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Abstract:

Competitive adolescent sport climbers are reported to keep very low energy intake in order to achieve the highest possible strength-to-mass ratio required for their sport. Long term low energy availability (<30 kcal/kg fat free mass/day) is known to have a detrimental effect on health and performance. Due to the potential severity of consequences and the lack of the data on specific population, our aim was to assess energy availability and dietary intake of 27 members of the Slovenian Youth Climbing Team (13-18 years of age). Three-day food and activity records, questionnaires and anthropometric measurements were used to determine participants’ energy availability, nutritional intake, avoidance of food groups and selected health history. Average energy availability in climbers was 27.5 ± 9.8 kcal/kg fat free mass/day and 63% of participants failed to meet the recommended 30 kcal/kg fat free mass/day. Their average carbohydrate (4.3 ± 1.3 g/kg body mass/day), calcium (780 ± 300 mg/day) and vitamin D (2.6 ± 2.3 µg/day) intake were also too low. Average protein intake was in recommended range, but 56% of participants did not meet the minimum recommended limit. Iron intake was too low in females (10 ± 5 mg/day; target 15 mg/day). Only 15% of participants reported not avoiding any food groups. The menstrual dysfunction was detected in five female climbers (36%); all had energy availability < 30 kcal/kg fat free mass/day. We recommend nutritional education of climbers, their coaches, and parents as well as regular individual nutritional assessment of competitive adolescent sport climbers.
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Introduction

Sport climbing is a rapidly growing and popular sport that has been part of the Olympic games since Tokyo 2020 (IOC, 2016). It is composed of three disciplines: bouldering, speed climbing, and lead climbing which differ in terms of their physiological requirements. Anaerobic and aerobic systems participate in the production of adenosine triphosphate. Data suggest that climbing requires the use of a significant portion of whole body aerobic capacity, with anaerobic power being more important in more difficult routes with steeper angles (Bertuzzi, Franchini, Kokubun, & Kiss, 2007; Sheel, 2004). Climbing is considered a gravitational sport and thus for achieving success in it requires a high strength-to-mass ratio (Watts, Martin, & Durtscbi, 1993). Adolescent climbers, as well as adults, tend to have a smaller stature, lower body mass (BM), lower body fat (BF) and a greater handgrip-to-BM ratio compared to their peers (Watts, Joubert, Lish, Mast, & Wilkins, 2003). Their body mass index (BMI) is similar to the control group of non-climbers, while lower sums of skinfolds indicate a greater share of fat free mass (FFM) (Watts, et al., 2003). Research has shown that the variance in climbing performance could be explained by the trainable variables such as knee and shoulder strength, grip strength, upper and lower body power, hang time and %BF rather than by the anthropometric characteristics like BM, body height, leg length, arm span, and ape index (Mermier, Janot, Parker, & Swan, 2000). Despite that, anecdotal evidence suggests that low BM is desirable among climbers which is why they resort to different restrictive diets, most often characterized by very low carbohydrate (CHO) intake irrespective of training volume or intensity (Gibson-Smith, Storey, & Ranchordas, 2017). Importance of adequate CHO intake for athletes is well recognized for its role in performance and adaptation to training (Thomas, Erdman, & Burke, 2016).

