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Abstract
Recent trends in electrochemical sensors based on conducting polymer functionalized graphene for the detection of pollutants in water are highlighted in this review. Graphene has been the subject of a lot of scientific research to be composited with conducting polymers. Researchers are interested in graphene and its variants because they have a lot of good qualities, like good electrical and mechanical properties and very high surface area. With this review, we intend to arouse interest in the important topic of graphene and conducting polymer nanocomposite that is making significant advances in electrochemical sensing, especially for sensing pollutants in water.
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Introduction
Water is necessary for all living things to survive, although different species use it for different purposes. For example, water is an essential component of respiration for all oxygen-dependent species and serves as a solvent, temperature buffer, metabolic product, and habitat. However, water pollution has become one of the most pressing environmental problems of our time due to increasing industrialization and urbanization. Figure 1 shows the sources of emerging water pollution that may be of concern. The introduction of toxic substances into water bodies (e.g., lakes, rivers, and oceans) results in water pollution, where the substances either dissolve, float, or accumulate and affect water quality. Not only is this harmful to aquatic ecosystems, but the pollutants that leach into groundwater also contaminate domestic water supplies, where water is
used for a variety of activities, including drinking. Therefore, it is important to limit human exposure to contaminants through proper water quality monitoring.

Figure 1. Sources of emerging water contaminants that could be of concern

World health organization (WHO) has continuously revised the guideline values for all water quality measures since 1983 (Table 1) [1]. The hazardous substances that accumulate in the human body through water are degraded only slowly. Therefore, limiting human exposure to toxins is critical and should be achieved through comprehensive water quality monitoring. Detection of water contaminants has traditionally been performed with hanging mercury drop electrodes or mercury-modified electrodes, as replacement of the mercury drop is sufficient to restore a pristine surface [2]. However, due to new regulations and the high toxicity of mercury, new alternatives for its replacement are being investigated. There are some reports of work using gold nanoparticles, microelectrodes, and also cathode stripping potential to detect heavy metals. Unfortunately, it is difficult to detect water pollutants using these methods [3,4].

Table 1. Maximum permissible concentrations for water quality testing according to WHO [1]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Maximum permissible concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antimony</td>
<td>20 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>10 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>10 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramines</td>
<td>3 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>50 ppb (total)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>2 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>1.5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>10 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>6 ppb (inorganic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>3 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>40 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.7 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>30 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, the electrochemical approach to water contaminant analysis has been gaining popularity due to its ease of use, low cost, rapid analysis, and high sensitivity [5,6]. In the past, electrochemical sensors have been used to monitor oxygen concentration in the industry since the 1950s, when they were first introduced [7]. At that time, the monitoring of hazardous gases and
fuels in confined spaces was mandated by labour legislation, which led to an explosion in research on electrochemical sensors with high selectivity. Leland C. Clark [8] introduced an oxygen sensor that consisted of an oxygen-permeable membrane separating two electrodes in a cell, producing a current that was inversely proportional to the concentration of oxygen in the sample. Since the invention of Clark's electrochemical oxygen sensor, a variety of other sensors have followed. Despite their commercial success, Clark's oxygen sensors produce an unstable electrical current reading due to contamination or corrosion of the working electrode surface, which requires frequent recalibration of the oxygen analyzers, making them unsuitable for routine use [8].

In addition, conventional electrochemical sensors usually require a catalytic enzyme to detect impurities. With an enzyme sensor, one can achieve higher sensitivity, selectivity, and stability [9], but it also has some limitations, including enzyme denaturation and limited stability, and a difficult purification process due to weak immobilization of the enzyme on electrodes [10]. Therefore, scientists are constantly looking for ways to improve them, for example, by developing enzyme-free sensors. Non-enzymatic sensors for the detection of water contaminants that do not involve enzymes seem to be viable alternatives, but they still need significant improvements in sensitivity and selectivity before being used commercially. This is why the development of non-enzymatic sensors is so important. Therefore, scientists are investigating the aspect of more sensitive materials with novel morphologies while maintaining all the performance criteria of the sensor.

While the flexibility of polymers makes them attractive for a variety of applications, they are often undesirable for conductive applications because most polymers are insulating. In terms of their electrical and optical properties, organic polymers have comparable characteristics to inorganic semiconductors. The most important and distinctive feature of a conducting polymer is alternating single and conjugated double bonds along the main chain, with the highly delocalized, polarized, and electron-dense π-bonds providing electrical and optical properties [11]. In 1977, Shrikawa, Heeger, and MacDiarmid [12] first proposed that conjugated polymers are tailored to transmit electricity. Their study involved the removal of an electron from a delocalized bonding configuration by a halogen dopant, resulting in the formation of a hole. An electron then jumps to an adjacent site and fills the hole, creating another and allowing a charge to flow along the polymer chain. The structural properties of some conducting polymers are shown in Figure 2, including poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT), polyacetylene (PAC), polypyrrole (PPy), polythiophene, polyaniline (PANI), poly(p-phenylenevinylene) (PPV), polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP).

