

## HÖLDER CONTINUITY FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF THE $p(x)$ -LAPLACE EQUATION WITH GENERAL RIGHT-HAND SIDE

ABDESLEM LYAGHFOURI  
United Arab Emirates University, UAE

ABSTRACT. We show that bounded solutions of the quasilinear elliptic equation  $\Delta_{p(x)}u = g + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{F})$  are locally Hölder continuous provided that the functions  $g$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  are in suitable Lebesgue spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_{p(x)}u = g + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{F}) \quad \text{in } W^{-1,q(x)}(\Omega),$$

where  $\Delta_{p(x)}u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$  is the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian,  $\Omega$  is an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $q(x) = \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}$ , and  $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function which satisfies for some positive constants  $p_+ > p_- > 1$  and  $s > n$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} p_- \leq p(x) \leq p_+ \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla p \in (L^s(\Omega))^n. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (1.2), we have  $p \in W^{1,s}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, due to Sobolev embedding  $W^{1,s}(\Omega) \subset C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)$ ,  $\left(\beta = 1 - \frac{n}{s}\right)$ ,  $p$  is Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ .

We call a solution of equation (1.1) any function  $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  that fulfills

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta dx = - \int_{\Omega} g(x)\zeta dx + \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{F}(x) \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \quad \forall \zeta \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B65, 35J92.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, Hölder continuity.

The Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents are defined (see for example [2, 15] and [18]) by:

$$\begin{aligned} L^{p(x)}(\Omega) &= \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}, \\ W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) &= \left\{ u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) : \nabla u \in \left( L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \right)^n \right\}, \\ W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) &= \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

These spaces are separable, complete and reflexive, when equipped with the following norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}, \\ \|u\|_{1,p(x)} &= \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}, \quad \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to establish Hölder continuity for bounded solutions of (1.1). We observe that if  $p(x) > n$  in an open set  $U \subset\subset \Omega$ , then by Sobolev embedding  $W^{1,p(x)}(U) \subset W^{1,p_m(U)}(U) \subset C^{0,\alpha}(U)$ , where  $p_m(U) = \min_{x \in \overline{U}} p(x)$  and  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p_m(U)}$ . Therefore any solution of (1.1) is Hölder continuous in  $U$ .

In this paper, we assume that

$$(1.3) \quad p(x) \leq n \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

$g$  is a real valued function that satisfies for a positive number  $t_1$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} t_1 &> \frac{n}{p(x)} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \\ g &\in L^{t_1}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{F} = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  is a vector function that satisfies for a positive number  $t_2$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} t_2 &> \frac{n}{p(x) - 1} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \\ \mathbf{F} &\in L^{t_2}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Among problems that fit in the equation (1.1) setting, is the dam problem ( $g = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{F} = \chi \mathbf{e}$ , with  $\mathbf{e} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ ,  $\chi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ), and  $p(x)$  a constant, [4, 5, 9]). It is known that the solution in this case is  $C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  (see [7]). In fact, due to the particularity of the problem (i.e., because  $u \geq 0$  and  $\chi = 1$  a.e. in  $\{u > 0\}$ ), we actually have  $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1}(\Omega)$  (see [12]). Another problem is the obstacle problem, [10, 11, 13, 14, 23]). Indeed, because the solution of the obstacle problem satisfies the Levy-Stampacchia inequality, i.e.,  $f\chi(\{u > 0\}) \leq \Delta_{p(x)} u \leq f$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  (see for example [24]), where

$f \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ , one can write  $\Delta_{p(x)}u = g$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , where  $g = \theta f$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . For a more general framework, we refer to [8, 12, 19].

Equations involving variable exponents are called equations with non-standard growth. For an overview of such equations, we refer to [16], where the authors establish global boundedness and Hölder continuity for a class of elliptic problems.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume that (1.2)-(1.5) hold. Then any bounded solution of (1.1) is locally Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ .*

It is expected that solutions of (1.1) are locally and even globally bounded if a Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition is added. This can be established for example by adapting the so-called De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory. However, it is not the purpose of this paper to discuss this question. The interested reader is referred for example to the papers [16] and [25], where global boundedness for a class of elliptic problems are established for both homogeneous Dirichlet and nonhomogeneous Neumann boundary conditions.

