The effect of animal-related and some environmental effects on daily milk production of dairy cows under the heat stress conditions
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Abstract

The study aimed to determine the effect of different fixed factors (breed, region, calving year, calving season, parity and lactation stage) on daily milk production (yield and content) of four dairy cattle breeds under the heat stress conditions (THI≥72). The research was conducted on a set of test-day records of four dairy cattle breeds (Simmental, Holstein-Friesian, Red Holstein and Brown Swiss) reared in the Republic of Serbia (Region of Mačva, Podunavlje and Šumadija). The statistical analysis included test-day records collected in the period from the beginning of the year 2012 to the end of the year 2019. Based on the performed analysis, it could be demonstrated that all the effects included in the statistical model (breed, region, calving year, calving season, parity and lactation stage) statistically highly significant (p<0.001) affected daily milk production (yield and content) of cows reared in the terms of the heat stress conditions. The highest daily milk production was determined in the region of Podunavlje, and by the Holstein-Friesian breed. Furthermore, dairy cows calved during the spring season, in the third lactation and from the 61st to the 120th day of lactation, had the highest milk production during the summer period in an environment characterised by heat stress. Also, daily milk yield of cows in a heat stress environment decreased in the period from June to September. The results obtained indicate different animals’ responses to heat stress concerning the animal’s breed, rearing region, calving year, calving season, parity and lactation stage.
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Introduction

Heat stress (HS) can be defined as the sum of all environmental effects that affect an animal and induce an increase in its body temperature causing a physiological response (Dikmen and Hansen, 2009). In dairy cows, HS reduces milk yield and quality of milk, fertility, welfare and results in changes in behaviour (Ravagnolo et al., 2000; Bouraoui et al., 2002; Casa and Ravelo, 2003; Freitas et al., 2006; Bohmanova et al., 2007; Bernabucci et al., 2010; Hammami et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013; Lambertz et al., 2014; Gantner et al., 2017; Collier et al., 2017; Polsky and Von Keyserlingk, 2017; Summer et al., 2018; Nordlund et al., 2019; Chavez et al., 2020; Ramón-Moragues et al., 2021). The emergence of HS is primarily impacted by the current climate changes that significantly affect livestock production (Das et al., 2016), with different implications in different regions (Bernabucci et al., 2014; Carabano et al., 2016). Dairy cows can adapt to a wide temperature range, with the comfort zone in interval from 0 to 20 °C, while from the aspect of milk production, a narrower interval of 0 to 15 °C is optimal (Dejanović et al., 2015). In the terms of heat stress, when the temperature of the hypothalamus is above the thermoneutral zone, that makes the temperatures which are comfortable for the animal, the mechanisms of heat loss are activated (Collier et al., 2019). Consequently, with an increase in temperature above the optimum, the response of the organism changes and milk production decreases (Gantner et al., 2011; Dunn et al., 2014; Gantner et al., 2017; Gantner et al., 2017). The first equations of the Temperature Humidity Index (THI), as a measure of HS, involving ambient temperature (AT) and relative air humidity (RH) were presented by Thom (1958), Kibler (1964), Berry et al. (1964) and the National Research Council (1971). Using THI is a non-invasive way of detecting HS that can be easily calculated (Ouellet et al., 2019; Maggiolino et al., 2020) and provides opportunities for early detection of HS in dairy cows (Yan et al., 2021). Further development of THI indicators represents an important aspect of numerous studies (Sahin and Ugurlu, 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Ouellet et al., 2019). Researchers have tried to predict the effect and level of heat stress in dairy cows based on the effect of THI values on the daily yield and composition of milk during the milk recording (Ekine-Dzivenu et al., 2020). Furthermore, numerous researches indicated that the average thermoneutral value of THI for cows is 57, while heat stress occurs when the THI reaches values at 72 and above (Wheelock et al. 2010; Zimbelman et al. 2010; Hall et al. 2016; Hall et al. 2018; Collier et al. 2019). A THI value of 72 corresponds to a temperature of 22 °C at a humidity of 100 % and 25 °C at a humidity of 50 % or 28 °C at a humidity of 20 % (Fiore et al. 2009).

