

SMALL-DEGREE PARAMETRIC SOLUTIONS FOR DEGREE 6 AND 7 IDEAL MULTIGRADES

ALLAN J. MACLEOD

ABSTRACT. We derive parametric solutions for 6 and 7 term ideal multigrades. These are of significantly smaller degree than previous solutions, such as those of Chernick.

1. INTRODUCTION

A multigrade of degree N is an integer solution to

$$(1.1) \quad X_1^i + X_2^i + \dots + X_M^i = Y_1^i + Y_2^i + \dots + Y_M^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where the sets $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M\} \neq \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M\}$. If they are just permutations, we call this a trivial solution. The book by Gloden [3] is the standard reference, though out-of-print for decades.

We write this as

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M \stackrel{N}{=} Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_M.$$

An old theorem of Bastien states that a solution only exists when $M > N$. An “ideal” solution satisfies $M = N + 1$, and we will concentrate on this type of solution.

Numerical ideal solutions are known for degrees $N = 1, \dots, 9$ and degree $N = 11$, see the web-site of Chen Shuwen [7]. Parametric solutions are only known for degrees $N = 1, \dots, 7$, see Chernick [1]. In fact, for degree $N = 8$, only 2 numerical solutions are known! For degree $N = 9$, there are an infinite number of solutions parameterized by points on an elliptic curve, see Smyth [8].

The parametric solutions quoted by Chernick are small for degrees 1 – 5, for example the following is a degree 5 solution

$$(1.2) \quad A_1, A_2, A_3, -A_1, -A_2, -A_3 \stackrel{5}{=} B_1, B_2, B_3, -B_1, -B_2, -B_3,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D25, 11P05, 11Y50.

Key words and phrases. Multigrade, parametric solution, elliptic curve.

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -5t^2 + 4t - 3 & A_2 &= -3t^2 + 6t + 5 & A_3 &= -t^2 - 10t - 1, \\ B_1 &= -5t^2 + 6t + 3 & B_2 &= -3t^2 - 4t - 5 & B_3 &= -t^2 + 10t - 1, \end{aligned}$$

with $t \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For degree 6 and 7, the parametric solutions have much larger degree. In fact, he does not give these latter forms explicitly. These are the only parametric solutions quoted in Chen Shuwen's web-site [7]. Recently, Ajai Choudhry [2] presented a very nice method which produces simpler solutions.

The purpose of this note is to develop much simpler degree 6 – 7 forms, by different methods, in the hope that they might suggest forms for degree 8 and higher.

2. DEGREE 6 PARAMETRIC FORMS

We follow the basic method used by Chernick. Consider the relation
(2.1)

$$U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, -V_1, -V_2, -V_3, -V_4 \stackrel{6}{=} -U_1, -U_2, -U_3, -U_4, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4,$$

which automatically satisfies the degree 2, 4, 6 relations. For odd degree, we have

$$(2.2) \quad U_1^n + U_2^n + U_3^n + U_4^n = V_1^n + V_2^n + V_3^n + V_4^n \quad n = 1, 3, 5.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= -X_1 + X_2 + X_3 & U_2 &= X_1 - X_2 + X_3, \\ U_3 &= X_1 + X_2 - X_3 & U_4 &= -X_1 - X_2 - X_3, \\ V_1 &= -Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 & V_2 &= Y_1 - Y_2 + Y_3, \\ V_3 &= Y_1 + Y_2 - Y_3 & V_4 &= -Y_1 - Y_2 - Y_3, \end{aligned}$$

Then the $n = 1$ identity of (2.2) is satisfied, and we have the following from the $n = 3$ and $n = 5$

$$(2.3) \quad X_1 X_2 X_3 = Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 \quad X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 = Y_1^2 + Y_2^2 + Y_3^2,$$

Chernick sets $U_1 = 0$ to give an ideal multigrade of degree 6, which corresponds to the constraint $X_1 = X_2 + X_3$. He also defines the variable $t = X_2/Y_1$, giving (2.3) as the two equations

$$(2.4) \quad (2t^2 - 1)Y_1^2 + 2X_3^2 + 2X_3Y_1t - Y_2^2 - Y_3^2 = 0,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad X_3^2t + X_3Y_1t^2 - Y_2Y_3 = 0.$$