In the context of constant exponent, the regularity result in Theorem 1.1 was established in [22] for a more general quasi-linear elliptic operator that includes the  $p$ -Laplacian under the assumptions:  $g \in L^{\frac{n}{p+\epsilon}}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathbf{F} \in L^t(\Omega)$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $t > \frac{n}{p-1}$ , which coincide with assumptions (1.5). Moreover, when the right-hand side of (1.1) is a nonnegative Radon measure with a suitable growth condition, local Hölder continuity was established in [17] for the  $p$ -Laplacian and in a more general framework in [22]. This result was later extended in [7] and [20] respectively for the  $A$ -Laplacian and  $p(x)$ -Laplacian.

Throughout this paper, we will denote by  $B_r(x)$  (resp.  $\overline{B}_r(x)$ ) the open (resp. closed) ball of center  $x$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $\omega_n = |B_1|$  standing for the measure of the unit open ball  $B_1$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we denote by  $u$  a bounded solution of (1.1) with  $M = \|u\|_\infty$ , and will show that it is locally Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ . The proof is based on Lemma 2.1.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\delta = \frac{s-n}{2sn}$ ,  $q = \frac{n+s}{2}$ ,  $m = \frac{sq(1+\delta)}{s-q}$ , and*

$$R_1 = \min \left( \text{diam}(\Omega)/16, c(n, s, p_-, p_+) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-m}{\beta}} \right).$$

*Assume that (1.2)-(1.5) hold. Then there exists a positive constant*

$$C = C(n, s, p_-, p_+, M, \text{diam}(\Omega), \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \|F\|_{L^{t_2}(\Omega)}),$$

such that we have for  $R \in (0, R_1)$  with  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p_m} dx \leq C r^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} \quad \forall r \in (0, R),$$

where  $p_m = \min_{x \in \overline{B_R(x_0)}} p(x)$  and  $\alpha = 1 - \max\left(\frac{n}{t_1 p_m}, \frac{n}{t_2(p_m - 1)}\right)$ .

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is based on Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume that (1.2)-(1.5) hold and let  $R_1$  be the positive number in Lemma 2.1. Then there exists a positive constant

$$C_1 = C_1(n, s, p_-, p_+, \text{diam}(\Omega), \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}, \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)}),$$

such that we have for  $R \in (0, R_1)$ ,  $B_{2R}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ ,  $v \in W^{1,p(x)}(B_R(x_0))$  with  $\Delta_{p(x)} v = 0$  in  $B_R(x_0)$  and  $v = u$  on  $\partial B_R(x_0)$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \leq C_1 \left( \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n + R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + R^{n+\beta} \right)$$

for all  $r \in (0, R)$ .

PROOF. First, observe that we have  $v \in C_{loc}^{1,\sigma}(B_R(x_0))$  (see for example [3]). Next, since  $\int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx$  minimizes the energy  $\int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla \xi|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx$  over all functions  $\xi \in W^{1,p(x)}(B_R(x_0))$  such that  $\xi = u$  on  $\partial B_R(x_0)$ , we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} p(x) \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq p_+ \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla v|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \leq p_+ \int_{B_R(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3), we get for  $r \in [\frac{R}{8}, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx &= \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^n \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq 8^n \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq 8^n \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq 8^n \frac{p_+}{p_-} \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is enough to prove (2.2) for  $r \in (0, \frac{R}{8})$ . Since  $\Delta_{p(x)}v = 0$  in  $B_R(x_0)$ ,  $v = u$  on  $\partial B_R(x_0)$ , we obtain by the maximum principle  $\|v\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))} \leq M$ . Moreover, we know (see [6], Corollary 2.1) for  $\gamma = \beta/2$  and  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min(1, m-1)$ , that there exist two positive constants  $c_1 = c_1(n, s, p_-, p_+)$  and

