

# Investigating the role of financial development and technology innovation in climate change: evidence from emerging seven countries

Liu Jinqiao, Apichit Maneengam, Faiza Saleem & Syed Shafqat Mukarram

To cite this article: Liu Jinqiao, Apichit Maneengam, Faiza Saleem & Syed Shafqat Mukarram (2022) Investigating the role of financial development and technology innovation in climate change: evidence from emerging seven countries, Economic Research-Ekonomiska Istraživanja, 35:1, 3940-3960, DOI: [10.1080/1331677X.2021.2007152](https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2021.2007152)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2021.2007152>



© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 17 Jan 2022.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 1153



[View related articles](#)




[View Crossmark data](#)



Citing articles: 1 [View citing articles](#)

# Investigating the role of financial development and technology innovation in climate change: evidence from emerging seven countries

Liu Jinqiao<sup>a</sup>, Nafeesa Mughal<sup>b</sup> , Faiza Saleem<sup>c</sup> and Syed Shafqat Mukarram<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of International, Jilin University of Finance and Economics, Jilin, China; <sup>b</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering Technology, College of Industrial Technology, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>c</sup>Department of Management Sciences, University of Wah, Wah Cantt, Pakistan

## ABSTRACT

Amid raising ecological concerns, the role of sustainable financial system and emerging technologies have gained significant attention. And a relatively less attention has been given to these factors in emerging economies. Therefore, this study intends to investigate the effects of financial development and technology innovation on climate change (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) in Emerging Seven countries over the period 1980–2020. In doing so, we have adopted a dynamic panel data model, that is, generalized method of moments, where climate change is given as an endogenous function of financial development and technology innovation by controlling the effects of economic growth, trade openness, population, industrialization, and urbanization. The overall results exhibit that the direct effect of financial development on climate change is adverse; however, the indirect effect through moderation of technology innovation is significantly positive. These outcomes imply that technology innovation is imperative to neutralize the negative consequences of financial development on climate change. These findings advocate financial reforms that effectively encourage and incentivize firms to embrace efficient and environmental friendly technologies in the financial sector.

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 24 September 2021  
Accepted 11 November 2021

## KEYWORDS

Financial development; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; climate change; economic growth; technology innovation

## JEL CODES

G0; O31; O4; O10

## 1. Introduction

Environmental deterioration and climate change are consequences of various economic activities to sustain higher economic growth (ECO\_GRW). This growth has become a global challenge due to its substantial effects on human lives and global health (Ozturk et al., 2020; Razzaq et al., 2021; Sharif et al., 2020). In response, this has drawn the attention of the researchers to fully apprehend the dynamics of the relationship between the ECO\_GRW and environmental issues (Khan et al., 2018;

**CONTACT** Apichit Maneengam  [apichit.m@cit.kmutnb.ac.th](mailto:apichit.m@cit.kmutnb.ac.th)

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Uddin et al., 2017). ECO\_GRW hinges on the strength of the financial sector (Shoib et al., 2020). On the other hand, a strong financial sector ensures the effectiveness of funds available in the market to stimulate the organized and well-directed ECO\_GRW (Ling et al., 2021; Safi et al., 2021). In this connection, Schumpeter (1911) has done the seminal work by establishing the link between financial development (F\_DV) and ECO\_GRW. Thereafter, plenty of studies revealed the relationship between F\_DV and ECO\_GRW (Shahbaz, 2009). Nevertheless, the cost associated with the ECO\_GRW led by F\_DV is a matter of environmental concern (Mikayilov et al., 2018). F\_DV plays a significant role in stimulating growth but also has important ramifications for environmental quality (Ling et al., 2021).

In terms of how F\_DV influences changes in the environment, recent literature has established a strong correlation between the F\_DV and climate change (Maji et al., 2017; Shahbaz et al., 2013). Research findings are unequivocally contradictory in different countries around the world. Famous Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) also suggests that there is a non-linear inverted U-shaped relationship exist between F\_DV and climate change (Grossman & Krueger, 1993). Empirical evidence suggested that F\_DV is double edge sword that might stimulate CO2\_EMS and as well as cause to decrease in CO2\_EMS (Ziaei, 2015). So there is not a straightforward relationship exist between F\_DV and climate change. Thus, surrounding variables should be taken into account while investigating the impact of F\_DV on climate change (Godil et al., 2021; Sharif et al., 2019; Zameer et al., 2020). Previous literature focuses on the impact of F\_DV led technological progress that influences climate change. This line of inquiry presents mixed results; some studies reported a negative link between F\_DV and CO2\_EMS via technological progress (Godil et al., 2021; Ling et al., 2021; Zameer et al., 2020), and some studies reported positive (Khan et al., 2018). This conundrum on financial development and climate change and the increasing rate of energy consumption raises serious environmental concerns (He et al., 2021). Thus, these issues need constant focus on the interdependent relationship among financial development, climate change, and technology innovations. Further, prior studies also highlighted that the link between economic development, energy innovation and climate change needs to be further examined (Gyamfi et al., 2021). Contrary to prior studies, using endogenous growth lens, we suggest indirect effect through moderation of technology innovation that nullifies the negative ramifications of financial development on climate change (An et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2021). It implies that technology innovation (TEC\_INV) in the form of patents allows businesses to attract finances and simultaneously allows them to adopt advanced technologies that reduce the adverse effects of climate change (Chien et al., 2021; Lingyan et al., 2021; Razzaq, Wang, et al., 2021).

Studies investigating the impact of technological innovation on CO2\_EMS in the developed economies through F\_DV may not have relevance for emerging economies. Because large-scale businesses have already been shifted from developed economies towards emerging nations that significantly decreases the level of CO2\_EMS in the developed economies. Several energy reports have also shown a significant decline in climate change in the developed world in the recent past (Gyamfi et al., 2021). In the case of emerging economies, an important quest is to achieve economic growth.

Studies indicated that E-7 countries face major challenges for sustainable economic growth (Destek & Sarkodie, 2019). E-7 countries are the panel of industrialized and emerging economies. It is important to investigate how they could neutralize the adverse effects of industrialization on environmental degradation. Emerging countries heavily rely on traditional energy such as coal and fossil oil which has environmental ramifications (Rodionova et al., 2017). The effect of financial development and technology innovation on climate change is compounded with the number of factors that require answer in emerging countries. Thus, succinct research is essential in the panel of E-7 countries to promote energy innovation to restrict environmental degradation. This leads us to investigate the issue in emerging countries E-7 (Emerging-7). This study investigates the Impact of F\_DV on climate change in the presence of TEC\_INV in the group E-7 countries covering the period from 1980 to 2020. E-7 countries include Brazil, China, Indonesia, India, Mexico, Russian Federation, and Turkey.

The primary reason to conduct this study in E-7 countries is that major emerging economies are held in this group, and over the past two decades, these economies have shown the phenomenal ECO\_GRW along with significant environmental deterioration. One of the key reasons may be abundance of natural resources attracts businesses from all over the world. Thus, environmental issues are more important for the world in emerging economies. Moreover, the progress of financial markets in E-7 countries outperformed the markets of G-7 countries (Safi et al., 2021). Countries in E-7 utilize 40% of the world's energy consumption. Lastly, forecasted economic number for E-7 countries is much brighter than G-7.