The latter equation gives $2X_3^2 + 2X_3Y_1t = 2Y_2Y_3/t$ so (2.4) is

$$Y_1^2(2t^2 - 1) - Y_2^2 + 2Y_2Y_3/t - Y_3^2 = 0,$$

and this quadric can be parameterized in the usual way. One possibility is

$$(2.6) \quad Y_1 = k^2(2t^2 - 1) + 2kt + 1$$

$$(2.7) \quad Y_2 = k^2(t - 1)(2t^2 - 1) + 2k(2t^2 - 1) + t + 1$$

$$(2.8) \quad Y_3 = t(1 - k^2(2t^2 - 1)),$$

where k is a rational parameter.

Substituting these in (2.5) gives a quadratic equation for X_3 which we want to have rational solutions. Thus, the discriminant must be a rational square, so

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \square = & (t - 2)^2(2t^2 - 1)^2k^4 + 4(2t^2 - 1)(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2)k^3 + \\ & 2(4t^4 - 9t^2 + 4)k^2 + 4(t^3 + 4t^2 - 2)k + (t + 2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is essentially at this point where we diverge from Chernick's method. He, basically, completes the square of the quartic with a method known since the time of Fermat. Straightforward algebra shows that the right hand side of (2.9) can be written $f(k, t)^2 + g(k, t)$ where

$$f(k, t) = (t - 2)(2t^2 - 1)k^2 + \frac{2(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2)}{t - 2}k + \frac{2t^6 - 25t^4 + 28t^3 - 16t + 8}{(t - 2)^3(2t^2 - 1)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(k, t) = & \frac{16k(t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4)(2t - 1)}{(t - 2)^4(2t^2 - 1)} - \\ & \frac{16(t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4)(2t^5 - 10t^4 + 15t^3 - 7t^2 - 4t + 3)}{(t - 2)^6(2t^2 - 1)^2} z. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $g(k, t) = 0$ gives a solution to (2.9), which is given by

$$(2.10) \quad k = \frac{(t^3 - 3t^2 + 1)(2t^2 - 4t + 3)}{(t - 2)^2(2t - 1)(2t^2 - 1)}.$$

Putting this value of k into the above formulae results in a degree 6 ideal multigrade with the U_i and V_i terms being polynomials in t of degree 10 and 11.

The quartic (in k) clearly has a rational point $(0, (t + 2))$, and so is birationally equivalent to an elliptic curve. Using the method described in Mordell [5] we find this curve (with $|t| \neq 1$) to be

$$(2.11) \quad v^2 = u(u + (t + 1)^2(t^2 + 2t - 2))(u + (t - 1)^2(t^2 - 2t - 2)),$$

with

$$(2.12) \quad k = \frac{v(2 - t) + u(t^3 - 4t^2 + 2) + t^7 - 9t^5 + 12t^3 - 4t}{u(t - 2)^2 + t^6 - 9t^4 + 12t^2 - 4}.$$

There are 3 clear finite points of order 2, and numerical experiments suggest that the torsion subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, but this is,

of course, nowhere near a proof. This can be verified using some Magma code very kindly supplied by the referee.

These same numerical experiments also suggested that the rank was at least 2 except when $t = 2$ which has rank 1. To find elements of the group of rational points, we first used the Pari-GP function *ellratpoints* to find smallish height rational points for specified t .

The most obvious result was that $u = 9/4$ always gave such a point for each t , namely $v = \pm 3(2t^2 + 4t - 1)(2t^2 - 4t)/8$. It is very unusual (in the author's experience) for u constant to always give a rational point. The positive v gives a fairly horrid value of k , but the negative value gives

$$k = \frac{2t^2 + 4t + 3}{2(t+2)(1-2t^2)},$$

which leads to the following elements of the right-hand-side of (2.1) with $U_1 = 0$. The left-hand-side elements are just the negatives.