There is limited information available about the nutritional needs and nutritional intake of young climbers. Energy requirements in adolescent climbers were assessed only in a single study (Watts & Ostrowski, 2014), and recently a pilot
study that monitored macronutrient intake and eating attitudes in recreational and competitive adolescent rock climbers was published (Michael, Witard, & Joubert, 2019). Both studies and others, performed with adult climbers (Gibson-Smith, Storey, & Ranchordas, 2020; Kemmler, et al., 2006; Merrells, Friel, Knaus, & Suh, 2008; Sas-Nowosielski & Wycislik, 2019; Zapf, Fichtl, Wielgoss, & Schmidt, 2001), report suboptimal energy intake (EI). The aforementioned studies, except for the study by Gibson-Smith et al. (2020), used energy balance (EB) as the traditional metric for determining the adequacy of EI. However, the body adapts to chronic low EI by resourcing energy needed for training from other physiological functions, which results in a lower resting metabolic rate (RMR) and makes the athletes only appear in EB, while their physiological systems are not working properly in an effort to adapt to a chronic lack of energy (Loucks, Kiens, & Wright, 2011). Therefore, energy availability (EA), which represents the amount of energy that is available for bodily functions, growth and development, taking into consideration energy expenditure during the planned physical activity (Loucks, et al., 2011), is encouraged to be used in research. Low EA (LEA), defined as EA <30 kcal/kg FFM/day, has severe negative impact on athlete's health, training consistency and competitive performance (Mountjoy, et al., 2014, 2018). Optimal and therefore recommended EA has been identified to be at least 45 kcal/kg FFM/day in female adults (Loucks, 2013) and 40 kcal/kg FFM/day in adult exercising men (Koehler, et al., 2016), but may be even higher in adolescent athletes who are still growing and developing (Weiss Kelly, Hecht, & Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness, 2016). This is why we used a cut-off value of 45 kcal/kg FFM/day in this study to identify optimal EA in adolescent climbers for both sexes. EA of 30-45 kcal/kg FFM/day was considered a reduced EA. Athletes should only stay within this range for a short period of time, e.g. when aiming to reduce BM (Melin, Heikura, Tenforde, & Mountjoy, 2019).

LEA is perceived to be the main reason for relative energy deficiency in sport (RED-S) which refers to disturbed physiological functions caused by the relative energy deficiency, which, among other things, includes the metabolic rate and also reproduction, bone health, immunity, protein synthesis, and cardiovascular health (Mountjoy, et al., 2014). Long-lasting LEA in adolescent athletes may result in numerous health issues, such as the late onset of puberty, menstrual cycle abnormalities, poor bone health, stunted growth, the development of disordered eating, and higher risk of injury (Desbrow, et al., 2014).

Due to the increase in popularity of sport climbing and the lack of data on EA and dietary intake for elite and advanced climbers in the period of adolescence, our aim was to determine them exactly since LEA can be subclinical. The long-term effects of LEA can be debilitating and potentially irreversible; however, they can be prevented if diagnosed in time.

Methods

Participants

All the members of the Slovenia Youth Climbing team (38) were invited to participate in the measurements performed during the national team selection camp in February 2020. Final cohort consisted of 27 subjects. The study was approved by the Republic of Slovenia National Medical Ethics Committee (No: 0120-690/2017/8). All the participants and their parents provided written informed consent.

Anthropometric measurements

Body height (BH) was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm and BM to the nearest 0.01 kg on a scale with integrated stadiometer (M304641-01, AED, Germany). The whole body bioelectrical impedance analysis in supine position was performed using an alternating sinusoidal electric current of 400 μA at an operating frequency of 50 kHz (BIA 101 Anniversary AKERN, Florence, Italy, medically approved: EN ISO 13485 – ISO 9001 and approved for pediatric use) according to recommendations (Kyle, et al., 2004) with emptied urine bladder and with no physical activity, alcohol or food intake in the last eight hours. FFM, percentage of fat mass (%FM), and predicted basal metabolic rate (BMR) were calculated by an integrated software (BODY-GRAM® PLUS, AKERN, Florence, Italy). Due to the age interval (13-18 years of age), BH, BM and BMI were expressed in percentiles for individual age and sex using growth charts from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, 2019) for better comparison with standards.

Dietary assessment, energy consumption evaluation and EA calculation

Climbers were asked to continue their normal diet and physical activity but to keep weighted food and activity records for three consecutive days, including one training free day. They were fully briefed (written and oral instructions by a dietitian) on how to complete the diary. They were asked to include food labels, photos, and recipes for mixed dishes in their record and to be accurate and sincere. All records were reviewed and if any abnormalities were observed, climbers were contacted to clarify the issue. Dietary intake was assessed with a dietary assessment and planning tool Open Platform for Clinical Nutrition (OPEN; http://www.opkp.si/en_GB/cms/vstopna-stran, accessed in July 2021). Physical activity was recorded by type, dura-
tion, and intensity. Energy expenditure for planned exercise (EEE) was calculated based on the activity logs using metabolic equivalent of task (MET), in accordance with the literature (Ainsworth et al., 2000).