$$R_0 = R_0 \left( n, s, p_-, p_+, \text{diam}(\Omega), \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \right)$$

such that we have for each  $R \in (0, \min(R_0, \text{diam}(\Omega)/16))$  and  $r \in (0, R/8)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx &\leq c_1 \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + KR^\beta \left( 1 + \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\epsilon_0(1+\delta)} \left( 1 + \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)} (1 + (\text{diam}(\Omega)/2)^\beta \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)})$  and  $\int_E f dx = \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f dx$  denotes the mean value of the function  $f$  on the measurable set  $E$ .

Using (2.3), we obtain for

$$c_2 = c_1 \left( \frac{p_+}{p_-} \right)^{(1+\epsilon_0)(1+\delta)} \max \left( 1, 2^\delta K \left( 1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\epsilon_0(1+\delta)} \right)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx &\leq c_1 \left( \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + KR^\beta \left( 1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\epsilon_0(1+\delta)} \left( 1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right) \\ &\leq c_1 \left( \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + KR^\beta \left( 1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\epsilon_0(1+\delta)} \left( 1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right) \\ &\leq c_2 \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + R^\beta + R^\beta \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \leq c_2 \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \omega_n r^n R^\beta + \omega_n^{-\delta} r^n R^{\beta-n(1+\delta)} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right)$$

or

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx \leq c_2 \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \omega_n R^{n+\beta} + \omega_n^{-\delta} R^{\beta-n\delta} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{1+\delta} \right) \\ \leq c_2 \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \omega_n R^{n+\beta} + \omega_n^{-\delta} R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^\delta \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right) \right).$$

We point out (see [1, 6]) that

$$R_0 \approx \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{c(n, p_-, p_+)^m c(\beta)} \right)^{2/\beta} \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-2m\epsilon_0}{\beta}}.$$

Using (2.3) again, we get for some positive constant  $c_0 = c_0(n, s, p_-, p_+)$  independent of  $R$

$$R_0 \geq c_0 \left( \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-2m\epsilon_0}{\beta}} \\ \geq c_0 \left( \frac{p_+}{p_-} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-2m\epsilon_0}{\beta}} \\ \geq c_0 \left( \frac{p_+}{p_-} \right)^{\frac{-2m\epsilon_0}{\beta}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-m}{\beta}} \\ = c(n, s, p_-, p_+) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-m}{\beta}}.$$

Thus, we can take

$$R_1 = \min \left( \text{diam}(\Omega)/16, c(n, s, p_-, p_+) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + 1 \right)^{\frac{-m}{\beta}} \right),$$

and (2.2) follows from (2.4) if we choose

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= c_2 \max \left( 1, \omega_n, \omega_n^{-\delta} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\delta} \right) \\ &= C_1(n, s, p_-, p_+, \text{diam}(\Omega), \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. Let  $R_1$  be as in Lemma 2.1,  $v$  as in Lemma 2.2, and  $R \in (0, R_1)$ . First, we have for  $r \in (0, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} &= \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx \\ (2.5) \quad &= \int_{B_r(x_0)} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the monotonicity of  $\xi \rightarrow |\xi|^{p(x)-2}\xi$ , the fact that  $\Delta_{p(x)}v = 0$  in  $B_R(x_0)$  and  $u = v$  on  $\partial B_R(x_0)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left( |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \right) \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ (2.6) \quad &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \\ &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} g(u-v) dx + \int_{B_R(x_0)} F \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Young's inequality, we derive for some positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $p_-$  and  $p_+$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} \\ (2.7) \quad &\leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)-1} \cdot |\nabla u| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + c \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-1} \cdot |\nabla v| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + c \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5)-(2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx &\leq 4c \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} dx - 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} g(u-v) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} F \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.2), and (2.9), we get for a positive constant

$$C_1 = C_1(n, s, p_-, p_+, \text{diam}(\Omega), \|\nabla p\|_{L^s(\Omega)}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)})$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq C_1 \left( \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n + R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + R^{n+\beta} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} g(u-v) dx + 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} F \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and using (1.4) and the fact that  $|u|, |v| \leq M$  in  $B_R(x_0)$ , we obtain for