This study contributes to the existing literature threefold. By employing the lens of endogenously growth theory, we have shown how TEC\_INV can influence the relationship between F\_DV and climate change by neutralizing the negative consequences for the environment. Prior studies have provided mixed findings. In this respect, using the Endogenous Growth theory, we may able to add up in the literature by highlighting one of the reasons of mix findings on financial development and climate changes (Baloch et al., 2021). Second, prior literature has an emphasis on the role of moderating variables. However, studies investigating the relationship between F\_DV and climate change do not consider the moderating factors that may influence the relationship between F\_DV and climate change. Prior studies suggested that surrounding variables should be considered while investigating the impact of F\_DV on climate change (Godil et al., 2021). Lastly, this study is carried out in E-7 countries that have shown incredible growth in the last two decades. F\_DV is on the fast track in these economies that creates environmental issues (Safi et al., 2021). The energy consumption ratio is on the higher side in E-7 countries in the world. Further, Foreign Investment inflows are also directed from all over the world in E-7 countries. All this shows the significance of reduction in CO2\_EMS in E-7 countries; this further triggers the debate on the role of TEC\_INV in climate change worldwide.

The remaining section of the study is as follows: Section 2 consists of theoretical and empirical literature. Section 3 comprises materials and methods, and section 4 presents results and discussion. The last section contains conclusion and implications.

## 2. Theoretical and empirical literature

Endogenous growth theory could be one of the indirect platforms that may be used to explain the phenomena between F\_DV and climate change (Aghion et al., 1998). The focus of the endogenous theory for the ECO\_GRW is on innovation and investments in human capital. Generally, theory supports the notion that internal factors are more important for an ECO\_GRW rather than external factors. Economies utilize finance efficiently while directing or providing the industries through strong environmental and financial regulations that help stimulate the TEC\_INV within the economy. Enhancement of TEC\_INV capability through F\_DV enhances the economic output and minimizes the required input via efficient energy use without compromising the environmental issues. TEC\_INV required the funds that can be directed through important regulatory measures to enhance the innovative capability of the economy that then may be utilized to produce maximum output to stimulate the ECO\_GRW without damaging the environment. Literature also suggested the eminent role of TEC\_INV on climate change. However, without the provision of financing, it would be difficult for a country to adopt efficient technology and thereby ECO\_GRW. This mechanism shows the link between F\_DV and climate change by using endogenous growth theory. So, TEC\_INV is an important aspect of the ECO\_GRW; Literature has shown ample evidence that corroborates this line of arguments that technology-led growth does not create environmental issues and enhances the sustainable ECO\_GRW.

Climate change (CO2\_EMS) is a growing worldwide phenomenon. Need of the hour is to protect the world from such adverse changes that may threaten the life on the earth. Amid the sharp changes in the climate, sustainable economic development becomes a major challenge for the world, particularly in emerging economies (Zameer et al., 2020). Today economic development is evaluated in terms of its sustainability (Godil et al., 2021), a development that does not deteriorate the environment. Prior studies indicated that ECO\_GRW led by F\_DV may stimulate the climate change (Bekhet et al., 2017). F\_DV has an anchoring role in climate change through economic development, it's triggered the ECO\_GRW but reinforces environmental degradation as well (Shahbaz et al., 2013). Famous Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) suggested that there is non-linear inverted U-shaped relationship exist between F\_DV and climate change (Grossman & Krueger, 1993). Similarly, Endogenous growth theory also suggests that the production factors are not the only source that influences the ECO\_GRW, but the TEC\_INV should also be taken into account while considering the impact of F\_DV on climate change (Shoaib et al., 2020).

EKC and Endogenous growth theory implicitly focus on the type of economic measures a country is undertaking for economic growth. The degree of reliance on natural resources or technological resources for energy requirements to attain the ECO\_GRW predicts the level of climate change within the economy (Ling et al., 2021). Thus, the type of energy resources utilized for economic output is a key element to determine climate change (An et al., 2021; Razzaq et al., 2021). Previous studies have provided mixed findings of the relationship between F\_DV and climate change; some studies reported a negative relationship (Majeed & Luni, 2019; Zameer et al., 2019), and others suggested positive (Islam et al., 2013; Saidi & Mbarek, 2017;

Tamazian et al., 2009). The possible reason for these ambiguous findings may be embedded in the context of the utilization of available finance. It is important to know how the availability of finance is utilized to adopt energy measures for economic output.

Generally, developed economies rely on efficient energy sources for their economic output due to advancement factors and awareness about environmental pollution and its effects on human life. Developed economies have strong environmental regulations that restrict financiers to finance traditional sources of energy for economic activity. Such regulations provide incentives for financiers to finance eco-friendly technology for economic output that reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emission (Shoab et al., 2020). Studies provide support for this notion that F\_DV reduces the negative impact on climate change (Fernández et al., 2018; Shahbaz et al., 2013; 2016). Most of the studies confirm this line of arguments conducted in developed economies. In developed countries, strong environmental regulations exist that do not permit businesses to use pollution-intensive energy sources. Therefore, they rely on efficient energy sources eco-friendly green technologies for economic output. Awareness of environmental hazards is another important aspect that leads to the existence of strong environmental regulation in such economies. Thus, they rely on efficient energy resources for ECO\_GRW that help them to mitigate environmental concerns in the form of less CO<sub>2</sub>EMS.

In the contrary, some studies have found that F\_DV deteriorate the environmental condition (Çoban & Topcu, 2013; Islam et al., 2013; Tang & Tan, 2014). Empirical evidence corroborates this stream of argumentation in emerging or developing economies. Ease of access to finance in an economy fastens the speed of production activities. Due to weak regulations, such economies do not care much about the environmental deterioration thus relies on pollution-intensive technologies for their ECO\_GRW, for example, fossil oil, coal and natural gas. Moreover, stakeholders of the businesses such as customers, investors, and so on, are also not much aware of the harmful effects of such types of production activities. Moreover, in the priority ladder of the emerging economies, ECO\_GRW comes before environmental issues. Because of poverty, scarce resources, technological shortcomings and lower research-based infrastructure, they rely on the traditional pollution intensive energy for economic output. Because they are in the developing phase, they are not equipped with the advanced level of efficient technologies. These economies also don't have the resources to generate or import such technology because of financing constraints (Razzaq, An, et al., 2021).

Additionally, financing for research and development activities are not easily available in third developing countries rather than tangible investment financing. One of the major reasons for the non-availability of financing for research related activities is collateral. Financial regulations of the emerging economies are also not comparable to developed economies along with financial infrastructure. These findings are corroborated in many studies (Majeed & Tauqir, 2020; Shahzad et al., 2021; Zhang, 2011). Likewise, some studies suggest that F\_DV may be helpful to improve the environment through the provision of flexible planning schedules and economies of scale but it may also harm the sustainable environment by allowing new entrants in pollution intensive industries by providing a cheap source of financing (Cole et al., 2005).

Employing the latest endogenous growth theory, incorporating the moderating effects of technology innovation into the market function model is an important aspect to explain the major factors that influence the environment (Rafique et al., 2020). The implication is that effective utilization of financial development that leads to Technology innovation that in turn, significantly reduces the adverse changes in the climate in Emerging Markets. Endogenous growth theory suggests that the production factors are not the only source that influences the ECO\_GRW, but the TEC\_INV should also be taken into account while considering the impact of F\_DV on climate change through the adoption of efficient technology sources. TEC\_INV is imperative to mitigate the negative effects of F\_DV on environment, particularly in developing economies (Shoaib et al., 2020). In recent times, the importance of TEC\_INV in climate change is getting attention of the researchers (Godil et al., 2021). TEC\_INV facilitates industry to reach out efficient energy sources instead of conventional one, such as fossil oil and natural gas. This enhances the efficiency of manufacturing procedures by minimizing inputs and maximizing output with a reduced level of CO2 EMS. Empirical evidence on the role of technology in climate change is also evident. For instance, Mensah et al. (2018) carried out in 28 OECD countries, reinforces that ECO\_GRW triggered CO2 EMS but an increase in R&D activity significantly reduces CO2 EMS. They highlighted the importance of TEC\_INV to protect the environment. Liobikienė and Butkus (2019) also corroborated that how financial dissemination may be helpful in reducing environmental degradation. TEC\_INV has an imperative role in decreasing environmental degradation (Talbi (2017). Samargandi (2017) found the importance of TEC\_INV in the manufacturing industry and its mitigating impact on CO2 EMS. Similar findings are presented by Yii and Geetha (2017) in Malaysia. These studies investigated the impact of communication technology on the environment and found that communication technology improves environmental quality (Al-Mulali et al., 2015; Ozcan & Apergis, 2018).