Carter et al. [13] developed nanocomposites of elastomer-reinforced polymers with exfoliated layered silicate fillers as early as 1950, and a study published over forty years later found that mechanical properties of a clay-filled nylon-6 matrix were improved [14]. This triggered a great interest in nanocomposites both in academia and industry. Recently, there has been growing interest in functionalizing conductive polymers with nanofiller-based materials to provide new or improved properties for sensor development [15,16]. The polymer matrix filled with a variety of nanofillers, such as spherical nanoparticles, is the most basic nanocomposite system, while all kinds of anisotropy-inducing fillers, such as graphene, graphene oxide, and other graphene derivatives find their way into polymer nanocomposites.

A study by Marsden et al. [17] proposed conductive composites prepared by infusing the polymer matrix with graphene. When the filler loading approaches the percolation threshold (pc), the conductivity of the composite increases rapidly and the conductivity plot as a function of loading takes a typical S-shape indicating three different states: insulating, permeable and conductive.
Thus, the polymer remains insulating at minimum loading. A conductive network forms when the filler content reaches the percolation threshold. A robust network forms and the conductivity saturates with increasing loading [17]. Conducting polymer-functionalized graphene nanocomposites are more promising to improve the properties of conducting polymers, and the use of graphene and its derivatives with excellent properties has attracted the attention of researchers [18-20]. Novoselov et al. discovered graphene in 2004 [21], and it is a honeycomb-shaped, two-dimensional (2-D), and atomically dense material composed of sp$^2$ carbon atoms. Compared to carbon nanotubes, polymer molecules can easily reach the surface of 2-D graphene. As a result, more filler material can be incorporated into the graphene matrix and the final conductivity values increase. Table 2 shows some of the important physicochemical properties of graphene.

**Table 2. Some of the important physicochemical properties of graphene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physicochemical properties</th>
<th>Estimated value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large specific surface area</td>
<td>~2630 m$^2$g$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent electrical conductivity</td>
<td>~1738 Siemens/m</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong mechanical strength</td>
<td>Young’s modulus ~1100 GPa</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good thermal conductivity</td>
<td>~5000 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility of charge carriers</td>
<td>200000 cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of functionalization</td>
<td>π-π interaction and electrostatic interaction</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band gap</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The carbon atoms in graphene have a total of six electrons, two of which are in the inner shell, while four electrons are scattered in the outer shell with chemical bonding orbitals 1s$^2$2s$^2$2p$^2$ (Figure 3a). The two electrons in the 1s core orbital of the honeycomb crystal structure remain in this orbital, while the remaining electrons form sp$^2$ bonds with three other orbitals and one p$_z$ orbital and also hybridize with each other (Figure 3b). The free electron is held in the p$_z$ orbital, which is located above the plane and is thus responsible for the formation of the π-bond [29]. It is this overlap of p electrons that gives graphene its unique electronic properties, which are controlled by the bonding and counter-bonding of atoms (Figure 3c). In addition, the honeycomb structure of graphene can also be characterized as a triangular lattice, with each unit cell containing two atoms A and B as studied by Castro Neto et al. [30]. The sublattices A and B are connected by basis vectors in graphene (a1, a2).
The Dirac points are two unequal sites labeled K and K' at the transition of the Brillouin zone of graphene. When the valence and conduction bands cross in space, the Dirac point is reached [30].

Analysis of the available literature shows that the surface of graphene can be easily functionalized while maintaining the quality of electron transport. This is of great importance in the field of water quality detection, as it allows selectivity towards the analyte of interest [31-34]. The use of graphene-based materials as nanofillers in polymers has the potential to take advantage of the beneficial properties of graphene but also to reduce the cost and speed up the production process of the composite. It is also possible that the polymer matrix, on the other hand, may have attractive properties such as functional groups or molecular imprinting that are of importance. This review article provides an overview of recent research and methods for the construction of electrochemical sensors based on conductive polymer-functionalized graphene for the detection of water pollutants over the past seven years. For the reader’s convenience, the detection mechanisms of electrochemical sensors based on conductive polymer-functionalized graphene materials are briefly explained. Then, a series of methods for preparing nanocomposite materials of graphene and conducting polymers, including covalent and noncovalent functionalization, are discussed. Nanocomposites of graphene and conducting polymers have been shown to improve the performance of electrochemical sensors in a variety of target samples.

Figure 4 illustrates how the number of publications dealing with conductive polymer-functionalized graphene electrochemical sensors has increased from 706 in 2016 to 2,483 in 2021 and 2,470 in 2022 (ScienceDirect), showing the increasing importance of these nanocomposites. Table 3 provides a list of review articles on conductive polymers and carbon-based sensors for the detection of contaminants in water.