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \frac{n}{t_1 p_m} \text{ and } C_2 = 4M \omega_n^{1-\frac{1}{t_1}} \cdot \|g\|_{L^{t_1}(\Omega)}$$

that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| -2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} g(u-v) dx \right| &\leq 4M \int_{B_R(x_0)} |g| dx \\ &\leq 4M \|g\|_{L^{t_1}(B_R(x_0))} \cdot |B_R(x_0)|^{1-\frac{1}{t_1}} \\ &= 4M \|g\|_{L^{t_1}(B_R(x_0))} \cdot \omega_n^{1-\frac{1}{t_1}} \cdot R^{n-\frac{n}{t_1}} \\ &= C_2 R^{n-p_m+\alpha_1 p_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (1.4) and the continuity of  $p(x)$ , we have  $t_1 > \frac{n}{p_m}$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$ .

We observe from (1.3) and (1.5) that we have

$$t_2 \geq \frac{t_2 p(x)}{n} > \frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1} \geq \frac{p_m}{p_m-1}.$$



Applying Young's and Hölder's inequalities and taking into account (1.5) and using the convexity of  $|\xi|^{p_m}$ , we obtain for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a constant  $C_3$  depending only on  $p_-$  and  $p_+$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \left| 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} F \cdot \nabla(u - v) dx \right| \\
& \leq C_3 \int_{B_R(x_0)} |F|^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}} dx + \epsilon \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^{p_m} dx \\
& \leq C_3 \|F\|_{L^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}(\Omega)} \cdot |B_R(x_0)|^{\frac{t_2(p_m-1)-p_m}{t_2(p_m-1)}} \\
& \quad + \epsilon \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^{p_m} dx \\
& = C_3 \omega_n \frac{t_2(p_m-1)-p_m}{t_2(p_m-1)} \|F\|_{L^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}(\Omega)} R^{n - \frac{np_m}{t_2(p_m-1)}} \\
& \quad + \epsilon \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla(u - v)|^{p_m} dx \\
& \leq C'_3 R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} + \epsilon 2^{p_m-1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p_m} dx \\
& \quad + \epsilon 2^{p_m-1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p_m} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_2 = 1 - \frac{n}{t_2(p_m-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad C'_3 = C_3 \cdot \omega_n \frac{t_2(p_m-1)-p_m}{t_2(p_m-1)} \cdot \|F\|_{L^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}(\Omega)}.$$

Due to (1.5), and the continuity of  $p(x)$ , we have  $t_2 > \frac{n}{p_m-1}$ , and therefore  $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1)$ .

Observe that we have for  $w \in W^{1,p(x)}(B_R(x_0))$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla w|^{p_m} dx = \int_{B_R(x_0) \cap \{|\nabla w| \leq 1\}} |\nabla w|^{p_m} dx \\
& \quad + \int_{B_R(x_0) \cap \{|\nabla w| > 1\}} |\nabla w|^{p_m} dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla w|^{p(x)} dx + \omega_n R^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.13), we get from (2.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| 2 \int_{B_R(x_0)} F \cdot \nabla(u-v) dx \right| \leq C'_3 R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} \\
& \quad + \epsilon 2^{p_m-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-}\right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \epsilon 2^{p_m} \omega_n R^n \\
(2.14) \quad & = (C'_3 + \epsilon 2^{p_m} \omega_n R^{(1-\alpha_2)p_m}) R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} \\
& \quad + \epsilon 2^{p_m-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-}\right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \\
& \leq C_4 \left( R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} + \epsilon \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_4 = \max\left(C'_3 + 2^{p_m} \omega_n (\text{diam}(\Omega)/2)^{(1-\alpha_2)p_m}, 2^{p_m-1} \left(1 + \frac{p_+}{p_-}\right)\right)$ .