Technology innovation could be an important source to limit the adverse effects of financial development on climate change (Baloch et al., 2021; Mensah et al., 2019). Similarly, studies reveal that patents decline the negative impact of financial development on climate changes (Adebayo et al., 2021; Mensah et al., 2019). On this backdrop, the role of TEC\_INV becomes prominent in emerging economies because of higher level CO2 EMS as compared to developed economies. The role of technological advancement is more clearly born in the emerging economies because the influx of TEC\_INV effectively declines the level of environmental degradation (Bekun et al., 2021). Lv and Xu (2018) found the declining impact of advances in communication technologies on CO2 EMS through reduction of air pollution in emerging economies. Effective utilization of smart communication technology, such as internet/mobile, restricts outdoor activities reduces the energy demand, thereby CO2 EMS. Ozcan and Apergis (2018) carried out a study on the same issue in emerging economies and revealed that the adoption of communication technology significantly reduces the environmental degradation. Similar findings are suggested by Zhang and Liu (2015) for China.

A large part of the foreign direct inflows worldwide is directed towards emerging economies, particularly in E-7 countries, due to different comparative advantages. To draw the world's attention, such emerging economies enhance the TEC\_INV through

making innovation in digital business to attract the FDI from the developed countries to further acerbate the level of FDI inflows (Solarin et al., 2021). Particularly, China and India have made strong strides in Technology advancement that may also encourage other countries that are using traditional technology in their respective Industries. This implies that technology inflows are endogenously causes improvement of the F\_DV and decrease in climate change simultaneously. On the basis of the above arguments, we suggest that economic growth without appropriate allocation of funds for efficient energy sources and weak environmental and financial regulation leads to a positive association between financial development and climate change. However, the moderating role of TEC\_INV becomes more prominent to deal with this menace. An increase in financial development with the increasing rate of TEC\_INV neutralizes the negative effect of financial development on climate changes in E-7 countries (Baloch et al., 2021; Gyamfi et al., 2021).

### 3. Materials and methods

This research study analyzed the impact of financing on climate change. In order to achieve said objective, the study was conducted among E-7 emerging economies such as Brazil, China, Indonesia, India, Mexico, Russian Federation, and Turkey. These countries are selected because they are developing countries and contribute significantly to the global economy. In this study, data was confined to the period of 1980–2020 and collected from World Development indicators in respect to CO2\_EMS, F\_DV, industrialization, trade openness, urbanization, ECO\_GRW and population, while the data for TEC\_INV is taken from British petroleum website. Table 1 describes the detail of variables with justification used in this study.

Usually, three regression techniques are utilized to estimate panel data; ordinary least square (OLS), random effect model (REM) and fixed effect model (FEM). The OLS model is called as constant coefficient model and is mostly used for estimating unknown parameters in a linear regression model. This model assumed that the intercept and coefficient are homogenous for all firms. In general, ordinary least square regression model ignores the individual and time effects. On the other hand, the REM and FEM are used to determine the relationships within or between each cross section (Baltagi, 2008). The FEM allows control for unobserved heterogeneity and is unable to resolve endogeneity issues (Mundlak, 1961). Therefore, the study used the generalized method of moments (GMM) technique to address the endogeneity and reverse causality between model variables. This method is called as dynamic panel data technique as this method not only resolve the endogeneity problem but also overcome unobserved heterogeneity respectively. Initially, we applied some preliminary tests and subsequently applied dynamic GMM to estimate long-run parameters.

#### 3.1. Descriptive statistics

Descriptive measurements are brief expressive coefficients that summarize a given information set, which can either illustrate the entire population or a sample of it. In descriptive statistics, we can determine the measure of deviation and measures of



**Table 1.** Detail and Justification of variables.

Variable name	Variable Nature	Unit of measurement	Justification of Variables
Carbon Emissions (CO2 EMS)	Dependent Variable	Metric tons per capita	(Chen & Lei, 2018; Godil et al., 2020; Shahbaz et al., 2013; Shoaib et al., 2020; Tamazian et al., 2009)
Financial Development (F_DV)	Independent Variable	Domestic credit provided by financial sector (% of GDP)	(Chen & Lei, 2018; Godil et al., 2020; Law et al., 2018; Shoaib et al., 2020; Zameer et al., 2020)
Technology Innovation (TEC_INV)	Moderating Variable	Total patent applications	(Bottazzi & Peri, 2003; Chen & Lei, 2018; Law et al., 2018; Tebaldi & Elmslie, 2013; Weina et al., 2016)
Economic Growth (ECO_GRW)	Control Variable	GDP per capita growth (annual %)	(Godil et al., 2020; Shoaib et al., 2020; Tamazian et al., 2009)
Industrialization (IND)	Control Variable	Industry share as a % of GDP	(Majeed & Tauqir, 2020; Tamazian et al., 2009; Xu & Lin, 2015)
Trade Openness (TOP)	Control Variable	Import + export (% of GDP)	(Fang et al., 2020; Law et al., 2018; Shahbaz et al., 2013; Zameer et al., 2020)
Urbanization (URB)	Control Variable	% of total population	(Fang et al., 2020; Majeed & Tauqir, 2020)
Population (POP)	Control Variable	Population growth (annual %)	(Bottazzi & Peri, 2003; Chen & Lei, 2018; Shahbaz et al., 2018)

Source: Author calculation.

central tendency. The measures of deviation comprise minimum value, maximum value, and standard deviation etc. Whereas the measures of central tendency include mean value, median value, and mode value, respectively. The descriptive statistics describes and summarizes the behaviour of variables used in the study.

### 3.2. Multicollinearity test

Multicollinearity could be a measurable marvel in which two or more illustrative factors in a multiple regression model are profoundly correlated (Greene, 2003). The problem of multicollinearity is observed when there is a culminate perfect linear association between two or more variables (Gujarati & Porter, 2009; Hamilton, 2012). In case the bivariate correlation coefficient between combined indicator factors is high, surpassing 0.80, there's an issue of multicollinearity. Put in an unexpected way, explanatory factors ought to not correlate too high since in case so, the assessed parameter become untrustworthy and the indicators become less important (Field, 2009). One of the techniques to detect multicollinearity is the construction of correlation matrix. The correlation matrix of variables shows the correlation of explanatory variables. As a rule of thumb, Field (2009) states that correlation above 0.8 is a cause for concern. It provides both the direction and the strength of a relationship.