TABLE 1. Parametric Solution for Degree 6

i	Term
1	$12t^3 + 30t^2 + 6t - 3$
2	$4t^4 + 4t^3 - 18t^2 - 22t - 4$
3	$-4t^4 - 16t^3 - 12t^2 - 8t - 5$
4	$4t^5 + 12t^4 - 4t^3 - 22t^2 - 3t + 4$
5	$4t^5 + 16t^4 + 12t^3 - 16t^2 - 7t$
6	$-4t^5 - 12t^4 + 4t^3 + 28t^2 + 15t + 5$
7	$-4t^5 - 16t^4 - 12t^3 + 10t^2 + 19t + 3$

It might be thought that $u = 9/4$ was bound to give a generator. It should be noted that elliptic curves with at least one torsion point of order 2 lead to a doubling formula resulting in a u -value which is a rational square. $9/4$ is a rational square and it is standard algebra to show that it is double a rational point and thus not a generator.

We find this rational point to be

$$((t^2 - 1)^2, \pm(t^2 - 1)^2(2t^2 - 1)),$$

which gives

$$k = \frac{3}{2t^3 - 4t^2 - 5t + 4} \quad k = \frac{-1}{t},$$

from the positive and negative values respectively.

The first leads to the same parametric form as before, whilst the second leads to a trivial solution $U_i = V_i$.

As we stated before the numerical solutions suggest the rank is at least 2. We found that the following point

$$(t^2 - t^4, 2t(t^2 - 1)(2t^2 - 1)),$$

was often a second generator. Proving this would be difficult. The point gave

$$k = 0 \qquad k = \frac{2t - t^2}{t^3 - 3t^2 + 1},$$

with Magma showing that this point and the previous one are linearly independent.

The second formula for k gives the following parametric ideal solution.

TABLE 2. Parametric Solution for Degree 6

i	Term
1	$t^4 - t^3 - 3t^2 + 2t$
2	$t^4 - 4t^3 + t^2 + 2t - 1$
3	$-2t^4 + 5t^3 - 2t^2 - 2t + 1$
4	$t^5 - 3t^4 + 3t^2 - t$
5	$t^5 - 4t^4 + 5t^3 - t$
6	$-t^5 + 3t^4 - t^3 - t^2 - t + 1$
7	$-t^5 + 4t^4 - 4t^3 + 2t^2 + t - 1$

3. DEGREE 7 PARAMETRIC FORMS

We, again, follow Chernick by assuming the relationship

$$\{\pm X_1, \pm X_2, \pm X_3, \pm X_4\} \stackrel{7}{=} \{\pm Y_1, \pm Y_2, \pm Y_3, \pm Y_4\},$$

with $X_i \neq Y_j$.

Thus, we have

$$(3.1) \quad X_1^n + X_2^n + X_3^n + X_4^n = Y_1^n + Y_2^n + Y_3^n + Y_4^n \quad n = 2, 4, 6.$$

In 1913 Crussol gave a method for this equations which the present author discussed in [4]. Included in that paper is the following table for a parametric solution.

TABLE 3. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

i	X_i	Y_i
1	$4j^5 - 4j^4 - 13j^3 + 15j^2 + 4j + 4$	$4j^5 - 8j^4 - 13j^3 - 32j^2 + 4j$
2	$4j^5 + 8j^4 - 13j^3 + 32j^2 + 4j$	$4j^5 + 4j^4 - 13j^3 - 15j^2 + 4j - 4$
3	$4j^4 - 32j^3 - 13j^2 - 8j + 4$	$4j^5 + 4j^4 + 15j^3 - 13j^2 - 4j + 4$
4	$4j^5 - 4j^4 + 15j^3 + 13j^2 - 4j - 4$	$4j^4 + 32j^3 - 13j^2 + 8j + 4$

In the current work, we use the form suggested by Piezas. Piezas uses $3b$ everywhere instead of b , but this only makes one condition slightly simpler.

