Linear programming as a tool to design the mix of cement plant raw materials
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Abstract
This study uses linear programming to develop a methodology for selecting the best raw material mix in an ASCOM cement plant in Egypt. In cement factories, this type adheres to Egyptian chemical composition criteria for raw feed (e.g., 82.5% calcium carbonate, 14.08% silica, 2.5% alumina and 0.92% iron oxide). Furthermore, the model is bound by industry-specific characteristics (e.g., lime saturation factor, silica modulus, alumina modulus and loss of ignition). The results reveal that the model is able to accurately reproduce the mixing of high-quality feed with varying constituent percentages. It is also capable of determining the combining limitations of each ingredient. Furthermore, it demonstrates optimality for additive sourcing short-term planning and capping limestone quality to meet changeable component combinations. Additionally, improving the raw mix reduces limestone feed quality from 51% to 50.6%, resulting in the inclusion of extra limestone reserves.
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1. Introduction
Limestone, a calcium carbonate source, as well as silica stone, clay, and iron sand, make up the majority of the cement intake. Various materials, such as lime, are thought to be possible addition sources. The cement plant is run by ASCOM-Egypt, and it gets its silicon dioxide from serpentine rock. Due to the wide range of oxide percentiles produced by various mixed components, maintaining proper clinker integrity is difficult. In increasingly competitive markets, supply chain planning is seen as the vital backbone of a company (Farahani, 2014). Any plan that isn’t properly implemented can lead to supply chain disaster (Mirmohammadi, 2017). Supply chain management is crucial in assuring sales and company accessibility at key revenue locations (Hugos, 2018). It has been a crucial part of organizations in the plant because it aims to improve customer service (Sawik, 2015), minimize operating expenditures (Rabani, 2020), and increase corporate profitability (Fracassi, 2016). Supply change management has emerged as a critical component of business growth and customer satisfaction (Kržanović et al., 2015; Babazadeh, 2019).

Cement use has increased over the world as a result of recent developments. As a result, the pressure on limestone and other additive materials, such as sand, clay, and iron oxides rose. The scarcity of high-quality raw materials stimulated research into strategies for regulating raw feed design and producing high-quality clinker. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is a significant building and construction material, as well as a key component of concrete. There are several forms of cement, all of which are dependent on hydraulic cement and have become adhesive as a consequence of the interaction. The cement business is reliant on raw materials and their quality to produce a finished product that meets the demands of economic development, infrastructural enhancement, and expansion. All processes and products are required to adhere to Egyptian regulations. Previous research has been presented, explained, and achieved in
order to determine an appropriate raw mix based on the raw material base component, but this study will focus on the integration of raw materials and clinker. Limestone, clay, or natural mixtures such as marl (Schneider, 2011) are four components that have a role in the cement business. Typically, 75% of the blend is made up of limestone, with 25% of the blend made up of clay inserts as additives and correctives (Qureshi, 1991). Lime oxide, silica oxide, alumina, and iron oxides are among the components of OPC. Concrete is made up of OPC that interacts with water and solidifies (Ambržsko et al., 2015; Awadh, 2020; Kosmatka, 2002).

Mining is a multistep process in which the processes associated with each stage must seamlessly transition to those associated with the following step. In the first step, the ore is mined out from the quarries. Ore blending, the second stage, is the result of all mining processes, such as ore dressing and transport to a remote location. Before reaching their final destination, materials are treated and admixed. Typically, linear programming algorithms (Heaton, 1971) are used to complete the system controls of blending operations (Asad, 2010) and transportation to give optimal results (Hoerger, 2019). When it comes to tackling optimization challenges, linear programming (LP) is a must-have instrument (Saul, 1990; Graham-Taylor, 1992). This method is a mathematical approach for evaluating linear models under certain restrictions, and in this case, for determining the best mine production results (Ramazan, 2001). Many scholars have suggested algorithms for identifying the essential constraints for LP models, and many have utilized various algorithms to express the problem and solve for the best solution. LP issues have been established and they can be used in real-world situations (Park, 2016).

Many academics have updated the various algorithms to apply LP optimization in various disciplines, particularly in mining engineering and cement production (Oyepata and Obodeh 2015), in order to reduce costs and increase income in mining operations, in recent years. The link between the primary LP problem and the dual LP problem was further discussed (Ricciardone, 2001; Michalakopoulos, 2017). LP has been used in a variety of industries, including manufacturing, finance, and agriculture. LP is one of the most extensively used strategies in mining operations, and it may be used to design both surface and underground mines, taking into account all activities and restrictions (Straka and Malindrak, 2009; Straka et al., 2018).