Animal stress according to THI values was classified among the first by McDowell et al. (1976) as Mild: THI (72-78), Moderate: THI (79-88), Strong: THI (89-98) and Very Strong: THI (above 98). Furthermore, Bernabucci et al. (2010) classified THI (68-71) as stress threshold values, then mild to moderate THI (72-79), moderate to strong THI (80-89) and strong THI (above 90). The highest THI values were recorded during the summer months in the research of Bohmanova et al. (2007) conducted in the USA, with the largest decline in milk production at a THI value of 76-81. Bouraoui et al. (2002) in the Mediterranean climate determined mean THI values in the spring of 68 and in the summer of 78. Research by Herbut et al. (2012) conducted in Poland reported THI values in the range of 76-82 during July, August, and September. Furthermore, the analysis of climatic conditions in Serbia shows that from May to September the THI values were around 72, while the highest values were determined in July and August and were above 80 (Vujanac, 2010). The effect of the lactation stage on the response to heat stress was determined by Cincović and Belić (2011) who point out that at THI higher than 72 in the middle third of lactation there was a significant decrease in the quantity and quality of milk (milk fat and proteins). Furthermore, Vysel and Atakan (2019) and Mylstovyyi et al. (2021) confirmed that cow breeds affect heat resistance and concluded that Brown Swiss cows are more resistant to higher temperatures and higher relative humidity levels than Holstein Friesian and Simmental breeds.

Gaafar et al. (2011) stated that with an increase in THI from 59.82 in the winter season to 78.53 in the summer season, heat stress reduced both total lactation (at 305 days) and daily milk yield by 39.00 % and 31.40 %, respectively. Furthermore, Joksimović-Todorović et al. (2011) state that the total milk production per cow was significantly (p<0.05) higher in the spring period compared to the summer. In addition, the same authors found that the decline in milk production due to heat stress was 14 % in the first third lactation and around 36 % in the middle third lactation. The average milk production during the period of early lactation (in the first 60 days of lactation) was significantly (p=0.05) higher in spring than in summer seasons (Joksimović-Todorović et al., 2011; Shock et al., 2016). Furthermore, Collier et al. (2012) stated that the heat stress has a negative effect in the first 24–48 hours to four days after exposure. The effect of HS levels may also depend partly on the characteristics of the animal’s body cover (Bohmanova et al. 2007). Also, cows sheltered from direct heat radiation (in the shade) have a milder consequence of the effects of heat stress on the organism (Collier and Gebremedhin, 2015). Bohmanova et al. (2008) and Nguyen et al. (2017) state that animals with lower milk production are predisposed to heat tolerance. The selection of dairy cows for thermotolerance represents a permanent, cumulative and most cost-effective approach to alleviating the effects of heat stress in dairy cattle (Biffani et al., 2016). Furthermore, by choosing adequate genetics (Luo et al., 2021), facility planning (Bakony and Jurkovich, 2020; Cardoso et al., 2021), optimizing technology and farm management, it is possible to eliminate in advance some of the preconditions for the negative effects of HS (Wang et al., 2020). Since in the Republic of Serbia, as well as globally, heat stress is becoming an increasingly pronounced problem, the study aimed to determine the effect of different fixed factors on daily milk yield and content (milk fat and protein) of four dairy cattle breeds under the heat stress conditions.
Materials and methods