![Figure 4](http://dx.doi.org/10.5599/jese.1506)
Table 3. List of review papers on conducting polymer and graphene-based electrochemical sensors for sensing pollutants in water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Main emphasis</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>A review of nanocomposite-modified electrochemical sensors for water quality monitoring</td>
<td>Surface modification of electrodes</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Electrochemical sensors based on conducting polymers for the aqueous detection of biologically relevant molecules</td>
<td>Conducting polymer</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Review—Graphene-Based Water Quality Sensors.</td>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Recent trends of micro and nanostructured conducting polymers in health and environmental applications</td>
<td>Nanostructured conducting polymers</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Application of graphene-based materials for detection of nitrate and nitrite in water - a review</td>
<td>Graphene-based nanocomposites</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Polymer-based electrochemical sensing platform for heavy metal ions detection-a critical review</td>
<td>Polymers modified electrodes</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Composites based on conducting polymers and carbon nanomaterials for heavy metal ions sensing (review)</td>
<td>Organic conducting polymers and carbon nanotubes</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A thorough analysis of the existing literature reveals a gap in knowledge regarding the combination of graphene and conductive polymer as an electrochemical nanocomposite for sensing. According to studies, the combination of graphene and conducting polymers can improve the selectivity and other important sensing parameters of electrochemical sensors, which could be due to various factors such as synergistic and geometric effects. In this article, we first review the fabrication and sensing performance of electrochemical graphene sensors functionalized with conducting polymers, which are used to detect pollutants in water.

Detection mechanism of electrochemical sensors based on conductive polymer functionalized graphene nanocomposites

A sensor is a device that detects and responds to signals. This signal must be some type of energy, such as heat, light, motion, or electrochemical reaction. A sensor will convert one or more of these signals as soon as it detects them [39]. Electrochemical sensors are gaining popularity among chemical sensors because they use an electrode as a transducer element in the presence of an analyte. Electrochemical sensor systems offer analytical techniques that are fast, accurate, selective, sensitive, and easy to use. These sensor systems are effective and suitable for detecting and monitoring contaminants in environmental samples because electrochemical analysis requires only a small amount or volume of sample, and no preparatory steps.

In electrochemical reactions, both current and voltage play a role, as well as potential and resistance. There are three types of electrochemical sensors: potentiometers, amperometers, and conductometers [40]. Table 4 lists some of the most common electrochemical processes and electrical signals they produce. At the potentiometric sensor interface, the electrode or membrane potential is recorded, and information about the composition of a sample can be obtained from the potential difference between the two electrodes. In an amperometric sensor, electroactive species can be oxidized or reduced by applying a voltage between the reference and working electrodes and recording the resulting current. Conductometric sensors, on the other hand, are used to measure conductivity at various frequencies. Coulometry and capacitive approaches, for example, derive their results from electric current and resistance [41].
Table 4. Electrochemical methods, electrical properties, and units of measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrochemical methods</th>
<th>Monitored electrical property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potentiometry</td>
<td>Potential difference, V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductometry</td>
<td>Resistance, Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amperometry and voltammetry</td>
<td>Current as a function of applied potential, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coulometry (Q)</td>
<td>Current as a function of time (Q = I · s), A·s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance (C)</td>
<td>Potential load (C = Q / V), F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the chemical reactions of a target species occur on electrodes, electrochemical sensors convert the chemical reactions into electrical signals. Electrochemical sensors consist of two or three electrodes, depending on the device. In a three-electrode cell, as shown in Figure 5, each electrode serves a specific purpose [42]. The reaction of interest takes place at the working electrode, which in this case, involves the reference electrode. Materials for the working electrode, such as platinum, gold, and/or carbon, have a significant impact on the performance of an amperometric sensor. The reference electrode is responsible for maintaining a constant potential throughout the amperometric experiment [43]. Silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) is the most practical reference electrode and is commonly used in an electrochemical sensor. The potential of the reference electrode is stable, reproducible, and can be calculated thermodynamically. The maximum temperature at which this type of electrode can function is about 130 °C [44].

The current flow is provided by the counter electrode, which has the opposite sign of the working electrode. The counter electrode is reduced when the working electrode undergoes oxidation and vice versa. However, this does not affect the response of the working electrode. The charge flowing through the counter electrode is always the same as at the working electrode [45]. Platinum is commonly used as a counter electrode for electrochemical sensors due to its good conductivity. In addition, platinum typically releases hydrogen or oxygen as a counter electrode in an aqueous system. This hydrogen bubbling does not lead to the dissolution of species in the electrolyte that could affect the working electrode [46]. Table 5 shows the list of conductive polymer functionalized graphene and their derivatives together with their performances in electrochemical sensors for the detection of pollutants in water.