TABLE 4. Identities for X_i, Y_i

i	x_i	y_i
1	$xy + ax + by - c$	$xy + bx + ay - c$
2	$xy - ax - by - c$	$xy - bx - ay - c$
3	$xy + ay - bx + c$	$xy + ax - by + c$
4	$xy - ay + bx + c$	$xy - ax + by + c$

With this form (3.1) is identically true for $n = 2$. For $n = 4$, we have

$$16xy(x+y)(x-y)(a+b)(a-b)(ab-3c) = 0,$$

and we force a solution by setting $c = ab/3$.

For $n = 6$, we have

$$9x^2(10y^2 - a^2 - b^2) - 9y^2(a^2 + b^2) + 10a^2b^2 = 0,$$

Since we want rational solutions for x, y , we must have

$$(9y^2(a^2 + b^2) - 10a^2b^2)(90y^2 - 10a^2 - 10b^2) = \square.$$

Piezas claims this is an elliptic curve, but such a quartic is only equivalent to an elliptic curve if there is at least one rational solution. We have (dividing by 9)

$$(3.2) \quad \square = 90(a^2 + b^2)y^4 - (9a^4 + 118a^2b^2 + 9b^4)y^2 + 10a^2b^2(a^2 + b^2),$$

and it is not too hard to find $y = -a$ gives a right-hand-side of $a^2(3a+b)^2(3a-b)^2$.

Proceeding along standard lines [5], we eventually find the equivalent elliptic curve to be

$$(3.3) \quad v^2 = u(u + (a + 3b)^2(3a + b)^2)(u + (a - 3b)^2(3a - b)^2),$$

with

$$(3.4) \quad y = \frac{a(v + u(a^2 + 11b^2) + (a + 3b)^2(a - 3b)^2(3a + b)(3a - b))}{-v - u(19a^2 + 9b^2) + (a + 3b)^2(a - 3b)^2(3a + b)(3a - b)},$$

and, thus, $9a^2 - b^2 \neq 0$ and $a^2 - 9b^2 \neq 0$ must hold.

Numerical experiments suggested that the torsion subgroup was isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and that the rank was at least 1, though often exactly 1 for some a, b . We find the following points of order 4

$$((a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b), \pm 6(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(a^2 + b^2)(3a + b)(3a - b)),$$

$$(-(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b), \pm 20ab(a + 3b)(a - 3b)(3a + b)(3a - b)),$$

These numerical experiments also suggest that the point

$$(3.5) \quad (-(3a + b)^2(a - 3b)^2, 12ab(3a + b)^2(a - 3b)^2),$$

is a point of infinite order and often a generator. This gives

$$(3.6) \quad x = \frac{b(3a^2 + 5b^2)}{5a^2 - 13b^2} \quad y = \frac{b(13a^2 - 5b^2)}{3(5a^2 + 3b^2)},$$

which leads eventually to the parametric forms

TABLE 5. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$2(5a^5 + 26a^4b + 38a^3b^2 - 36a^2b^3 + 21ab^4 + 10b^5)$
X_2	$(b - a)(35a^4 + 48a^3b + 74a^2b^2 - 48ab^3 - 45b^4)$
X_3	$(a + b)(45a^4 - 48a^3b - 74a^2b^2 + 48ab^3 - 35b^4)$
X_4	$-2(10a^5 - 21a^4b - 36a^3b^2 - 38a^2b^3 + 26ab^4 - 5b^5)$
Y_1	$2(10a^5 + 21a^4b - 36a^3b^2 + 38a^2b^3 + 26ab^4 + 5b^5)$
Y_2	$(b - a)(45a^4 + 48a^3b - 74a^2b^2 - 48ab^3 - 35b^4)$
Y_3	$(a + b)(35a^4 - 48a^3b + 74a^2b^2 + 48ab^3 - 45b^4)$
Y_4	$-2(5a^5 - 26a^4b + 38a^3b^2 + 36a^2b^3 + 21ab^4 - 10b^5)$