### 3.3. Heteroscedasticity test

The words homoscedasticity and heteroscedasticity have a Greek-origin and refer to the equality and inequality of variance of the error term in regression equations (Hair et al., 2006). In regression, the random variables are identically and independently distributed which means that these variables have some variance for all the observations in a given data set. This phenomenon is called homoscedasticity. On the off

chance that they are not, it causes genuine issues for the estimates and must be adjusted for the research to get reliable estimates. Heteroscedasticity may form because of incorrect model specifications or wrong data transformation. Therefore, the variance of the dependent variable changes (Hair et al., 2006). In reality, heteroscedasticity may arise when various observations have diverse error variances. To detect the heteroscedasticity of the residual variance, Breusch-Pagan or Cook-Weisberg test was applied in each of the regression models. The criteria is that if the p-value is less than 0.05 percent it means that there is heteroscedasticity issue in the variance of dependent variables; therefore the model should be regressed using the least square estimator with robust standard regression.

### 3.4. Generalized method of moments (GMM)

The GMM has ended up an imperative estimation approach in different areas of applied economics and finance. According to Pikas, the GMM methodology covers the dimensions of both time series and cross-sectional estimations. GMM is also called as dynamic model as this model have the features to elucidate the issue of endogeneity as well as it offers more effective instruments that control for endogeneity (Arellano & Bond, 1991). This procedure of GMM is flexible because it only requires a few assumptions about moment conditions. The motivation for using GMM is because of three reasons. The first reason is that it controls the country-specific effect. The second reason is that come macroeconomic factors in the model, such as F\_DV, trade openness, and ECO\_GRW are assumed to be endogenous. Third, the presence of the lagged dependent variable in the model gives rise to autocorrelation problems. For the first problem, Arellano and Bond (1991) propose transforming the level equation into first differences to eradicate the unseen country-specific effect. GMM is applied when the descriptive factors are not stringently exogenous indeed after controlling for an unnoticed effect. The consistency of the GMM estimator depends on the cogency of the instrument. In order to resolve this issue, the study used two specification tests recommended by Arellano and Bond (1991). The first test is called the Sargan/Hansen test. This test will check the overall validity and reliability of the instruments by determining some momentum conditions as specified in the estimation process. The second test determines the absence of the serial correlation of the error terms using the first and second order serial correlation test.

The first-order serial correlation, signified as AR1 in the differential residual, it is due to the first difference of models and second-order serial correlation, difference residual denoted as AR2. GMM is well suited for obtaining efficient estimators that account for the serial correlation (Arellano & Bond, 1991). The empirical model for this study is as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{CO2\_EMS}_{it} = & \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{F\_DV}_{it} + \beta_2\text{TEC\_INV}_{it} + \beta_3(\text{F\_DV} * \text{TEC\_INV})_{it} \\ & + \beta_4\text{ECO\_GRW}_{it} + \beta_5\text{TOP}_{it} + \beta_6\text{IND}_{it} + \beta_7\text{URB}_{it} \\ & + \beta_8\text{POP}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

**Table 2.** Descriptive statistics.

Variable	Observation	Mean value	Standard deviation	Minimum value	Maximum value
CO2_EMS	287	4.4593	5.3754	0.4492	26.8992
F_DV	287	57.9923	38.0213	8.8214	212.9187
TEC_INV	287	44811.02	190672.4	5.000	1393815
ECO_GRW	287	2.6929	4.8066	-14.5684	13.6383
TOP	287	41.1145	17.2429	12.0086	110.5771
IND	287	32.3867	9.2353	11.3991	48.0607
POP	287	1.2940	0.6806	-0.4600	2.3974
URB	287	56.7065	20.6771	19.3580	87.0730

Note: The Table 2 reports descriptive statistics summary based on overall dataset of E7 countries. The dependent variable is carbon dioxide emissions (CO2\_EMS). The independent variable is financial development (F\_DV), the moderating variable used in the study is technology innovation (TEC\_INV), and the control variables are economic growth (ECO\_GRW), trade openness (TOP), industrialization (IND), population (POP) and urbanization (URB).

Source: Author calculation.

where  $CO2_{it}$  is carbon dioxide emissions (climate change) in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $F_{DVit}$  is financial development in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $TEC_{INVit}$  is technology innovation in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $ECO_{GRWit}$  is economic growth in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $TOP_{it}$  is trade openness in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $IND_{it}$  is industrialization in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $URB_{it}$  is urbanization in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $POP_{it}$  is population in country  $i$  at time  $t$ ;  $\mu_i$  is the time invariant fixed effect,  $\mu_t$  is the firm invariant time specific effect and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is disturbance term.

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics are shown in Table 2, which depicts the mean, standard deviation, and minimum, maximum and of all the variables. CO2\_EMS varies from 0.4492 to 26.8992, with a mean value of 4.4593 and standard deviation of 5.3754, respectively. The mean value of financial development (F\_DV) is 57.9923, ranging from 8.8214 to 212.9187 with a standard deviation of 38.0213. The technology innovation (TEC\_INV) varies from 5.0000 to 1393815, with a mean value of 44811.02 and a standard deviation of 190672.4, respectively. The mean value of the product of financial development with technology innovation (F\_DV\* TEC\_INV) is 6831564, ranging from 44.1073 to 2.53E + 08 with a standard deviation of 3.37E + 07. Economic growth (ECO\_GRW) varies from -14.5684 to 13.6383 with a mean value of 2.6929 and standard deviation of 4.8066, respectively. Trade openness (TOP) varies from 12.0086 to 110.5771, with a mean value of 41.1145 and a standard deviation of 17.2429, respectively. The mean value of industrialization (IND) is 32.3867, ranging from 11.3991 to 48.0607 with a standard deviation of 9.2353. Population (POP) varies from -0.4600 to 2.3974, with a mean value of 1.2940 and a standard deviation of 0.6806. Urbanization (URB) varies from 19.358 to 87.073 with a mean value of 56.7065 and standard deviation of 20.6771, respectively.

### 4.2. Correlation analysis

Table 3 provides a summary of correlation matrix of financial development with climate change on overall dataset of E-7 emerging economies. The table shows that the

**Table 3.** Correlation matrix.

	CO2_EMS	F_DV	TEC_INV	FDV* TEC_INV	ECO_GRW	TOP	IND	POP	URB
CO2_EMS	1								
F_DV	0.090	1							
TEC_INV	-0.141**	0.590***	1						
FDV* TEC_INV	-0.103*	0.580***	0.597***	1					
ECO_GRW	-0.370***	0.220***	0.143**	0.143**	1				
TOP	-0.200***	-0.050	0.009	-0.004	-0.044	1			
IND	0.308***	0.040	0.203***	0.182***	0.214***	0.371***	1		
POP	0.620***	-0.270***	-0.279***	-0.243***	0.025	-0.384***	-0.260***	1	
URB	0.394***	0.110*	0.003	-0.002	-0.448***	0.185***	-0.425***	-0.339***	1

Note: CO2\_EMS = carbon dioxide emissions, F\_DV = financial development, TEC\_INV = technology innovation, FDV\* TEC\_INV = product of financial development and technology innovation, ECO\_GRW = economic growth, TOP = trade openness, IND = industrialization, POP = population, and URB = urbanization. The p-values shown in parentheses, that is, \*\*\*, \*\*, and \* denotes significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.

Source: Author calculation.

correlation coefficients of CO2\_EMS with technology innovation (TEC\_INV), product of financial development and technology innovation (FDV\* TEC\_INV), trade openness (TOP), industrialization (IND) and urbanization (URB) remained positively significant significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. Whereas correlation coefficients are negatively significant for (ECO\_GRW) and population (POP) at 1% level of significance.

### 4.3. Heteroskedasticity test

In order to determine the homoskedasticity of the residual variance, Breusch-Pagan or Cook-Weisberg test was applied. The tested models in Table 4 indicate that there is no heteroskedasticity issue in variance of dependent variables.