EA was calculated with the following equation (Loucks, et al., 2011):

$$ EA = \frac{EI - EEE}{FFM} $$

Evaluation of the dietary intake of macro- and micronutrients was based on valid sports nutrition guidelines for young athletes (Desbrow, et al., 2014). Where reference values were not defined for adolescent athletes specifically, we used Slovene Reference values for energy and nutrient intake (NIJZ, 2020). Given the type, intensity and duration of the exercise, we set the minimum threshold for CHO intake at 5 g/kg, the minimum required intake for protein 1.3 g/kg (Desbrow, et al., 2014), whereas the dietary fat intake minimum was set at 30% of EI, calcium intake at 1200 mg, vitamin D at 20 µg and iron intake at 12 mg per day for male and 15 mg per day for females based on general recommendations for this age group (NIJZ, 2020).

**Questionnaires**

Information on climbing ability, dietary habits, frequency of infections and menstrual history was obtained by a questionnaire. Climbing ability was determined with the International Rock Climbing Research Association (IRCRA) scale (Draper, et al., 2015). Primary amenorrhea was determined as the failure of menses to occur by the age of 16 years, secondary amenorrhea as the loss of menses for three or more months and/or oligomenorrhea as 35 or more days between menses (Nattiv, et al., 2007).

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS 20.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., New York) and Microsoft Excel were used to analyse the data. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Normality of distributions was determined with Shapiro-Wilk’s test of normality. Differences between the means of two groups were tested with independent-samples t-tests for normally distributed data. Nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used when distribution normality was violated. To test differences between actual and target dietary intake, paired sample t-tests were used. Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests were used when normality was violated. To test differences between two categorical variables, Pearson chi square test was used. Significance was considered when p<.05.

**Results**

**Participants**

Twenty-seven adolescent climbers (15.7 ± 1.5 years of age; 14 females) participated in the study. Their average climbing experience was 9.50 ± 1.25 years. Based on the IRCRA scale (Draper, et al., 2015), the group was comprised of eight elite, 15 advanced and one intermediate climber; three climbers did not report their climbing standard. Other characteristics of the climbers are presented in Table 1

| Table 1. Characteristics of adolescent climbers divided by sex |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
|                | All (N= 27)     | Male (n= 13)    | Female (n=14)  | p  |
| Age (years)    | 15.7 ± 1.5      | 15.5 ± 1.6      | 15.9 ± 1.5     | .477|
| Body height (cm)| 166.0 ± 8.0     | 170.5 ± 8.0     | 161.5 ± 5.0    | .002|
| Body mass (kg) | 54.0 ± 8.5      | 56.4 ± 11.0     | 51.7 ± 4.3     | .177a|
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 19.5 ± 1.8      | 19.2 ± 2.2      | 19.8 ± 1.5     | .383|
| BM percentile (CDC) | 48 ± 19 | 49 ± 23 | 46 ± 14 | .665|
| BH percentile (CDC) | 58 ± 25 | 64 ± 23 | 52 ± 25 | .191|
| BMI percentile (CDC) | 40 ± 21 | 36 ± 24 | 44 ± 18 | .355|
| EI (kcal/day)   | 1790 ± 470      | 1880 ± 470      | 1710 ± 460     | .366|
| BMR (kcal)      | 1560 ± 160      | 1630 ± 210      | 1500 ± 70      | .051|
| FFM (kg)        | 47.5 ± 8.2      | 51.4 ± 10.2     | 44.0 ± 3.1     | .026*|
| Fat mass (%)    | 12.0 ± 4.4      | 8.9 ± 3.3       | 14.9 ± 3.2     | <.001*|
| Phase angle (*) | 7.0 ± 0.60      | 7.0 ± 0.73      | 7.0 ± 0.48     | .961|
| Training load (h/week)c | 15.6 ± 3.5 | 15.3 ± 3.9 | 15.9 ± 3.1 | .659|
| Climbing ability (IRCRA) | 20.4 ± 2.3 | 21.1 ± 2.2 | 19.9 ± 2.3 | .198|

