–30.
- [7] A. PRLIĆ,  *$K$ -invariants in the algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$  for the group  $\text{SU}(2, 1)$* , Glas. Mat. Ser. III **50**(2015), 397–414.

- [8] A. PRLIĆ, *Algebraic Dirac induction for nonholomorphic discrete series of  $SU(2, 1)$* , J. Lie Theory **26**(2016), 889–910.
- [9] A. PRLIĆ, *The centralizer of  $K$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$  for the group  $SO_e(4, 1)$* , Glas. Mat. Ser. III **52**(2017), 275–288.
- [10] A. PRLIĆ, *Construction of discrete series representations of  $SO_e(4, 1)$  via algebraic Dirac induction*, Comm. Algebra **48**(2020), 2442–2460.
- [11] D. A. VOGAN, JR., *Dirac operators and unitary representations*, 3 talks at MIT Lie Groups Seminar, Fall 1997.