VOL. 31

original scientific paper / izvorni znanstveni rad DOI 10.20302/NC.2022.31.23

ZAGREB

REVISITING THE METHODS OF ARTEMIA REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE TEST (ANOSTRACA: CRUSTACEA)

Alexandr Ubaskin^{1,2}, Kairat Akhmetov¹, Talgat Abylkhassanov¹, Alexandr Lunkov² & Nazymgul Akimbekova¹

¹Toraighyrov University, Pavlodar, Kazakhstan ²TOO Irtysh Environmental Center, L.L.C., Pavlodar, Kazakhstan

Ubaskin, A., Akhmetov, K., Abylkhassanov, T., Lunkov, A. & Akimbekova, N.: Revisiting the methods of *Artemia* reproductive performance test (Anostraca: Crustacea). Nat. Croat., Vol. 31, No. 2, 325-334, Zagreb, 2022.

Artemia cf. parthenogenetica Bowen and Sterling, 1978 is widely distributed in the saline lakes of Northern Kazakhstan. Female Artemia can easily be recognized by a brood pouch full of cysts before being released. The number of cysts can be used to estimate both individual reproductive performance and the entire population. During the studies involving Artemia reproductive performance, it was observed that throughout the growing season, in the water bodies, there were females whose well-developed brood pouches were partially filled with cysts. Thus, we were confronted with a question about the relevance of using females with partially filled brood pouches to determine the reproductive performance of Artemia. The number of cysts in a full brood pouch and a partially filled brood pouch revealed consistent differences in the following statistical indicators: average reproductive performance, coefficient of variation, and average minimum and maximum reproductive performance. As the percentage of females in the population with cysts not yet ready to be released increases, the percentage of females with partially filledbrood pouches decreases. The general conclusion is that the use of females with partially filled brood pouches underestimates the valid value of average reproductive performance and also changes the pattern of the reproductive performance distribution. The obtained results prove that only females with a full brood pouch should be used when assessing the reproductive performance value of Artemia.

Key words: Artemia, Kazakhstan, reproductive performance, brood pouch, cysts

Ubaskin, A., Akhmetov, K., Abylkhassanov, T., Lunkov, A. & Akimbekova, N.: Revizija metoda za testiranje reproduktivne sposobnosti *Artemia* (Anostraca: Crustacea). Nat. Croat., Vol. 31, No. 2, 325-334, Zagreb, 2022.

Artemia cf. parthenogenetica Bowen and Sterling, 1978 široko je rasprostranjena u slanim jezerima sjevernog Kazahstana. Ženka račića Artemia može se lako prepoznati po leglu punom cista prije nego što ih ispusti. Broj cista može se koristiti za procjenu pojedinačne reproduktivne sposobnosti, kao i cijele populacije. Tijekom istraživanja reproduktivnog učinka ovog račića, primijećeno je da su tijekom vegetacijske sezone postojale ženke čije su dobro razvijene vrećice s leglom bile djelomično ispunjene cistama. Stoga smo se bavili pitanjem o važnosti korištenja ženki s djelomično ispunjenim leglom za određivanje reproduktivne učinkovitosti tog račića. Broj cista u vrećici punog legla i djelomično ispunjenoj vrećici legla otkrio je stabilne razlike u sljedećim statističkim pokazateljima: prosječna reproduktivna sposobnost, koeficijent varijacije te prosječna minimalna i maksimalna reproduktivna učinkovitost. Kako raste postotak ženki s djelomično ispunjenim leglom. Opći je zaključak da korištenje ženki s djelomično ispunjene za

^{*} Corresponding author: kairat_akhmetov@mail.ru, awupawl@mail.ru

sposobnosti i također mijenja obrazac raspodjele reproduktivne sposobnosti. Dobiveni rezultati dokazuju da se samo ženke s punim leglom trebaju koristiti pri procjeni vrijednosti reproduktivne učinkovitosti račića *Artemia*.