Combing (2.10), (2.11) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx & \leq C_1 \left( \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n + R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right) \\
& \quad + C_1 R^{n+\beta} + C_2 R^{n-p_m+\alpha_1 p_m} + C_4 R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} \\
& \quad + C_4 \epsilon \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\phi(r) = \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$  and  $C'_4 = \max(C_1, C_2, C_4)$ , we obtain from (2.15) that

$$(2.16) \quad \phi(r) \leq C'_4 \left( \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n + R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \epsilon \right) \phi(R) + R^{n+\beta} + R^{n-p_m+\alpha_1 p_m} + R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} \right).$$

Now, observe that we have for  $\alpha = \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and  $a = \text{diam}(\Omega)/2$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad & R^{n+\beta} + R^{n-p_m+\alpha_1 p_m} + R^{n-p_m+\alpha_2 p_m} \\
& = (R^{\beta+(1-\alpha)p_m} + R^{(\alpha_1-\alpha)p_m} + R^{(\alpha_2-\alpha)p_m}) R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} \\
& \leq (a^{\beta+(1-\alpha)p_m} + a^{(\alpha_1-\alpha)p_m} + a^{(\alpha_2-\alpha)p_m}) R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} \\
& = C''_4 R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17), we get for  $C_5 = C'_4 \max(1, C''_4)$

$$(2.18) \quad \phi(r) \leq C_5 \left( \left( \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^n + R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \epsilon \right) \phi(R) + R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} \right) \quad \forall r \in (0, R).$$

If we assume that  $R^{\frac{\beta}{2}} < \epsilon$ , then given that  $\phi$  is a nonnegative and nondecreasing function on  $(0, R)$ , we infer from (2.18) and Lemma 5.12

p. 248 of [21] applied with  $\delta = 2\epsilon$ , that we have for two positive constants  $C_6 = C_6(C_5, n, \alpha, p_m)$  and  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(C_5, n, \alpha, p_m)$

$$(2.19) \quad \phi(r) \leq C_6 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} (\phi(R) + R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m}) \quad \forall r \in (0, R)$$

provided that  $\delta < \delta_0$  and  $R < (\delta/2)^{\frac{2}{\beta}} = R_2$ .

Using (2.19) and (2.13), for  $R = r$  and  $w = u$ , we get for

$$C_7 = \frac{C_6(\phi(R) + R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m})}{R^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m}}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p_m} dx &\leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \omega_n r^n \leq C_7 r^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} + \omega_n r^n \\ &= (C_7 + \omega_n r^{(1-\alpha)p_m}) r^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m} \leq C r^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = C_7 + \omega_n (\text{diam}(\Omega)/2)^{(1-\alpha)p_m}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Obviously we can choose  $\delta$  small enough so that  $R_2 < R_1$ . Then, by using (2.1) and Hölder's inequality, we obtain for all  $R \in (0, R_2)$  and all  $r \in (0, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u| dx &\leq |B_r|^{1-\frac{1}{p_m}} \left( \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^{p_m} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \\ &\leq \omega_n^{1-\frac{1}{p_m}} \cdot r^{n-\frac{n}{p_m}} \cdot (C r^{n-p_m+\alpha p_m})^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \\ &= C^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \omega_n^{1-\frac{1}{p_m}} \cdot r^{n-\frac{n}{p_m}} \cdot r^{\frac{n-p_m+\alpha p_m}{p_m}} \\ &\leq C' r^{n-1+\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C' = C^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \omega_n^{1-\frac{1}{p_m}}$ . We conclude ([21, Theorem 1.53 (Morrey) p. 30]) that  $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

REMARK 2.3. If  $g, F \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , then (1.4)-(1.5) are satisfied for any  $t_1 > \frac{n}{p(x)}$  and  $t_2 > \frac{n}{p(x)-1}$ . Therefore, we obtain  $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(B_R(x_0))$  for any  $B_R(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  with  $\alpha = 1 - \max\left(\frac{n}{t_1 p_m}, \frac{n}{t_2(p_m-1)}\right)$ ,  $p_m = \min_{x \in \overline{B_R(x_0)}} p(x)$  and  $R$  small enough. Given that  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  can be chosen arbitrarily large, we obtain  $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, *Gradient estimates for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacean system*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **584** (2005), 117–148.