### 4.4. GMM results

From Table 5, the GMM results revealed that the coefficient of F\_DV is positively and is significantly related to the CO2\_EMS. The coefficient value of F\_DV is 0.0105 at 1% level of significance, which indicate that every 1% increase in F\_DV leads to a 1.05% increase in CO2\_EMS. The positive sign of the coefficient reflects that the current F\_DV has stimulated the development of E-7 economies but brought carbon emissions. Likewise, the effect of TEC\_INV is negatively discernible with CO2\_EMS, and the results are significant at 1% level of significance with a coefficient value of 0.0032, implying that a 1% increase in TEC\_INV leads to 0.322% decline in CO2\_EMS. The findings reveal a significant negative association for the moderating variable TEC\_INV (product of financial development and TEC\_INV) with climate change with the coefficient value of -0.0016% at 1% level of significance.

The relationship between ECO\_GRW and CO2\_EMS exhibit a high significant negative relationship with the coefficient value of -0.0601 at 1% level of significance. In case of the association between the trade openness and CO2\_EMS, the findings are found to be negatively and highly significant at 1% level of significance with the coefficient value of -0.0306. Similarly, the coefficient value of urbanization is 0.1732, and the findings reveal a significant positive association between urbanization with CO2\_EMS. In case of the association between the industrialization and CO2\_EMS,

**Table 4.** Heteroskedasticity test result.

Breusch-Pagan/Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity

Ho: Constant variance

Variables: fitted values of CO2

chi2(1) = 160.44

Prob &gt; chi2 = 0.0000

Source: Author calculation.

**Table 5.** GMM results.

Variables	Coefficients	Standard errors
F_DV	0.010***	(0.00203)
TEC_INV	-0.032***	(0.00003)
F_DV* TEC_INV	-0.016***	(0.00001)
ECO_GRW	-0.060***	(0.00977)
TOP	-0.0306***	(0.00356)
IND	0.3945***	(0.01115)
POP	2.6534***	(0.17992)
URB	0.1732***	(0.00998)
Constant	-21.071***	(0.75331)
AR(1)	0.803	
AR(2)	0.882	
Sargan Test	1.000	
Prob(F-stat.)	0.000	
Countries	07	
Observation	287	

Note: CO2\_EMS = carbon dioxide emissions, F\_DV = financial development, TEC\_INV = technology innovation, F\_DV\* TEC\_INV = product of financial development and technology innovation, ECO\_GRW = economic growth, TOP = trade openness, IND = industrialization, POP = population, and URB = urbanization. The tests for serial autocorrelation denoted as AR1 and AR2. The Sargan test identifying that the instrument are healthier in estimating the dynamic model. The p-values shown in parentheses, that is, \*\*\*, \*\*, and \* denotes significance at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.

Source: Author calculation.

the coefficient value is 0.3945 and the findings are found to be positive and highly significant at 1% level of significance. The population as a control variable also shows a highly significant positive relationship with CO2\_EMS at 1% level of significance with the coefficient value of 2.6534.

The findings of this research study revealed that there is a positive relationship between F\_DV and CO2\_EMS. These findings indicate that increase in F\_DV causes to increase CO2\_EMS intensity and support the findings of (Bello & Abimbola, 2010; Chen & Lei, 2018; Fang et al., 2020; Jalil & Feridun, 2011; Shoaib et al., 2020; Tsauroi, 2019). For TEC\_INV the findings indicate that green technology is meant to play a central role in reducing the environmental effect of CO2\_EMS and of other pollutants and considerably affect environmental performance (Gilli et al., 2014; Weina et al., 2016). Wang et al. (2012) found that innovations that are oriented toward carbon-free technologies can significantly help lower CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Likewise, Fernández et al. (2018), which has indicated that ECO\_GRW achieved through technological progress would result in reducing environmental pollution. Ganda (2019) noted that spending on R&D has an inverse relation with carbon emissions.

Cheng et al. (2018) also found similar thoughts and indicated that technical progress significantly influences carbon intensity. These findings are in line with the preceding studies Álvarez-Herránz et al. (2017), Chen and Lei (2018), Dauda et al. (2019), Fernández et al. (2018), Muhammad Shahbaz et al. (2020) and Zameer et al. (2020). In addition, the findings for moderation variable TEC\_INV (product of

financial development and TEC\_INV) with CO2\_EMS shows a negative relationship. The endogenous growth theory supports the argument, as the theory considers that technological progress improves the capability of a nation to replace the polluting resources with other environmentally friendly resources (Zameer et al., 2020). First, F\_DV, which has structural and technological effects on carbon emissions reduction, helps to reduce emissions (Destek & Sarkodie, 2019). With the gradual improvement of a country's financial system, the government can channel funds to relevant industries, optimize industrial mix and reduce carbon emissions through providing green credit funds for low-pollution enterprises (Masih et al., 2009; Zhang, 2018).

Tamazian et al. (2009) point out that a sound financial market system can reduce financing costs and streamline financing channels, which helps companies to purchase green equipment and undertake green technology R&D. The results are supported by the findings of D. I. Godil et al. (2020), Yii and Geetha (2017), Yusuf et al. (2019). Likewise, ECO\_GRW shows a significant negative relationship with CO2\_EMS. Tamazian et al. (2009) determined that higher degree of ECO\_GRW decreases the environmental degradation and significantly causes to decline the carbon emissions (Shoib et al., 2020). The developed and strong financial system of a country enhances ECO\_GRW and reduces CO2\_EMS (Frankel & Romer, 1999). They also suggested that higher F\_DV boost R&D activities, which accelerate ECO\_GRW and reduces environmental degradation. The studies conducted to examine the association between the ECO\_GRW and CO2\_EMS exhibiting negative relationship (Beckerman, 1992; Dutt, 2009; Frankel & Romer, 1999; Qasim et al., 2015; Shoib et al., 2020). The researcher's findings depicted that higher proportion of ECO\_GRW is associated with greater reduction in CO2\_EMS. For trade openness the results implies that trade openness declines energy pollutants and improves environmental quality. These results are consistent to the prior studies (Chen & Lei, 2018; Muhammad Shahbaz et al., 2013). There is a significant positive relationship between urbanization and CO2\_EMS. These findings can be interpreted that increase in urbanization increases CO2\_EMS, This is mainly due to the fact that urbanization causes infrastructure development and improvement in residents' lives and causes environmental pollution in the long run (Fang et al., 2020). These results are consistent to the prior studies (Fang et al., 2020). The findings for industrialization and CO2\_EMS is positive and shows that at early stages of industrialization there is the large requirement for energy consumption and low energy-saving technology causes increase in CO2\_EMS. These results are consistent to the prior study (Sahoo & Sethi, 2020; Tamazian et al., 2009; Xu & Lin, 2015; Yazdi & Shakouri, 2018; Zhu et al., 2017). Lastly the variable population shows significant positive relationship with CO2\_EMS and the findings indicated that population size was the most important driving force of carbon emissions. The results are supported by the findings of Chen and Lei (2018), Jorgenson and Clark (2010), and Yu et al. (2018).