This research builds on the strategic LP branch strategy, which was previously used to solve the mining blending problem. The fundamental objective of this research is to discover the appropriate requirements for a raw mix of cement plant raw feed by integrating entire raw material chemical compositions. This article examines the dynamic blending of various ore samples (minerals) and reports the amounts required in the blending process in the mine and in stock. To determine the correct amounts imported from various quarries, the suggested system employs flexible and dynamic mixing. The volumes transported between different mining areas are determined via dynamic blending. The proposed method was based on past research findings, which included merging production and supply chain research, lowering prices and delivery times, and thereby improving the supply chain (Taghipour, 2013; Ivanov 2013; Zegord, 2010). Variations in physical and other operating constraints affect the extraction step, which must be factored into the planning process. The following are the five major constraints:

1. During mining, according to the quality of the mined ores, extract ore blocks from the horizon.
2. Remove any inclination restrictions for all ores in a non-overlapping order.
3. To ensure balance, assess the amount of ore and overburden.
4. To fulfill the ore processing unit’s requirements, make sure the total tonnes of ore extracted equal the total tonnes of ore.
5. Make sure that the entire amount of metal recovered during the time period is less than the total amount of material sold (Ricciardone, 2001). We assume that the complete amount of tonnes is handled in the same amount of time.

The goal of this contribution is to create a solution follow-up LP model that takes into account four or more materials with diverse chemical compositions and all requirements. It aids management in making appropriate control decisions in raw mix plants, not just in the cement business but also in a variety of mining industries. Aside from that, there is the interpretive analysis of the optimal solutions or alternative solutions based on the problem description; it is referred to as a dynamic solution since it is dependent on the chemical composition of raw materials changing. The purpose of this paper is to propose a solution to a material handling model that takes into account four raw materials in various conditions for the cement industry. It assists mining engineers in making accurate long-term and short-term judgments for feed material, not only in furnaces but also in stockpiles. This paper is divided into five sections. Section 2 gives a summary of relevant studies reported in the linked literature review. Section 3 discusses the methodology problem created and subscribed to data collection, restrictions and problem formulation, raw material requirements and implementation based on analytical methodologies, and Section 4 explains the results and discussions. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the findings of this research.

Many researchers have examined mine production planning in terms of optimization, but without taking into account metallurgical units or raw feed with more than three components. In this article, four components are considered. They concentrated on raw mix design (Petrasinovic et al., 1986; Ponnusamy, 2020) in order...
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2. Methodology of Linear Programming

2.1. Problem definition

In Egyptian cement plants, raw material variability is a well-known problem. Some of the raw materials used to make cement are natural minerals, while others are waste streams from other industries. Limestone (a calcium source) and smaller amounts of clay, shale, and sand are among the constituents (e.g. source of silica, aluminum and iron). Clinker should have a chemical composition of 64% CaO, 22% SiO₂, 22% Al₂O₃, and 22% Fe₂O₃. Therefore, the interaction of the four oxides has a significant impact on mixture properties. Table 1 gives the normal assay date of the raw materials. As can be seen, the variability percent is significant and can be adjusted by taking into account the collective ingredient mineralogical composition. The global manufacturing process at a typical dry-method cement factory is depicted in Figure 1. (Misr Cement Company, Minia Portland Cement). The enclosed area began with blending the section’s machinery for combining raw materials and ended with batching silos. Our main research focus is on the blending portion.

2.2. Limitations and the formulation of a problem

There are two primary points that must be addressed in order to achieve the best possible result. The two points are addressing feedstock oxide percentages and product testing restrictions in order to comply with code of standards. Table 2 shows the percentages of four ingredients required to make a standard raw meal (Ali, 2012; Ali et al., 2018).

2.3. Formulation of the raw material requirements

The raw input is governed by a set of limitations known as the number of ratios modulus. The lime saturation factor, silica modulus, alumina modulus, and loss of ignitions are among these restrictions. According to Egyptian standards, equations 1 to 4 describe the relationships between components and the percentage of primary component in raw materials. To create clinker with the proper raw material composition that meets the regulating modulus, linear programming is used. For each raw material, the LP model will correct variations in chemical composition as detected as a fluctuation of oxides (limestone, iron oxides, bauxite and shale).