Ključne riječi: Artemia, Kazahstan, reproduktivna sposobnost, leglo, ciste

INTRODUCTION

The halophile crustacean species of the genus Artemia found in saline lakes of Northern Kazakhstan, Artemia cf. parthenogenetica Bowen and Sterling, 1978 in view of its method of reproduction (Bowen & Sterling, 1978; Browne et al., 1984; Wolf & Ubaskin, 2006; WOLF, 2011). The name of Artemia parthenogenetica Bowen and Sterling, 1978 described on the basis of five populations found in India (two localities), Autralia, France, Japan (syntypes) is listed as nomen dubium (ROGERS, 2013). See discussion about controversial taxonomy of Artemia in ASEM et al. (2020) in which problem with taxonomical status and name/s of Kazakhstan populations is also included. During 6-7 months in lakes with a favorable reproductive environment, up to 3-4 generations are born, while during the period of low humidity, accompanied by mass drying of shallow lakes, there ae only 1–2 generations during 4–5 months. The main population characteristics of Artemia such as growth, maturation, reproduction, survival and abundance also change due to the fluctuating environmental conditions (GOLUBEV et al., 2008; AGH et al., 2008). As an adaptive property of a species that ensures its normal existence under specific conditions, reproductive performance responds according to changes in many environmental factors, mainly temperature and salinity (Wear & Haslett, 1986; Wear et al., 1986; Ubaskin, 2004; Litvinenko et al., 2009).

The cysts laid by the females of the last generations (August-September), remain in diapause in the water body until the spring. Due to these winter cysts, population information is transferred from the crustaceans of the previous generation to the next year. Through the successive change of generations of the previous year, the genetic information is transferred to the individuals of the first generation of thenext year. For fecundity analysis, it is very important to estimate such an important indicator as individual or absolute fecundity, which is understood as the number of generation cysts of a given period in the parent's germarium, i.e., those cysts that should be released at a specific time. *Artemia* is a species with a short cyst-maturing period, with synchronous growth of embryos and relatively synchronous cyst release by individuals of the sexually mature part of the population (BROWNE, 1980).

The mature cysts fill the brood pouch completely before spawning. Mature cysts spawn in a short time and leave the brood pouch completely empty, making room for the development of embryos of the next generation. In the studied region, the total number of clutches per life cycle averages about 4.5 (from 2 to 10), and cysts spawn every 7-8 days (LITVINENKO *et al.*, 2009).

The fecundity value is highly variable by region and timing, is related to the race, and reflects a combination of litter size and spacing (CRIEL & MACRAE, 2002). Average fecundity amounts for different *Artemia* populations range from 21 to 111 eggs, indicating the influence of environmental conditions on fecundity (BROWNE *et al.*, 1984). When artificially cultured under optimal conditions, on average, one individual lays up to 100-300 cysts (or nauplii) at intervals of 4 to 11 days (SORGELOOS *et al.*, 1986).

The general analysis of data on fecundity in different regions of the world shows that less productive populations are characteristic of water bodies with relatively constant environmental conditions, while more productive ones are characteristic of populations experiencing annual stress, which causes a protective reaction against extinction and high cyst production. Therefore, it is very important to obtain objective data on the fecundity of *Artemia* inhabiting a specific region with specific environmental conditions.

There is a vast literature on *Artemia* reproductive performance; however, the authors of studies of such an important biological indicator do not always specify the methodological techniques used for collection, processing, and analysis.

The purpose of this article is to obtain a comparative assessment of the reliability of the data obtained on the fecundity of Artemia in individuals caught in the course of research, with different degrees to which egg sac is filled.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in 9 lakes of Pavlodar region of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Schematic map of the location of the studied lakes in the Pavlodar region of the Republic of Kazakhstan; 1– Tabalgasor; 2 – Kabantakyr; 3 – Aschitakyr; 4 – Kalatuz; 5 – Aydarcha; 6 – Borli; 7 – Seiten; 8 – Kalcha; 9 – Sherbakty.

Artemia samples in each water body were collected at different stations, but an attempt was made to catch Artemia in the most different biotopes of the water body Artemia they inhabit (Van STAPPEN, 1996). Artemia adult specimens were collected using a 150 µm mesh plankton net and then placed in a neutralized 4% formalin solution at the collection site. In the laboratory Artemia females collected from each lake were examined under a binocular loupe MBS-10. To estimate the fecundity value, females werepreliminarily divided into two groups: a full brood pouch (BPf) and a partially filled brood pouch (BPp/f). The BPf group included those females in which mature eggs were tightly adjacent to each other and filled the entire space of the brood pouch,

in which almost no gaps were observed. Group (BPp/f) included those females with a small number of single eggs in well-developed brood pouches of the maximum size, in which a light space was clearly observed. Then, the brood pouch was opened with a needle, and all the eggs in it were counted. The number (%) of females with cysts not yet ready to be released was estimated separately.