Note: BH – body height; BM – body mass; BMI – body mass index; CDC – Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; BMR – basal metabolic rate; EI – energy intake; FFM – fat free mass; IRCRA – International Rock Climbing Research Association; PA – phase angle; *equal variances not assumed; *predicted; self reported; N = 24; *N = 10; *N = 14.
Dietary intake vs recommended values

Average EA (27.5 ± 9.8 kcal/kg FFM/day) was below the recommended level (p<.001), with no participant meeting the target of 45 kcal/kg FFM/day and 63% being in the range of LEA (Figure 1A). Average daily CHO intake (4.3 ± 1.3 g/kg BM) was below the target of 5.0 g/kg BM (p=.007), 75% of participants were below the target (Figure 1B), whereas 44% of participants were above the target for protein intake (Figure 1C). Average protein intake was 1.3 ± 0.4 g/kg BM/day. Average daily fat intake was 31.8 ± 4.7% EI, but 37% of participants did not meet the target of 30% EI (Figure 1D). Only 15% of participants met the target of 1200 mg of calcium per day (Figure 1E). Average calcium intake was 780 ± 300 mg/day which was significantly lower than the target (p<.001). As regarded iron intake, 70% of males met the target, 12 mg/day (Figure 1F) with an average intake of 14 ± 5 mg/day, while the average iron intake of females was 10 ± 5 mg/day, which was significantly lower than the target, 15 mg (p=.007). Only 21% of females met the target (Figure 1F). None of the participants met the target vitamin D intake of 20 µg. The average intake was significantly lower; 2.6 ± 2.3 µg/day (p<.001).

Summary of characteristics by the energy availability classification status

Taking into consideration the LEA cut-off point, we divided participants in two groups: the group EA<30 (EA < 30 kcal/kg FFM/day; 17 climbers; 47% males and 53% females) and the group EA30-45 (EA between 30 and 45 kcal/kg FFM/day; 10 climbers; 50% males and 50% females) and compared their characteristics (Table 2). No significant difference between the two groups was found

![Figure 1](image)
for climbing ability, although in group EA<30, three climbers did not report their climbing ability.

**Eating habits and health condition**

The majority of participants were omnivores, except for two males and two females who reported being vegetarian or vegan. One female reported intermittent fasting, without specific description of her eating pattern.

As regards energy intake restriction, 41% (two females and nine males) of the participants never consciously restricted EI; 37% of them (nine females and one male) reported that they rarely did it, while 22% of participants (three females and three males) reported that they sometimes did it.

Further, 15% (one female, three males) of participants reported that they did not avoid any food. Most of the others avoided high-fat meat (67%, 11 females, seven males) and sugar and sweet foods (44%, seven females, five males), while 26% (five females, two males) avoided medium-fat meat and 19% (two females, three males) avoided fats and fatty foods. No significant differences in food avoidance were found between the two EA groups (p>0.05).

Also, 63% (nine females, eight males) of participants reported that they had never visited a doctor due to a respiratory infection, while others had visited a doctor once or twice in the last 12 months.

Regarding menstrual cycle regularity, 43% of female climbers reported having regular menstrual cycles, while 29% had not yet got their first period.

Among the latter group, we identified primary amenorrhea in one climber (7%). We also identified one climber with secondary amenorrhea (7%) and in three climbers (21%) we identified oligomenorrhea. All the girls with menstrual dysfunction had EA under 30 kcal/kg FFM/day, while three of them also had too low calcium and vitamin D intake.

**Discussion and conclusions**

EA and dietary intake were assessed in competitive adolescent sport climbers. We observed low EA and low carbohydrate and other key nutrients intake in our participants, advanced and elite adolescent climbers, aged 13 to 18 years. This is the first study on adolescent climbers, which, in addition to the dietary intake of macronutrients, also determined micronutrients key for sports performance and EA.