## 5. Conclusion and policy implications

In the context of the E-7 emerging economies, this study investigates the effects of financing on climate change. In so doing, we focus on the impact of F\_DV mediated

by TEC\_INV on climate change (CO2\_EMS) and also incorporate the effect of some control variables like ECO\_GRW, trade openness, population, industrialization, and urbanization in the carbon emissions function for the E-7 economy for the period 1980–2020. For the empirical purpose, the study applied some standard diagnostic tests in order to check the properties of data and then the study applied GMM technique in order to check the relationship between said variables. In the light of our empirical results, we can hereby conclude the presence of significant relationship between F\_DVs on climate change moderated by TEC\_INV. More specifically, we conclude that in E-7 emerging economies, an increase in TEC\_INV improves environmental quality by reducing carbon emissions. TEC\_INV was also found to be an important factor in reducing carbon emissions.

The findings from this research study indicate that the reduction in CO2\_EMS can also be accomplished through investment in TEC\_INV, that is, in case the nation follows technological innovation in terms of green technology, it can offer assistance to the firms to improve their efficiency in terms of energy usage. The findings of this study suggest some important policy recommendations. The countries should develop and improve policies for trade openness to encourage ECO\_GRO and a high level of TEC\_INV, which will ultimately help reduce environmental degradation. Likewise, E-7 countries should use advanced technologies and equipment in their industries and develop strategies to reduce CO2\_EMS. As every country is trying to increase urbanization and industrialization to increase its economic growth, this will also affect the environment badly. In order to overcome the negative effects on the environment, the findings suggested that all countries, irrespective of their income level, must develop green and sustainable urbanization and industrialization policies to conserve the global environment. The findings of this research study suggested that with a better financial system, more finances ought to be invested in clean energy projects. The endogenous growth theory underpins the contention of the noteworthy effect of technological progress on ECO\_GRW and natural contamination. This theory considers that technological progress develops a country's capabilities to supplant the contaminating resources with other environment-friendly resources (Zameer et al., 2020). As F\_DV showed a significant negative relationship with climate change, it is further suggested that the E-7 economies government should reassure more usage of those credit approaches that ensure the loans taken by the financial sector that must be utilized towards the environmentally friendly projects that diminish CO2\_EMS.

### **5.1. Limitations and future direction**

This study has some limitations which can be considered for future research. First, the time span and the sample size need to be extended. Second, some other proxies may be used for financial development, technology innovation in order to compare the result. Third, Still, there are more than one variable other than TEC\_INV that moderate the financing-climate prevention relationship, such as eco-innovation, R&D, and green technology and so on. Finally, this study used CO\_EMS as a dependent variable; future studies may disaggregate energy consumption into renewable energy and non-renewable energy source, respectively.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Funding

This article was supported by Research on the Institutional Supply of Corruption Governance in the Field of Poverty Alleviation under the Constraint of ‘Getting Out of Poverty and Not Returning to Poverty’, JJKH20210154SK; and Jilin University Integrity Think Tank Innovation Team Building Project (2017LZZK008).

## ORCID

Nafeesa Mughal  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5989-5764>

## References

- Adebayo, T. S., Akinsola, G. D., Bekun, F. V., Osemeahon, O. S., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2021). Mitigating human-induced emissions in Argentina: Role of renewables, income, globalization, and financial development. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(47), 67764–67778.
- Aghion, P., Howitt, P., Howitt, P. W., Brant-Collett, M., & García-Peñalosa, C. (1998). *Endogenous growth theory*. MIT press.
- An, H., Razzaq, A., Nawaz, A., Noman, S. M., & Khan, S. A. R. (2021). Nexus between green logistic operations and triple bottom line: Evidence from infrastructure-led Chinese outward foreign direct investment in Belt and Road host countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 51022–51045.
- Al-Mulali, U., Sheau-Ting, L., & Ozturk, I. (2015). The global move toward Internet shopping and its influence on pollution: An empirical analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 22(13), 9717–9727.
- Álvarez-Herránz, A., Balsalobre, D., Cantos, J. M., & Shahbaz, M. (2017). Energy innovations-GHG emissions nexus: Fresh empirical evidence from OECD countries. *Energy Policy*, 101, 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.11.030>
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 58(2), 277–297. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2297968>
- An, H., Razzaq, A., Haseeb, M., & Mihardjo, L. W. (2021). The role of technology innovation and people’s connectivity in testing environmental Kuznets curve and pollution heaven hypotheses across the Belt and Road host countries: new evidence from Method of Moments Quantile Regression. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 28(5), 5254–5270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10775-3>
- Baloch, M. A., Ozturk, I., Bekun, F. V., & Khan, D. (2021). Modeling the dynamic linkage between financial development, energy innovation, and environmental quality: Does globalization matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(1), 176–184. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2615>
- Baltagi, B. H. (2008). *Econometric analysis of panel data*. (Vol. 4): Springer.
- Beckerman, W. (1992). Economic growth and the environment: Whose growth? Whose environment? *World Development*, 20(4), 481–496. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(92\)90038-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(92)90038-W)
- Bekhet, H. A., Matar, A., & Yasmin, T. (2017). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, economic growth, and financial development in GCC countries: Dynamic simultaneous equation models. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 70, 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.089>



- Bekun, F. V., Alola, A. A., Gyamfi, B. A., & Ampomah, A. B. (2021). The environmental aspects of conventional and clean energy policy in sub-Saharan Africa: Is N-shaped hypothesis valid? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(47), 66695–66708.
- Bello, A. K., & Abimbola, O. M. (2010). Does the level of economic growth influence environmental quality in Nigeria: A test of environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(4), 325–329.
- Bottazzi, L., & Peri, G. (2003). Innovation and spillovers in regions: Evidence from European patent data. *European Economic Review*, 47(4), 687–710. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921\(02\)00307-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(02)00307-0)
- Chen, W., & Lei, Y. (2018). The impacts of renewable energy and technological innovation on environment-energy-growth nexus: New evidence from a panel quantile regression. *Renewable Energy*, 123, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.02.026>
- Cheng, Z., Li, L., & Liu, J. (2018). Industrial structure, technical progress and carbon intensity in China's provinces. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 81, 2935–2946. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.06.103>
- Çoban, S., & Topcu, M. (2013). The nexus between financial development and energy consumption in the EU: A dynamic panel data analysis. *Energy Economics*, 39, 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2013.04.001>
- Chien, F., Anwar, A., Hsu, C. C., Sharif, A., Razaq, A., & Sinha, A. (2021). The role of information and communication technology in encountering environmental degradation: Proposing an SDG framework for the BRICS countries. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101587>
- Cole, M. A., Elliott, R. J., & Shimamoto, K. (2005). Industrial characteristics, environmental regulations and air pollution: An analysis of the UK manufacturing sector. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 50(1), 121–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2004.08.001>
- Dauda, L., Long, X., Mensah, C. N., & Salman, M. (2019). The effects of economic growth and innovation on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in different regions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(15), 15028–15038. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04891-y>
- Destek, M. A., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2019). Investigation of environmental Kuznets curve for ecological footprint: The role of energy and financial development. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 650(Pt 2), 2483–2489.
- Dutt, K. (2009). Governance, institutions and the environment-income relationship: A cross-country study. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 11(4), 705–723. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-007-9138-8>
- Fang, Z., Gao, X., & Sun, C. (2020). Do financial development, urbanization and trade affect environmental quality? Evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 259, 120892. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120892>
- Fernández, Y. F., López, M. F., & Blanco, B. O. (2018). Innovation for sustainability: The impact of R&D spending on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 3459–3467.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (3rd ed). Sage Publications.
- Frankel, J. A., & Romer, D. H. (1999). Does trade cause growth? *American Economic Review*, 89(3), 379–399. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.89.3.379>
- Ganda, F. (2019). The impact of innovation and technology investments on carbon emissions in selected organisation for economic Co-operation and development countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 217, 469–483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.235>
- Gilli, M., Mancinelli, S., & Mazzanti, M. (2014). Innovation complementarity and environmental productivity effects: Reality or delusion? Evidence from the EU. *Ecological Economics*, 103, 56–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.04.004>
- Godil, D., Yu, Z., Sharif, A., Usman, R., & Khan, S. A. R. (2021). Investigate the role of technology innovation and renewable energy in reducing transport sector CO<sub>2</sub> emission in China: A path toward sustainable development. *Sustainable Development*, 29(4), 694–707. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2167>