Materials

The research was conducted on a set of test-day records of dairy cows of four breeds (Simmental, Holstein-Friesian, Red Holstein and Brown Swiss) reared on the territory of three regions in the Republic of Serbia (Region of Mačva, Podunavlje and Šumadija). The research referred to the period from the beginning of the year 2012 to the end of the year 2019. Data on the production of dairy cows that were under the selection following the rules defined by the Main Breeding Program in Cattle Breeding for the area of Central Serbia were used. Milk samples were collected during the regular milk recording according to the referent milk recording method (AT method). According to the rules of the ICAR (International Committee for Animal Recording), for implementing milk control the AT method, which involves measuring the amount of milk from every cow, is regarded as the reference of milk in the control day in a period of an average of 4 weeks. At each milk recording, measuring and sampling of milk were performed during the evening or morning milkings on the control day. The milk samples were analyzed in the laboratory and the milk fat and protein content was determined following accredited laboratory methods, i.e. using infrared spectrophotometry on the MilkoScan analyzer. Furthermore, during the milk recording, records on air temperature and relative humidity in barns on an hourly basis were measured and recorded using a Data Logger (Datalogger AMTAST, AMT116, Qingdao Shandong China). Based on the calving date (beginning of lactation), test-day records were divided into four calving seasons: winter (December, January and February), spring (March, April and May), summer (June, July and August) and autumn (September, October and November). According to the parity, cows were divided into six classes: I.; II.; ..., and VI. (cows in the sixth and higher lactation), while according to the stage of lactation test-day records were divided into five classes: I. (<60 days of lactation); II. (61-120 days of lactation); III. (121-200 days of lactation); IV. (201-300 days of lactation); and V. (>300 days of lactation). Furthermore, based on the measured microclimate parameters, ambient temperature and relative humidity, THI was calculated using the following equation (Dunn et al., 2014):

\[
THI = (1.8 \times T + 32) - (0.55 - 0.0055 \times RH) \times (T - 26.8) \tag{1}
\]

where:
- \( T \) = ambient temperature (°C).
- \( RH \) = relative humidity (%).

THI values calculated for each hour (based on the measured ambient temperature and relative humidity) were reduced to daily values as an average of 24-hour measurements. The calculated THI values were observed as an effect within the model for estimation of the variability of the analyzed milk yield traits (daily milk yield, daily fat and protein content). The THI values used in the analysis were recorded three days before milk control (Bohmanova et al., 2008; Wildridge et al., 2018), as a confirmed way that the current level of heat stress refers to milk production on average three days after. Furthermore, only test-day records with THI≥72 recorded during the four summer months of milk recording (June, July, August, and September) were used for the statistical analysis. Basic statistics of analysed traits (daily milk yield and composition) are presented in the Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Abbr</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Var</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily milk yield, kg</td>
<td>DMY</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>32.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily fat content, %</td>
<td>DFC</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily protein content, %</td>
<td>DPC</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Var - variance; SD - standard deviation; CV - coefficient of variation;*

Statistical analyses and models

The variability of daily milk traits (daily milk yield and composition) due to breed, region, calving season, parity and lactation stage classes were tested using least square means in GLM procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2019). Following statistical model was used:

\[
y_{ijklmnop} = \mu + B_i + R_j + C_n + S_l + P_m + L_o + H_a + e_{ijklmnop} \tag{2}
\]

Where:
- \( y_{ijklmnop} \) = daily milk trait,
- \( \mu \) = overall mean,
- \( B_i \) = fixed effect of breed \( i \) (\( i = 1, ..., 4 \)),
- \( R_j \) = fixed effect of region \( j \) (\( j = 1, ..., 3 \)),
- \( C_n \) = fixed effect of calving year \( k \) (\( k = 1, ..., 8 \)),
- \( S_l \) = fixed effect of calving season \( l \) (\( l = 1, ..., 4 \)),
- \( P_m \) = fixed effect of parity \( m \) (\( m = 1, ..., 6 \)),
- \( L_o \) = fixed effect of lactation stage classes \( n \) (\( n = 1, ..., 5 \)),
- \( e_{ijklmnop} \) = residual.

Schich’s multiple comparisons in PROC GLM (SAS/STAT) were used to test the significance (\( p<0.001 \)) of the differences in daily milk traits due to analysed effects.