Average EA was significantly lower than 45 kcal/kg FFM/day without any major differences between the sexes. This is of concern, as 45 kcal/kg FFM/day is reported to support all physiological functions needed to maintain optimal health (Loucks, et al., 2011). Further, adolescents are still growing, which requires additional energy for growing tissues (Torun, 2005). Yet, 37% of the investigated climbers achieved the value of EA between 30 and 45 kcal/kg FFM/day, which is conditionally

| Table 2. Characteristics of participants divided by energy availability |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **EA<30 (N=17)** | **EA30-45 (N= 10)** | **p** |
| Energy availability (kcal/kg FFM) | 21.5 ± 6.9 | 37.7 ± 2.7 | <.001* |
| Body height (cm) | 167.30 ± 8.77 | 163.60 ± 6.00 | .251 |
| Body mass (kg) | 56.0 ± 10.0 | 51.0 ± 5.0 | .168 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 19.8 ± 1.8 | 19.1 ± 1.9 | .347 |
| BM percentile CDC | 53 ± 18 | 38 ± 18 | .048 |
| BH percentile CDC | 65 ± 25 | 46 ± 19 | .052 |
| BMI percentile CDC | 44 ± 18 | 33 ± 24 | .194 |
| Fat free mass (kg) | 49.0 ± 9.6 | 45.0 ± 4.5 | .443* |
| Fat mass (%) | 12.1 ± 4.5 | 11.8 ± 4.4 | .835 |
| Energy intake (kcal/day) | 1590 ± 430 | 2140 ± 300 | .001 |
| Basal metabolic rate (kcal/day) | 1590 ± 190 | 1500 ± 100 | .152 |
| EEE (kcal/dan) | 530 ± 220 | 450 ± 140 | .223* |
| Training load (h/week) | 15.3 ± 3.5 | 16.2 ± 3.5 | .551 |
| Carbohydrate intake (g/kg BM) | 3.6 ± 1.0 | 5.4 ± 0.8 | <.001 |
| Protein intake (g/kg BM) | 1.2 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.4 | .005 |
| Fat intake (g/kg BM) | 1.0 ± 0.3 | 1.5 ± 0.2 | <.001 |
| Fat intake (%EI) | 31.3 ± 5.1 | 32.6 ± 4.2 | .505 |

Note. BMI – body mass index; CDC – Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; EEE – energy expenditure for planned exercise; FFM – fat free mass; BM – body mass; BH – body height; EI – energy intake; *equal variances not assumed.
acceptable for a shorter time period (Mountjoy et al., 2018), while others had EA under the minimum recommended limit of 30 kcal/kg FFM/day, which is concerning. It is known that longer-lasting energy shortage and LEA lead to RED-S which may lead to a dysfunction of numerous physiological systems and may endanger health and performance (Desbrow et al., 2014; Smith, Holmes, & McAlister, 2015), and in adolescents, also growth and maturation status (Desbrow et al., 2014). All the studies with climbers known to us concluded that EI, when compared to predicted energy expenditure (calculated as a product of basal metabolic rate and physical activity factor), was too low in both adolescent (Michael, Joubert, & Witard, 2019) and adult climbers (Kemmier et al., 2006; Merrells et al., 2008; Sas-Nowosielski & Wycislik, 2019; Zapf et al., 2001). The only study that determined EA was conducted with adult climbers and the average EA of the participants was 41.4 ± 9 kcal/kg FFM/day, with significantly higher EA in females than in males (45.6 ± 7 kcal/kg FFM/day vs. 37.2 ± 9 kcal/kg FFM/day, respectively) (Gibson-Smith et al., 2020). Further, 78% of elite adult climbers failed to meet the predicted energy requirement to support a moderate level of physical activity with an average of 12 hours of training/week, while 18% failed to meet the predicted RMR values (Gibson-Smith et al., 2020). In our study, EI of as many as 26% of adolescent climbers (four males, three females) failed to meet the predicted BMR, despite the high training load. The only research that determined EI in adolescent climbers found that as many as 82% of the participants did not reach the recommended EI of 2,471 ± 493 kcal/day (Michael, Joubert et al., 2019). They reported an average EI of 1,963 ± 581 kcal/day, while we observed even lower EI in our study. Despite the observed low EA, our adolescent climbers were distributed within the normal CDC percentile range for their age for all the three observed anthropometric parameters (BM, BH, and BMI). Similar BMI values in adolescent climbers as in control group were reported before (Watts et al., 2003). The International Federation of Sports Climbing has been monitoring BMI during competitions for the past 10 years, so normal BMI was expected for our participants. If BMI falls below the parameters, the athlete and the National Federation are informed. Medical, psychological and nutritional help should be provided to support the affected athletes (IFSC, 2021). However, LEA and RED-S may be present even if no loss of BM has occurred (Mountjoy et al., 2018). Interestingly, the group EA<30, which comprised nearly 2/3 of the participants, had statistically higher value of BM expressed in CDC percentiles and lower EI than the group EA30-45. There were no differences between the groups in other EA components (EEE, FFM), other anthropometric characteristics, the climbing ability, nor in training load. We believe that higher BM, according to CDC tables, might be the reason for the observed lower EI in the group EA<30, as higher BM is perceived as not desirable in sport climbing. With our cross-sectional study, however, we cannot determine whether the recorded low EA was the acute one during the preparation for the national team selection camp or a longer-term effort of the climbers to counteract genetic anthropometric predispositions. The climbers with lower EA were also higher in stature; the difference was close to statistical significance.