Adult female *Artemia* have a brood pouch (BP) that is full of cysts before beingreleased. We classified such individuals as females with a full brood pouch (BP,) (Fig. 2A).



Fig. 2. Artemia females with different brood pouch fill rates (Photo by S. Titov).

The cysts fit tightly together, filling the entire space of the brood pouch, and there are almost no gaps in it. However, during the whole growing season in the water bodies, there were females with well- developed BP of maximum size with a few cysts

located singly, between which empty spots with light space are clearly observed) (Fig. 2B). We classified such individuals as females with a partially filled brood pouch $(BP_{p/f})$. Also, during the sampling process, it was noted that females placed in containers in large numbers began to lay eggs actively, and empty spaces of voids are formed in the brood pouches due to high density, reduced oxygen, and exposure to fixing agents (Fig. 2C). Using such *Artemia* females with BP_{p/f} to determine reproductive performance certainly leads to unreliable data. A similar situation was observed by N. N. Khmeleva (KHMELEVA, 1968): "... the average reproductive performance values obtained from field collections are underestimated, as in a number of cases, females lay part of the eggs from the brood pouches" (p. 89).

Therefore, the *Artemia* females collected in each individual lake to estimate the reproductive performance value were preliminarily divided into two groups: those with full brood pouches (BP_t) and partially filled brood pouches (BP_{p/t}). The number of cysts (individual reproductive performance) wasthen estimated when the brood pouch was opened. Calculation of all numerical indices was made in Microsoft Excel and Statistica. The samples were photographed using an ICO Infinitive binocular biological microscope.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comparison of the results obtained in the two groups revealed reliable differences in all statistical indicators of reproductive performance (Tab. 1).

The average reproductive performance in the group with BP_f from 9 lakes was 36.6 pcs, while inthe group with BP_{p/f}, it was only 13.4 pcs, or 2.7 times less. The difference in reproductive performance across individual lakes ranged from 52.0 to 73.3% (on average 62.0%). The variability of reproductive performance values also varied significantly with different BP fill rates. The coefficient of variation was 32.2–52.5% among females with BP_f and it was 49.1–128.1% among females with BP_{p/f}. Very marked differences in the indices are observed when considering the minimum and maximum values of reproductive performance. The average minimum reproductive performance across the 9 lakes for females with BP_f was 10.4 pcs with a spread of 7–19 pcs, while for females with BP_{p/f} it was only 1.8 pcsand 1–7 pcs, respectively. At the same time, 70% of females with BP_{p/f} had a minimum reproductive performance of 1 pcs. The average maximum reproductive performance of females with BP_{p/f} it was only 45.5 (14–112) or 1.7 times less.

In general, the analysis of the proportion of females with different BP fill rates revealed differences between the two groups. The average values of the proportion of females with BP_t were 48.8% (25.0–74.6), while with BP_{p/f} they were 38.2% (6.0–67.4) (Tab. 2).