Equation 1 gives the saturation factor of lime:

\[
LSF = \frac{CaO}{2.8 \cdot SiO_2 + 1.18 \cdot Al_2O_3 + 0.65 \cdot Fe_2O_3} \times 100 = 97% \quad (1)
\]

Equation 2 gives the modulus of silica (SM):

\[
SM = \frac{SiO_2}{Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3} \quad (2)
\]

![Table 1: Assay of raw materials ASCOM, Egypt](image)

![Table 2: The proportion of raw materials used in the primary components](image)
Equation 3 gives the modulus of alumina (AM):

\[ AM = \frac{Al_2O_3}{Fe_2O_3} \]  

Equation 4 gives the loss of ignition (LoI):

\[ LoI = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_1} \times 1000 \]  

Where:
- \( m_1 \) – the mass of the tested sample [g],
- \( m_2 \) – the mass of heated test sample after cooling [g].

2.4. Identify the system’s requirements

The LP model is used to figure out how to combine raw mix and material output planning. The data set for raw materials in oxides, modulus factors as quality control required by the cement industry, and final product parameters connected to Egyptian Standards are shown in Figure 2. The following information is needed to implement this model:

1. Data about the oxide content of the raw material.
2. Quality Control Constraints of Various Moduli.

2.5. Formulation of linear programming (LP)

For tackling optimization problems, linear programming (LP) is a must-have technology. The problem of calculating how much should be moved from a stockpile to a feed bin is a challenge (Rijal et al., 2019). The transportation optimization processes are the subject of a lot of research. Unified and compressive algorithms are still missing from the techniques (Abu Halawa, 2016). Computers were generally used to balance and optimize transportation processes in a basic manner and with limited programming techniques (Farghaly et al., 2021; El-Beblawi et al., 2007). The restrictions that define the current problem are as follows:
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3. Results and Discussion

The connected functions were created using data provided in Excel. All functions were written with the restrictions and modulus factors for chemical composition. The active Excel sheet was created to receive chemical composition input data and to be used in conjunction with a mathematical model that articulated the relationship between chemical composition and constraints. Since it may be utilized with different raw material compositions, this type is suitable for the cement industry. Obtaining the ideal answer for that problem is an alternative solution. The system can then be used or the units can be changed according to the clinker standard. The results provide an ideal solution for linear issues in mining and metallurgical processes, which were solved utilizing an Excel sheet with active solutions. However, because mine blending models are more comprehensive than the suggested model, there are a number of issues. There were numerous challenges during the cement manufacturing process, including variations in chemical composition for raw materials, quality control in the stockpile, and meeting the cement manufacturing criteria. The evaluation of raw materials was based on the total amount and assay for each material. As a result, it appears that batch size and blending decisions must be made at the same time. The optimal solution is obtained by considering the quality of a mixture of ingredients (e.g., assay and total amount of mine production) to obtain the optimal solution, which indicates the number of materials such as limestone, shale, iron oxide, and bauxite. This section discusses the base case schedule implementation, which was calculated using the optimal solution. All raw material amounts should be entered into the model and the final amount should meet the clinker specifications as given in Table 3.
First and foremost, the general percentage of raw materials was established based on the Egyptian and European standards. The percentages of raw ingredients are shown in Table 3 (limestone, shale, iron oxide and bauxite). Calculate the SiO$_2$ percentage inside raw feed using Equations 6 to 9, and have the reader repeat the process for the remaining components. In addition, the equilibrium must follow our aim for modulus factors such as lime saturation factors, silica modulus, and alumina modulus. Finally, using coefficients to compute the number of raw materials required to keep the product as a standard.

The use and expansion of the resource are the main goals of mining engineering. The optimal limit of the solution is linked to the capability of using limestone less than (51 percent). The system is iterated by changing SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CaO, MgO, K$_2$O, Na$_2$O, SO$_3$, L.O.I., S.R., A.R., and L.S.F. until the product is as standard as possible.