Of the macronutrients, low CHO intake contributed the most to the observed low EA in our climbers. Three quarters of the participants did not reach the target of at least 5-g of CHO/kg BM/day and average intake of CHO, 4.3 ± 1.3 g CHO/kg BM/day, was below the target. Michael et al. (2019) came to a similar conclusion, namely, 86% of their adolescent climbers did not reach the same target, 5-g CHO/kg BM/day, their average daily CHO intake was 4.3 ± 1.6 g CHO/kg BM. Studies with adult climbers show even lower intakes of CHO; below 4.0 g CHO/kg BM/day (Gibson-Smith et al., 2020; Sas-Nowosielski & Wycislik, 2019). Considering the lack of studies, the minimum daily recommended intake is unclear, so Michael et al. (2019) recommend 3-7 g CHO/kg BM, while setting 5-g CHO/kg BM is the value which would prevent the depletion of glycogen in activities with similar physiological requirements as sport climbing. The group with lower EA had lower CHO intake than the group with higher EA. It has been known that young athletes who have an adequate EA reach or exceed protein intake recommendations (Gibson, Stuart-Hill, Martin, & Gaul, 2011; Heaney, O’Connor, Gifford, & Naughton, 2010; Petrie, Stover, & Horswill, 2004). Considering the fact that none of our climbers reached the optimal EA of 45 kcal/kg FFM/day, we wanted to determine whether the climbers managed to satisfy their protein requirements. We estimated increased protein requirements in adolescent climbers to be 1.3-1.8 g/kg BM/day. The determined average value for male and female climbers was not significantly different from the minimum recommended value, 1.3 g/kg BM/day; however, 56% of the participants did not achieve that minimum recommended limit, which was a much higher number than it was determined in a similar study (Michael, Joubert et al., 2019) where the minimum required daily intake was assessed to be 1.6 g/kg BM and only 23% of the participants did not reach it. Group EA<30 had lower protein intake, with most participants from the group not reaching the minimum recommended limit. Sufficient protein intake is very important in the case of low EA, especially in combination with low CHO intakes, because part of the protein is used in gluconeogenesis to form glucose as an energy
Low energy availability (EA) is a condition affecting elite female athletes, characterized by a lack of sufficient energy intake to meet the demands of training and performance. This can lead to various health issues, including anemia, osteoporosis, and dysmorphic eating behaviors.