Data analysis on *Artemia* reproductive performance from different populations collected at practically the same time revealed another peculiarity. With an increase in the proportion of females with cysts not yet ready for release, the proportion of females with $BP_{p/f}$ decreases (r=0.76) (Fig. 3). Such individuals captured and placed in the fixing solution generally retain their cysts in the BP. It is also possible that this is due to the fact that young females have not yet established metabolism patterns and levels, and releasing cysts requires increased effort associated with metabolic costs.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lake, GPS	Date of sampling (generation)	Water Temperature, ² C	Salinity,	Indicators	BP _f	BP _{p/f}	Diffe- rence, %	t
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tabalgasor	18.05.2019	+23	22	x±m	18.8±1.0	7.3±1.9	61.2	5.36
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	52º56'26''	(1)			lim	7 - 41	2 - 14		p<0.001
n 55 6 6 Kabantakyr 06.09.2020 +15 112 $x\pm m$ 26.5±1.1 12.5±1.7 52.8 6.91 52°37'14" (3-4) lim 8 - 53 1 - 33 p<0.00	74º54'05''				σ/ CV, %	7.2/38.4	4.5/61.9		
Kabantakyr 06.09.2020 +15 112 $x\pm m$ 26.5±1.1 12.5±1.7 52.8 6.91 52°37'14'' (3-4) lim 8 - 53 1 - 33 p<0.00					n	55	6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Kabantakyr	06.09.2020	+15	112	x±m	26.5±1.1	12.5±1.7	52.8	6.91
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	52º37'14''	(3-4)			lim	8 - 53	1 - 33		p<0.001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	78º00'41"				σ/ CV, %	10.4/	8.0/64.5		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						39.3			
Aschitakyr 06.09.2020 +16 116 x±m 25.8±1.6 10.3±0.7 60.1 8.88 52°33'21" (3-4) lim 8 - 62 1 - 30 p<0.00					n	94	22		
52°33'21" (3-4) lim 8 - 62 1 - 30 p<0.00 78°19'34" σ/ CV, % 12.6/ 6.5/ 62.5 48.8	Aschitakyr	06.09.2020	+16	116	x±m	25.8±1.6	10.3±0.7	60.1	8.88
78°19'34" σ/ CV, % 12.6/ 6.5/ 62.5 48.8 48.8 6000000000000000000000000000000000000	52º33'21''	(3-4)			lim	8 - 62	1 - 30		p<0.001
48.8	78º19'34''				σ/ CV, %	12.6/	6.5/ 62.5		
m 60 80						48.8			
					n	60	80		
Kalatuz 04.09.2020 +18 244 x±m 63.6±5.4 17.0±2.1 73.3 8.04	Kalatuz	04.09.2020	+18	244	x±m	63.6±5.4	17.0±2.1	73.3	8.04
51º52'34'' (3-4) lim 11 - 155 1 - 50 p<0.00	51º52'34''	(3-4)			lim	11 - 155	1 - 50		p<0.001
77°29'08'' σ/ CV, % 29.6/ 13.5/	77º29'08''				σ/ CV, %	29.6/	13.5/		-
46.6 79.6						46.6	79.6		
n 30 40					n	30	40		
Aydarcha 04.09.2020 +20 75 x±m 47.2±2.9 13.6±3.8 71.2 7.03	Aydarcha	04.09.2020	+20	75	x±m	47.2±2.9	13.6±3.8	71.2	7.03
51°45'13'' (3-4) lim 14 - 102 7 - 28 p<0.00	51º45'13''	(3-4)			lim	14 - 102	7 - 28		p<0.001
77°48'52'' σ/ CV, % 21.5/ 8.5/ 62.5	77º48'52''				σ/ CV, %	21.5/	8.5/ 62.5		1
45.5						45.5			
n 54 5					n	54	5		
Borli 04.09.2020 +20 74 x±m 37.7±2.8 12.2±1.4 67.6 8.15	Borli	04.09.2020	+20	74	x±m	37.7±2.8	12.2±1.4	67.6	8.15
51°49'37'' (3-4) lim 13 - 61 1 - 48 p<0.00	51º49'37''	(3-4)			lim	13 - 61	1 - 48		p<0.001
77°59'01" σ/ CV, % 13.6/ 11.2/	77º59'01''				σ/ CV, %	13.6/	11.2/		
36.2 92.0						36.2	92.0		
n 23 62					n	23	62		
Seiten 04.09.2020 +23 139 x±m 42.2±1.6 18.5±1.0 56.2 12.56	Seiten	04.09.2020	+23	139	x±m	42.2±1.6	18.5±1.0	56.2	12.56
51°56'13'' (3-4) lim 19 - 74 1 - 45 p<0.00	51º56'13''	(3-4)			lim	19 - 74	1 - 45		p<0.001
78°07′15″ σ/ CV, % 13.6/ 9.1/49.1	78º07'15''	<u> </u>			σ/ CV, %	13.6/	9.1/49.1		
32.2						32.2			
n 69 90					n	69	90		
Kalcha 10.09.2020 +21 226 x±m 34.7±2.5 12.7±1.9 63.4 7.01	Kalcha	10.09.2020	+21	226	x±m	34.7±2.5	12.7±1.9	63.4	7.01
51°24'51" (3-4) lim 7 - 79 1 - 112 p<0.00	51º24'51''	(3-4)			lim	7 - 79	1 - 112		p<0.001
78º06'09'' σ/CV, % 18.2/ 16.3/	78º06'09''				σ/CV, %	18.2/	16.3/		-
52.5 128.1		1				52.5	128.1		
n 55 77					n	55	77		
Sherbakty 10.09.2020 +20 133 x±m 34.4±3.1 16.5±3.0 52.0 4.15	Sherbakty	10.09.2020	+20	133	x±m	34.4±3.1	16.5±3.0	52.0	4.15
51°23'08'' (3-4) lim 10 - 68 1 - 67 p<0.00	51º23'08''	(3-4)			lim	10 - 68	1 - 67		p<0.001
78°15'34'' σ/ CV, % 17.4/ 14.6/	78º15'34''				σ/ CV, %	17.4/	14.6/		-
50.6 88.8		1				50.6	88.8		
n 32 23		1			n	32	23		