- [2] S. Antontsev and S. Shmarev, *Elliptic equations with anisotropic nonlinearity and nonstandard growth conditions*, in: Handbook of differential equations: Stationary partial differential equations, Vol 3, Elsevier-North Holland, 2006, 1–100.
- [3] A. Coscia and G. Mingione, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of  $p(x)$ -harmonic mappings*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328**, (1999), 363–368.
- [4] J. Carrillo and A. Lyaghfour, *The dam problem for nonlinear Darcy's laws and Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **26** (1998), 453–505.
- [5] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *A filtration problem through a heterogeneous porous medium*, Interfaces Free Bound. **6** (2004), 55–79.
- [6] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *Gradient estimates for  $p(x)$ -harmonic functions*, Manuscripta Math. **131** (2010), 403–414.
- [7] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *Hölder continuity of solutions to the  $A$ -Laplace equation involving measures*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **8**, (2009), 1577–1583.
- [8] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *Lipschitz continuity of solutions of a free boundary problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **355** (2009), 700–707.
- [9] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *On the dam problem with two fluids governed by a nonlinear Darcy's law*, Adv. Differential Equations **11** (2006), 841–892.
- [10] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *Porosity of free boundaries in  $A$ -obstacle problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (2009), 2772–2778.
- [11] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *On the porosity of the free boundary in the  $p(x)$ -obstacle problem*, Port. Math. **68** (2011), 109–123.
- [12] S. Challal and A. Lyaghfour, *Regularity results for a quasilinear free boundary problem*, Math. Model. Anal. **25**, (2020), 338–350.
- [13] S. Challal, A. Lyaghfour and J. F. Rodrigues, *On the  $A$ -obstacle problem and the Hausdorff measure of its free boundary*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **191** (2012), 113–165.
- [14] S. Challal, A. Lyaghfour, J. F. Rodrigues and R. Teymurazyan, *On the regularity of the free boundary for a class of quasilinear obstacle problems*, Interfaces Free Bound. **16** (2014), 359–394.
- [15] X. Fan and D. Zhao, *On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), 424–446.
- [16] K. Ho, Y.-H. Kim, P. Winkert and C. Zhang, *The boundedness and Hölder continuity of solutions to elliptic equations involving variable exponents and critical growth*, J. Differential Equations **313** (2022), 503–532.
- [17] T. Kilpeläinen, *Hölder continuity of solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations involving measures*, Potential Anal. **3** (1994), 265–272.
- [18] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, *On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. **41(116)** (1991), 592–618.
- [19] A. Lyaghfour, *A continuity result for a quasilinear elliptic free boundary problem*, Appl. Math. **65** (2020), 67–87.
- [20] A. Lyaghfour, *Hölder continuity of  $p(x)$ -superharmonic functions*, Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), 2433–2444.
- [21] J. Malý and W. P. Ziemer, *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1997.
- [22] J. M. Rakotoson and W. P. Ziemer, *Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with general structure*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), 747–764.
- [23] J. F. Rodrigues and R. Teymurazyan, *On the two obstacles problem in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **56** (2011), 769–787.
- [24] J. F. Rodrigues, M. Sanchon and J. M. Urbano, *The obstacle problem for nonlinear elliptic equations with variable growth and  $L^1$ -data*, Monatsh. Math. **154**, (2008), 303–322.

- [25] P. Winkert and R. Zacher, *A priori bounds for weak solutions to elliptic equations with nonstandard growth*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **5**, (2012), 865–878.

A. Lyaghfour  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
United Arab Emirates University  
Al Ain, Abu Dhabi  
UAE  
*E-mail:* [a.lyaghfour@uaeu.ac.ae](mailto:a.lyaghfour@uaeu.ac.ae)

*Received:* 15.3.2021.

*Revised:* 28.3.2022.