We observed too low daily iron intake in females with vitamin D supplements (Desbrow, et al., 2014). Vitamin D intake should be appropriately replaced April) (Hribar, et al., 2020). In case of insufficiency, determination of the vitamin D status, we should determine 25-(OH) D serum values (Halliday, et al., 2011). We do not have data for adolescents, but one study in young climbers showed that approximately 17% of male climbers and 45% of female climbers had suboptimal vitamin D status, with a significant medium-strength correlation between vitamin D intake and daily EI (Gibson-Smith, et al., 2020). A too low intake may lead to vitamin D deficiency and, at the same time, iron deficiency, although there was a trend of lower calcium intake (Gibson, et al., 2011; Heaney, et al., 2010; Juzwiak, et al., 2008). There were no differences between the sexes or EA groups, although there was a trend of lower calcium intake in group EA<30. Inadequate calcium intake and increased calcium loss may increase risk of osteopenia and osteoporosis, which is especially emphasized in athletes with low EA (Sale, & Elliott-Sale, 2019), as was our study. National recommendations for daily contribution to vitamin D pool are 20 μg of vitamin D, which is to be achieved by way of internal synthesis in the skin or with food (NIJZ, 2020). Since the study was conducted in wintertime, when the incident angle of the sun at our latitude (above 35th parallel north) is too small for the biosynthesis of vitamin D in the skin (Owens, Fraser, & Close, 2015), all daily contribution to vitamin D pool was by dietary intake. The daily vitamin D intake was poor. For an adequate assessment of the vitamin D status, we should determine 25-(OH) D serum values (Halliday, et al., 2011). We do not have data for adolescents, but among Slovenian adults, there is high prevalence of insufficient serum 25(OH)D and vitamin D deficiency during extended wintertime (January-April) (Hribar, et al., 2020). In case of insufficiency, vitamin D intake should be appropriately replaced with vitamin D supplements (Desbrow, et al., 2014). We observed too low daily iron intake in females for which recommended intake is higher than for males (15 mg vs. 12 mg). This was in contrast with other reports, where dietary intake of iron in young male athletes exceeded recommendations, while the females were within the recommended limits (Gibson, et al., 2011; Heaney, et al., 2010; Juzwiak, et al., 2008). A recent study of adult climbers showed that approximately 17% of male climbers and 45% of female climbers had suboptimal iron status, with a significant medium-strength correlation between iron intake and daily EI (Gibson-Smith, et al., 2020). A too low iron intake may lead to iron deficiency, with or without anemia, which negatively affects endurance performance capacity and aerobic adaptation (Rodenberg & Gustafson, 2007). LEA may contribute to iron deficiency and, at the same time, iron deficiency, through its effect on the thyroid function, causes a decrease in appetite and average energy consumption which leads to further deterioration of EA (Petkus, Murray-Kolb, & De Souza, 2017). Indeed, the group with lower EA had lower iron intakes.

The literature reports a higher susceptibility for infection in association with a low EI (Mackinnon, 2000); however, our subjects did not report any frequent infections. Menstrual dysfunction was detected in five female climbers (36%), all pertaining to group EA<30. A lack of research on adolescent female climbers prevents us from comparing our results. Menstrual dysfunction prevalence may vary and has been reported to be between 26% and 43% in young athletes (Austin, Reinking, & Hayes, 2009; Barrack, Rauh, & Nichols, 2008; Tenforde, Fredericson, Sayres, Cutti, & Sainani, 2015), with the highest rate among the athletes of sports that emphasize leanness and low BM (Ackerman & Misra, 2018; Torstveit & Sundgot-Borgen, 2005). Primary amenorrhea prevalence was reported to be 7% among the general population and 22% among cheerleaders, divers, and gymnasts (Beals & Manore, 2002). Menstrual dysfunction is often not given enough attention and remains overlooked despite the fact that it increases the risk of the early onset of osteoporosis (Mountjoy, et al., 2014). Too low calcium levels and vitamin D intake represent an additional risk factor and were discovered in three female climbers in addition to their menstrual dysfunction and low EA.

The absence of protocol for determining EA outside of a laboratory and methodological issues in measuring each individual EA component (Burke, Lundy, Fahrenholtz, & Melin, 2018) might contribute to the observed low EA. To minimize these issues, we tried to limit the majority of possible errors with detailed instructions by a dietitian for filling out the 3-day food records, including training-free days, revision and explanation of ambiguities in the record, strictly following the protocol when carrying out bioimpedance meas-
Low energy and dietary intake, regardless of whether intentional or unintentional, combined with high training load in competitive adolescent sport climbers, led to macronutrient (most markedly CHO) and/or micronutrient (most markedly Ca²⁺ and vitamin D) intake insufficiency as well, which can have further negative consequences.

Based on the acquired information and considering the findings by other authors, we conclude that adolescent climbers, both female and male, should be subjected to regular screening for risk factors and symptoms included in RED-S, implying that their regular professional nutrition management should also be considered.
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