---

**Table 3: Design of raw materials for cement manufacturing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw materials</th>
<th>Oxides</th>
<th>Mix %</th>
<th>Lime- stone</th>
<th>Shale</th>
<th>Iron ore</th>
<th>Bauxite</th>
<th>Raw feed</th>
<th>Raw feed Targets</th>
<th>Coefficients*</th>
<th>Matrix Determin-ants</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>82.50</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>1 Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53419838949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>74.98</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>K2</td>
<td>1 Shale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9144396153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>K3</td>
<td>1 Iron oxide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>601249657.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>83.16</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>K4</td>
<td>1 Bauxite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1819376846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>42.35</td>
<td>K5</td>
<td>100 Total raw meal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>649848616.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>K6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>K7</td>
<td>0.02 Raw materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>K8</td>
<td>114.424 Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.O.I.</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.48</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>K9</td>
<td>-30.75 Shale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>K10</td>
<td>-0.468 Iron oxide</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.R.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K11</td>
<td>-38.74 Bauxite</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.R.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K12</td>
<td>195.034 TOTAL</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.S.F.</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.83</td>
<td>97.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K13</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>K14</td>
<td>-4088.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>K15</td>
<td>21671.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>K16</td>
<td>8005.618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>K17</td>
<td>8484.338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In order to calculate the raw feed, the coefficients K1-K17 are treated as constants.

---

**Table 4: The outcome of the limiting CaO percent satisfying the standard raw mix iteration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>CaO variation</th>
<th>LSF</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>AM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>97.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>97.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>97.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>97.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>97.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>96.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>96.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>96.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>96.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

First and foremost, the general percentage of raw materials was established based on the Egyptian and European standards. The percentages of raw ingredients are shown in Table 3 (limestone, shale, iron oxide and bauxite). Calculate the SiO$_2$ percentage inside raw feed using Equations 6 to 9, and have the reader repeat the process for the remaining components. In addition, the equilibrium must follow our aim for modulus factors such as lime saturation factors, silica modulus, and alumina modulus. Finally, using coefficients to compute the number of raw materials required to keep the product as a standard.

The use and expansion of the resource are the main goals of mining engineering. The optimal limit of the solution is linked to the capability of using limestone less than (51 percent). The system is iterated by change-
ing the CaO by -0.1 percent at a time and observing the change for constraint satisfaction criterion satisfaction. When the CaO percent limit set by cement industry standards is reached, the experiments are terminated. Table 4 shows that the ideal CaO percent ranged from 50.6 to 51% with acceptable fluctuations at SM and AM when all constraints were met.

4. Conclusion
The goal of this study is to optimize the raw mix of a cement plant using linear programming (LP). Therefore, this research proposes a practical optimization strategy for tackling a general constrained LP issue and obtaining the best blended solution. The following are the main points of the conclusions:

1. In the cement sector, the model is more useful for raw mix design. Since it takes into account all limits in order to meet raw material and clinker characterization standards.
2. This solver sheet application is open source rather than proprietary, which saves time and money.
3. The raw mix design model may be used to anticipate any type of cement under the constraints of raw mix calculations and chemical variation for all components.
4. The reduction in limestone CaO% from 50.6 to 51% adds a significant amount of low-quality resources into viable reserves, extending the quarry’s life.

This type of optimization raises the quality of the final product, lowers costs, allows for more efficient use of natural resources, and stimulates the economy. Despite the fact that LP has previously been used in studies, such as ore blending. The LP, on the other hand, is a versatile technology. It can be expanded to include more variables and constraints (e.g. four parameters in the cement industry).

References


sian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Annual Conference, Broken Hill, N.S.W. May.


SAŽETAK

Linearno programiranje kao alat za projektiranje sirovinske smjese u tvornici cementa

Studija prikazuje metodu linearnoga programiranja uporabljenu sa svrhom odabira najbolje sirovinske smjese u tvornici cementa ASCOM (Egipat). Takva smjesa poštuje egipatske standarde kemijskoga sastava sirove (npr. 82,5 % kalcijeva karbonata, 14,08 % silikata, 2,5 % aluminijeva oksida, 0,92 % željeznoga oksida). Također, model je uvjetovan industrijskim standardima (npr. faktorom zasićenja vapnom, silikatnim i aluminatnim modulom te gubitkom (oksida) žarenjem). Modelom se mogla točno izračunati visokokvalitetna mješavina različitih (postotnih) komponenti te je dokazan kao optimalan za brz izračun raznih aditiva i postizanje najveće kvalitete vapnenačke sirove uz doziranje ostalih komponenti. Time je udjel vapnenca bilo moguće smanjiti na 50,6 – 51 %, što je otvorilo put eksploataciji dodatnih rezervi te sirove.
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