4. PIEZAS' RESULTANT METHOD

Later on, in the section on sixth powers with 8 terms, Piezas describes a simple-looking method. He sets, similar to the previous section

$$(4.1) \quad \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\} = \{a + bh, c + dh, e + fh, g + h\}$$

$$\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\} = \{a - bh, c - dh, e - fh, g - h\},$$

and forces

$$(4.2) \quad X_1^n + X_2^n + X_3^n + X_4^n = Y_1^n + Y_2^n + Y_3^n + Y_4^n \quad n = 1, 2, 4, 6.$$

For an ideal multigrade with 8 terms, he does not require the $n = 1$ condition. Without it, however, we do not get as much simplification as we need to get an answer.

For $n = 1, 2$, we have the simple identities

$$f = -1 - b - d \quad g = -ab - cd - ef,$$

reducing the number of parameters to 6.

The conditions for $n = 4, 6$ reduce to two equations for h

$$P_{22}h^2 + P_{20} = 0 \quad P_{44}h^4 + P_{42}h^2 + P_{40} = 0,$$

where the P_{ij} are complicated functions of a, b, c, d, e .

The resultant of these equations is of the form $F(a, b, c, d, e)^2 = 0$. It is very surprising that F factors into the product of 3 reasonable linear terms and a cubic term. The linear expressions are

$$(a + ab - c + cd - be - de) \quad (-a + ab + c + cd - be - de) \quad (a + ab + c + cd - 2e - be - de).$$

We consider the third of these factors, with the other two using the same methodology. We have

$$(4.3) \quad b = \frac{a + c(d + 1) - e(d + 2)}{e - a},$$

which we substitute into the quadratic equation for h .

This has solutions

$$h = \frac{\pm(a - e)}{d + 1} \quad c = e \quad e = \frac{(a + c)(c(d + 1) - a(d - 2))}{a(d + 4) + c(2 - d)},$$

with the first 3 solutions leading to trivial multigrades. The final one does not.

Substituting the formula for e into the quartic, we find that it factorises into 2 linear terms in h and a quadratic of the form $Q_{22}h^2 - Q_{20}$, where Q_{22}, Q_{20} are functions of a, c, d . Solving for h in the linear terms just gives trivial solutions, so we concentrate on the quadratic.

For $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ we must have $\square = Q_{22}Q_{20}$. This latter expression is of degree 8 in a and c , but a quartic in d . The leading term is $9a^2c^2(a - c)^2(2a + c)^2$, so the quartic is birationally equivalent to an elliptic curve.

After some standard, but lengthy, calculations, we find the elliptic curve to be

$$(4.4) \quad v^2 = u(u^2 + (9(a^4 + c^4) - 160ac(a^2 + c^2) - 418a^2c^2)u + 1600a^2c^2(a + 2c)^2(2a + c)^2),$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad d = \frac{3v - (41a^2 + 98ac + 41c^2)u + 800ac(a + 2c)^2(2a + c)^2}{2ac(c - a)(400(a + 2c)^2(2a + c)^2 - 9u)}.$$

These curves are singular if $a = \pm c$, which we now assume does not happen. Numerical experiments on the curves, for simple integer a, c values, suggest that the torsion subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ with points of order 4 given by

$$(40ac(a + 2c)(2a + c), \pm 120ac(a + c)(a - c)(a + 2c)(2a + c)),$$

with none of the torsion points leading to non-trivial solutions.

These numerical experiments also suggested that the rank of the curves is at least 2, except when $a = 2, c = 1$, when the rank is only 1. We used the Pari-GP code `ellratpoints` to find rational points and then try to infer an algebraic form.