- Godil, D. I., Sharif, A., Agha, H., & Jermsittiparsert, K. (2020). The dynamic nonlinear influence of ICT, financial development, and institutional quality on CO2 emission in Pakistan: New insights from QARDL approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 27(19), 24190–24200.
- Greene, W. H. (2003). *Econometric analysis*. Pearson Education India.
- Grossman, G. M., & Krueger, A. (1993). Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. In P. Garber (Éd.), *The US-Mexico free trade agreement* (p. 1655177). MIT Press.
- Gujarati, D., & Porter, D. (2009). *Basic econometrics*. 5th ed. Anne Hilbert.
- Gyamfi, B. A., Adedoyin, F. F., Bein, M. A., Bekun, F. V., & Agozie, D. Q. (2021). The anthropogenic consequences of energy consumption in E7 economies: Juxtaposing roles of renewable, coal, nuclear, oil and gas energy: evidence from panel quantile method. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 295, 126373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126373>
- Hair, Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. 6th ed. Pearson Educational International.
- Hamilton, L. C. (2012). *Statistics with Stata: version 12*. Cengage Learning.
- He, X., Mishra, S., Aman, A., Shahbaz, M., Razaq, A., & Sharif, A. (2021). The linkage between clean energy stocks and the fluctuations in oil price and financial stress in the US and Europe? Evidence from QARDL approach. *Resources Policy*, 72, 102021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2021.102021>
- Islam, F., Shahbaz, M., Ahmed, A. U., & Alam, M. M. (2013). Financial development and energy consumption nexus in Malaysia: A multivariate time series analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 30, 435–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2012.09.033>
- Jalil, A., & Feridun, M. (2011). The impact of growth, energy and financial development on the environment in China: A cointegration analysis. *Energy Economics*, 33(2), 284–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2010.10.003>
- Jorgenson, A. K., & Clark, B. (2010). Assessing the temporal stability of the population/environment relationship in comparative perspective: A cross-national panel study of carbon dioxide emissions, 1960–2005. *Population and Environment*, 32(1), 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-010-0117-x>
- Khan, Baloch, M. A., Saud, S., & Fatima, T. (2018). The effect of ICT on CO2 emissions in emerging economies: Does the level of income matters? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(23), 22850–22860.
- Yazdi, S. K., & Shakouri, B. (2018). The effect of renewable energy and urbanization on CO2 emissions: A panel data. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 13(2), 121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2017.1400607>
- Khan, S. A. R., Razaq, A., Yu, Z., & Miller, S. (2021). Industry 4.0 and circular economy practices: A new era business strategies for environmental sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 4001–4014. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2853>
- Law, S. H., Lee, W. C., & Singh, N. (2018). Revisiting the finance-innovation nexus: Evidence from a non-linear approach. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 3(3), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2017.02.001>
- Liobikienė, G., & Butkus, M. (2019). Scale, composition, and technique effects through which the economic growth, foreign direct investment, urbanization, and trade affect greenhouse gas emissions. *Renewable Energy*, 132, 1310–1322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.09.032>
- Lv, Z., & Xu, T. (2018). Is economic globalization good or bad for the environmental quality? New evidence from dynamic heterogeneous panel models. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 137, 340–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.08.004>
- Ling, G., Razaq, A., Guo, Y., Fatima, T., & Shahzad, F. (2021). Asymmetric and time-varying linkages between carbon emissions, globalization, natural resources and financial development in China. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01724-2>

- Lingyan, M., Zhao, Z., Malik, H. A., Razzaq, A., An, H., & Hassan, M. (2021). Asymmetric impact of fiscal decentralization and environmental innovation on carbon emissions: Evidence from highly decentralized countries. *Energy & Environment*, 0958305X2110184. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305X211018453>
- Majeed, M. T., & Luni, T. (2019). Renewable energy, water, and environmental degradation: A global panel data approach. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS)*, 13(3), 749–778.
- Majeed, M. T., & Tauqir, A. (2020). Effects of urbanization, industrialization, economic growth, energy consumption, financial development on carbon emissions: an extended STIRPAT model for heterogeneous income groups. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS)*, 14(3), 652–681.
- Maji, I. K., Habibullah, M. S., & Saari, M. Y. (2017). Financial development and sectoral CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Malaysia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(8), 7160–7176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8326-1>
- Masih, M., Al-Elg, A., & Madani, H. (2009). Causality between financial development and economic growth: An application of vector error correction and variance decomposition methods to Saudi Arabia. *Applied Economics*, 41(13), 1691–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036840701320233>
- Mensah, C. N., Long, X., Boamah, K. B., Bediako, I. A., Dauda, L., & Salman, M. (2018). The effect of innovation on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of OCED countries from 1990 to 2014. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 25(29), 29678–29698. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2968-0>
- Mensah, C. N., Long, X., Dauda, L., Boamah, K. B., & Salman, M. (2019). Innovation and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: The complimentary role of eco-patent and trademark in the OECD economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(22), 22878–22891. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05558-4>
- Mikayilov, J. I., Galeotti, M., & Hasanov, F. J. (2018). The impact of economic growth on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Azerbaijan. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 197, 1558–1572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.269>
- Mundlak, Y. (1961). Empirical production function free of management bias. *Journal of Farm Economics*, 43(1), 44–56. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1235460>
- Ozcan, B., & Apergis, N. (2018). The impact of internet use on air pollution: Evidence from emerging countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 25(5), 4174–4189.
- Ozturk, I., Sharif, A., Tuzemenc, O. B., Uzuner, G., & Sinha, A. (2020). Revisiting the role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption on Turkey's ecological footprint: Evidence from Quantile ARDL approach.
- Qasim, S., Khan, A. N., Shrestha, R. P., & Qasim, M. (2015). Risk perception of the people in the flood prone Khyber Pukhthunkhwa province of Pakistan. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 14, 373–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2015.09.001>
- Rafique, M. Z., Li, Y., Larik, A. R., & Monaheng, M. P. (2020). The effects of FDI, technological innovation, and financial development on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: evidence from the BRICS countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(19), 23899–23913. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08715-2>
- Razzaq, A., Ajaz, T., Li, J. C., Irfan, M., & Suksatan, W. (2021). Investigating the asymmetric linkages between infrastructure development, green innovation, and consumption-based material footprint: Novel empirical estimations from highly resource-consuming economies. *Resources Policy*, 74, 102302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2021.102302>
- Razzaq, A., Sharif, A., Ahmad, P., & Jermisittiparsert, K. (2021). Asymmetric role of tourism development and technology innovation on carbon dioxide emission reduction in the Chinese economy: Fresh insights from QARDL approach. *Sustainable Development*, 29(1), 176–193. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2139>
- Razzaq, A., Wang, Y., Chupradit, S., Suksatan, W., & Shahzad, F. (2021). Asymmetric inter-linkages between green technology innovation and consumption-based carbon emissions in