Tab. 1. Reproductive performance of Artemia females with different brood pouch fill rates (pcs).

Lake	Date of sampling (generation)	BP _f	BP _{p/f}	♀ with cysts not yet ready for releasing	n
Tabalgasor	18.05.19 (1)	66.3	7.2	26.5	83
Kabantakyr	06.09.2020 (3-4)	74.6	17.5	7.9	126
Aschitakyr	06.09.2020 (3-4)	38.7	51.6	9.7	155
Kalatuz	04.09.2020 (3-4)	34.9	46.5	18.6	86
Aydarcha	04.09.2020 (3-4)	65.1	6.0	28.9	83
Borli	04.09.2020 (3-4)	25.0	67.4	7.6	92
Seiten	04.09.2020 (3-4)	43.4	56.6	0	159
Kalcha	10.09.2020 (3-4)	39.9	55.8	4.3	138
Sherbakty	10.09.2020 (3-4)	48.5	34.8	16.7	66

Tab. 2. Ratio of females with different BP fill rates, %



Fig. 3. Correlation between the proportion of immature females and the proportion of females with $BP_{\nu/t}$

Obtained data analysis on *Artemia* reproductive performance in different populations shows that the use of females with $BP_{p/t}$ underestimates the valid value of average reproductive performance and also changes the pattern of the reproductive performance distribution (Fig. 4). The greatest differences are observed in the groups with small reproductive performance values, where the share of a small number of cysts prevails among females with $BP_{p/t}$.

CONCLUSIONS

Artemia cf. *parthenogenetica* Bowen and Sterling, 1978, a halophile crustacean, is widely distributed across the saline lakes of Northern Kazakhstan. The study was carried out in 9 lakes of the Pavlodar region of the Republic of Kazakhstan. When studying *Artemia* reproductive characteristics, it was noted that during the reproductive period of different populations, females were found witheeither full brood pouches or partially filled brood pouches. The *Artemia* females collected in eachindividual lake to estimate the reproductive performance value were preliminarily divided into two groups: those with full brood pouches (BP_{*i*}) and those with partially filled brood pouches (individual reproductive performance) was then estimated when the brood pouch was opened.



Fig. 4. Comparison of reproductive performance of *Artemia* with BP_i and generalized sample(BP_i + BP_{p/t}) (horizontal – reproductive performance; vertical – %)

The analysis of these *Artemia* groups revealed consistent differences in all statistical indices of reproductive performance. The average reproductive performance in the group with BP_t from 9 lakes was 36.6 pcs, while in the group with BP_{p/t} it was only 13.4 pcs, or 2.7 times fewer. The variability of reproductive performance values also varied significantly with different BP fill rates. The coefficient of variation was 32.2–52.5% among females with BP_t and it was 49.1–128.1% among females with BP_{p/t}. The average minimum reproductive performance across the 9 lakes for females with BP_t was 10.4 pcs with a spread of 7–19 pcs, while for females with BP_{p/t} it was only 1.8 pcs and 1–7 pcs, respectively. At the same time, 70% of females with BP_{p/t} had a minimum reproductive performance of 1 pcs. The averagemaximum reproductive performance of 1.25, while with BP_{p/t} it was only 45.5 (14–112) or 1.7 times less.