Figure 5. Typical electrochemical measurement setup with three electrodes, a working electrode, a reference electrode, and a counter electrode for (a) square wave anodic strip voltammetry (SWASV), (b) electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), (c) amperometric, and (d) cyclic voltammetry (CV)
Table 5. List of graphene functionalized with conducting polymers and its derivatives and their performances in electrochemical sensors for detection of pollutants in water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode matrix</th>
<th>Detection technique</th>
<th>Linear range, µM</th>
<th>Detection limit, µM</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pGO/PPy</td>
<td>DPSV</td>
<td>1 to 100</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3DGO-Py10</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>5 to 400</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI@GO/Ag</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10⁵ to 10²</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoPD/ERGO/GCE</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>10⁻³ to 50 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.13 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄-GO-PANI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.15</td>
<td>3.6 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO-PANI-HBr</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.23</td>
<td>6.5 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA/PPy/GO</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>5 to 150</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>Cd²⁺</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGO/Ala/PANI</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>0.18 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANI-GO-APTES</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.4</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy-rGO</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>5 × 10⁻⁹ to 0.75</td>
<td>4.7 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPy-rGO</td>
<td>SWASV</td>
<td>5 × 10⁻⁴ to 0.45</td>
<td>3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGMGPE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.045</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05 to 0.0012</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.08 (ppb)</td>
<td>Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyPATT-GO/GCE</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.0 - 10000.0 (ppb)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA_PANI/GO</td>
<td>DPSV</td>
<td>1 to 30 (ppb)</td>
<td>0.612 (ppb)</td>
<td>Hg²⁺</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDOT/GO/GCE</td>
<td>DPSV</td>
<td>0.01 - 3.0</td>
<td>2.78 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>Hg²⁺</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-NP-MIP-PANI/GO</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>6 × 10⁻⁵ - 14 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>2 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>p-nitrophenol</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCE-PANI-G-ITN</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.03 × 10⁻³ (iₕₐ)</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>4-nitrophenol</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 × 10⁻³ (iₕₚ)</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 - 240</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>240 - 258</td>
<td></td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 × 10⁻⁵ - 2 × 10⁵</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 - 1000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 - 1000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7 – 24.4</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>sulfite</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 – 28.2</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>nitrite</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 – 16.55</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>ascorbic acid</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 – 16.55</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>uric acid</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 – 16.55</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>dopamine nitrite</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05 – 16.55</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 – 6.6</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>hydrazine nitrite</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 – 200</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>urea</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Song et al. [47] described the concept of the Cd(II) sensor. First, Cd²⁺ ions in an aqueous solution were simultaneously absorbed by the porous GO/PPy electrode and the carboxyl group graphene oxide. Second, Cd²⁺ was reduced to Cd under stripping voltage on the electrode surface. After reduction, cadmium was finally oxidized to Cd²⁺ via carboxyl groups, pGO/PPy and PPy by differential pulse voltammetry. A heavy metal sensor based on the pGO/PPy material was developed and tested for its performance. However, the authors did not test the stability of the sensor of their method in...
real water. Guo et al. [48] studied the interaction of pyrrole with graphene oxide using different characterization approaches to better understand the role of the doped pyrrole. They discovered that the reaction ratio of pyrrole and graphene oxide is crucial to improve the effect of pyrrole. It is believed that pyrrole can be used not only as a nitrogen supplier but also as a reducing agent and regulator to change the composition and structure of graphene aerogels, which will affect the electrochemical sensing performance for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions.

Eltayeb et al. [49] prepared a nanocomposite of GO functionalized with PANI and doped with silver to improve its thermal and electrical properties. By oxidizing it with simple persulfate while it was in solution, a very simple sol-gel method was used to make it. The GO was used as a template, while aniline was polymerized on the surface of GO by oxidation. Then, Ag nanoparticles were attached. The change in DC conductivity properties with temperature was also investigated. This showed the range between the metallic and conductive regions, but the sensor did not have a very small sensing range. The authors did not investigate how sensitive their sensor was. Aboobakri et al. [51] also used PANI in their work. To increase the extraction capacity and selectivity for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions, they laboriously incorporated magnetite nanoparticles into graphene oxide PANI nanocomposite (MGOPA). Since centrifugation and filtration are time-consuming processes that could be skipped, the magnetic property of the adsorbent is an essential feature that significantly increases the throughput of the method. The novel adsorbent has low toxicity and is inexpensive. It has been shown to have a high adsorption capacity with good recoveries. The total time for sample extraction and pre-concentration is less than 25 minutes, showing fast adsorption-elution kinetics for Cd\textsuperscript{2+} ions. Although the sensor was used as it was fabricated, the interference effect was not investigated by the authors.

Dai et al. [53] investigated the simultaneous measurement of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} and Pb\textsuperscript{2+} samples using a phytic acid functionalized (PPy)/graphene oxide (GO) modified electrode (PA/PPy/GO). From the electrochemical performance, they found that the electrode modified with PPy/GO nanocomposite had no detection signal for heavy ions, most likely due to the coverage of the GO nanosheets by the PPy. Oxidation current peaks of Cd(II) and Pb(II) ions at modified electrodes PA/GO and PA/PPy/GO occurred at 0.88 V and 0.64 V, respectively. The enhancement of the signals of the heavy ion stripping peaks on PA/PPy/GO may be due to two factors. First, the large surface area of GO and the better electrical conductivity of PPy may make it easier for electrons to move during the detection process. Second, the presence of functional groups with significant negative charges on PA and GO is advantageous for increasing heavy metal ion adsorption.