- BRICS countries using quantile-on-quantile framework. *Technology in Society*, 66, 101656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101656>
- Razzaq, A., An, H., & Delpachitra, S. (2021). Does technology gap increase FDI spillovers on productivity growth? Evidence from Chinese outward FDI in Belt and Road host countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 172, 121050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121050>
- Rodionova, I. A., Chernyaev, M. V., & Korenevskaya, A. V. (2017). Energy safety and innovative development of the BRICS states. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 7(3), 216–224.
- Safi, A., Chen, Y., Wahab, S., Ali, S., Yi, X., & Imran, M. (2021). Financial instability and consumption-based carbon emission in E-7 countries: The role of trade and economic growth. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 27, 383–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.10.034>
- Sharif, A., Raza, S. A., Ozturk, I., & Afshan, S. (2019). The dynamic relationship of renewable and nonrenewable energy consumption with carbon emission: A global study with the application of heterogeneous panel estimations. *Renewable Energy*, 133, 685–691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.10.052>
- Sharif, A., Baris-Tuzemen, O., Uzuner, G., Ozturk, I., & Sinha, A. (2020). Revisiting the role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption on Turkey's ecological footprint: Evidence from Quantile ARDL approach. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 57, 102138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102138>
- Shahzad, F., Yannan, D., Kamran, H. W., Suksatan, W., Nik Hashim, N. A. A., & Razzaq, A. (2021). Outbreak of epidemic diseases and stock returns: An event study of emerging economy. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2021.1941179>
- Sahoo, M., & Sethi, N. (2020). Impact of industrialization, urbanization, and financial development on energy consumption: Empirical evidence from India. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 20(3), e2089. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2089>
- Saidi, K., & Mbarek, M. B. (2017). The impact of income, trade, urbanization, and financial development on CO2 emissions in 19 emerging economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(14), 12748–12757. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6303-3>
- Samargandi, N. (2017). Sector value addition, technology and CO2 emissions in Saudi Arabia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 78, 868–877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.04.056>
- Schumpeter, J. (1911). The theory of economic development. Harvard Economic Studies, vol. xlv. The theory of economic development. Harvard Economic Studies, XLVI.
- Shahbaz, M. (2009). A reassessment of finance-growth nexus for Pakistan: under the investigation of FMOLS and DOLS techniques. *IUP Journal of Applied Economics*, 8(1), 65–75.
- Shahbaz, M., Hye, Q. M. A., Tiwari, A. K., & Leitão, N. C. (2013). Economic growth, energy consumption, financial development, international trade and CO2 emissions in Indonesia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25, 109–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.04.009>
- Shahbaz, M., Loganathan, N., Muzaffar, A. T., Ahmed, K., & Jabran, M. A. (2016). How urbanization affects CO2 emissions in Malaysia? The application of STIRPAT model. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 83–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.096>
- Shahbaz, M., Nasir, M. A., & Roubaud, D. (2018). Environmental degradation in France: The effects of FDI, financial development, and energy innovations. *Energy Economics*, 74, 843–857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.07.020>
- Shahbaz, M., Raghutla, C., Song, M., Zameer, H., & Jiao, Z. (2020). Public-private partnerships investment in energy as new determinant of CO2 emissions: The role of technological innovations in China. *Energy Economics*, 86, 104664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2020.104664>

- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S. A., Mahmood, H., & Arouri, M. (2013). Does financial development reduce CO2 emissions in Malaysian economy? A time series analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 35, 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2013.06.037>
- Shahbaz, M., Tiwari, A. K., & Nasir, M. (2013). The effects of financial development, economic growth, coal consumption and trade openness on CO2 emissions in South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 61, 1452–1459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.07.006>
- Shoib, H. M., Rafique, M. Z., Nadeem, A. M., & Huang, S. (2020). Impact of financial development on CO2 emissions: A comparative analysis of developing countries (D 8) and developed countries (G8). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(11), 12461–12475. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06680-z>
- Solarin, S. A., Nathaniel, S. P., Bekun, F. V., Okunola, A. M., & Alhassan, A. (2021). Towards achieving environmental sustainability: Environmental quality versus economic growth in a developing economy on ecological footprint via dynamic simulations of ARDL. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(14), 17942–17959. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11637-8>
- Talbi, B. (2017). CO2 emissions reduction in road transport sector in Tunisia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, 232–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.208>
- Tamazian, A., Chousa, J. P., & Vadlamannati, K. C. (2009). Does higher economic and financial development lead to environmental degradation: evidence from BRIC countries. *Energy Policy*, 37(1), 246–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.08.025>
- Tang, C. F., & Tan, B. W. (2014). The linkages among energy consumption, economic growth, relative price, foreign direct investment, and financial development in Malaysia. *Quality & Quantity*, 48(2), 781–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-012-9802-4>
- Tebaldi, E., & Elmslie, B. (2013). Does institutional quality impact innovation? Evidence from cross-country patent grant data. *Applied Economics*, 45(7), 887–900. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2011.613777>
- Tsaurai, K. (2019). The impact of financial development on carbon emissions in Africa. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(3), 144–153. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.7073>
- Uddin, G. A., Salahuddin, M., Alam, K., & Gow, J. (2017). Ecological footprint and real income: Panel data evidence from the 27 highest emitting countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 77, 166–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.01.003>
- Wang, Z., Yang, Z., Zhang, Y., & Yin, J. (2012). Energy technology patents–CO2 emissions nexus: An empirical analysis from China. *Energy Policy*, 42, 248–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.11.082>
- Weina, D., Gilli, M., Mazzanti, M., & Nicolli, F. (2016). Green inventions and greenhouse gas emission dynamics: A close examination of provincial Italian data. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 18(2), 247–263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10018-015-0126-1>
- Xu, B., & Lin, B. (2015). How industrialization and urbanization process impacts on CO2 emissions in China: Evidence from nonparametric additive regression models. *Energy Economics*, 48, 188–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2015.01.005>
- Yii, K.-J., & Geetha, C. (2017). The nexus between technology innovation and CO2 emissions in Malaysia: Evidence from granger causality test. *Energy Procedia*, 105, 3118–3124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.654>
- Yu, Y., Deng, Y.-r., & Chen, F.-f. (2018). Impact of population aging and industrial structure on CO2 emissions and emissions trend prediction in China. *Atmospheric Pollution Research*, 9(3), 446–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2017.11.008>
- Yusuf, M., Sabara, Z., & Wekke, I. S. (2019). Role of innovation in testing environment Kuznets curve: A case of Indonesian economy. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(1), 276–281.
- Zameer, H., Wang, Y., & Yasmeen, H. (2019). Transformation of firm innovation activities into brand effect. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 37(2), 226–240. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-05-2018-0176>

- Zameer, H., Yasmeen, H., Zafar, M. W., Waheed, A., & Sinha, A. (2020). Analyzing the association between innovation, economic growth, and environment: Divulging the importance of FDI and trade openness in India. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 27(23), 29539–29553.
- Zhang, C., & Liu, C. (2015). The impact of ICT industry on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A regional analysis in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 44, 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.12.011>
- Zhang, S. (2018). Is trade openness good for environment in South Korea? The role of non-fossil electricity consumption. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*, 25(10), 9510–9522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1264-3>
- Zhang, Y.-J. (2011). The impact of financial development on carbon emissions: An empirical analysis in China. *Energy Policy*, 39(4), 2197–2203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.02.026>
- Zhu, Z., Liu, Y., Tian, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2017). CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the industrialization and urbanization processes in the manufacturing center. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 168, 867–875. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.245>
- Ziaei, S. M. (2015). Effects of financial development indicators on energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission of European, East Asian and Oceania countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 752–759.