As the percentage of females in the population with cysts not yet ready to hatch increases, the percentage of females with partially filled brood pouches decreases. (r=0.76). The general conclusion is that the use of females with partially filled brood pouches underestimates the valid value of average reproductive performance as well as changing the pattern of the reproductive performance distribution. The greatest differences are observed in groups with small reproductive performance values, where the proportion of females with partially filled brood pouches with the minimum number of cysts prevails. Theresults obtained prove the need to develop methodological principles for reproductive performance test and relevant methodological recommendations. When assessing the fecundity of *Artemia*, it is reasonable to give the following set of indicators:

- generation or group of generations taken for reproductive performance analysis;
- reproductive performance of females with only full brood pouches;
- limits of the reproductive performance range;
- statistical parameters of variation (standard deviation and coefficient of variation);
- the number of individuals studied.

Received May 3, 2022

REFERENCES

- ASEM, A., EIMANIFAR, A., RASTEGAR-POUYANI, N., HONTORIA, F., DE VOS, S., VAN STAPPEN, G. & SUN, S.-C., 2020: An overview on the nomenclatural and phylogenetic problems of native Asian brine shrimps of the genus Artemia Leach, 1819 (Crustacea, Anostraca). Zookeys, 902, 1-15.
- AGH, N., VAN STAPPE, G., BOSSIER, P., SEPEHRI, H., LOTFI, V., RAZAVI ROU, S.M. & SORGELOOS, P., 2008: Effects of Salinity on Survival, Growth, Reproductive and Life Span Characteristics of Artemia Populations from Urmia Lake and Neighboring Lagoons, Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 11(2), 164-172.
- Bowen, S. T. & STERLING, G., 1978: Esterase and malate dehydrogenase isozyme polymorphisms in populations. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., **61**, 593-595.
- BROWNE, R. A., 1980: Reproductive pattern and mode in the brine shrimp. Ecology, 61(3), 466-470
- BROWNE, R. A., SALLEE, S. E., GROSCH, D. S., SEGRETI, W. O. & PURSER, S. M., 1984: Partitioning genetic and environmental components of reproduction and lifespan in Artemia. II Ecology, 65, 949-960.
- GOLUBEV, A. P., ROSHCHINA, N. N. & KHMELEVA, N. N., 2008: The mechanism of transformation of life history parameters in Artemia (Anostraca, Branchiopoda, Crustacea) as a factor of adaptation to environment, Doklady Biological Sciences, 423, 1. 422-424.
- KHMELEVA, N. N., 1968: Energy expenditures related to Artemia respiration, growth and reproduction. Biology of the Sea. M., 15, 71-96.

- CRIEL, G. R. J. & MACRAE, TH., 2002: Reproductive Biology of Artemia. Artemia: Basic and Applied Biology, 30-128.
- LITVINENKO, L. I., LITVINENKO, A. I. & ВОІКО, Е. G., 2009: Artemia in the lakes of Western Siberia. Novosibirsk, Nauka, 304 pp.
- Rogers, D.C., 2013: Anostraca catalogus (Crustacea: Branchiopoda). The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 61, 525–546.
- SorgeLoos, P., LAVENS, P., LEGER, PH., TACKAERT, W. & VERSICHELE, D., 1986: Manual for the culture and use of brine shrimp in aquaculture. Belgium: Ghent universiteit, 319 pp.
- UBASKIN, A. V., 2004: Ecology of *Artemia* reproduction in the water bodies of Northern Kazakhstan. Proceedings of the Siberian Zoological Conference dedicated to the 60th anniversary of Institute of Systematics and Ecology of Animals of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences (ISEA SB RAS). Novosibirsk, 338-339.
- VAN STAPPEN, G., 1996: Use of cysts. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. 36, 102-123.
- WEAR, R. G. & HASLETT, S. J., 1986: Effects of temperature and salinity on the biology of Artemia franciscana Kellogg from lake Grassmere, New Zealand. 2. Maturation, fecundity and generation times. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 98, 167-183.
- WOLF, L. A., 2011: Ecological features of the Branchiopoda crustacean (Artemia parthenogenetica): thesis abstract. Ph.D, Biology, Omsk, 17 pp.
- WOLF, L. A. & UBASKIN, A. V., 2006: Artemia transformations (Anostrasa Crustacea) in water bodies of the south of the West Siberian Plain. Animal population ecology. Proceedings of the International Conference. "Problems of population ecology of animals" devoted to the memory of Academician I.A. Shilov. Tomsk, Tomsk State University, 384 pp.