Alruwais et al. [55] used wet chemistry to create polyaniline (PANI), graphene oxide (GO), and 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES). In the linear range from 0.01 to 0.4 M, the lowest measurable lead concentration was 0.0053 M. The measured sensitivity was 165.71 A cm\textsuperscript{-2} M\textsuperscript{-1} and the response time was less than 20 s. Even though metal ions and electroactive species might have interfered, the PANIGO-APTES current response to Pb\textsuperscript{2+} was stable. Raril and Manjunatha [58] described the invention of an electrochemical sensor based on polyglycine-modified graphene (PGMGPE) for the determination of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and Pb\textsuperscript{2+} ions by cyclic voltammetry (CV). The electrochemical behavior of heavy metal ions on bare and modified electrodes was studied, and it was discovered that the modified electrode improves the redox signal of these two heavy metal ions. Hashemi et al. [63] reinforced glassy carbon electrodes with decorated graphene oxide nanoflakes with interconnected, porous, and high-density patterns of iron tungsten nitride (GO-ITN) for the detection of 4-nitrophenol in aqueous environments. They suggested that modification of PANi with hybrid 2D
GO-ITN nanoflocks improves the conductivity/sensitivity of the created sensor by promoting protonation and active functional groups in the final platforms.

Rong et al. [56] and Suvina et al. [57] used PPy to fabricate their Pb^{2+} detecting sensors. Rong et al. [56] synthesised the PPY-rGO nanocomposite without difficulty by electrochemical polymerization and electrochemical reduction. Anodic stripping voltammetry was used to study the response of the electrode modified with the nanocomposite and the corresponding parameters were set. They discovered that the introduction of nanosheets of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) not only increased the effective area of the nanocomposite, but also increased the rate of electron transfer at the interface. Combined with the selective recognition of PPy, this porous three-dimensional PPY-rGO nanocomposite exhibited high Pb^{2+} selectivity. On the other hand, Suvina et al. [57] investigated the synthesis of hydrogels from the PPY and rGO for the simultaneous detection of four different metal ions, i.e., Cd^{2+}, Pb^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+}. A PPY-rGO hydrogel composite was prepared by in situ polymerization of pyrrole in the presence of an rGO dispersion. The authors discovered that the PPY-rGO hydrogel composite has a surface area of 21.48 m^2/g, which is more than three and a half times that of PPY (5.89 m^2/g).

Mahadik et al. [60] reported the electrochemical detection of Hg^{2+} using a PANI/GO composite modified with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Electrochemical detection of mercury ions was performed using differential pulse stripping voltammetry (DPSV). The EDTA-modified PANI/GO electrode showed excellent response to Hg^{2+} from 30 ppb to 1 ppb. However, the authors did not address the effects of interference even though the sensor was used in its original form. Zuo et al. [61] also found a way to determine Hg^{2+} using poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) nanorod/graphene oxide nanocomposite modified glassy carbon electrode (PEDOT/GO/GCE). A simple liquid-liquid interfacial polymerization method was used to propose a PEDOT/GO nanocomposite. The results showed that PEDOT with a structure like nanorods adhered to the surface of GO nanosheets, which could improve the electrically active sites of the nanocomposite. DPSV was used to find out how much Hg^{2+} was present at low concentrations on PEDOT/GO/GCE. Under the best conditions, there was a good linear relationship between the peak currents and the concentration of Hg^{2+} ions in the range of 10.0 nM - 3.0 mM. With a signal-to-noise ratio of 3, the minimum amount that could be found was estimated to be 2.78 nM.

Saadati et al. [62] and Hashem et al. [63] used PANI in their studies for the detection of nitrophenol. Saadati et al. [62] developed an electrochemical sensor for the detection of p-nitrophenol (p-NP) based on a molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) on GO. The sensor host molecule and initiator, aniline and ammonium persulfate, were precipitation polymerized to create the sensor. The variable functional groups (i.e., hydroxyl, carboxyl, and epoxide groups), high surface area, outstanding mechanical properties, and good compatibility with polymers make GO a suitable material for the synthesis of nanocomposites. In the presence of related phenol chemicals, such as 4-chlorophenol, 4-bromophenol, 2,4-dinitrophenol, and 2-nitrophenol, the sensor demonstrated high selectivity for nitrophenol. Hashemi et al. [63] improved the polymer structure and sensitivity of PANI by reinforcing it with decorated GO nanoflocks with an interconnected, porous and dense pattern of iron tungsten nitride (GO-ITN) for the detection of nitrophenol in aqueous media. They reported that the surface of a GCE electrode was modified with a PANI-based nanocomposite containing 50 wt.% GO-ITN and then subjected to various studies. In addition to improved protonation, the prepared nanocomposite showed superior sensitivity with a detection limit, quantification limit and sensitivity of 5.2 nM, 18.2 nM, and 253.08 A cm^{-2} M^{-1} for the oxidation peak current, and 2.4 nM, 7.1 nM and 354.92 A cm^{-2} M^{-1} for the reduction peak current, respectively.
Umar and Nasar [65] developed a sensor (GCE/RGO/PPy/NR) based on a nanocomposite of reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and PPy immobilized on a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) by nitrate reductase (NR). Acidic in situ oxidative polymerization of pyrrole in the presence of RGO was used to create the conductive nanocomposite (RGO/PPy). Direct electron transport from nitrate to the electrode was realized by nitrate reductase on the GCE/RGO/PPy. Nitrate reductase is an enzyme that helps electrons to move directly from the active site of the RGO/PPy electrode surface. This causes the current density to go up to 4.24 mA cm\(^{-2}\). However, the linear range of their study was not very broad. PPy was also used by Xiao et al. [66] in their study to detect nitrite. An electrochemical sensor based on n-carboxyl graphene (CG), PPy and a GCE nanocomposite modified with chitosan (CS) was prepared using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV). The combination of PPy and CG provides a large surface area and numerous active sites and increases the charge transfer between the electrode and the reagent. At the same time, the many amino groups in CS attract negatively charged nitrite, which makes it easier for nitrite to build up on the surface of the electrode.