Results and discussion

Ekine-Dzivenu et al. (2020) assumed that in the period from October to May there is a low probability of expression of heat stress in dairy cows, therefore, the data collected in this period were not included in the statistical analysis. The test-day records obtained during the four summer months
Table 2. Least square means of daily milk traits in regard to clustering cows’ breed and region (THI≥72)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect / Trait</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Breed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mačva</td>
<td>Podunavlje</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMY, kg</td>
<td>17.00&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.70&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFC, %</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.00&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPC, %</td>
<td>3.18&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.24&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DMY - daily milk yield, DFC - daily fat content, DPC - daily protein content, Least square means within the same row marked with different letters differ statistically highly significant (p<0.001)

(June, July, August, and September) when the microclimate was characterized by THI≥72, were used for the statistical analysis. Analysis of variance showed that the analysed daily milk traits (daily milk yield, daily fat content, and daily protein content), were statistically significantly (p<0.001) affected by all effects considered in the statistical model. Similarly, Petrovic et al. (2015) found that the effects of a farm, lactation groups and calving seasons on milk traits were statistically significant (p<0.01). Other authors (Popovac et al., 2020; Straczeck et al. 2021) found that the lactation stage statistically significant (p<0.05) affects milk yield per cow. Popovac et al. (2020) also reported a statistically highly significant (p<0.001) effect of the lactation stage on the milk fat and protein yield. Contrary to the results found in this study, Popovac et al. (2020) found that the year of calving had no significant (p>0.05) effect on milk and protein yield, while the calving season statistically significantly affected the same traits (p<0.05). Furthermore, analysing the decline in daily milk production, Wildridge et al. (2018), found a statistically highly significant (p<0.001) association between the THI levels and the milk yield per cow.

Table 2 shows the LSMeans (least square means) of the analyzed daily milk traits depending on the breeding region and cows’ breed.

The regional variation in the daily milk yield measured during the summer months in heat stress conditions (THI≥72) shows that the highest daily milk production of cows was achieved in the predominantly lowland Region of Podunavlje (18.70 kg/day), followed by the region of Šumadija (17.75 kg/day) and Mačva (17.00 kg/day). Furthermore, the content of milk fat (%) was higher in the region of Šumadija (4.00 %) compared to the other two (3.95 %), while the protein content (%) was lowest in cattle reared in the region of Mačva (3.18 %) compared to the other two regions (3.24 %). LSMeans of the analysed daily milk traits depending on the cow's breed show that the highest daily milk yield was achieved by Holstein-Friesian cows with an average of 19.30 kg/day, then Red Holstein 19.23 kg/day, Simmental cows with 16.82 kg/day and Brown Swiss with 15.93 kg/day. The highest daily milk production of cows was achieved in the predominantly lowland Region of Podunavlje (18.69 kg/day), was achieved by cows calved during the spring season, which is in line with the basics of the natural seasonality of cattle. Furthermore, the daily milk yield of cows under the heat stress differed regarding the calving season, with the lowest determined daily milk yield in cows calved during the autumn season (16.90 kg/day). The daily milk fat content varied from a maximum of 3.99 % in cows calved during the autumn season, to a minimum of 3.94 % in cows calved during the spring. The protein content has the same value of 3.21 % in cows calved during the spring and summer seasons, while it is slightly higher (3.22 %) in cows calved during the autumn and winter. Gaafar et al. (2011), Joksimović-Todorović et al. (2011), and Shoc et al. (2016) also noted the impact of the summer season on declining milk quantity and quality. The summer season, compared to the winter, first reduces the animal’s appetite, and then consequently milk production (Rauba et al., 2019).

Analysis of the effect of parity showed that daily milk production increases until the third lactation, after which it gradually decreases (in the first 17.09 kg/day, the third 18.56 kg/day, and the sixth 17.43 kg/day). The content of milk fat was the highest in the fifth lactation (3.97 %), while the protein content was constant until the completed fifth, and decreased in higher lactations (sixth and higher). Wang et al.
(2020) pointed out that younger cows (in the first lactation) in comparison to older ones, generate less metabolic heat and have a larger body surface area compared to body weight, which effectively dissipates body heat on the body surface and is therefore considered more tolerant to heat stress than older cows (cows in higher lactations).