We found 2 simple points that seem to often give generators

$$(16ac(a + 2c)(2a + c), 48ac(a + 2c)(2a + c)(a^2 + 4ac + c^2)),$$

and

$$(64ac(a + 2c)(2a + c), 192ac(a + 2c)(2a + c)(a^2 + ac + c^2)).$$

From the first point we find the following parametric form

TABLE 6. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$-(30a^5 + 116a^4c + 598a^3c^2 + 1179a^2c^3 + 823ac^4 + 170c^5)$
X_2	$170a^5 + 933a^4c + 2080a^3c^2 + 2221a^2c^3 + 1017ac^4 + 140c^5$
X_3	$110a^5 + 763a^4c + 1863a^3c^2 + 1756a^2c^3 + 581ac^4 + 30c^5$
X_4	$-140a^5 - 569a^4c - 821a^3c^2 - 274a^2c^3 + 236ac^4 + 110c^5$
Y_1	$140a^5 + 1017a^4c + 2221a^3c^2 + 2080a^2c^3 + 933ac^4 + 170c^5$
Y_2	$-(170a^5 + 823a^4c + 1179a^3c^2 + 598a^2c^3 + 116ac^4 + 30c^5)$
Y_3	$30a^5 + 581a^4c + 1756a^3c^2 + 1863a^2c^3 + 763ac^4 + 110c^5$
Y_4	$110a^5 + 236a^4c - 274a^3c^2 - 821a^2c^3 - 569ac^4 - 140c^5$

whilst, from the second point

TABLE 7. Parametric solution for X_i, Y_i

X_1	$1040a^5 + 3732a^4c + 7438a^3c^2 + 8479a^2c^3 + 4266ac^4 + 560c^5$
X_2	$-(560a^5 + 2746a^4c + 5361a^3c^2 + 4321a^2c^3 + 614ac^4 + 480c^5)$
X_3	$1520a^5 + 4574a^4c + 3533a^3c^2 - 2069a^2c^3 - 3602ac^4 - 1040c^5$
X_4	$-480a^5 - 922a^4c + 625a^3c^2 + 4146a^2c^3 + 4588ac^4 + 1520c^5$
Y_1	$480a^5 - 614a^4c - 4321a^3c^2 - 5361a^2c^3 - 2746ac^4 - 560c^5$
Y_2	$560a^5 + 4266a^4c + 8479a^3c^2 + 7438a^2c^3 + 3732ac^4 + 1040c^5$
Y_3	$-1040a^5 - 3602a^4c - 2069a^3c^2 + 3533a^2c^3 + 4574ac^4 + 1520c^5$
Y_4	$1520a^5 + 4588a^4c + 4146a^3c^2 + 625a^2c^3 - 922ac^4 - 480c^5$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for her/his very useful comments, especially the provision of Magma code.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chernick, *Ideal solutions of the Tarry-Escott problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **44** (1937), 626–633.
- [2] A. Choudhry, *A new approach to the Tarry-Escott problem*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), 393–417.
- [3] A. Gloden, *Mehrgradige Gleichungen*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1944.
- [4] A. J. MacLeod, *On Crussol's method for $\sum_{i=1}^4 X_i^n = \sum_{i=1}^4 Y_i^n$, $n = 2, 4, 6$* , Rad Hrvat. Akad. Znan. Umjet. Mat. Znan. **20** (2016), 19–26.
- [5] L. J. Mordell, *Diophantine Equations*, Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [6] T. Piezas III, *A Collection of Algebraic Identities*, website available at <https://sites.google.com/site/tpiezas/Home>.
- [7] C. Shuwen, *Equal Sums of Like Powers*, website available at <http://eslpower.org/eslp.htm>.
- [8] C. J. Smyth, *Ideal 9th-order multigrades and Letac's elliptic curve*, Math. Comp. **57** (1991), 817–823.

Parametarska rješenja malog stupnja za idealne multigradove stupnja 6 i 7

Allan J. Macleod

SAŽETAK. Izvodimo parametarska rješenja za 6 i 7 idealne multigradove. Ova rješenja su znatno manjeg stupnja od prethodnih rješenja, poput onih Chernickovih.

Allan J. Macleod
 Statistics, O.R. and Mathematics Group (Retired),
 University of the West of Scotland,
 High St., Paisley,
 Scotland. PA1 2BE
E-mail: peediejenn@hotmail.com

Received: 8.10.2020.

Revised: 19.1.2021.

Accepted: 16.2.2021.