Some researchers have also used PPy for the detection of hydrazine and nitrite. Kaladavi et al. [70] generated AgNPs@PPy/rGO composite using the interfacial polymerization technique employing an organic solvent dispersion of pyrrole as the GO reducing agent. An aqueous solution of silver nitrate was utilized as a precursor for Ag nanoparticles and oxidizing agent to commence the polymerization reaction at the interface. The nanocomposite film electrode was used for the electrochemical oxidation of inorganic pollutants, hydrazine and nitrite, and their oxidation potentials in the phosphate buffer were +0.53 and +0.76 V (vs Ag/AgCl). Significantly, the electrochemical sensor was synthesized by means of interfacial polymerization without the use of any powerful oxidizing chemical, such as ammonium persulfate. The believability of the proposed system as an electrochemical sensor is supported by the absence of interference from specific inorganic contaminants and other biomolecules.

**Fabrication of conducting polymer-functionalized graphene nanocomposites**

The fabrication of graphene hybrid nanocomposites to modify materials is one of the highlights of graphene research. It is obvious that graphene, as a component of polymer nanocomposites leads to better material properties than conventional fillers. A high degree of graphene dispersion in a matrix solvent is required for better integration between graphene and polymer material particles. Graphene oxide is the most commonly used dispersant in water for graphene dispersion. It is possible to produce large amounts of graphene oxide by exfoliating graphite under highly acidic and oxidative conditions, resulting in a high concentration of hydroxyl, epoxy, and carboxyl groups in the resulting material [72]. Moreover, both covalent and noncovalent functionalization can help to improve the graphene dispersibility in conducting polymers, increasing their interfacial contacts and enabling graphene dispersion in a polymer matrix.

**Covalent functionalization approach**

Chemical modification, also known as covalent functionalization, is a straightforward technique for forming covalent bonds between graphene oxide or graphene in conducting polymers. Two unique approaches are widely used for the covalent modification of graphene with a conducting polymer, i.e., either by connecting end-functionalized polymer chains to the solid substrate, which is referred to as 'grafting on', or by polymerization from the surface where the grafting process can occur, which is also referred to as 'grafting off' [73]. In short, the 'grafting on' preserves the graphite lattice by connecting polymer chains and functional groups to the graphene oxide edge. As shown by the significantly reduced dispersed phase size and high current values, Tan et al. [74] discovered
that conductive polymers grafted onto modified, reduced graphene oxide significantly increase electrical conductivity and compatibility.

Vallés et al. [75] prepared graphene with a polymer functionalized covalently bonded polymer by coupling a diazonium addition reaction to produce -NH$_2$-terminated NH$_2$-GNPs with an amidation between the NH$_2$-graphene nanoplatelets (NH$_2$-GNPs) and poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) chains to produce PMMA-grafted NH$_2$-GNPs. The polymer grafted onto chemically reduced graphene oxide sheets by emulsion polymerization improves the dispersion and contact between the sheets and the matrix, resulting in effective stress transfer. However, current research in the grafting and subsequent fabrication of conducting polymer and graphene composites is limited due to difficulties in matching the unique surface chemistry of graphene oxide with the specific functions of polymer chains. In addition, the selectivity of the chemical reactions between the active sites on the prefabricated polymers and GO cannot be controlled, which is essential to consider. As a result, the final structure of the composite is not clear [76].

In the 'grafting of' process, a polymer backbone functionalized with initiation sites serves as a macroinitiator from which the side chains are subsequently prepared [77]. This method demonstrates the advantages of making grafted polymer brushes that are both thick and well-controlled. Most graphene-polymer composites have been prepared by the grafting method based primarily on the controlled free radical live polymerization, radical transferred atom polymerization (ATRP) [78-81], reversible chain transfer polymerization (RAFT) [82-86], radical polymerization with a single electron transfer (SET-LRP) [87,88], polymerization by ring opening [89-91], polymerization by free radicals [92,93], grafting by electrochemical methods [94], polymerization process triggered by ultrasound [95-97], and polymerization mediated by copper and triggered by light [98]. Park et al. [99] presented the 'grafting of' covalent graphene- PANI nanocomposites. The perfluorophenyl nitrene generated by photochemical or thermal activation of PFPA reacts with graphene to form a covalent adduct. In this study, the aniline derivative PFPA-ANI was used to add aniline groups to graphene and found to be effective. In situ polymerization of aniline was used to prepare PANI, which was then grafted onto the graphene surface.