Analysis of the effect of the lactation stage showed, as expected, that cows had the highest milk production in the period from 61-120 days of lactation (21.78 kg/day); and the lowest at the end of lactation, after 300 days (11.97 kg/day). Straczek et al. (2021) determined the maximum daily production on day 65, while Knob et al. (2021) the maximum milk yield determined in the fourth week of lactation (up to the 28th day). The daily content of milk fat and protein showed a progression from the beginning to the end of lactation. The daily fat content increased from 3.83 % to 4.11 %, while daily protein content increased from 3.15 % in the first to 3.28 % in the fifth class of lactation stage. Spto et al. (2009) confirmed that both fat yield and content vary depending on parity and lactation stage. Cinčović and Belić (2011) found a significant decline in milk production and quality in the second third of the lactation (100-200 days) taking place in an environment characterised by a THI value higher than 72.

Table 4 shows the LS-means of daily milk yield traits in regard to the month of milk recording, with the constant value of THI≤72. The effect of heat stress on milk production was evident, especially in the daily milk yield and daily fat content, the values of which constantly decrease in the analyzed period from June to September. Daily milk yield decreased from 18.01 kg/day in June to 17.92 kg/day in July, then 17.78 kg/day in August to 17.55 kg/day in September. The daily fat content also decreased from 3.97 % in June to 3.96 % in the following months, while the daily protein content was the lowest during July and August (3.21 %). Renna et al. (2010) reported a significant decline in milk yield, fat, and protein content during the summer months, starting in June, similarly to the results of this study. Also, Tamami et al. (2018) determined that at values of THI≤60 the highest daily milk production per animal was recorded. Ekine-Dzivenu et al. (2020) stated that cows were under the influence of heat stress when THI is in the interval from 67-76. Bernabucci et al. (2010) quantify the loss of production by stating a decrease in the daily milk yield by 0.27 kg with an increase in the THI value by 1.

The effect of heat stress on daily milk fat content is unclear, and different results have been reported. Bernabucci et al. (2015) analysed the effect of recording months and found a significant decrease in daily milk fat content during the summer months (3.20 %) compared to the values recorded during the winter months (3.80 %) and spring months (3.61 %). The differences recorded in this study were significantly lower (3.96 % and 3.97 %). Cowley et al. (2015) reported that they did not find significant differences in milk fat content between cows under the normal conditions and during the heat stress conditions. Bohmanova et al. (2007) found that the highest values of the THI index (76-81) evident during the summer months (June, July and August) caused the highest decline in milk production. Kadzere et al. (2002) observed that moderate levels of heat stress occur at THI values ≥72 when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait/Effect</th>
<th>Daily milk yield, kg</th>
<th>Daily fat content, %</th>
<th>Daily protein content, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calving season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Winter</td>
<td>18.31&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Spring</td>
<td>18.69&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.94&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.21&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Summer</td>
<td>17.38&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.21&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Autumn</td>
<td>16.90&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.99&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>17.09&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>17.89&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>18.56&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.</td>
<td>18.20&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td>17.72&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.97&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI.</td>
<td>17.43&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.96&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.21&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactation stage (days)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. (≤60)</td>
<td>21.34&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.83&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.15&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. (61-120)</td>
<td>21.78&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.87&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.17&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. (121-200)</td>
<td>18.73&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. (201-300)</td>
<td>15.26&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.03&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.25&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. (&gt;300)</td>
<td>11.97&lt;sup&gt;E&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.11&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.26&lt;sup&gt;F&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LS-means within the same collumn marked with different letters differ statistically highly significant (p<0.001)

Table 4. Least square means of daily milk traits in regard to milk recording month (THI≤72)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect/Trait</th>
<th>Milk recording month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily milk yield, kg</td>
<td>18.01&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily fat content, %</td>
<td>3.97&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily protein content, %</td>
<td>3.22&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LS-means within the same row marked with different letters differ statistically highly significant (p<0.001)
the “heat shock gene” as a candidate thermotolerance gene in dairy breeds of cattle may affect the maintenance of lower animal body temperature levels (Xiong et al., 2013; Das et al., 2016). Carabaño et al. (2019) stated that the selection for high milk production, due to the negative correlation between the milk production traits and the resistance of cattle to heat stress, caused a decrease in the adaptation of cattle to high temperatures. Considering that heat tolerance is genetically determined, the application of adequate selection measures can increase the tolerance of dairy cattle to heat stress (Ravagnolo and Misztal, 2000; Garner et al., 2017).