By functionalizing graphene nanoplatelets with poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), Rubio et al. [100] contrasted the 'grafting from' and 'grafting to' approaches. According to their results, grafting rates were much higher for 'grafting from' (44.6 to 126.5 %) than for 'grafting to' (12.6 to 20.3 %). ‘Grafting to’ products resulted in higher absolute grafting rates and improved dispersibility in acetone as monomer supply increased, while ‘grafting to’ products due to steric effects resulted in lower absolute grafting rates and a decreasing trend as polymer molecular weight increased.

Noncovalent functionalization approach

The noncovalent functionalization of graphene can improve its dispersion without affecting its structure or electronic network, which means that crucial properties such as electrical conductivity and mechanical strength are not affected [101]. In this process, the surface of graphene is modified by the physical absorption of modifiers on the surface of the material to improve its dispersibility in various solvents. These modifiers are usually substances that have the potential to develop different interactions with graphene layers, such as π-π, cation-π, and anion-π interactions [102]. To understand the energetic and geometric significance of π-interactions, studies were conducted in which Apatiga et al. [103] discovered that polymers with aromatic rings in their structure are more compatible with graphene nanoparticles to maximize conductivity by inducing a π-π-stacking effect that increases the transport of electrons from graphene to the polymer and vice versa. Noncovalent
interactions are present in polymers with linear architecture and are mainly affected by the CH /π-
stacking. Even though electrons are not accelerated as in aromatic polymers, electronic mobility is
still promoted in these materials.

It has been discovered that the use of surfactants can be an effective approach to improving the
mechanical and thermal properties of polymer nanocomposites. Examples of surfactants such as
sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate (SDBS) [104-106], sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) [107,108], and
cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) [109] are among the most commonly used noncovalent
modifiers for graphene-filled polymer composites. Stankovich et al. [110] proposed that the adsorp-
tion of the surfactant polymer onto the graphene sheets should be due to the π-π interaction between
the PSS benzene rings and the graphene sheets, which reduces the interactions between the graphene
sheets and thus prevents aggregation [110]. The use of PEDOT:PSS was demonstrated by Nardes et al.
[111] and Hakimi et al. [112] due to its large electrical conductivity, high transparency, excellent
processability and low redox potential. Unfortunately, the process is difficult because PSS-modified
graphene can only be dispersed in water. As an alternative to PSS-modified graphene, solution
coagulation can be used to combine water-insoluble polymers with PSS-modified graphene [113].

Noncovalent graphene-polymer nanocomposites can be synthesized by other methods, such as
solution mixing, melting, and in situ polymerization [114]. The final characteristics and properties of
the nanocomposites are affected by the particular method. The solution mixing method is widely
used and particularly effective in the synthesis of polymer-graphene nanocomposites. The
chemically or thermally reduced graphene oxide can be well dispersed in organic solvents due to
oxygen-containing groups, which are not removed from the graphene oxide by this technique [115].
Three processes are involved in the mixing of the solution. Ultrasonic dispersion of the graphene
fillers in a suitable solvent is the first step. The next step is the addition of polymer to an
ultrasonically prepared premix of graphene and solvent. The entire mixture is then vortexed to
ensure that the graphene is well dispersed in the polymer matrix. The solvent must then be removed
in the final stage by evaporation, filtration, precipitation or distillation. This step determines the
quality of the polymer-graphene nanocomposite produced on a solid support, as well as the
effectiveness of the overall solution mixing process and solvent compatibility.

For example, Lego et al. [116] have developed a solution mixing approach for the preparation of
polycarbonate/graphene composite pellets from 1,3-dioxolane-based dispersion that serves a dual
function by acting as a dispersant for the graphene flakes and simultaneously dissolving the polycar-
bonate to obtain the final polymer composite. The addition of graphene flakes to a polymer increases
the mechanical and thermal properties of the polymer as well as its electrical conductivity. The
approach of Iqbal et al. [117] to improve the dispersion of graphene in PE by mixing the polymer with
oxidized PE (OPE) was successful. Nanocomposites of graphene with PE and graphene with OPE blends
were prepared by solvent mixing. Compared to pure PE nanocomposites (1 and 0.29 vol.%), they
discovered improved dispersion of graphene in PE/OPE blends, significantly reducing percolation in
both rheological (0.3 vol.%) and electrical (0.13 vol.%) measurements, indicating improved dispersion
and exfoliation of graphene sheets in the blends compared to pure PE nanocomposites. Unfavourably,
large amounts of organic solvents were required for the preparation of the blended solutions during
industrial production, which pollutes the environment. The use of organic solvents also led to a
significant increase in production costs. Therefore, this process is less frequently used for production
on an industrial scale compared to the melt blending process.