Conclusions

Based on the conducted research, it could be emphasised that all the effects included in the statistical model (breed, region, calving year, calving season, parity and lactation stage) statistically highly significant (p<0.001) affected daily milk production (yield and content) of cows reared in the terms of the heat stress conditions (THI≥72). Regarding the breeding region, the highest daily milk production was determined in the region of Podunavlje, while the highest daily content of fat and protein was in the region of Šumadija. Regarding the breed, the highest daily milk yield was produced by the Holstein-Friesian breed, with the highest content determined in Brown Swiss cows. Furthermore, dairy cows calved during the spring season, in the third lactation and from the 61st to the 120th day of lactation, had the highest milk production during the summer period in an environment characterised by heat stress (THI≥72).

The results obtained indicate that the daily milk yield of cows in a heat stress environment decreased in the period from June to September. The lowest daily fat content was determined in cows calved in the spring season, during the first lactation and in the first phase of lactation (<60 days). Furthermore, the daily milk fat content was lower during July, August and September compared to June. The daily protein content decreased during the summer period and increased regarding the parity and lactation stage. Obtained results indicate different animals’ responses to heat stress concerning the animal’s breed, rearing region, calving year, calving season, parity and lactation stage. Therefore, all of these effects should be taken into account when optimising the environmental and genetic variability related to the response to heat stress.
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Učinak utjecaja vezanih uz pojedinu životinju i nekih okolišnih utjecaja na dnevnu proizvodnju mlijeka mliječnih krava u uvjetima toplinskog stresa

Sažetak

Cilj istraživanja bio je utvrditi učinak različitih fiksnih utjecaja (pasmina, regija uzgoja, godina teljenja, sezona teljenja, redoslijed te stadij laktacije) na dnevnu proizvodnju mlijeka (količinu i sastav) četiri mliječne pasmine goveda u uvjetima toplinskog stresa (THI≥72). Istraživanje je provedeno na bazi podataka kontrole mliječnosti (test-day records) četiri različite pasmine mliječnih goveda (simentalska, holstein-frizijska, crveni holstein i smeđa) uzgajanih u Republici Srbiji (Mačva, Podunavlje i Šumadija). Statističkom analizom obuhvaćeni su podaci prikupljeni tijekom kontrole mliječnosti u razdoblju od početka 2012. godine do kraja 2019. godine. Provedena analiza pokazuje da su svi utjecaji uključeni u statistički model (pasmina, regija uzgoja, godina teljenja, sezona teljenja, redoslijed te stadije laktacije) statistički visoko značajno (p<0,001) utjecali na dnevnu proizvodnju mlijeka (količinu i sastav) krava uzgajanih u uvjetima toplinskog stresa. Najveća dnevna proizvodnja mlijeka utvrđena je u regiji Podunavlje i to kod holstein-frizijske pasmine. Nadalje, najveću proizvodnju mlijeka tijekom letnjeg razdoblja u uvjetima toplinskog stresa imale su mliječne krave koje su se tellile tijekom proljetne sezone, te u trećoj laktaciji i od 61. do 120. dana laktacije. Također, dnevna količina mlijeka krava u uvjetima toplinskog stresa smanjivala se u razdoblju od lipnja do rujna. Dobiveni rezultati indiciraju različite reakcije pojedinih životinja na toplinski stres ovisno o pasmini, uzgojnom području, godini i sezoni teljenja, te redoslijedu i stadiju laktacije.

Ključne riječi: mliječne krave; pasmine goveda; proizvodnja mlijeka; toplinski stress; podaci na kontrolni dan
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