Compared to solution mixing, melt mixing is more practical, clean, and adaptable because no
solvent is required for the process of conductive polymer with graphene fillings [118]. Thus, melt
blending is not only safe for the environment but also straightforward and cost-effective. Many polymer manufacturers prefer this technology for commercial production. However, care must be taken during the mixing process to prevent the polymer from disintegrating due to the high temperatures required to produce a homogeneous blend [119]. According to Tu et al. the electrical conductivity of graphene-filled PE/PP blends was affected by the processing sequence during melt blending [120]. In their study, they fabricated graphene-filled composites from high-density PE and PP by melt compounding and compared the electrical conductivity of the composites fabricated in three different processing sequences. It was found that the graphene, PE and PP processing sequences affect the graphene localization, affecting the observed electrical conductivity of the composites.

Graziano et al. [121] compared high-density PE-functionalized graphene enhanced by the melt mixing method with the solvent reaction method. For comparison, high-density PE-reduced functionalized graphene oxide composites were prepared by solvent reaction, while melt blending and solvent reaction were used for high-density PE-reduced functionalized graphene oxide composites. High-density PE-functionalized graphene has better mechanical properties than high-density PE and high-density PE-functionalized graphene oxide. This is because graphene was well distributed in the polymer matrix and had strong interactions with the polymer, allowing stresses to be transferred more easily, heat to be distributed more uniformly, and crystals to grow faster [121]. Melt compounding is more flexible, less expensive, and less environmentally harmful than the solvent reaction because no solvents or chemicals are needed. The performance improvements of the nanocomposite (prepared by melt compounding) were identical to those of the nanocomposite (prepared by solvent reaction) except for the mechanical properties [121].

Another approach to the preparation of conductive polymer-functionalized graphene is extremely useful, and known as in situ polymerization. The main advantage of this method is that it allows the polymerization of monomers located inside and outside the graphene interlayers. This leads to polymer nanocomposites with delaminated graphene platelets at the nanoscale. However, the problem of lack of distribution of graphene fillers in this process remains, leading to a lower polymerization rate in the later phases [122]. In a study by Qi et al. [123], it was found that the lamellar thickness and crystallinity of nanocomposites, as well as their crystallization temperature, are increased by the heterogeneous nucleation effect of graphene. The thermal stability of the composites was improved by the ability of graphene to absorb free radicals generated during the decomposition of high-density PE. A good interfacial polarization effect in the materials was also demonstrated by an increase in the dielectric constant and conductivity of the composites when the graphene concentration was increased.

Based on spherical and sandwich graphene/SiO$_2$ supports, Su et al. prepared a high molecular weight PE/graphene nanocomposite using in situ polymerization method [124]. The porous spherical graphene support offers more advantages for this technology than conventional methods and could preserve the intrinsic graphene performance in nanocomposites. When the Ziegler-Natta (Z-N) catalyst is loaded onto the support, the active components adhere to the surface and inside the pores. When ethylene molecules contact the active sites, a regular and well-organized chain of molecular bonds is formed on the surface and inside the catalytic sites. Cheng et al. [125] proposed a noncovalent functionalization approach to prepare graphene PANI nanocomposites to improve the electrical conductivity, mechanical and electromagnetic shielding properties of the polyimide composite film. The polymerization of graphene in the PANI matrix helps to distribute the graphene sheets uniformly in the matrix. Due to the van der Waals forces, the graphene sheets tend to clump together during the stirring process without PANI and π-π noncovalent interactions between the
graphene sheets, resulting in an irregular and discontinuous network. The graphene sheets were welded together by noncovalent bonding between adjacent graphene sheets, avoiding aggregation of graphene sheets in organic solvents. Once the polymer matrix is thermally imidized, electrons can move more efficiently through the graphene PANI network. The high conductivity of graphene is not affected by the presence of noncovalent interactions in this mixture of graphene and PANI [125].

**Conclusions and perspectives**

This review attempts to provide an overview of current trends in conductive polymer functionalized graphene-based electrochemical sensors for the detection of contaminants in water. Electrochemical sensors are very promising because they are portable, fast, inexpensive, have good stability, sensitivity and selectivity, and are also suitable for in situ measurements. Conducting polymers are extensively studied for their superior properties, including tunable electrical properties, optical and high mechanical properties, easy synthesis and effortless fabrication, and high environmental stability compared to conventional inorganic materials. Although conducting polymers have many limitations in their original form, these are overcome by functionalization with other materials. Graphene has recently attracted much attention due to its potential as a conductive filler for polymer matrix composites. The synergistic effects of conductive polymer and graphene composites can be successfully used to overcome the limitations of each material. In this review, it has been suggested that methods for preparing graphene functionalized with conducting polymers include covalent and noncovalent functionalization. Both have a number of advantages and disadvantages that need to be considered. We compared graphene functionalized with conducting polymers and its derivatives and their performances in electrochemical sensors for the detection of contaminants in water in terms of detection technique, linear range, detection limit, and target analytes. Among different electrochemical techniques, SWASV, DPASV, CV, DPV, current potential and amperometry were used for the detection of water pollutants with conductive polymer functionalized graphene-based sensors. These results spark interest and illuminate key areas where graphene and conductive polymer nanocomposites are making significant advances in electrochemical sensing applications.
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